arXiv:1212.2867v1 [math.AP] 12 Dec 2012

Compressible Flow and Euler's Equations[1]

Demetrios Christodoulou[1] and Shuang Miao[1,2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich
[2]Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, CAS

December 13, 2012

---

[1] Work supported by ERC Advanced Grant 246574 "Partial Differential Equations of Classical Physics".

Contents

1 Compressible Fluids and Non-linear Wave Equations 7

1.1 The Euler's Equations . . . 7
1.2 Irrotational Flow and the Nonlinear Wave Equation . . . 8
1.3 The Equation of Variations and the Acoustical Metric . . . 10
1.4 The Fundamental Variations . . . 12

2 The Basic Geometric Construction 15

2.1 Null Foliation Associated with the Acoustical Metric . . . 15
2.1.1 Galilean Spacetime . . . 15
2.1.2 Null Foliation and Acoustical Coordinates . . . 16
2.2 A Geometric Interpretation for Function H . . . 21

3 The Acoustical Structure Equations 25

3.1 The Acoustical Structure Equations . . . 25
3.2 The Derivatives of the Rectangular Components of L and $\hat{T}$ . . . 35

4 The Acoustical Curvature 39

4.1 Expressions for Curvature Tensor . . . 39
4.2 Regularity for the Acoustical Structure Equations as $\mu \to 0$ . . . 42
4.3 A Remark . . . 44

5 The Fundamental Energy Estimate 45

5.1 Bootstrap Assumptions. Statement of the Theorem . . . 45
5.2 The Multiplier Fields K_0 and K_1. The Associated Energy-Momentum Density Vectorfields 48
5.3 The Error Integrals . . . 56
5.4 The Estimates for the Error Integrals . . . 59
5.5 Treatment of the Integral Inequalities Depending on t and u. Completion of the Proof . 70

6 Construction of Commutation Vectorfields 75

6.1 Commutation Vectorfields and Their Deformation Tensors . . . 75
6.2 Preliminary Estimates for the Deformation Tensors . . . 79

7 Outline of the Derived Estimates of Each Order 91

7.1 The Inhomogeneous Wave Equations for the Higher Order Variations. The Recursion Formula for the Source Functions . . . 91
7.2 The First Term in $\tilde{\rho}_n$ . . . 93
7.3 The Estimates of the Contribution of the First Term in $\tilde{\rho}_n$ to the Error Integrals . . . 98

8 Regularization of the Propagation Equation for $\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi$. Estimates for the Top Order Angular Derivatives of χ 115
8.1 Preliminary . . . 115
8.1.1 Regularization of The Propagation Equation . . . 115
8.1.2 Propagation Equations for Higher Order Angular Derivatives . . . 118
8.1.3 Elliptic Theory on $S_{t,u}$ . . . 126
8.1.4 Preliminary Estimates for the Solutions of the Propagation Equations . . . 133
8.2 Crucial Lemmas Concerning the Behavior of μ . . . 137
8.3 The Actual Estimates for the Solutions of the Propagation Equations . . . 153

9 Regularization of the Propagation Equation for $\not{\Delta}\mu$. Estimates for the Top Order Spartial Derivatives of μ 163
9.1 Regularization of the Propagation Equation . . . 163
9.2 Propagation Equations for the Higher Order Spartial Derivatives . . . 169
9.3 Elliptic Theory on $S_{t,u}$ . . . 178
9.4 The Estimates for the Solutions of the Propagation Equations . . . 188

10 Control of the Angular Derivatives of the First Derivatives of the x^i. Assumptions and Estimates in Regard to χ 199
10.1 Preliminary . . . 199
10.2 Estimates for y^i . . . 209
10.2.1 L^∞ Estimates for $R_{i_k}....R_{i_1}y^j$ . . . 209
10.2.2 L^2 Estimates for $R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j$ . . . 213
10.3 Bounds for the quantities Q_l and P_l . . . 220
10.3.1 Estimates for Q_l . . . 220
10.3.2 Estimates for P_l . . . 229

11 Control of the Spartial Derivatives of the First Derivatives of the x^i. Assumptions and Estimates in Regard to μ 235
11.1 Estimates for $\hat{T}^i$ . . . 235
11.1.1 Basic Lemmas . . . 235
11.1.2 L^∞ Estimates for $\hat{T}$ . . . 250
11.1.3 L^2 Estimates for $\hat{T}^i$ . . . 256
11.2 Bounds for Quantities $Q'_{m,l}$ and $P'_{m,l}$ . . . 266
11.2.1 Bounds for $Q'_{m,l}$ . . . 266
11.2.2 Bounds for $P'_{m,l}$ . . . 275

12 Recovery of the Acoustical Assumptions. Estimates for Up to the Next to the Top Order Angular Derivatives of χ and Spatial Derivatives of μ 283
12.1 Estimates for λ_i, y'_i, y_i and r. Establishing the Hypothesis **H0** . . . 283
12.2 The Coercivity Hypothesis **H1**, **H2** and **H2′**. Estimates for χ' . . . 287
12.3 Estimates for Higher Order Derivatives of χ' and μ . . . 303

13 Derivation of the Basic Properties of μ 327

14 The Error Estimates Involving the Top Order Spatial Derivatives of the Acoustical Entities 339
14.1 The Error Terms Involving the Top Order Spatial Derivatives of the Acoustical Entities . . . 339
14.2 The Borderline Error Integrals . . . 344
14.3 Assumption **J** . . . 346

14.4 The Borderline Estimates Associated to K_0 348
  14.4.1 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.56) 348
  14.4.2 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.57) 355
14.5 The Borderline Estimates Associated to K_1 360
  14.5.1 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.56) 360
  14.5.2 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.57) 380

15 The Top Order Energy Estimates 395
15.1 Estimates Associated to K_1 395
15.2 Estimates Associated to K_0 407

16 The Descent Scheme 417

17 The Isoperimetric Inequality. Recovery of Assumption J. Recovery of the Bootstrap Assumption. Proof of the Main Theorem 429
17.1 Recovery of **J**-Preliminary 429
17.2 The Isoperimetric Inequality 430
17.3 Recovery of **J**-Completion 434
17.4 Recovery of the Final Bootstrap Assumption 435
17.5 Completion of the Proof of the Main Theorem 436

18 Sufficient Conditions on the Initial Data for the Formation of a Shock in the Evolution 445

19 The Structure of the Boundary of the Domain of the Maximal Solution 455
19.1 Nature of Singular Hypersurface in Acoustical Differential Structure 455
  19.1.1 Preliminary 455
  19.1.2 Intrinsic View Point 457
  19.1.3 Invariant Curves 458
  19.1.4 Extrinsic View Point 460
19.2 The Trichotomy Theorem for Past Null Geodesics Ending at Singular Boundary 463
  19.2.1 Hamiltonian Flow 463
  19.2.2 Asymptotic Behavior 465
19.3 Transformation of Coordinates 479
19.4 How $\mathcal{H}$ Looks Like in Rectangular Coordinates in Galileo Spacetime 490

Chapter 1

Compressible Fluids and Non-linear Wave Equations

1.1 The Euler's Equations

The mathematical description of the state of a moving fluid is determined by the distribution of the fluid velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and of any two thermodynamic quantities pertaining to the fluid, for instance the pressure $p = p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and the mass density $\rho = \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$. All the thermodynamics are determined by the value of any two of them, together with the equation of state. The equation of motion of a perfect fluid can be derived as follows.

We begin with the equation which expresses the conservation of mass. We consider the domain $\Omega(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ occupied by some fluid at time t. The mass of fluid in $\Omega(t)$ is $\int_{\Omega(t)} \rho dV$. This integral should not depend on t due to the mass conservation. So we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega(t)} \rho dV = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

This means

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} dV + \int_{\partial\Omega(t)} \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the out normal of $\partial\Omega(t)$. By Green's formula, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} [\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathbf{v})] dV = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

Since $\Omega(t)$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

This is the equation of continuity.

Then we consider the conservation of momentum. By Newton's law,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = \mathbf{F} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ is the momentum, $\mathbf{F}$ is the force. In our case, equation (1.5) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega(t)} \rho \mathbf{v} dV = -\int_{\partial\Omega(t)} p \mathbf{n} dS \tag{1.6}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega(t)} \rho \mathbf{v} dV = -\int_{\Omega(t)} \nabla p dV \tag{1.7}$$

A component of this equation is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega(t)} \rho v^i dV = -\int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^i} dV \tag{1.8}$$

Then we get

$$\int_{\Omega(t)} [\frac{\partial(\rho v^i)}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho v^i \mathbf{v})] dV = -\int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^i} dV \tag{1.9}$$

Since $\Omega(t)$ is arbitrary, as well as the equation of continuity, we get

$$\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla v^i = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x^i} \tag{1.10}$$

In a perfect fluid, heat exchange between different parts of the fluid is absent. So the motion is adiabatic throughout the fluid.

In the adiabatic motion the entropy of any particle of fluid remains constant as that particle moves about in space. Denoting by s the entropy per unit mass. We can express the condition for adiabatic motion as

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = 0 \tag{1.11}$$

which can be written as

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla s = 0 \tag{1.12}$$

1.2 Irrotational Flow and the Nonlinear Wave Equation

The adiabatic condition may take a much simpler form. If the entropy is constant throughout the fluid at some initial instant, it remains everywhere the same constant value at all times. In this case, we can write the adiabatic condition simply as

$$s = constant \tag{1.13}$$

Such a motion is said to be isentropic. We may in this case put (1.10) into a different form. To do this, we employ the thermodynamic relation

$$dh = V dp + \theta ds \tag{1.14}$$

where h is the enthalpy, defined in terms of the energy per unit mass:

$$h = e + pV$$

$V = \frac{1}{\rho}$ is the specific volume, and θ is the temperature. Since s is constant, we have:

$$dh = V dp = \frac{1}{\rho} dp \tag{1.15}$$

So (1.10) becomes

$$\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla v^i = -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i} \tag{1.16}$$

This implies

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \omega = \omega \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - (\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v})\omega \tag{1.17}$$

where $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v}$.

If $\omega \mid_{t=0} = 0$, then by (1.17) $\omega \equiv 0$. A flow for which $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \equiv 0$ in all space and time is called an irrotational flow. In this case, since $\mathbb{R}^3$ is simply-connected, there exists a function ϕ, such that $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\phi$. The equation (1.16) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^i \partial t} - \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^j \partial x^i} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i} \tag{1.18}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2 - h] = 0 \tag{1.19}$$

Since ϕ is defined up to a constant which may depend on t, without loss of generality, we may set

$$h = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2 \tag{1.20}$$

Then only the continuity equation remains. While $\frac{dh}{dp} = V > 0$, then by inverse function theorem, p can be viewed as a function of h. This is because h can be viewed as a function of p and s, and in the isentropic case, h is a function of p. Then $\rho = \frac{dp}{dh}$, ρ is also a function of h.

The continuity equation is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \mathrm{div}(\rho\nabla\phi) = 0 \tag{1.21}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d\rho}{dh}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i})^2) - \sum_j \frac{d\rho}{dh}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i})^2)\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^j} - \rho\Delta\phi = 0 \tag{1.22}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - 2\sum_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t \partial x^i} + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \eta^2\Delta\phi = 0 \tag{1.23}$$

where we have used the fact that $\frac{dp}{dh} = \rho$ and the definition of η, the sound speed, which is the following:

$$\eta^2 = \eta^2(h) := (\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho})_s \tag{1.24}$$

We define the function

$$H = H(h) := -\eta^2 - 2h \tag{1.25}$$

From (1.24) we know that

$$\eta^{-2} = (\frac{d\rho}{dp})_s = -\frac{1}{V^2}(\frac{dV}{dp})_s \tag{1.26}$$

Since $V = (\frac{dh}{dp})_s$, by direct calculation we obtain

$$\frac{dH}{dh} = -\frac{\eta^4}{V^3}(\frac{d^2V}{dp^2})_s \tag{1.27}$$

So $\frac{dH}{dh}$ vanishes if and only if V is linear in p. This fact will be used later. The meaning of the function H will become apparent in the sequel.

Equation (1.21) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the Lagrangian

$$L = p(h) \tag{1.28}$$

In general, $L = L(t, \mathbf{x}; \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \nabla\phi)$, and the Euler-lagrange equation is

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}(\frac{\partial L(t, \mathbf{x}; \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \nabla\phi)}{\partial u_\alpha}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(t, \mathbf{x}; \phi, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \nabla\phi) \tag{1.29}$$

where $q = \phi$, $x^0 = t$, $u_0 = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$, $u_i = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i}$ $i = 1, 2, 3$

In the case of $L = p(h)$,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_\alpha} = \frac{dp}{dh}\frac{\partial h}{\partial u_\alpha} = \rho\frac{\partial h}{\partial u_\alpha} \tag{1.30}$$

where $h = u_0 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (u_i)^2$

So we have

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial u_0} = 1, \frac{\partial h}{\partial u_i} = -u_i, \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} = 0$$

Then the Euler-lagrange equation is

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(\rho u_i) = 0 \tag{1.31}$$

This is the equation (1.21).

1.3 The Equation of Variations and the Acoustical Metric

Next, we shall discuss the linearized equation corresponding to (1.21).

Let ϕ be a given solution of (1.21) and let $\phi_\tau : \tau \in I$, I an open interval of the real line containing 0, be a differentiable 1-parameter family of solution such that $\phi_0 = \phi$.

Then

$$\psi = (\frac{d\phi_\tau}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} \tag{1.32}$$

is a variation of ϕ through solutions.

Consider the Lagrangian of the unknown ψ:

$$L_\tau[\psi] := L[\phi + \tau\psi] \tag{1.33}$$

Then the linearized Lagrangian of $L[\phi]$ is

$$\dot{L}[\psi] := \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2 L_\tau[\psi]}{d\tau^2}\mid_{\tau=0} \tag{1.34}$$

In this case, $L_\tau[\psi] = p(h_\tau)$, where

$$h_\tau = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi + \tau\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i [\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}(\phi + \tau\psi)]^2$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\frac{d^2L_\tau}{d\tau^2}[\psi]\mid_{\tau=0}=\frac{d^2p}{dh^2}(h)[\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}-\sum_i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^i}]^2+\frac{dp}{dh}(h)(-\sum_i(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^i})^2) \tag{1.35}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d^2L_\tau}{d\tau^2}[\psi]\mid_{\tau=0}=\frac{d\rho}{dh}(h)(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}-\sum_i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^i})^2-\rho(\sum_i(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^i})^2) \tag{1.36}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d^2L_\tau}{d\tau^2}[\psi]\mid_{\tau=0}=\rho[\eta^{-2}(\partial_t\psi-\sum_i\partial_i\phi\partial_i\psi)^2-\sum_i(\partial_i\psi)^2] \tag{1.37}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{d^2L_\tau}{d\tau^2}[\psi]\mid_{\tau=0}=-\rho(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi \tag{1.38}$$

where the metric g is:

$$g=-\eta^2dt^2+\sum_i(dx^i-v^idt)^2 \tag{1.39}$$

and

$$g^{-1}=-\eta^{-2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+v^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})\otimes(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+v^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})+\sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{1.40}$$

Here,$v^i=-\partial_i\phi$.

We have used the fact:

$$\frac{\rho'}{\rho}=\frac{d\rho}{dh}\frac{1}{\rho}=\frac{d\rho}{dp}\frac{dp}{dh}\frac{1}{\rho}=\eta^{-2}$$

Consider the conformal metric

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}=\Omega g_{\mu\nu} \tag{1.41}$$

which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\rho(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi=(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi\sqrt{-\det\tilde{g}} \tag{1.42}$$

Since $\sqrt{-\det g}=\eta$, we get $\sqrt{-\det\tilde{g}}=\Omega^2\eta$, we have $\Omega=\frac{\rho}{\eta}$

Since the linearized equation of (1.21) is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the linearized Lagrangian $\dot{L}[\psi]$, the linearized equation of (1.21) is

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi=0 \tag{1.43}$$

Since ρ_0,η_0, which are the mass density and the sound speed corresponding to the constant state, are constants, and the Euler-Lagrangian equations are not affected if the Lagrangian is multiplied by a constant, we may choose

$$\Omega=\frac{\rho/\rho_0}{\eta/\eta_0} \tag{1.44}$$

so that $\Omega=1$ in the constant state.

We may in fact choose the unit of time in relation to the unit of length so that

$$\eta_0=1$$

and we may further choose the unit of mass in relation to the unit of length so that

$$\rho_0=1$$

These choices shall be understood from the next chapter to the end of the book.

1.4 The Fundamental Variations

Now if ϕ is a solution of (1.21) and f_τ is a 1-parameter subgroup of the isometry group of $\mathbb{E}^3$, that is $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the standard Euclidean metric

$$\sum_i (dx^i)^2$$

,or the translation on t-direction, then for each τ,

$$\phi_\tau = \phi \circ f_\tau \tag{1.45}$$

is also a solution. It follows that

$$\psi = (\frac{d\phi \circ f_\tau}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = X\phi \tag{1.46}$$

satisfies the linear equation (1.43)

X can be the following:

$$\Omega_{ij} := x^i \partial_j - x^j \partial_i \tag{1.47}$$

$1 \leq i < j \leq 3$

and

$$T_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \tag{1.48}$$

$\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, which are the generators of f_τ.

Consider now the scaling group for equation (1.21). It is easy to see that the physical dimension of ϕ is $\frac{length^2}{time}$, so we consider the transformation, with constants $a, b > 0$:

$$\tilde{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) := \frac{b^2}{a}\phi(\frac{t}{a}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{b}) \tag{1.49}$$

A direct calculation implies

$$\tilde{h}(t, \mathbf{x}) := \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \tilde{\phi}|^2 = \frac{b^2}{a^2}h(\frac{t}{a}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{b}) \tag{1.50}$$

In general, p is an arbitrary function of h:

$$p = p(h) \tag{1.51}$$

so

$$\frac{dp}{dh} = \rho > 0 \quad \text{i.e} \quad p' > 0 \quad \text{and also} \quad p > 0 \tag{1.52}$$

and

$$\frac{dp}{d\rho} = \eta^2 = \frac{dp}{dh}\frac{dh}{d\rho} = \rho / \frac{d^2p}{dh^2} > 0 \tag{1.53}$$

Under the transformation (1.49), we have:

$$\tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x}) = p(\tilde{h}(t, \mathbf{x})) = p(\frac{b^2}{a^2}h(\frac{t}{a}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{b})) \tag{1.54}$$

and then

$$\tilde{\rho}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{d\tilde{p}}{d\tilde{h}} = p'(\frac{b^2}{a^2}h(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b})) = \rho(\frac{b^2}{a^2}h(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b})) \tag{1.55}$$

Now we can transform the Euler-Lagrange equation (1.21) under the transformation (1.49).

We can see that in general, only in the case $a = b$ the Euler-Lagrange equation is invariant under the transformation (1.49). But still, there are some special cases that we have 2-dimensional scaling group.

First we consider the case:

$$p(h) = \frac{1}{2}h^2 \tag{1.56}$$

Note that this function $p(h)$ satisfies (1.51)-(1.53)

Now we may take $a \neq b$:

$$\tilde{h}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{b^2}{a^2}h(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b})$$

Then

$$p(\tilde{h}(t,\mathbf{x})) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}^2(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{b^4}{a^4}\frac{1}{2}h^2(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b}) = \frac{b^4}{a^4}p(h(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b})) \tag{1.57}$$

That is

$$\tilde{p}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{b^4}{a^4}p(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b}) \tag{1.58}$$

and also:

$$\tilde{\rho}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{h}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{b^2}{a^2}h(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b}) = \frac{b^2}{a^2}\rho(\frac{t}{a},\frac{\mathbf{x}}{b}) \tag{1.59}$$

So now we have:

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\rho}\nabla\tilde{\phi}) \tag{1.60}$$

$$= \frac{b^2}{a^3}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} - \frac{b^2}{a^2}\frac{1}{a}\rho\Delta\phi - \frac{b}{a^2}\nabla\rho\cdot\frac{b}{a}\nabla\phi = \frac{b^2}{a^3}(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(\rho\nabla\phi))$$

This means the equation (1.21) is invariant under the transformation (1.49). So in this case, the scaling group is 2-dimensional.

More generally, we can consider the case

$$p(h) = Ch^{\alpha}, \alpha > 1, C > 0, h > 0 \tag{1.61}$$

Obviously in this case the function $p(h)$ satisfies (1.51)-(1.53) and we have:

$$p = C(\frac{\rho}{\alpha C})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \tag{1.62}$$

If we set:

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}, \quad k = \frac{C}{(\alpha C)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}}$$

then

$$p = k\rho^{\gamma} \tag{1.63}$$

with $\gamma > 1$ and k depending on γ.

This is the polytropic case. We can calculate as above to see that in this case, we also have the 2-dimensional scaling group. Also, one can easily see that we have the 2-dimensional scaling group only when the function p is a homogeneous function of h.

In present book, we shall consider the general case $p = p(h)$, so the scaling group is 1-dimensional. In this case, we consider the 1-parameter group of dilations of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3$, given by:

$$(t, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto (e^\tau t, e^\tau \mathbf{x}) \tag{1.64}$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let ϕ be a solution of (1.21) and define for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$\phi_\tau := e^{-\tau} \phi(e^\tau t, e^\tau \mathbf{x}) \tag{1.65}$$

As we have seen before, ϕ_τ is also a solution of (1.21). Then

$$\psi = (\frac{d\phi_\tau}{d\tau})|_{\tau=0} := S\phi \tag{1.66}$$

satisfies the linear equation (1.43). Here S is the differential operator

$$S = D - I, \quad D = x^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \tag{1.67}$$

Chapter 2

The Basic Geometric Construction

2.1 Null Foliation Associated with the Acoustical Metric

2.1.1 Galilean Spacetime

Since the Galilean spacetime is the frame of classical fluid mechanics, we shall mention some basic facts about it.

A *Galilean spacetime* is a quadruple $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \pi, e)$ where:

(1) $\mathcal{G}$ is a 4-dimensional affine space;

(2) $\mathcal{A}$ is a 1-dimensional affine space with unit displacement, which represents time;

(3) The map π: $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a surjective affine map;

So $\Sigma_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ is an affine subspace of $\mathcal{G}$ for each $t \in \mathcal{A}$, and we can introduce a Euclidean metric e_t on each Σ_t. The Σ_t is the hypersurfaces of absolute simultaneity.

(4) A $Galilean\ frame$ is an affine foliation of $\mathcal{G}$ by a family of parallel lines transversal to Σ_t.A Galilean frame represents a family of inertial observers at rest relative to each other. The metric e satisfies the condition that parallel transport along the lines of a Galilean frame induces an isometry between (Σ_{t_1}, e_{t_1}) and (Σ_{t_2}, e_{t_2}) for any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Two Galilean spacetimes, $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \pi, e)$ and $(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{A}', \pi', e')$ are equivalent if and only if there is a pair (I, J), where I is an affine spaces isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ onto $\mathcal{G}'$, and J is an affine spaces isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{A}'$ such that

(1) $\pi' \circ I = J \circ \pi$;

(2) J takes the unit displacement of $\mathcal{A}$ into the unit displacement of $\mathcal{A}'$;

(3) $I|_{\Sigma_t}$ is an isometry of (Σ_t, e_t) onto $(\Sigma'_{t'}, e'_{t'})$, for each $t \in \mathcal{A}$. Here $\Sigma_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, $\Sigma'_{t'} = \pi'^{-1}(t')$, and $t' = J(t)$.

Classical mechanics including classical continuum mechanics are invariant under such Galilean transformations. We have used in fact this Galilean invariance to define the first order variations in Chapter 1.

However, in this book, we shall adopt a different point of view in analyzing the equation of variations. We shall retain from the Galilean spacetime $\mathcal{G}$ only its differentiale manifold structure $\mathbb{R}^4$, a manifold endowed with the acoustical metric g. We call the pair $(\mathbb{R}^4, g)$ the acoustical spacetime, which is a Lorentzian manifold. Two such Lorentzian manifolds $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ and $(\mathcal{M}', g')$ are equivalent if and only if there is a diffeomorphism f of $\mathcal{M}'$ onto $\mathcal{M}$ such that $g' = f^* g$, i.e. $(\mathcal{M}', g')$ is isometric to $(\mathcal{M}, g)$, through an arbitrary diffeomorphism f.

2.1.2 Null Foliation and Acoustical Coordinates

The initial data for the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathrm{div}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla s = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

is to be given on the hyperplane Σ_0 and consists in specification of the triplet $(p, s, \mathbf{v})$. We assume that there is a sphere $S_{0,0}$ outside which the initial data coincide with those of a constant state, that is we have

$$p = p_0, \quad s = s_0, \quad \mathbf{v} = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

By choosing the unit of length equal to the radius of $S_{0,0}$, we then take $S_{0,0}$ to be the unit sphere centered at the origin in Σ_0. We consider an annular interior neighborhood of $S_{0,0}$ in Σ_0:

$$\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma_0 : 1 - \epsilon_0 \leqslant r(\mathbf{x}) \leqslant 1\} \tag{2.5}$$

where r is the Euclidean distance function from the origin in Σ_0 and ϵ_0 is a positive constant, satisfying the condition:

$$\epsilon_0 \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.6}$$

We define in Σ_0 the function

$$u = 1 - r \tag{2.7}$$

which on Σ_0 minus the origin is a smooth function without critical points, vanishing on $S_{0,0}$ and increasing inward. For each value of u in the closed interval $[0, \epsilon_0]$, the corresponding level set $S_{0,u}$ of u is a sphere of radius $1 - u$ in the interval $[1 - \epsilon_0, 1]$, and we have:

$$\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{u \in [0, \epsilon_0]} S_{0,u} \tag{2.8}$$

In the case that the initial data is irrotational and isentropic outside S_{0,ϵ_0}, the data in the exterior of S_{0,ϵ_0} in Σ_0 specify the pair $(\phi, \partial_t \phi)$ in this region. This is initial data for the nonlinear wave equation (1.23) in the region in question and we have:

$$\phi = 0, \quad \partial_0 \phi = h_0 \tag{2.9}$$

h_0 is the enthalpy of constant state. in the exterior of $S_{0,0}$ in Σ_0. As we have seen in Chapter 1, the enthalpy h is defined up to an additive constant, we may use this freedom to set:

$$h_0 = 0$$

To any given initial data set as above there corresponds a unique *maximal solution* of the equation (2.1)-(2.3), of the nonlinear wave equation (1.23) in the irrotational isentropic case. The notion of maximal solution or maximal development of an initial data set is the following. Given an initial data set, the local existence theorem asserts the existence of a *development* of this set, namely of a domain $\mathcal{D}$ in Galilean spacetime, whose past boundary is the domain of the initial data, and of a solution defined in $\mathcal{D}$ and taking the given data at the past boundary, such that if we consider any point $p \in \mathcal{D}$ and any curve issuing at p with the property that its tangent vector at any point q belongs to $\bar{I}_q^-$, the closure of the past component of the double cone defined by g_q, the acoustical metric at q, then the curve terminates in the past at a point of the domain of the initial data. The local uniqueness theorem asserts that if $(\mathcal{D}_1, (p_1, s_1, \mathbf{v}_1))$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2, (p_2, s_2, \mathbf{v}_2))$ are two developments of the same initial data set $((\mathcal{D}_1, \phi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2, \phi_2)$ in the irrotational isentropic case), then $(p_1, s_1, \mathbf{v}_1)$ coincides with $(p_2, s_2, \mathbf{v}_2)$ in $\mathcal{D}_1 \bigcap \mathcal{D}_2$ (ϕ_1 coincides with ϕ_2 in $\mathcal{D}_1 \bigcap \mathcal{D}_2$ in the irrotational isentropic case). It follows that the union of all developments of a given initial data set is itself a development, the unique *maximal development* of the initial data set. We consider, in the domain of maximal solution, the family $\{C_u : u \in [0, \epsilon_0]\}$ of outgoing characteristic hypersurfaces corresponding to the family $\{S_{0,u} : u \in [0, \epsilon_0]\}$:

$$C_u \bigcap \Sigma_0 = S_{0,u} : \forall u \in [0, \epsilon_0] \tag{2.10}$$

Each bicharacteristic generator of each C_u is to extend in the domain of maximal solution as long as it remains on the boundary of the domain of dependence of the exterior of the surface $S_{0,u}$ in Σ_0. if we denote by W_{ϵ_0} the spacetime domain :

$$W_{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{u \in [0, \epsilon_0]} C_u \tag{2.11}$$

then the domain M_{ϵ_0} of the maximal solution corresponding to the given data set is the union of W_{ϵ_0} with the domain in Galilean spacetime bounded by the exterior of the unit sphere $S_{0,0}$ in Σ_0 and by the outgoing characteristic hypersurface C_0 corresponding to $S_{0,0}$. By the domain of dependence theorem the solution coincide in $M_{\epsilon_0} \setminus W_{\epsilon_0}$ with the constant state. This implies that C_0 is a complete cone, each of its bicharacteristic generators extending to infinity in the parameter t. We extend the function u to W_{ϵ_0} by requiring that its level sets are precisely the outgoing characteristic hypersurfaces C_u. The function u is then the solution of the equation:

$$(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u \partial_\nu u = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

We shall call such a function an *acoustical function*. The vector field $\hat{L}$ given by:

$$\hat{L} = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu u \tag{2.13}$$

is then a future-directed null geodesic vectorfield with respect to the Lorenzian metric g. Its integral curves are the bicharacteristic generators of each C_u. Now the parametrization of these given by $\hat{L}$ is affine; however, for reasons which shall become apparent in the following we wish the generators to be parametrized by the function t instead. For this reason we choose the colinear vectorfield

$$L = \mu \hat{L} \tag{2.14}$$

where the proportionality factor μ, a positive function, is chosen so that

$$Lt = 1 \tag{2.15}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu t \partial_\nu u \tag{2.16}$$

For each $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ there is a greatest lower bound $t_*(u)$ of the extent of the generators of C_u, in the parameter t, in the domain of the maximal solution. This $t_*(u)$ is either a positive real number or ∞. Acoording to the above we have $t_*(0) = \infty$. Let us denote

$$t_{*\epsilon_0} = \inf_{u \in [0, \epsilon_0]} t_*(u) \tag{2.17}$$

In the following we shall confine attention to the spacetime domain

$$W^*_{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{(t,u) \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0}) \times [0, \epsilon_0]} S_{t,u} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$S_{t,u} = C_u \bigcap \Sigma_t \tag{2.19}$$

Define in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ the vectorfield T such that it is tangential to Σ_t, orthogonal to the surfaces $\{S_{t,u} : u \in [0, \epsilon_0]\}$ in metric g, and it verifies:

$$Tu = 1 \tag{2.20}$$

Consider the commutator:

$$\Lambda = [L, T] \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.12)-(2.14); we know

$$Lu = 0 \tag{2.22}$$

and by the fact that T is tangential to Σ_t, we have:

$$Tt = 0 \tag{2.23}$$

then from (2.15),(2.20), we get:

$$\Lambda u = \Lambda t = 0 \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore Λ is tangential to $S_{t,u}$. From (2.14) and (2.22), we know that

$$g(L, L) = 0 \tag{2.25}$$

i.e. L is a null vector with respect to the acoustical metric g. Also, from (2.13),(2.14) and (2.20),

$$g(L, T) = \mu g(\hat{L}, T) = -\mu T u = -\mu \tag{2.26}$$

Since the restriction of g to Σ_t is the Euclidean metric, T is a spacelike vector with respect to g and we can write

$$g(T, T) = \kappa^2 \tag{2.27}$$

where κ is a positive function, the Euclidean magnitude of T. Let N be any vector tangent to one of C_u, then we have

$$Nu = 0 \tag{2.28}$$

i.e.

$$g(L, N) = 0 \tag{2.29}$$

So for any X tangent to one of $S_{t,u}$,

$$g(L, X) = 0 \tag{2.30}$$

Since by definition, X is also g orthogonal to T, it follows that

$$T_p W^*_{\epsilon_0} = \Pi_p \oplus T_p S_{t,u} \tag{2.31}$$

where Π_p is spanned by L and T. The metric g in Π_p is given by (2.25)-(2.27) in terms of μ and κ. By (2.31) g is then completely specified once we give the metric $\not{g}$ induced on $S_{t,u}$:

$$\not{g}(X, Y) = g(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in T_p S_{t,u} \tag{2.32}$$

For each $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ the generators of C_u defines a smooth mapping of $S_{0,u}$ to $S_{t,u}$for each $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$. While each $S_{0,u}$ is diffeomorphic to S^2. Therefore, we get a diffeomorphism from $S_{t,u}$ to S^2:

$$p \mapsto \vartheta = \vartheta(p) \tag{2.33}$$

where $\vartheta \in S^2$, $p \in S_{t,u}$. If local coordinates $(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ are chosen on S^2, this diffeomorphsim defines local coordinates on $S_{t,u}$ for every $(t, u) \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0}) \times [0, \epsilon_0]$ Since the diffeomorphism from $S_{0,u}$ to S^2 is arbitrary, the diffeomorphsim (2.33) is arbitrary as it may be composed on the left by a transformation of the form:

$$\vartheta \mapsto \tilde{\vartheta} = \tilde{\vartheta}(u, \vartheta) \tag{2.34}$$

The local coordinates $(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$, together with the functions (t, u) define a complete system of local coordinates $(t, u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ for $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. We shall call these *acoustical coordinates* and we shall derive an expression for g in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ in these coordinates.

First, the integral curves of L are the lines of constant ϑ and u, parametrized by t. Therefore

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{2.35}$$

Next, by (2.20),(2.23), we have

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \Xi \tag{2.36}$$

where Ξ is a vectorfield tangential to $S_{t,u}$. Thus Ξ can be expanded in terms of the frame field $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}: A = 1, 2)$.and we have

$$\Xi = \Xi^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} \tag{2.37}$$

By (2.21),(2.35),(2.36) we have

$$[L, \Xi] = -\Lambda \tag{2.38}$$

or, in terms of components,

$$\frac{\partial \Xi^A}{\partial t} = -\Lambda^A \tag{2.39}$$

We can set $\Xi = 0$ along any one of the hypersurfaces Σ_t. However the non-vanishing of Λ forbids setting $\Xi = 0$ everywhere. With the components

$$\not{g}_{AB} = \not{g}(\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^B}) \tag{2.40}$$

where $A, B = 1, 2$, we have

$$g = -2\mu du dt + \kappa^2 du^2 + \not{g}_{AB}(d\vartheta^A + \Xi^A du)(d\vartheta^B + \Xi^B du) \tag{2.41}$$

We define in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ the vectorfield B by the conditions that it be orthogonal to Σ_t with respect to g and that it verifies :

$$Bt = 1 \tag{2.42}$$

Since Σ_t is spacelike relative to g, B is future-directed timelike relative to g. Thus, there is a positive function α such that

$$g(B, B) = -\alpha^2 \tag{2.43}$$

In fact, we have

$$B = -\alpha^2 (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu t \tag{2.44}$$

and:

$$\alpha^{-2} = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu t \partial_\nu t = \eta^{-2} \tag{2.45}$$

Since α and η are both positive, $\alpha = \eta$. Then we have

$$B^0 = -\alpha^2 (g^{-1})^{00} = 1, \quad B^i = -\alpha^2 (g^{-1})^{i0} = -\alpha^2(-\eta^{-2})v^i = v^i \tag{2.46}$$

So

$$B = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{2.47}$$

and in irrotational isentropic case,

$$B = (1, -\nabla\phi)$$

Now at any point p, $B \in \Pi_p$, a timelike plane relative to g. Therefore, B is a linear combination of L and T. From (2.36),(2.35),(2.42), and the fact that B is future-directed timelike relative to g, we have

$$B = L + fT \tag{2.48}$$

where f is a positive function. Taking g-inner product of (2.48) with T, using(2.26) and the fact that $g(B, T) = 0$ we have

$$\mu = f\kappa^2 \tag{2.49}$$

On the other hand, L is null relative to g, we have

$$0 = g(B, B) + f^2 g(T, T) = -\alpha^2 + f^2\kappa^2 \tag{2.50}$$

Since f is positive, we have

$$f = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \tag{2.51}$$

Then we get

$$\mu = \alpha\kappa \tag{2.52}$$

Let us introduce the vectorfield $\hat{T} := \kappa^{-1}T$. Then from (2.48),(2.51), we have

$$L = B - \alpha\hat{T} \tag{2.53}$$

By (2.46), (2.47),we have

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\alpha\hat{T}^i - v^i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{2.54}$$

in the irrotational isentropic case:

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\alpha\hat{T}^i + \partial_i\phi)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{2.55}$$

We finally calculate the Jacobian of the mapping:

$$(t, u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) \mapsto (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) \tag{2.56}$$

Since $x^0 = t$, we have

$$\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial t} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \vartheta^A} = 0 \tag{2.57}$$

where $A = 1, 2$. Also, since

$$\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial t} = L^\mu \tag{2.58}$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t} = L^i \tag{2.59}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u} = T^i + \xi^i \tag{2.60}$$

where

$$\xi^i = \Xi^A X^i_A, \quad X^i_A = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \vartheta^A} \tag{2.61}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3; A = 1, 2$.

Then we get the Jacobian determinant of (2.56):

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ L^1 & T^1 + \xi^1 & X_1^1 & X_2^1 \\ L^2 & T^2 + \xi^2 & X_1^2 & X_2^2 \\ L^3 & T^3 + \xi^3 & X_1^3 & X_2^3 \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.62}$$

We have,

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} T^1 + \xi^1 & X_1^1 & X_2^1 \\ T^2 + \xi^2 & X_1^2 & X_2^2 \\ T^3 + \xi^3 & X_1^3 & X_2^3 \end{vmatrix} = \dot{\Delta} + \ddot{\Delta} \tag{2.63}$$

where

$$\dot{\Delta} = \begin{vmatrix} T^1 & X_1^1 & X_2^1 \\ T^2 & X_1^2 & X_2^2 \\ T^3 & X_1^3 & X_2^3 \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.64}$$

and

$$\ddot{\Delta} = \begin{vmatrix} \xi^1 & X_1^1 & X_2^1 \\ \xi^2 & X_1^2 & X_2^2 \\ \xi^3 & X_1^3 & X_2^3 \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.65}$$

Now from (2.61), we have

$$\ddot{\Delta} = \sum_{A=1}^{2} \Xi^A \begin{vmatrix} X_A^1 & X_1^1 & X_2^1 \\ X_A^2 & X_1^2 & X_2^2 \\ X_A^3 & X_1^3 & X_2^3 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \tag{2.66}$$

then we can simply write

$$\Delta = (T, X_1, X_2) \tag{2.67}$$

i.e.

$$\Delta = |T||X_1 \wedge X_2| \tag{2.68}$$

where the magnitude is with respect to $\bar{g}$, the restriction of g on Σ_t, which is the Euclidean metirc. then we know that

$$|X_1 \wedge X_2| = \sqrt{|X_1|^2|X_2|^2 - (X_1, X_2)^2} = \sqrt{\det \not{g}} \tag{2.69}$$

then we get

$$\Delta = \kappa\sqrt{\det \not{g}} \tag{2.70}$$

2.2 A Geometric Interpretation for Function H

Recall the definition of H in Chapter 1:

$$H = -2h - \eta^2 \tag{2.71}$$

Here we just consider the case $H = const$. Actually, we just need to consider the case $H = 0$ due to the following transformation:

$$\tilde{\phi} := \phi + \frac{k}{2}t, \quad \tilde{h} := h + \frac{k}{2}, \quad \tilde{H} := H - k \quad k \quad \text{is} \quad \text{the} \quad \text{“}\mathit{const}'' \tag{2.72}$$

which leaves the non-linear wave equation (1.23) invariant.

Since

$$H = 0$$

implies

$$h = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^2$$

in this case the acoustical metric is:

$$g = 2\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(dt)^2 + 2\sum_i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i} dx^i dt + \sum_i (dx^i)^2$$

i.e.

$$g = 2d\phi dt + \sum_i (dx^i)^2 \tag{2.73}$$

Introducing a new coordinate s, we consider the Lorentzian manifold $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$ where:

$$\tilde{g} = 2dsdt + \sum_i (dx^i)^2 \tag{2.74}$$

So the manifold $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3, g)$ can be realized as a submanifold of $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$ with the induced metric, in fact as the graph over the null hyperplane:

$$s = \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{2.75}$$

Also, by direct calculation, we find:

$$\det g = 2h = -\eta^2 < 0 \tag{2.76}$$

which implies that this submanifold is a time-like hypersurface in $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$.

Moreover, the manifold $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3, g)$ is a minimal submanifold of $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$. Since in general

$$\frac{d\rho}{dp} = \eta^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\rho}{dp} = \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dh}$$

In the present case we have by (2.71):

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dh} = -\frac{1}{2h}$$

Hence we can solve this ODE to obtain:

$$\rho = \frac{C}{\sqrt{-2h}} = \frac{C}{\eta} \tag{2.77}$$

where C is a positive constant. The equation:

$$\frac{dp}{dh} = \rho$$

gives

$$p = p_0 - C\sqrt{-2h} \quad \text{i.e}$$

By (2.77) we can write:

$$p = p_0 - C^2 V \quad \text{or} \quad p = p_0 - C\eta \tag{2.78}$$

Thus, the action in a domain Ω in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3$ is:

$$\int_\Omega p dt d^3x = p_0 \int_\Omega dt d^3x - C \int_\Omega \eta dt d^3x \tag{2.79}$$

While

$$\int_\Omega \eta dt d^3x = \int_\Omega \sqrt{-\det g} dt d^3x \tag{2.80}$$

is the volume of Ω with respect to g.

It follows that the Euler-Lagrange equation coincides with that corresponding to the Lagrangian $\sqrt{-\det g}$. But the Euler-Lagrange equation corresponding to the volume of a domain as the action in the domain is the minimal surface equation.

Chapter 3

The Acoustical Structure Equations

3.1 The Acoustical Structure Equations

Define k by

$$2\alpha k = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_B g \tag{3.1}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to Σ_t.

If X, Y are two vectors tangent to Σ_t at a point, we then have

$$\alpha k(X,Y) = g(D_X B, Y) = g(D_Y B, X) \tag{3.2}$$

where k is the 2nd fundamental form of Σ_t relative to g and D is the covariant derivative associated to g. Recall from Chapter 2, we have

$$B = \partial_0 + v^i \partial_i \tag{3.3}$$

Then

$$2\alpha k_{ij} = (\bar{\mathcal{L}}_B g)_{ij} = (B\bar{g}_{ij}) + \bar{g}_{im}\partial_j v^m + \bar{g}_{jm}\partial_i v^m \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\bar{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, we have

$$2\alpha k_{ij} = \partial_j v^i + \partial_i v^j = -2\partial_i \psi_j \tag{3.5}$$

The last equality above is in the irrotational isentropic case.

Any vector X tangent to C_u at a point p can be uniquely decomposed into a vector colinear to L and a vector tangent to $S_{t,u}$.

$$X = c_X L + \Pi X \tag{3.6}$$

If X, Y are tangent to C_u, then $g(X,Y) = \not{g}(\Pi X, \Pi Y)$.

Let $X_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}$, given any $Z \in T_p C_u$, we can expand $\Pi Z = Z^A X_A$. Taking inner product with X_B:

$$\not{g}(X_B, \Pi Z) = \not{g}_{AB} Z^A \tag{3.7}$$

Define χ by

$$2\chi = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L g \tag{3.8}$$

Here, $\not{\mathcal{L}}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{L}$ to $S_{t,u}$.

If X, Y are tangent to $S_{t,u}$, then we have

$$\chi(X,Y) = g(D_X L, Y) = g(D_Y L, X) \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\hat{L} = \mu^{-1} L$ is an affinely parametrized geodesic field, we have:

$$D_L L = \mu D_{\hat{L}}(\mu \hat{L}) = L(\mu)\hat{L} = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)L \tag{3.10}$$

Denoting $\chi_{AB} = \chi(X_A, X_B)$. We shall derive a propagation equation for χ_{AB} along C_u. First we have

$$L\chi_{AB} = g(D_L D_{X_A} L, X_B) + g(D_{X_A} L, D_L X_B) \tag{3.11}$$

Now $D_L X_A - D_{X_A} L = [L, X_A] = 0$, if we denote by R the curvature of g, we have:

$$D_L D_{X_A} L - D_{X_A} D_L L = R(L, X_A)L$$

where the curvature transformation is defined by:

$$R(X,Y)Z = D_X D_Y Z - D_Y D_X Z - D_{[X,Y]} Z$$

Then

$$g(D_L D_{X_A} L, X_B) = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)\chi_{AB} - \alpha_{AB} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\alpha_{AB} = R(X_A, L, X_B, L)$. Recall that the curvature tensor is defined through the curvature transformation as follows:

$$R(W,Z,X,Y) := g(W, R(X,Y)Z) \tag{3.13}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (3.11) is equal to $g(D_{X_A}L, D_{X_B}L)$.

Since L is null with respect to g, then for any vectorfield X, $D_X L$ is g-orthogonal to L, therefore tangential to C_u. Thus, setting $W_A = D_{X_A}L$: $A = 1, 2$, we have

$$g(W_A, W_B) = \not{g}(\Pi W_A, \Pi W_B) \tag{3.14}$$

We now expand ΠW_A in the basis $X_B, B = 1, 2$.The coefficient of X_B in this expansion is

$$(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}\not{g}(\Pi W_A, X_C) = (\not{g}^{-1})^{BC} g(D_{X_A}L, X_C) = (\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}\chi_{AC} \tag{3.15}$$

hence,

$$\not{g}(\Pi W_A, \Pi W_B) = \not{g}(\chi_A^C X_C, \chi_B^D X_D) = \chi_A^C \chi_{BC}$$

Here the capital indices are raised and lowered with respect to $\not{g}_{AB}$. Thus,

$$\chi_A^B = (\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}\chi_{AC}$$

So we get the propagation equation:

$$L\chi_{AB} = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)\chi_{AB} + \chi_A^C\chi_{BC} - \alpha_{AB} \tag{3.16}$$

From this, we can get a propagation equation for $\mathrm{tr}\chi$. We denote by $S_{\mu\nu}$ the Ricci tensor:

$$S_{\mu\nu} := (g^{-1})^{\kappa\lambda} R_{\mu\kappa\nu\lambda} \tag{3.17}$$

We can express $(g^{-1})^{\kappa\lambda}$ in terms of the frame L, T, X_1, X_2. We have

$$(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = -\alpha^{-2}L^\mu L^\nu - \mu^{-1}(L^\mu T^\nu + L^\nu T^\mu) + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} X_A^\mu X_B^\nu \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.18), we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} R_{\mu\kappa\nu\lambda} X_A^\mu L^\kappa X_B^\nu L^\lambda = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\kappa\nu\lambda} L^\kappa L^\lambda = S_{\kappa\lambda} L^\kappa L^\lambda \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand,

$$L(\mathrm{tr}\chi) = L[(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\chi_{AB}] = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} L(\chi_{AB}) + \chi_{AB} L[(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}] \tag{3.20}$$

This equals

$$(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}L(\chi_{AB}) - \chi_{AB}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{BD}L(\slashed{g}_{CD}) \tag{3.21}$$

i.e.

$$(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}L(\chi_{AB}) - 2\chi_{AB}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{BD}\chi_{CD} \tag{3.22}$$

where we have used the following fact:

$$L[(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}] = -(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{BD}L(\slashed{g}_{CD})$$

This is simply the derivative of the reciprocal of a non-degenerate matrix:

$$\frac{dM^{-1}}{dt} = -M^{-1}\frac{dM}{dt}M^{-1}$$

Since we have

$$2\chi_{AB} = L(\slashed{g}_{AB}) \tag{3.23}$$

then taking trace with (3.16), we get

$$L(\mathrm{tr}\chi) = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)\mathrm{tr}\chi - |\chi|^2_{\slashed{g}} - S(L,L) \tag{3.24}$$

We shall write down the Gauss and Codazzi equations of the embedding of $S_{t,u}$ in the acoustical spacetime. First, we consider $S_{t,u}$ as a submanifold of Σ_t. In this case, the normal vectorfield is T.

We define θ, the second fundamental form of $S_{t,u}$ relative to Σ_t, by:

$$2\kappa\theta := \slashed{\mathcal{L}}_T\bar{g} \tag{3.25}$$

For any two vectors tangent to $S_{t,u}$ at a point, we have

$$\kappa\theta(X,Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{D}_X T, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{D}_Y T, X) \tag{3.26}$$

Denote by $\slashed{k}$, the restriction of k, the second fundamental form of Σ_t in spacetime manifold, to $TS_{t,u}$, then by (2.53), we have

$$\chi = \alpha(\slashed{k} - \theta) \tag{3.27}$$

The Gauss equation of $S_{t,u}$ in Σ_t is expressed in terms of (X_1, X_2) by

$$\slashed{R}_{ABCD} - \theta_{AC}\theta_{BD} + \theta_{AD}\theta_{BC} = 0 \tag{3.28}$$

$\slashed{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $\slashed{g}$. Since $\mathrm{dim}S_{t,u} = 2$, we have

$$\slashed{R}_{ABCD} = K(\slashed{g}_{AC}\slashed{g}_{BD} - \slashed{g}_{AD}\slashed{g}_{BC}) \tag{3.29}$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of $S_{t,u}$. Then we have

$$\theta_{AC}\theta_{BD} - \theta_{AD}\theta_{BC} = K(\slashed{g}_{AC}\slashed{g}_{BD} - \slashed{g}_{AD}\slashed{g}_{BC}) \tag{3.30}$$

contracting this with $\frac{1}{2}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{BD}$:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}_{\slashed{g}}\theta)^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\theta|^2_{\slashed{g}} = K \tag{3.31}$$

Also from the Gauss equations of the embedding of Σ_t in the whole manifold, we have

$$\slashed{k}_{AC}\slashed{k}_{BD} - \slashed{k}_{BC}\slashed{k}_{AD} = R_{ABCD} \tag{3.32}$$

In view of the symmetries of the curvature tensor we can express:

$$R_{ABCD} = \rho\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD} \tag{3.33}$$

where ϵ_{AB} are the components of the area form of $(S_{t,u}, \not{g})$:

$$\epsilon_{AB} = \sqrt{\det \not{g}}[AB] \tag{3.34}$$

Contracting (3.33) with $\frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}$ and taking into account the fact that

$$(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD} = \not{g}_{AC} \tag{3.35}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}_{\not{g}}\not{k})^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\not{k}|^2_{\not{g}} = \rho \tag{3.36}$$

This is the Gauss equation of $S_{t,u}$ in acoustical spacetime.

We then derive the Codazzi equation: Let X, Y, Z be vectorfields tangent to $S_{t,u}$, we can extend them to C_u by pushing forward by the flow of L. They are then tangential to each of the sections $S_{t,u}$, $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$. We have:

$$X(\chi(Y,Z)) = X(g(D_Y L, Z)) = g(D_X D_Y L, Z) + g(D_Y L, D_X Z) \tag{3.37}$$

On the other hand,

$$(\not{D}_X \chi)(Y,Z) = X(\chi(Y,Z)) - \chi(\not{D}_X Y, Z) - \chi(Y, \not{D}_X Z) \tag{3.38}$$

Here $\not{D}$ is the covariant derivative operator on the $S_{t,u}$ associated to the induced metric $\not{g}$. Hence,

$$(\not{D}_X \chi)(Y,Z) = g(D_X D_Y L, Z) + g(D_Y L, D_X Z) - \chi(\not{D}_X Y, Z) - \chi(Y, \not{D}_X Z) \tag{3.39}$$

Exchanging X, Y, and noting that

$$D_X D_Y L - D_Y D_X L = R(X,Y)L + D_{[X,Y]}L \tag{3.40}$$

while $g(R(X,Y)L, Z) = R(Z, L, X, Y)$ and $\not{D}_X Y - \not{D}_Y X = [X, Y]$,we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\not{D}_X \chi)(Y,Z) - (\not{D}_Y \chi)(X,Z) &= R(Z,L,X,Y) + g(D_{[X,Y]}L, Z) + g(D_Y L, D_X Z) - g(D_X L, D_Y Z)\\ &\quad -\chi(\not{D}_X Y, Z) + \chi(\not{D}_Y X, Z) - \chi(Y, \not{D}_X Z) + \chi(X, \not{D}_Y Z)\\ &= R(Z,L,X,Y) + g(D_Y L, D_X Z) - g(D_X L, D_Y Z) - \chi(Y, \not{D}_X Z) + \chi(X, \not{D}_Y Z)\end{aligned}$$

Define the 1-form ζ on $S_{t,u}$, by

$$\zeta(X) = g(D_X L, T) \tag{3.41}$$

for any vector X tangent to $S_{t,u}$ at some point. We shall derive an expression for ζ. For this, we set $D_X L = xL + yT + z^A X_A$. Then

$$g(D_X L, T) = -\mu x + \kappa^2 y, \quad g(D_X L, L) = -\mu y, \quad g(D_X L, X_A) = z^B \not{g}_{AB} \tag{3.42}$$

Solving for the coefficients x, y, z^A, we obtain:

$$D_X L = -\mu^{-1}\zeta(X)L + \chi \cdot X \tag{3.43}$$

where $\not{g}(\chi \cdot X, Y) = \chi(X, Y)$.

Then,

$$g(D_X L, D_Y Z) = \mu^{-1}\zeta(X)\chi(Y,Z) + g(\chi \cdot X, D_Y Z) = \mu^{-1}\zeta(X)\chi(Y,Z) + \chi(X, \not{D}_Y Z) \tag{3.44}$$

Substituting this into the expression above, we get

$$(\not{D}_X \chi)(Y,Z) - (\not{D}_Y \chi)(X,Z) = R(Z,L,X,Y) - \mu^{-1}(\zeta(X)\chi(Y,Z) - \zeta(Y)\chi(X,Z)) \tag{3.45}$$

In this equation, we set $X = X_A, Y = X_B, Z = X_C$. Defining the 1-form β on the $S_{t,u}$ by:

$$R(X_C, L, X_A, X_B) = \beta_C \epsilon_{AB} \tag{3.46}$$

we obtain the Codazzi equation:

$$\not{D}_A \chi_{BC} - \not{D}_B \chi_{AC} = \beta_C \epsilon_{AB} - \mu^{-1}(\zeta_A \chi_{BC} - \zeta_B \chi_{AC}) \tag{3.47}$$

Denoting by $\mathrm{c\not{u}rl}\chi$ the 1-form on $S_{t,u}$ with components:

$$\mathrm{c\not{u}rl}\chi_C := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{AB}(\not{D}_A \chi_{BC} - \not{D}_B \chi_{AC}) \tag{3.48}$$

where $\epsilon^{AB} = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}\epsilon_{CD} = (\sqrt{\det \not{g}})^{-1}[AB]$, we can write Codazzi equation as follows:

$$\mathrm{c\not{u}rl}\chi = \beta - \mu^{-1}\zeta \wedge \chi \tag{3.49}$$

Here $(\zeta \wedge \chi)_C = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{AB}(\zeta_A \chi_{BC} - \zeta_B \chi_{AC})$, and this equation has the whole content of equations (3.47). Contracting (3.47) with $(\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}$, we obtain the contracted form of the Codazzi equation.

$$\mathrm{\not{d}iv}\chi - \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi = \beta^* - \mu^{-1}(\zeta \cdot \chi - \zeta \mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{3.50}$$

which is equivalent to the equation (3.49). Here $(\zeta \cdot \chi)_B = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}\zeta_A \chi_{BC}, \beta^*_B = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}\beta_C \epsilon_{AB}$

We shall now derive an expression for *zeta*, from the expression for L,

$$\zeta(X) = g(D_X B, T) - \alpha\kappa^{-1} g(D_X T, T) - g(T, T) X(\alpha\kappa^{-1}) \tag{3.51}$$

While $g(T, T) = \kappa^2$, we have $g(D_X T, T) = \kappa X \kappa$, so this reduces to

$$\zeta(X) = g(D_X B, T) - \kappa X \alpha \tag{3.52}$$

Define 1-form ε on $S_{t,u}$ by: $\kappa\varepsilon(X) = k(X, T)$. Then

$$\zeta = \kappa(\alpha\varepsilon - \not{d}\alpha) \tag{3.53}$$

We now define $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$$\eta := \zeta + \not{d}\mu \tag{3.54}$$

Obviously, we have

$$\eta(X) = -g(D_X T, L) \tag{3.55}$$

We can express the commutator Λ of L and T, a vectorfield tangential to $S_{t,u}$, in terms of ζ and η. We have

$$\Lambda = \Lambda^A X_A, \Lambda^A \not{g}_{AB} = g(X_B, D_L T) - g(X_B, D_T L) \tag{3.56}$$

and

$$g(X_B, D_L T) = -g(D_L X_B, T) = -g(D_{X_B} L, T) = -\zeta_B \tag{3.57}$$

To compute $g(X_B, D_T L)$, we express L in terms of the geodesic vectorfield $\hat{L}$. We have

$$g(X_B, D_T L) = g(X_B, D_T(\mu\hat{L})) = \mu g(X_B, D_T \hat{L}) = \mu g(T, D_{X_B}\hat{L}) = \mu g(T, D_{X_B}(\mu^{-1}L)) \tag{3.58}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\hat{L}^\mu = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu u$, that is, $\hat{L}$ is gradient of a function, so we can exchange T and X_B. This equals

$$g(T, D_{X_B} L) - \mu^{-1}(X_B \mu) g(T, L) = \zeta_B + X_B \mu = \eta_B \tag{3.59}$$

So we get

$$\Lambda^A = -(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\zeta_B + \eta_B) \tag{3.60}$$

We shall express $D_T L$ and $D_L T$ in the frames (L, T, X_1, X_2) First, by (3.59) and (3.60),

$$g(D_T L, X_B) = \eta_B \tag{3.61}$$

and

$$g(D_T L, T) = g(D_L T, T) = L(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2), \quad g(D_T L, L) = 0 \tag{3.62}$$

It follows that

$$D_T L = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\eta_B X_A - \alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)L \tag{3.63}$$

Also,

$$g(D_L T, X_B) = -g(D_L X_B, T) = -g(D_{X_B} L, T) = -\zeta_B \tag{3.64}$$

and

$$g(D_L T, L) = g(D_T L, L) = 0, \quad g(D_L T, T) = \frac{1}{2}L(\kappa^2) \tag{3.65}$$

where we have used the fact that $[L, T]$ is tangential to $S_{t,u}$. We thus obtain

$$D_L T = -\not{g}^{AB}\zeta_B X_A - \alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)L \tag{3.66}$$

Next, we compute $D_{X_A} T$. Set

$$D_{X_A} T = a_A L + b_A T + c_A^B X_B \tag{3.67}$$

Taking inner product with L:

$$-\mu b_A = g(D_{X_A} T, L) = -\eta_A \Rightarrow b_A = \mu^{-1}\eta_A \tag{3.68}$$

Taking inner product with T:

$$-\mu a_A + \kappa^2 b_A = g(D_{X_A} T, T) = \frac{1}{2}X_A(\kappa^2) \tag{3.69}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$a_A = \alpha^{-2}\eta_A - \alpha^{-1}X_A(\kappa) = \alpha^{-2}(\eta_A - X_A(\mu) + \kappa X_A(\alpha)) = \alpha^{-2}(\zeta_A + \kappa X_A(\alpha)) = \alpha^{-1}\kappa\varepsilon_A \tag{3.70}$$

Finally, taking inner product with X_C:

$$c_A^B \not{g}_{BC} = g(D_{X_A} T, X_C) = \kappa\theta_{AC} \tag{3.71}$$

We conclude that

$$D_{X_A} T = \alpha^{-1}\kappa\varepsilon_A L + \mu^{-1}\eta_A T + \kappa\theta_{AB}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC} X_C \tag{3.72}$$

In the following, we will compute $D_T T$. We may decompose:

$$D_T T = \bar{D}_T T + aB \tag{3.73}$$

where $\bar{D}$ is the covariant derivative operator on the Σ_t, associated to the induced metric $\bar{g}$, which, as we have seen, coincides with the Euclidean metric. Taking inner product with B:

$$-\alpha^2 a = g(D_T T, B) = g(D_T T, L) + \alpha\kappa^{-1}g(D_T T, T) = -T\mu - g(T, D_T L) + \alpha\kappa^{-1}T(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2) \tag{3.74}$$

where we have used the fact that $g(D_T T, L) = T(g(T, L)) - g(T, D_T L)$. The righthand side of (3.74) equals:

$$-T\mu - \kappa L\kappa + \alpha T\kappa = -\kappa(T\alpha + L\kappa) \tag{3.75}$$

Using the fact that $[L, T]$ is tangential to $S_{t,u}$, so $g(T, D_T L) = g(T, D_L T) = \frac{1}{2}L(\kappa^2)$

We may use the rectangular coordinates on Σ_t, the induced metric $\bar{g}$ being Euclidean. For any pair of vectorfields X, Y tangential to Σ_t we then have, in terms of components in rectangular coordinates,

$$(\bar{D}_X Y)^i = X^j \partial_j Y^i \tag{3.76}$$

So we have:

$$(\bar{D}_T T)^i = T^j \bar{D}_j T^i = T^j \partial_j T^i \tag{3.77}$$

and

$$\bar{g}_{ij} T^j = \kappa^2 \partial_i u, \quad \bar{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \Rightarrow T^i = \kappa^2 \partial_i u \tag{3.78}$$

So,

$$(\bar{D}_T T)^i = \kappa^2 \partial_j u \partial_j (\kappa^2 \partial_i u) = \kappa^2 \partial_j u \partial_j \kappa^2 \partial_i u + \kappa^4 \partial_j u \partial_j \partial_i u \tag{3.79}$$

By (3.78), $\sum_i (\partial_i u)^2 = \kappa^{-2}$ then we have

$$(\bar{D}_T T)^i = \kappa^{-2} T^i T^j \partial_j (\kappa^2) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^4 \partial_i (\kappa^{-2}) \tag{3.80}$$

This equals to

$$\kappa^{-2} T^i T^j \partial_j (\kappa^2) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i (\kappa^2) \tag{3.81}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{D}_T T = \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{-2} T(\kappa^2) T - \frac{1}{2} \not{g}^{AB} X_B (\kappa^2) X_A \tag{3.82}$$

In view of (3.74), (3.75) and (3.82), we conclude that:

$$D_T T = \kappa \alpha^{-2} (T\alpha + L\kappa) L + [\alpha^{-1} (T\alpha + L\kappa) + \kappa^{-1} T\kappa] T - \frac{1}{2} (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} X_B (\kappa^2) X_A$$

In regard to (3.74),

$$g(D_T T, B) = -g(T, D_T B) = -\alpha k(T, T) \tag{3.83}$$

Comparing with (3.75), we see that:

$$L(\frac{1}{2} \kappa^2) = -\kappa T\alpha + \alpha k(T, T) \tag{3.84}$$

Using this equation, we shall derive a propagation equation along the generator of $S_{t,u}$ for μ:

$$L\mu = \kappa L\alpha + \alpha L\kappa = \kappa L\alpha - \alpha T\alpha + \alpha\mu k(\hat{T}, \hat{T}) \tag{3.85}$$

First,

$$\alpha\mu k(\hat{T}, \hat{T}) = -\mu \hat{T}^i \hat{T}^j \partial_i \psi_j = -\alpha \hat{T}^i (T\psi_i) \tag{3.86}$$

We have used the fact that $\alpha k_{ij} = -\partial_i \psi_j$ (See (3.5)).

To compute the first two terms on the right hand side of (3.85), we shall use: $\alpha d\alpha = \frac{1}{2} d\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2} d\eta^2$. While

$$\eta^2 = \frac{\rho}{\rho'} \Rightarrow d\eta^2 = (\frac{\rho}{\rho'})' dh \tag{3.87}$$

It follows that

$$\kappa L\alpha - \alpha T\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{\alpha^2} (\frac{\rho}{\rho'})' Lh - \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\rho}{\rho'})' Th \tag{3.88}$$

Substituting this and (3.86) into (3.85), we get

$$L\mu = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu}{\alpha^2} (\frac{\rho}{\rho'})' Lh - \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\rho}{\rho'})' Th - \alpha \hat{T}^i (T\psi_i) \tag{3.89}$$

Recalling that

$$L = \partial_t - (\alpha \hat{T}^i + \psi_i) \partial_i \Rightarrow -\alpha \hat{T}^i = L^i + \psi_i \tag{3.90}$$

we express

$$-\alpha\hat{T}^i(T\psi_i)=L^i(T\psi_i)+\sum_i\psi_iT\psi_i=T^i(L\psi_i)-Th=\alpha^{-1}\mu\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i)-Th \tag{3.91}$$

We thus obtain the following propagation equation for μ:

$$L\mu=m+\mu e \tag{3.92}$$

where

$$m=\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}Th,\quad H=-2h-\eta^2 \tag{3.93}$$

and

$$e=\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'Lh+\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) \tag{3.94}$$

To complete the set of connection coefficients of the frame field (L,T,X_1,X_2), we should compute $D_{X_A}X_B$. We decompose:

$$D_{X_A}X_B=\not{D}_{X_A}X_B+a_{AB}L+b_{AB}T \tag{3.95}$$

then

$$-\mu b_{AB}=g(D_{X_A}X_B,L)=-\chi_{AB} \tag{3.96}$$

and

$$-\mu a_{AB}+\kappa^2b_{AB}=g(T,D_{X_A}X_B)=-\kappa\theta_{AB} \tag{3.97}$$

By $\chi=\alpha(\not{k}-\theta)$, we get

$$b_{AB}=\mu^{-1}\chi_{AB},a_{AB}=\alpha^{-1}\not{k}_{AB} \tag{3.98}$$

So we get the following table:

$$D_LL=\mu^{-1}(L\mu)L \tag{3.99}$$

$$D_TL=(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\eta_BX_A-\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)L \tag{3.100}$$

$$D_LT=-(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\zeta_BX_A-\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)L \tag{3.101}$$

$$D_{X_A}L=-\mu^{-1}\zeta_AL+\chi_A^BX_B \tag{3.102}$$

$$D_TT=\alpha^{-2}\kappa(T\alpha+L\kappa)L+(\alpha^{-1}L\kappa+\mu^{-1}T\mu)T-\frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X_B(\kappa^2)X_A \tag{3.103}$$

$$D_{X_A}T=\alpha^{-1}\kappa\varepsilon_AL+\mu^{-1}\eta_AT+\kappa\theta_{AB}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}X_C \tag{3.104}$$

$$D_LX_A=D_{X_A}L \tag{3.105}$$

$$D_{X_A}X_B=\not{D}_{X_A}X_B+\alpha^{-1}\not{k}_{AB}L+\mu^{-1}\chi_{AB}T \tag{3.106}$$

We now investigate the connection between the Lie derivative of χ with respect to T and the derivative of η tangential to $S_{t,u}$.

First, we extend χ from $TS_{t,u}$ for each t,u to $TW^*_{\epsilon_0}$ by the conditions:

$$\chi(X,L)=\chi(X,T)=0 \tag{3.107}$$

We define $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi$ to be the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_T\chi$ to $S_{t,u}$:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi)(X_A,X_B)=(D_T\chi)(X_A,X_B)+\chi(X_A,D_{X_B}T)+\chi(X_B,D_{X_A}T) \tag{3.108}$$

Due to the extension of χ and using (3.99)-(3.106), this equals to

$$(D_T\chi)(X_A,X_B)+\kappa\theta_{BC}\chi_A^C+\kappa\theta_{AC}\chi_B^C \tag{3.109}$$

To compute $(D_T\chi)(X_A, X_B)$:

$$(D_T\chi)(X_A, X_B) = T(\chi_{AB}) - \chi(\Pi D_T X_A, X_B) - \chi(\Pi D_T X_B, X_A) \tag{3.110}$$

where Π is the g-projection to $S_{t,u}$. Now

$$T(\chi_{AB}) = T(g(D_{X_A}L, X_B)) = g(D_T D_{X_A}L, X_B) + g(D_{X_A}L, D_T X_B) \tag{3.111}$$

While

$$g(D_T D_{X_A}L, X_B) = g(D_{X_A}D_T L, X_B) + g(D_{[T,X_A]}L, X_B) + R(X_B, L, T, X_A) \tag{3.112}$$

From (3.100),

$$g(D_{X_A}D_T L, X_B) = g(D_{X_A}(\eta^C X_C - \alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)L), X_B) \tag{3.113}$$

This equals to

$$-\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)\chi_{AB} + X_A(\eta_B) - \eta(\not{D}_{X_A}X_B) = -\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)\chi_{AB} + \not{D}_A\eta_B \tag{3.114}$$

Since $[T, X_A]$ is tangential to $S_{t,u}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(D_{[T,X_A]}L, X_B) = \chi([T, X_A], X_B) &= \chi(\Pi D_T X_A, X_B) - \chi(\Pi D_{X_A}T, X_B) && (3.115)\\ &= \chi(\Pi D_T X_A, X_B) - \kappa\theta_{AC}\chi_B^C && (3.116) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$g(D_{X_A}L, D_T X_B) = -\mu^{-1}\zeta_A g(L, D_T X_B) + g(\chi_{AC}X^C, \Pi D_T X_B) \tag{3.117}$$

which equals

$$\mu^{-1}\zeta_A\eta_B + \chi(X_A, \Pi D_T X_B) \tag{3.118}$$

So we obtain:

$$(D_T\chi)(X_A, X_B) = \not{D}_A\eta_B + \mu^{-1}\zeta_A\eta_B - \alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)\chi_{AB} - \kappa\theta_{AC}\chi_B^C - R(X_A, T, X_B, L) \tag{3.119}$$

The symmetric part of this equation is

$$\begin{aligned} (D_T\chi)(X_A, X_B) = \frac{1}{2}(\not{D}_A\eta_B + \not{D}_B\eta_A) &+ \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}(\zeta_A\eta_B + \zeta_B\eta_A) && (3.120)\\ -\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)\chi_{AB} &- \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\theta_{AC}\chi_B^C + \theta_{BC}\chi_A^C) && (3.121)\\ &-\frac{1}{2}(R(X_A, T, X_B, L) + R(X_B, T, X_A, L)) && (3.122) \end{aligned}$$

The antisymmetric part of this equation contains no new information.

Denoting

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi)(X_A, X_B) = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi_{AB} \tag{3.123}$$

our conclusion is

$$\begin{aligned} \not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\not{D}_A\eta_B + \not{D}_B\eta_A) &+ \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}(\zeta_A\eta_B + \zeta_B\eta_A) && (3.124)\\ -\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)\chi_{AB} &+ \frac{1}{2}\kappa(\theta_{AC}\chi_B^C + \theta_{BC}\chi_A^C) - \gamma_{AB} && (3.125) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(R(X_A, T, X_B, L) + R(X_B, T, X_A, L)) \tag{3.126}$$

We shall also use the null frame $(L, \underline{L}, X_1, X_2)$, where

$$\underline{L} = \alpha^{-1}\kappa L + 2T \tag{3.127}$$

One can check that $\underline{L}$ is a incoming future directed null vector satisfying the following condition:

$$g(L, \underline{L}) = -2\mu \tag{3.128}$$

The inverse of the acoustical metric is expressed in this frame by

$$(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}(L^{\mu}\underline{L}^{\nu} + L^{\nu}\underline{L}^{\mu}) + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X_A^{\mu}X_B^{\nu} \tag{3.129}$$

From (3.99)-(3.106), we have the following table:

$$D_L L = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)L \tag{3.130}$$

$$D_{\underline{L}} L = -L(\alpha^{-1}\kappa)L + 2\eta^A X_A \tag{3.131}$$

$$D_{X_A} L = -\mu^{-1}\zeta_A L + \chi_A^B X_B \tag{3.132}$$

$$D_L \underline{L} = -2\zeta^A X_A \tag{3.133}$$

$$D_{\underline{L}}\underline{L} = (\mu^{-1}\underline{L}\mu + L(\alpha^{-1}\kappa))\underline{L} - 2\mu(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X_B(\alpha^{-1}\kappa)X_A \tag{3.134}$$

$$D_{X_A}\underline{L} = \mu^{-1}\eta_A\underline{L} + \underline{\chi}_A^B X_B \tag{3.135}$$

$$D_L X_A = D_{X_A} L \tag{3.136}$$

$$D_{X_A} X_B = \not{D}_{X_A} X_B + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\underline{\chi}_{AB} L + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\chi_{AB}\underline{L} \tag{3.137}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\underline{\chi} = \kappa(\not{k} + \theta) \tag{3.138}$$

This completes the exposition of the acoustical structure equations.

We shall presently derive expressions for the operators $\Box_g$ and $\Box_{\tilde{g}}$. we have :

$$\Box_g f = \mathrm{tr}(D^2 f) \tag{3.139}$$

where tr denotes the trace with respect to g and $D^2 f$ denotes the Hessian of f with respect to g. In view of the expression (3.129) for g^{-1} we have

$$\Box_g f = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(D^2 f)_{\mu\nu} = -\mu^{-1}(D^2 f)_{\underline{L}L} + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(D^2 f)_{AB} \tag{3.140}$$

Also we can consider the Hessian of the restriction of f to $S_{t,u}$ with respect to the induced metric $\not{g}$:

$$\not{D}^2 f \tag{3.141}$$

then the operator $\not{\Delta} = \Delta_{\not{g}}$ is given by

$$\not{\Delta} = \mathrm{tr}(\not{D}^2 f) \tag{3.142}$$

Here tr is the trace with respect to $\not{g}$. In terms of the frame, we have

$$(D^2 f)_{AB} = X_A(X_B f) - (D_{X_A} X_B)f \tag{3.143}$$

and

$$(\not{D}^2 f)_{AB} = X_A(X_B f) - (\not{D}_{X_A} X_B)f \tag{3.144}$$

By (3.137), we have

$$(D^2 f)_{AB} = (\not{D}^2 f)_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\underline{\chi}_{AB}(Lf) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\chi_{AB}(\underline{L}f) \tag{3.145}$$

Hence:

$$(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(D^2f)_{AB} = \not{\Delta} f - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}(Lf) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\chi(\underline{L}f) \tag{3.146}$$

Also, by (3.133),

$$(D^2f)_{\underline{L}L} = L(\underline{L}f) - (D_L\underline{L})f = L(\underline{L}f) + 2\zeta\cdot\not{d}f \tag{3.147}$$

So we get the following expressions for $\Box_g$:

$$\Box_g f = \not{\Delta} f - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}(Lf) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\chi(\underline{L}f) - \mu^{-1}L(\underline{L}f) - 2\mu^{-1}\zeta\cdot\not{d}f \tag{3.148}$$

Since the conformal acoustical metric $\tilde{g}$ is given by:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.149}$$

we then get

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}} = \Omega^{-1}\Box_g f + \Omega^{-2}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Omega\partial_\nu f = \Omega^{-1}\Box_g f + \Omega^{-2}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu h\partial_\nu f \tag{3.150}$$

In view of (3.129), we have

$$(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu h\partial_\nu f = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}(\underline{L}h)(Lf) - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}(\underline{L}f)(Lh) + \not{d}h\cdot\not{d}f \tag{3.151}$$

Thus, setting

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi + \Omega^{-1}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}(Lh)) \tag{3.152}$$

$$\underline{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} + \Omega^{-1}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}(\underline{L}h)) \tag{3.153}$$

we obtain the formula

$$\Omega\Box_{\tilde{g}} f = \not{\Delta} f - \mu^{-1}L(\underline{L}f) - \mu^{-1}(\nu\underline{L}f + \underline{\nu}Lf) - 2\mu^{-1}\zeta\cdot\not{d}f + \Omega^{-1}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}\not{d}h\cdot\not{d}f \tag{3.154}$$

3.2 The Derivatives of the Rectangular Components of L and $\hat{T}$

We shall now draw the conclusion that the derivatives of L^i, $\hat{T}^i$, the rectangular components of L and $\hat{T}$, with respect to L, T and X_A are regular as $\mu \to 0$. In the following we shall denote by ∇ the covariant differentiation with respect to the natural connection associated to the affine space $\mathcal{G}$, the Galilean spacetime. The t and $x^i : i = 1, 2, 3$ being linear coordinates on $\mathcal{G}$, ∇ amounts simply to partial differentiation in these coordinates. We have

$$D_\mu W^\nu = \nabla_\mu W^\nu + \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda}W^\lambda \tag{3.155}$$

$$\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda} = (g^{-1})^{\nu\kappa}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda\kappa} \tag{3.156}$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\lambda\kappa} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu g_{\lambda\kappa} + \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\kappa} - \partial_\kappa g_{\mu\lambda}) \tag{3.157}$$

In the irrotational and isentropic case, recall that $v^i = -\partial_i\phi$, then the acoustical metric can be written as follows

$$g = -\eta^2 dt^2 + \sum_i (dx^i + \partial_i\phi dt)^2 \tag{3.158}$$

By direct calculation, we have the Christoffel symbols:

$$\Gamma_{000} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \tag{3.159}$$

$$\Gamma_{0i0} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_i(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \tag{3.160}$$

$$\Gamma_{ij0} = \partial_i\partial_j\phi \tag{3.161}$$

$$\Gamma_{00k} = \partial_0\partial_k\phi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_k(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \tag{3.162}$$

$$\Gamma_{i0k} = \Gamma_{ijk} = 0 \tag{3.163}$$

Consider now the vectorfield

$$L(L^\mu)\partial_\mu \tag{3.164}$$

Since $L(L^0) = 0$, it can be expanded as

$$a_L\hat{T} + b_L \tag{3.165}$$

where $b_L \in TS_{t,u}$. On the hand, (3.165) is also $\nabla_L L$. That is $\nabla_L L = a_L\hat{T} + b_L$.

Taking inner product with $\hat{T}$:

$$a_L = g(\nabla_L L, \hat{T}) \tag{3.166}$$

Writing

$$b_L = b_L^A X_A \tag{3.167}$$

and taking inner product with X_B:

$$g(\nabla_L L, X_B) = b_L^A \not{g}_{AB} \tag{3.168}$$

While

$$g(\nabla_L L, \hat{T}) = g(D_L L, \hat{T}) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}L^\alpha L^\beta \hat{T}^\nu \tag{3.169}$$

From (3.92) and (3.99):

$$g(D_L L, \hat{T}) = -\kappa^{-1}(L\mu) = -\kappa^{-1}m - \alpha e \tag{3.170}$$

Also,

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}L^\alpha L^\beta \hat{T}^\nu = \Gamma_{00k}\hat{T}^k = \hat{T}(\psi_0) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\hat{T}(h) \tag{3.171}$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\nabla_L L, \hat{T}) = -\alpha e \tag{3.172}$$

The κ^{-1} term cancels! From (3.94), we have

$$a_L = -\alpha e = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}L(\eta^2) - \hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) \tag{3.173}$$

Since $g(D_L L, X_B) = 0$, we have

$$g(\nabla_L L, X_B) = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}L^\alpha L^\beta X_B^\nu = -\Gamma_{00k}X_B^k = -X_B(\psi_0) + \frac{1}{2}X_B(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}X_B(h) \tag{3.174}$$

So

$$b_L^A = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} g(\nabla_L L, X_B) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}X^A(h) \tag{3.175}$$

Consider next the vectorfield $L(\hat{T}^\mu)\partial_\mu$. Also it can be expanded as

$$\nabla_L \hat{T} = p_L\hat{T} + q_L \tag{3.176}$$

Obviously,

$$p_L = g(\nabla_L \hat{T}, \hat{T}) \tag{3.177}$$

Writing

$$q_L = q_L^A X_A \tag{3.178}$$

and taking inner product with X_B:

$$q_L^A \not{g}_{AB} = g(\nabla_L \hat{T}, X_B) \tag{3.179}$$

Since $g(D_L\hat{T},\hat{T}) = \frac{1}{2}L(g(\hat{T},\hat{T})) = 0$, we have

$$g(\nabla_L \hat{T}, \hat{T}) = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}L^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta \hat{T}^\nu = 0 \Rightarrow p_L = 0 \tag{3.180}$$

Also we have:

$$g(\nabla_L \hat{T}, X_B) = g(D_L\hat{T}, X_B) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}L^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta X_B^\nu = g(D_L\hat{T}, X_B) = \kappa^{-1}g(D_L T, X_B) = -\kappa^{-1}\zeta^A \not{g}_{AB} \tag{3.181}$$

$$\Rightarrow q_L^A = -\kappa^{-1}\zeta^A = -\alpha\varepsilon^A + X^A(\alpha) = -\alpha k_{ij}X^{Ai}\hat{T}^j + X^A(\alpha) = -\hat{T}^j(X^A\psi_j) + X^A(\alpha) \tag{3.182}$$

This is regular as $\mu \to 0$. Consider the vectorfield

$$T(L^\mu)\partial_\mu \tag{3.183}$$

Also, it can be expanded as

$$\nabla_T L = a_T \hat{T} + b_T \tag{3.184}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_T = g(\nabla_T L, \hat{T}), \quad b_T = b_T^A X_A, \quad b_T^A \not{g}_{AB} = g(\nabla_T L, X_B) \tag{3.185}$$

We have: $g(\nabla_T L, \hat{T}) = g(D_T L, \hat{T}) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}T^\alpha L^\beta \hat{T}^\nu$. From (3.100), we have

$$g(D_T L, \hat{T}) = g(-\alpha^{-1}(L\kappa)L, \hat{T}) = L\kappa \tag{3.186}$$

While $-\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}T^\alpha L^\beta \hat{T}^\nu = 0$, we get

$$a_T = L\kappa \tag{3.187}$$

Also

$$g(\nabla_T L, X_B) = g(D_T L, X_B) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}T^\alpha L^\beta X_B^\nu = g(D_T L, X_B) = \eta_B \tag{3.188}$$

$$\Rightarrow b_T^A = \eta^A \tag{3.189}$$

Consider finally the vectorfield

$$T(\hat{T}^\mu)\partial_\mu \tag{3.190}$$

It can be expanded as

$$\nabla_T \hat{T} = p_T \hat{T} + q_T \tag{3.191}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_T = g(\nabla_T \hat{T}, \hat{T}) = g(D_T \hat{T}, \hat{T}) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}T^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta \hat{T}^\nu = 0 \tag{3.192}$$

Writing $q_T = q_T^A X_A$, we have

$$q_T^A \not{g}_{AB} = g(\nabla_T \hat{T}, X_B) = g(D_T \hat{T}, X_B) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}T^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta X_B^\nu \tag{3.193}$$

This equals to

$$g(D_T \hat{T}, X_B) = \kappa^{-1}g(D_T T, X_B) = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}X_C(\kappa^2)\not{g}_{AB} = -X_B(\kappa) \tag{3.194}$$

We proceed to derive expressions for

$$\nabla_{X_A} L = X_A(L^\mu)\partial_\mu \tag{3.195}$$

and

$$\nabla_{X_A}\hat{T} = X_A(\hat{T}^\mu)\partial_\mu \tag{3.196}$$

First, we expand

$$\nabla_{X_A}L = \not{a}_A\hat{T} + \not{b}_A \tag{3.197}$$

then we have

$$\not{a}_A = g(\nabla_{X_A}L, \hat{T}) = g(D_{X_A}L, \hat{T}) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}X_A^\alpha L^\beta \hat{T}^\nu = g(D_{X_A}L, \hat{T}) = \kappa^{-1}\zeta_A \tag{3.198}$$

This is regular as $\mu \to 0$ and we have used (3.102).

On the other hand

$$\not{g}_{BC}\not{b}_A^B = g(\nabla_{X_A}L, X_C) = g(D_{X_A}L, X_C) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}X_A^\alpha L^\beta X_C^\nu = g(D_{X_A}L, X_C) = \chi_{AC} \tag{3.199}$$

Finally

$$\nabla_{X_A}\hat{T} = \not{p}_A\hat{T} + \not{q}_A \tag{3.200}$$

$$\Rightarrow \not{p}_A = g(\nabla_{X_A}\hat{T}, \hat{T}) = g(D_{X_A}\hat{T}, \hat{T}) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}X_A^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta \hat{T}^\nu = 0 \tag{3.201}$$

$$\not{q}_A^B\not{g}_{BC} = g(\nabla_{X_A}\hat{T}, X_C) = g(D_{X_A}\hat{T}, X_C) - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}X_A^\alpha \hat{T}^\beta X_C^\nu = \kappa^{-1}g(D_{X_A}T, X_C) = \theta_{AC} \tag{3.202}$$

Chapter 4

The Acoustical Curvature

We presently derive expressions for the curvature tensor of the acoustical metric g.

In this chapter, we need the following expressions of Christoffel symbols derived in Chapter 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{000} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_0(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \\
\Gamma_{0i0} &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_i(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \\
\Gamma_{ij0} &= \partial_i\partial_j\phi \\
\Gamma_{00k} &= \frac{1}{2}(2\partial_0\partial_k\phi - \partial_k(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2)) \\
\Gamma_{i0k} &= \Gamma_{ijk} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

4.1 Expressions for Curvature Tensor

We have the expressions for the curvature tensor:

$$R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$R^{(2)}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha\partial_\nu g_{\beta\mu} + \partial_\beta\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\nu} - \partial_\alpha\partial_\mu g_{\beta\nu} - \partial_\beta\partial_\nu g_{\alpha\mu}) \tag{4.3}$$

$$R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -(g^{-1})^{\kappa\lambda}(\Gamma_{\alpha\mu\kappa}\Gamma_{\beta\nu\lambda} - \Gamma_{\beta\mu\kappa}\Gamma_{\alpha\nu\lambda}) \tag{4.4}$$

From these expressions and those for the Christoffel symbols, we have

$$R_{ijkl} = R^{(1)}_{ijkl} = \eta^{-2}(\partial_i\partial_k\phi\partial_j\partial_l\phi - \partial_i\partial_l\phi\partial_j\partial_k\phi) \tag{4.5}$$

Also, we have:

$$R_{0i0j} = R^{(2)}_{0i0j} + R^{(1)}_{0i0j} \tag{4.6}$$

where:

$$R^{(2)}_{0i0j} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_0\partial_i g_{0j} + \partial_0\partial_j g_{0i} - \partial_0^2 g_{ij} - \partial_i\partial_j g_{00}) = \partial^2_{ij}(\partial_0\phi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_k(\partial_k\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\eta^2) \tag{4.7}$$

i.e.

$$R^{(2)}_{0i0j} = \partial^2_{ij}h + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{ij}\eta^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^2_{ij}H = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\partial^2_{ij}h - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}\partial_i h\partial_j h \tag{4.8}$$

So the real principal part of R_{0i0j} is $-\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\partial^2_{ij}h$. We can write this in terms of $D^2_{ij}h$ we have:

$$R_{0i0j} = R^{[P]}_{0i0j} + R^{[N]}_{0i0j} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$R^{[P]}_{0i0j} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}D^2_{ij}h \tag{4.10}$$

Since

$$R^{(2)}_{0i0j} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}D^2_{ij}h - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij}\partial_\alpha h - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}\partial_i h\partial_j h$$

we have:

$$R^{[N]}_{0i0j} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}\partial_i h\partial_j h - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{ij}\partial_\alpha h + R^{(1)}_{0i0j} \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(1)}_{0i0j} = \eta^{-2}[&\frac{1}{2}\partial_0(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\partial_i\partial_j\phi - \frac{1}{4}\partial_i(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\partial_j(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)] \\ &-\eta^{-2}\partial_k\phi(\partial_i\partial_j\phi)\frac{1}{2}(2\partial_0\partial_k\phi - \partial_k(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Finally, we have the component

$$R_{0ijk} = R^{(2)}_{0ijk} + R^{(1)}_{0ijk} \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$R^{(2)}_{0ijk} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^2_{ij}g_{0k} + \partial^2_{0k}g_{ij} - \partial^2_{0j}g_{ki} - \partial^2_{ki}g_{0j}) = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

while

$$R^{(1)}_{0ijk} = -(g^{-1})^{\sigma\lambda}(\Gamma_{j0\sigma}\Gamma_{ik\lambda} - \Gamma_{k0\sigma}\Gamma_{ij\lambda}) \tag{4.15}$$

Hence

$$R_{0ijk} = R^{(1)}_{0ijk} = \eta^{-2}[\frac{1}{2}\partial_j(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\partial^2_{ik}\phi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_k(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\partial^2_{ij}\phi] \tag{4.16}$$

Now we have calculated all the components of the curvature tensor, and we shall express all the components in a more systematic way. To do this, we need to introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\mu &= \partial_\mu h \\ v_{\mu\nu} &= D_\mu\tau_\nu = (D^2h)_{\mu\nu} \\ w_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu\psi_\nu = w_{\nu\mu} \end{aligned}$$

First, since only the component R_{0i0j} has the principal part, we have:

$$R^{[P]}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\delta^0_\mu\delta^0_\beta v_{\alpha\nu} + \delta^0_\alpha\delta^0_\nu v_{\mu\beta} - \delta^0_\nu\delta^0_\beta v_{\alpha\mu} - \delta^0_\alpha\delta^0_\mu v_{\nu\beta}) \tag{4.17}$$

The lower order terms are much more complicted. First from (4.5):

$$R^{[N]}_{ijkl} = \eta^{-2}(w_{ik}w_{jl} - w_{il}w_{jk}) \tag{4.18}$$

From (4.11) and (4.12):

$$\begin{aligned} R^{[N]}_{0i0j} = &-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}\tau_i\tau_j - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\Gamma^0_{ij}\tau_0 + \Gamma^k_{ij}\tau_k) \\ &+\eta^{-2}[\frac{1}{2}\partial_0(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)w_{ij} - \frac{1}{4}\partial_i(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\partial_j(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)] \\ &-\eta^{-2}\tau_k(w_{ij})\frac{1}{2}(2w_{0k} - \partial_k(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)) \end{aligned}$$

From (4.1):

$$\Gamma^0_{ij} = (g^{-1})^{00}\Gamma_{ij0} = -\eta^{-2}\omega_{ij}$$
$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = (g^{-1})^{k0}\Gamma_{ij0} = -\eta^{-2}v^k\omega_{ij}$$

Also, since

$$h = \psi_0 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H = -2h - \eta^2$$

we have:

$$-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 = H + 2\psi_0 \tag{4.19}$$

Substituting in the expression for $R^{[N]}_{0i0j}$, we obtain, in view of the fact that $\psi_k = -v^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{[N]}_{0i0j} = -\frac{1}{2}[\frac{d^2H}{dh^2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}(\frac{dH}{dh})^2]\tau_i\tau_j \\ +\frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}(2\tau_0 w_{ij} - \tau_i w_{j0} - \tau_j w_{i0}) \\ +\eta^{-2}(w_{00}w_{ij} - w_{i0}w_{j0}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

(4.16) reads:

$$R^{[N]}_{0ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\{\partial_j(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2)w_{ik} - \partial_k(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2)w_{ij}\}$$

Substituting gives:

$$R^{[N]}_{0ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\tau_j w_{ik} - \tau_k w_{ij}) + \eta^{-2}(w_{j0}w_{ik} - w_{k0}w_{ij}) \tag{4.21}$$

Finally, the results for $R^{[N]}_{ijkl}, R^{[N]}_{0ijk}$ and $R^{[N]}_{0i0j}$, combine to produce the formula for $R^{[N]}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$:

$$R^{[N]}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \eta^{-2}A_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}H_2 B_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \tag{4.22}$$

where:

$$A_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = w_{\mu\alpha}w_{\nu\beta} = w_{\mu\beta}w_{\nu\alpha}$$
$$B_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = (\delta^0_\mu\tau_\nu - \delta^0_\nu\tau_\mu)(\delta^0_\alpha\tau_\beta - \delta^0_\beta\tau_\alpha)$$

and, with:

$$\xi_{\mu\alpha\beta} = \delta^0_\alpha w_{\beta\mu} - \delta^0_\beta w_{\alpha\mu}$$

we have:

$$C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \tau_\mu\xi_{\nu\alpha\beta} - \tau_\nu\xi_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \tau_\alpha\xi_{\beta\mu\nu} - \tau_\beta\xi_{\alpha\mu\nu}$$

Also, the function H_2 is defined by:

$$H_2 = \frac{d^2H}{dh^2} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}(\frac{dH}{dh})^2$$

The expressions $A_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, B_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}, C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ all possess the algebraic properties of the curvature tensor, namely the antisymmetriy in the first as well as the second pair of indices, and the ayalic property, which imply the symmetry under exchange of the first with the second pair of indices. In particular the cyclic property of $C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ follows from that of $\xi_{\mu\alpha\beta}$:

$$\xi_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \xi_{\alpha\beta\mu} + \xi_{\beta\mu\alpha} = 0$$

4.2 Regularity for the Acoustical Structure Equations as $\mu \to 0$

First we compute α_{AB}, which appears in the propagation equation of χ_{AB}. By definition,

$$\alpha_{AB} = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} X^{\mu}_{A} L^{\nu} X^{\alpha}_{B} L^{\beta} = R_{i0j0} X^{i}_{A} X^{j}_{B} + R_{ijkl} X^{i}_{A} L^{j} X^{k}_{B} L^{l} + R_{i0jk} X^{i}_{A} X^{j}_{B} L^{k} + R_{i0jk} X^{j}_{A} X^{i}_{B} L^{k}$$

From (3.106), the principal part of α_{AB} is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{[P]}_{AB} = R^{[P]}_{0i0j} X^{i}_{A} X^{j}_{B} &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh} D^{2}h(X_A, X_B) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}[X_A(X_B h) - (D_{X_A} X_B)h] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}[\not{D}^{2}h(X_A, X_B) - \alpha^{-1}\not{k}_{AB} Lh - \mu^{-1}\chi_{AB} Th] \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

So the singular term as $\mu \to 0$ in $\alpha^{[P]}_{AB}$ is

$$\frac{1}{2\mu}\frac{dH}{dh}\chi_{AB} T(h) \tag{4.24}$$

For the lower order term, we have:

$$\alpha^{[N]}_{AB} = \eta^{-2}\alpha^{[A]}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}\alpha^{[C]}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}H_{2}\alpha^{[B]}_{AB} \tag{4.25}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{[A]}_{AB} &= (w_{\mu\alpha}w_{\nu\beta} - w_{\mu\beta}w_{\nu\alpha}) X^{\mu}_{A} L^{\nu} X^{\alpha}_{B} L^{\beta} = \not{\psi}_{AB} w_{LL} - (\not{\psi}_{L})_{A}(\not{\psi}_{L})_{B} \\ \alpha^{[B]}_{AB} &= (\delta^{0}_{\mu}\tau_{\nu} - \delta^{0}_{\nu}\tau_{\mu})(\delta^{0}_{\alpha}\tau_{\beta} - \delta^{0}_{\beta}\tau_{\alpha}) X^{\mu}_{A} L^{\nu} X^{\alpha}_{B} L^{\beta} = \not{\tau}_{A}\not{\tau}_{B} \\ \alpha^{[C]}_{AB} &= (\tau_{\mu}\xi_{\nu\alpha\beta} - \tau_{\nu}\xi_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \tau_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta\mu\nu} - \tau_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha\mu\nu}) X^{\mu}_{A} L^{\nu} X^{\alpha}_{B} L^{\beta} = 2\tau_{L}\not{\psi}_{AB} - \not{\tau}_{A}(\not{\psi}_{L})_{B} - \not{\tau}_{B}(\not{\psi}_{L})_{A} \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

So $\alpha^{[C]}_{AB}$ is regular as $\mu \to 0$. The only singular term in α_{AB} is (4.24).

Recall the propagation equation for χ in chapter 3:

$$L\chi_{AB} = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)\chi_{AB} + \chi^{C}_{A}\chi_{BC} - \alpha_{AB} = e\chi_{AB} + \mu^{-1}m\chi_{AB} + \chi^{C}_{A}\chi_{BC} - \alpha_{AB} \tag{4.27}$$

We have used the propagation equation for μ

$$L\mu = m + \mu e \tag{4.28}$$

where

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}T(h), \quad e = \frac{1}{2\eta}\frac{d(\eta^{2})}{dh}L(h) + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{T}^{i}(L\psi_{i}) \tag{4.29}$$

Then we know that the right hand side of (4.27) is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$.

Next, we will analyze the regularity of the right hand side of Codazzi equation and Gauss equation.

Recall the Codazzi equation (3.51):

$$(\mathrm{d\not{i}v}\,\chi)_{A} - \not{d}_{A}\mathrm{tr}\chi = \beta^{*}_{A} - \mu^{-1}(\zeta_{B}\chi^{B}_{A} - \zeta_{A}\mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{4.30}$$

Here $\beta^{*}_{B} = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}\beta_{C}\epsilon_{AB}$

Recalling (3.54) and the definition of k_{ij}, we know that $\mu^{-1}\zeta_{A}$ is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore the regularity of the right hand side of (4.35) reduces to the regularity of

$$R(X_C, L, X_A, X_B) = \beta_{C}\epsilon_{AB} \tag{4.31}$$

We have:

$$\epsilon_{AB}\beta^{[P]}_{C} = R^{[P]}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} X^{\mu}_{C} L^{\nu} X^{\alpha}_{A} X^{\beta}_{B} = 0 \tag{4.32}$$

and:

$$\beta_A^{[N]} = \eta^{-2}\beta_A^{[A]} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}\beta_A^{[C]} - \frac{1}{2}H_2\beta_A^{[B]} \tag{4.33}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{AB}\beta_C^{[A]} &= \not\psi_{CA}(\not\psi_L)_B - \not\psi_{CB}(\not\psi_L)_A \\ \epsilon_{AB}\beta_C^{[B]} &= 0 \\ \epsilon_{AB}\beta_C^{[C]} &= -\not\tau_A\not\psi_{BC} + \not\tau_B\not\psi_{AC} \end{aligned}$$

So β_A is regular as $\mu \to 0$.

We turn to Gauss equation (3.36). Since

$$R_{ABCD} = \rho\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD} \tag{4.34}$$

it suffice to prove that R_{ABCD} is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$. We have:

$$R^{[P]}_{ABCD} = R^{[P]}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}X_A^\mu X_B^\nu X_C^\alpha X_D^\beta = 0 \tag{4.35}$$

and

$$R^{[N]}_{ABCD} = -\eta^{-2}R^{[A]}_{ABCD} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}R^{[C]}_{ABCD} - \frac{1}{2}H_2R^{[B]}_{ABCD} \tag{4.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^{[A]}_{ABCD} &= \not\psi_{AC}\not\psi_{BD} - \not\psi_{AD}\not\psi_{BC} \\ R^{[B]}_{ABCD} &= R^{[C]}_{ABCD} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

ρ is regular as $\mu \to 0$.

Finally, we shall discuss about the equation (3.125)-(3.126). As we have seen, $\mu^{-1}\zeta$ is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$. We shall thus discuss about γ_{AB}. We have:

$$\gamma^{[P]}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(R^{[P]}(X_A, T, X_B, L) + R^{[P]}(X_B, T, X_A, L)) \tag{4.37}$$

and

$$\gamma^{[N]}_{AB} = \eta^{-2}\gamma^{[A]}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}\gamma^{[C]}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}H_2\gamma^{[B]}_{AB} \tag{4.38}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{[A]}_{AB} &= \frac{\kappa}{2}(2\not\psi_{AB}w_{L\hat{T}} - (\not\psi_L)_A(\not\psi_{\hat{T}})_B - (\not\psi_L)_B(\not\psi_{\hat{T}})_A) \\ \gamma^{[B]}_{AB} &= 0 \\ \gamma^{[C]}_{AB} &= \frac{1}{2}(2\tau_T\not\psi_{AB} - \kappa\not\tau_A(\not\psi_{\hat{T}})_B - \kappa\not\tau_B(\not\psi_{\hat{T}})_A) \end{aligned}$$

γ_{AB} is regular as $\mu \to 0$.

Note that all the components of τ in the (L, T, X_1, X_2) frame i.e. $\tau_L, \tau_T, \not\tau_A$ are regular as $\mu \to 0$. Also all the components of w in the $(L, \hat{T}, X_1, X_2)$ frame i.e. $w_{LL}, w_{L\hat{T}}, (\not\psi_{\hat{T}})_A, \not\psi_{AB}$, except $w_{\hat{T}\hat{T}}$ are regular as $\mu \to 0$. The last is $\kappa^{-1}w_{T\hat{T}}$ and $w_{T\hat{T}}$ is regular as $\mu \to 0$.

4.3 A Remark

We end this chapter with a remark. By (4.15), we can see that if $H = const$, then the principal part of the acoustical curvature vanishes. This fact can be also obtained by the geometric interpretation of H in Chapter 2. By the Gauss equation:

$$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} - \tilde{k}_{\alpha\mu}\tilde{k}_{\beta\nu} + \tilde{k}_{\beta\mu}\tilde{k}_{\alpha\nu} = \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \tag{4.39}$$

where R and $\tilde{R}$ are the curvature tensor of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3, g)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$ respectively. And $\tilde{k}$ is the second fundamental form of $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{E}^3, g)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$, which contains only the first derivatives of the acoustical metric. Now since $(\mathbb{R}^{1+4}, \tilde{g})$ is a flat spacetime, R contains only the first derivative of the acoustical metric. I.e. The principal part of R vanishes.

Chapter 5

The Fundamental Energy Estimate

5.1 Bootstrap Assumptions. Statement of the Theorem

In this chapter we consider the wave equation

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

in a spacetime whose metric is the conformal acoustical metric $\tilde{g}$. As we have seen in Chapter 1, this equation is satisfied by a variation of the wave function ϕ through solutions. $\tilde{g}$ is related to the acoustical metric g by

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu} \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{\rho/\rho_0}{\eta/\eta_0} = \frac{\rho}{\eta} \tag{5.3}$$

where ρ_0 and η_0 are the mass density and sound speed corresponding to the constant state which we can both set equal to unity by appropriate choice of units. More generally, we consider the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi = \rho \tag{5.4}$$

where the metric $\tilde{g}$, ψ and ρ are all defined in the domain M_{ϵ_0} of the maximal solution.

In application to first order estimates we shall take each ψ to be one of:

$$\psi_1 = \partial_0\phi - h_0 = \psi_0; \quad \partial_i\phi : i = 1,2,3; \quad \Omega_{ij}\phi : i < j = 1,2,3; \quad S\phi. \tag{5.5}$$

Remember in Chapter 2 we have set:

$$h_0 = 0$$

We know that each ψ_1 vanishes in the exterior of the outgoing characteristic hypersurface C_0. In application to higher order estimates we shall take each ψ to be one of:

$$\psi_n = Y_{i_1}...Y_{i_{n-1}}\psi_1 \tag{5.6}$$

where ψ_1 is any one of the above first order variations and the indices $i_1...i_{n-1}$ take values in the set $1,2,3,4,5$, with $Y_i : i = 1,2,3,4,5$ the set of vectorfields to be discussed in the next chapter. Each of the ψ_n also vanishes in the exterior of C_0.

We shall therefore assume in general that ψ vanishes in the exterior of C_0. We may then confine attention to the spacetime domain W_{ϵ_0}. For any $s \in (0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$, we set:

$$W^s_{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{(t,u)\in[0,s]\times[0,\epsilon_0]} S_{t,u} \tag{5.7}$$

In particular we have:

$$\bigcup_{s\in(0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} W^s_{\epsilon_0} = W^*_{\epsilon_0} \tag{5.8}$$

Everything which follows depends on the geometric construction in Chapter 2. We note that the characteristic hypersurfaces C_u depend only on the conformal class of the metric g. Thus, the 2-parameter foliation of $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ given by the surfaces $S_{t,u}$ likewise depends only on the conformal class of g. The geometric properties of this foliation may be referred either to g or to the conformal metric $\tilde{g}$. Since we are studying the wave equation in the metric $\tilde{g}$, it would appear more natural if we refer these properties to $\tilde{g}$. However, our bootstrap argument is constructed relative to g, and we introduce additional assumptions referring to the conformal factor Ω.

The bootstrap assumptions are the followings:

There is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A1} &: C^{-1} \leq \Omega \leq C \\
\mathbf{A2} &: C^{-1} \leq \eta \leq C \\
\mathbf{A3} &: \mu \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B1} &: C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1} \leq \nu \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \\
\mathbf{B2} &: |\underline{\nu}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \\
\mathbf{B3} &: |\hat{\chi}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \\
\mathbf{B4} &: |\hat{\underline{\chi}}| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-6}
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}\chi = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi + L\log\Omega) \tag{5.9}$$

$$\underline{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}\underline{\chi} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} + \underline{L}\log\Omega) \tag{5.10}$$

$\hat{\chi}$ and $\hat{\underline{\chi}}$ are the trace-free part of χ and $\underline{\chi}$ respectively, and the pointwise norms of the tensors on $S_{t,u}$ are with respect to the induced metric $\not{g}$.

Next, we have the assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B5} &: |L\log\Omega| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \\
\mathbf{B6} &: |\underline{L}\log\Omega| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-6}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B7} &: |\zeta+\eta| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\
\mathbf{B8} &: |\not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\
\mathbf{B9} &: |L\eta| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \\
\mathbf{B10} &: |L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 \\
\mathbf{B11} &: |\underline{L}(\eta^{-1}\kappa)| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2}
\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B12} &: |L\nu+\nu^2| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \\
\mathbf{B13} &: |\underline{L}\nu| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 \\
\mathbf{B14} &: |\not{d}\nu| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

The next set of bootsrap assumptions concern the behavior of the function μ. In the following we denote by f_+ and f_- respectively the positive and negative parts of the function f:

$$f_+ = \max{(f(x), 0)}, f_- = \min{(f(x), 0)} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\mathbf{C1} : \mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+ \leq (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1} + A(t)$$

where $A(t)$ is a nonnegative function such that:

$$\int_0^s A(t)dt \leq C \tag{5.12}$$

(C is independent of s.)

$$\mathbf{C2} : \mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu)_+ \leq B(t)$$

where $B(t)$ is a nonnegative function such that

$$\int_0^s (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 B(t)dt \leq C \tag{5.13}$$

(C is also independent of s).

Moreover, denoting by $\mathcal{U}$ the region

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x \in W^*_{\epsilon_0} : \mu < 1/4\} \tag{5.14}$$

we have:

$$\mathbf{C3} : L\mu \leq -C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1}$$

in $\mathcal{U} \bigcap W^s_{\epsilon_0}$

The final set of bootstrap assumptions concerns the existence of a function ω verifying the following conditions:

$$\mathbf{D1} : C^{-1}(1+t) \leq \omega \leq C(1+t)$$
$$\mathbf{D2} : |L\omega - \nu\omega| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2}$$
$$\mathbf{D3} : |\underline{L}\omega| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^3$$
$$\mathbf{D4} : |\not{d}\omega| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\mathbf{D5} : \int_0^s \{\int_0^{\epsilon_0} \sup_{S_{t,u}}(\mu|\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega|)du\}dt \leq C[1+\log(1+s)]^4$$

Here we note that by (5.3) **A1** is equivalent to the following modulo **A2**:

$$\mathbf{A1'} : C^{-1} \leq \rho \leq C$$

The main theorem in this chapter is

Theorem 5.1 Let ψ be a solution of the wave equation associated to the metric $\tilde{g}$, defined in M_{ϵ_0} and vanishing in the exterior of C_0. Suppose that assumptions **A1** $-$ **A3**, **B1** $-$ **B14**, **C1** $-$ **C3**, **D1** $-$ **D5** hold in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, for some $s \in (0, t_{*\epsilon_0}]$. Let us denote:

$$D_0 = \int_0^{\epsilon_0} \{\int_{S_{0,u}} [(L\psi)^2 + (\underline{L}\psi)^2 + |\not{d}\psi|^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}du. \tag{5.15}$$

Then there exist constants C independent of s such that:

$$\textbf{(i)}\ \sup_{t\in[0,s]}\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\{\int_{S_{t,u}}[\mu(1+\mu)((L\psi)^2+|\not{d}\psi|^2)+(\underline{L}\psi)^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}du\leq CD_0$$

$$\textbf{(ii)}\ \int_{S_{t,u}}\psi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}\leq C\epsilon_0 D_0$$

$$\textbf{(iii)}\ \sup_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]}\int_0^{s}\{\int_{S_{t,u}}[(1+\mu)(L\psi)^2+\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}dt\leq CD_0$$

$$\textbf{(iv)}\ \sup_{t\in[0,s]}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}(1+t)^2\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\{\int_{S_{t,u}}\mu[(L\psi+\nu\psi)^2+|\not{d}\psi|^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}du\leq CD_0$$

$$\textbf{(v)}\ \sup_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\int_0^{s}(1+t)^2\{\int_{S_{t,u}}(L\psi+\nu\psi)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}\}dt\leq CD_0$$

$$\textbf{(vi)}\ \int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcup W^s_{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1}|\not{d}\psi|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}du dt\leq CD_0[1+\log(1+s)]^4$$

5.2 The Multiplier Fields K_0 and K_1. The Associated Energy-Momentum Density Vectorfields

We begin with the energy-momentum-stress tensor $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ associated to the function ψ through the metric $\tilde{g}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}&:=\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\kappa\lambda}\partial_\kappa\psi\partial_\lambda\psi\\&=\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(g^{-1})^{\kappa\lambda}\partial_\kappa\psi\partial_\lambda\psi=T_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

We have:

$$\tilde{D}^\mu\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}:=(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\lambda}\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{T}_{\lambda\nu}=\partial_\nu\psi\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi$$

where $\tilde{D}$ is the covariant derivative operator associated to the metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, for a solution of (5.1), $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is divergence-free with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}$, while for a solution of the inhomogeneous wave equation (5.4),

$$\tilde{D}^\mu\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}=\rho\partial_\nu\psi\tag{5.17}$$

We consider the future-directed, time-like vectorfield K_0:

$$K_0=(1+\alpha^{-1}\kappa)L+\underline{L}\tag{5.18}$$

Also, given a function ω satisfying **D1-D5** we consider the future-directed, null vectorfield K_1:

$$K_1=(\omega/\nu)L\tag{5.19}$$

At this point, we discuss why we choose these two multiplier fields. A multiplier should be non-spacelike therefore a linear combination of L and $\underline{L}$. Since we shall integrate by parts, the coefficient of L and $\underline{L}$ should be smooth. When we study the wave equation in flat spacetime, we always choose the time translation field ∂_t as a multiplier. So in this case we also try to use the time translation $B=L+\alpha\kappa^{-1}T=\frac{1}{2}(L+\alpha^2\hat{\underline{L}})$ where

$$\hat{\underline{L}}=\mu^{-1}\underline{L}$$

We can see that the coefficient of $\underline{L}$ in B is not smooth as $\mu\to 0$. On the other hand, before we set $\eta_0=1$, L and $\underline{L}$ have different physical dimensions, L being $\frac{1}{time}$ and $\underline{L}$ being $\frac{1}{length}$. However, after we set $\eta_0 = 1$, the unit of time is the time required for sound to travel the unit length in the constant state. Therefore time is the length and L, $\underline{L}$ are both $\frac{1}{length}$. So it is very natual to choose $L + \underline{L}$ as multiplier instead of B. To deal with the growth of μ, we need to plus the term $\alpha^{-1}\kappa L$. This is how we choose K_0.

Concerning the choice of K_1, we remind you the generator of the inverted translation in Minkowski spacetime:

$$K = (u^2\underline{L} + \underline{u}^2 L)$$

where

$$L = \partial_t + \partial_r \quad \underline{L} = \partial_t - \partial_r$$

and

$$\underline{u} = t + r \quad u = t - r$$

Now at the present case, we want to choose a similar vectorfield. The analogue of $t-r$ is our acoustical function u, which is bounded in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. While when t is large, $\underline{u} \sim t$. Since the first term in the expression for K is contained in K_0, so by **B1** and **D1**, it is reasonale to choose:

$$K_1 = (\omega/\nu)L$$

We will see later more clearly why we exactly choose this form.

We denote by $\tilde{\pi}_0$ and $\tilde{\pi}_1$ the Lie derivatives of the conformal metric $\tilde{g}$ with respect to the vectorfields K_0 and K_1:

$$\tilde{\pi}_0 = \mathcal{L}_{K_0}\tilde{g}, \tilde{\pi}_1 = \mathcal{L}_{K_1}\tilde{g} \tag{5.20}$$

To K_0 we associate the vectorfield

$$\tilde{P}^\mu_0 = -\tilde{T}^\mu_\nu K^\nu_0 \tag{5.21}$$

where

$$\tilde{T}^\mu_\nu = (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\kappa}T_{\kappa\nu}$$

To K_1 we associate the vectorfield

$$\tilde{P}^\mu_1 = -\tilde{T}^\mu_\nu K^\nu_1 - (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(\omega\psi\partial_\nu\psi - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\partial_\nu\omega) \tag{5.22}$$

Let

$$\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{T}^\mu_\lambda = (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\kappa}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\kappa\lambda} \tag{5.23}$$

For any vectorfield X, we have , by the virtue of the symmetry of $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$,

$$\tilde{D}_\mu(\tilde{T}^\mu_\nu X^\nu) = (\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{T}^\mu_\nu)X^\nu + \tilde{T}^{\mu\lambda}(\tilde{g}_{\lambda\nu}\tilde{D}_\mu X^\nu) \tag{5.24}$$

$$= \rho X\psi + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^{\mu\lambda}(\tilde{g}_{\lambda\nu}\tilde{D}_\mu X^\nu + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{D}_\lambda X^\nu) = \rho X\psi + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{L}_X\tilde{g}_{\mu\lambda}$$

Thus, we have

$$\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{P}^\mu_0 = -\rho K_0\psi - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\pi}_{0,\mu\nu} := \tilde{Q}_0 \tag{5.25}$$

Also, we have

$$\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{P}^\mu_1 = -\rho(K_1\psi + \omega\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\pi}_{1,\mu\nu} - \omega(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi + \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega$$

Taking into account the fact that:

$$\mathrm{tr}\tilde{T} := \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu} = -(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi\partial_\nu\psi$$

and introducing:

$$\tilde{\pi}_1' = \tilde{\pi}_1 - 2\omega\tilde{g} \tag{5.26}$$

we can write the above in the form

$$\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{P}_1^\mu = -\rho(K_1\psi + \omega\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega := \tilde{Q}_1 \tag{5.27}$$

Consider now the equation

$$\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{P}^\mu = \tilde{Q} \tag{5.28}$$

for an arbitrary vectorfield $\tilde{P}$ and function $\tilde{Q}$. In arbitrary local coordinates we have

$$\tilde{D}_\mu\tilde{P}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det\tilde{g}}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-\det\tilde{g}}\tilde{P}^\mu) = \frac{1}{\Omega^2\sqrt{-\det g}}\partial_\mu(\Omega^2\sqrt{-\det g}\tilde{P}^\mu) = \Omega^{-2}D_\mu P^\mu$$

where

$$P^\mu = \Omega^2\tilde{P}^\mu \tag{5.29}$$

Hence, with

$$Q = \Omega^2\tilde{Q} \tag{5.30}$$

equation (5.28) is equivalent to the equation:

$$D_\mu P^\mu = Q \tag{5.31}$$

We may express (5.31) in acoustical coordinates $(t, u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$. Expanding P in the associated coordinate frame field,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^2}\right)$$

$$P = P^t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + P^u\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \sum_A (P^\vartheta)^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}$$

and noting that, by (2.41), we have

$$\sqrt{-\det g} = \mu\sqrt{\det \not{g}} \tag{5.32}$$

equation (5.31) takes the form:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}}}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mu\sqrt{\det\not{g}}P^t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\mu\sqrt{\det\not{g}}P^u)\right\} + \not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}M = \mu Q \tag{5.33}$$

where M is the vectorfield on S^2:

$$M = \mu\sum_A (P^\vartheta)^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}$$

This follows from the fact that

$$\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}(\sqrt{\det\not{g}}M^A)$$

We integrate (5.33) on S^2 with respect to the measure

$$d\mu_{\not{g}} = \sqrt{\det\not{g}}d\vartheta^1 d\vartheta^2 \tag{5.34}$$

to obtain the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_{S_{t,u}} \mu P^t d\mu_{\not g}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\int_{S_{t,u}} \mu P^u d\mu_{\not g}\right) = \int_{S_{t,u}} \mu Q d\mu_{\not g} \tag{5.35}$$

Replacing (t,u) by (t',u') and integrating with respect to (t',u') on $[0,t]\times[0,u]$, we obtain, under the hypothesis that P vanishes in the closure of the exterior of C_0.

$$\mathcal{E}^u(t) - \mathcal{E}^u(0) + \mathcal{F}^t(u) = \int_{W_u^t} Q d\mu_g \tag{5.36}$$

Here $\mathcal{E}^u$ is the “energy”:

$$\mathcal{E}^u(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} \mu P^t d\mu_{\not g} du' = \int_0^u \left(\int_{S_{t,u'}} \mu P^t d\mu_{\not g}\right) du' \tag{5.37}$$

and $\mathcal{F}^t$ is the “flux”:

$$\mathcal{F}^t(u) = \int_{C_u^t} \mu P^u d\mu_{\not g} dt' = \int_0^t \left(\int_{S_{t',u}} \mu P^u d\mu_{\not g}\right) dt' \tag{5.38}$$

In obtaining (5.36) we have used the fact that

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q d\mu_g = \int\int_{[0,t]\times[0,u]} \left(\int_{S_{t',u'}} \mu Q d\mu_{\not g}\right) du' dt' \tag{5.39}$$

In the above, Σ_t^u is the annular region:

$$\Sigma_t^u = \bigcup_{u'\in[0,u]} S_{t,u'} \tag{5.40}$$

in the space-like hyperplane Σ_t, C_u^t is the characteristic hypersurface C_u truncated from above by Σ_t:

$$C_u^t = \bigcup_{t'\in[0,t]} S_{t',u} \tag{5.41}$$

and W_u^t is the spacetime domain:

$$W_u^t = \bigcup_{(t',u')\in[0,t]\times[0,u]} S_{t',u'} \tag{5.42}$$

bounded by the characteristic hypersurfaces C_u and C_0 and the space-like hypersurfaces Σ_t and Σ_0.

Now we expand P in the frame field $(L, \underline{L}, X_1, X_2)$:

$$P = P^L L + P^{\underline{L}} \underline{L} + \sum_A P^A X_A$$

From the conclusion of Chapter 2 and Chapter 3:

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{5.43}$$

$$\underline{L} = \eta^{-1}\kappa\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \Xi^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}\right) \tag{5.44}$$

$$X_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A} \tag{5.45}$$

By direct calculations, we get

$$P^t = P^L + \eta^{-1}\kappa P^{\underline{L}} \tag{5.46}$$

$$P^u = 2P^{\underline{L}} \tag{5.47}$$

$$(P^{\vartheta})^A = P^A - 2P^{\underline{L}}\Xi^A \tag{5.48}$$

We shall write down the expressions for the energy and flux integrals corresponding to P_0 and P_1.

We begin with the components of the energy-momentum-stress tensor in the null frame $(L, \underline{L}, X_1, X_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{LL} = (L\psi)^2, \quad T_{\underline{L}\underline{L}} = (\underline{L}\psi)^2, \quad T_{L\underline{L}} = \mu|\not{d}\psi|^2 \\ T_{LA} = (L\psi)(\not{d}_A\psi), \quad T_{\underline{L}A} = (\underline{L}\psi)(\not{d}_A\psi) \\ T_{AB} = (\not{d}_A\psi)(\not{d}_B\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\not{g}_{AB}(-\mu^{-1}(L\psi)(\underline{L}\psi) + |\not{d}\psi|^2) \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

Here, $\not{d}_A\psi = X_A\psi$, and the pointwise norms of tensors on $S_{t,u}$ are with respect to the induced metric $\not{g}$.

We also give the components of the tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. From (3.130), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{LL} = \frac{(\underline{L}\psi)^2}{4\mu^2}, \quad T^{\underline{L}\underline{L}} = \frac{(L\psi)^2}{4\mu^2}, \quad T^{L\underline{L}} = \frac{|\not{d}\psi|^2}{4\mu} \\ T^{LA} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}(\underline{L}\psi)(\not{d}^A\psi), \quad T^{\underline{L}A} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}(L\psi)(\not{d}^A\psi) \\ T^{AB} = \frac{1}{2\mu}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(L\psi)(\underline{L}\psi) + \{(\not{d}^A\psi)(\not{d}^B\psi) - \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}|\not{d}\psi|^2\} \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

where $\not{d}^A\psi = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{d}_B\psi$

We consider P_0. From (5.66) and (5.54) we have

$$P_0^\mu = \Omega^2\tilde{P}_0^\mu = -\Omega^2\tilde{T}^\mu_\nu K_0^\nu = -\Omega T^\mu_\nu K_0^\nu \tag{5.51}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^{\underline{L}} = -\Omega T^{\underline{L}}_L(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa) - \Omega T^{\underline{L}}_{\underline{L}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}((1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)T_{LL} + T_{L\underline{L}}) \\ P_0^L = -\Omega T^L_L(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa) - \Omega T^L_{\underline{L}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}((1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)T_{L\underline{L}} + T_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}) \end{aligned}$$

By (3.91), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^{\underline{L}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}((1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi|^2) \\ P_0^L = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}((1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2 + (\underline{L}\psi)^2) \end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

So we get

$$\mathcal{E}_0^u(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t^u}\frac{\Omega}{2}\{\eta^{-1}\kappa(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi)^2 + (\underline{L}\psi)^2 + (1+2\eta^{-1}\kappa)\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}d\mu_{\not{g}}du' \tag{5.53}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_0^t(u) = \int_{C_u^t}\Omega\{(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}d\mu_{\not{g}}dt' \tag{5.54}$$

We now consider P_1. By some similar calculation we have:

$$P_1^{\mu} = -\Omega\{T^{\mu}_{\nu}K_1^{\nu} + (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(\omega\psi\partial_{\nu}\psi - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\partial_{\nu}\omega)\} \tag{5.55}$$

Then we get

$$P_1^{\underline{L}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}\{\omega\nu^{-1}T_{LL} + \omega\psi(L\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(L\omega)\}$$
$$P_1^{L} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}\{\omega\nu^{-1}T_{L\underline{L}} + \omega\psi(\underline{L}\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(\underline{L}\omega)\}$$

Then from (5.49) we get

$$P_1^{\underline{L}} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}\{\omega\nu^{-1}(L\psi)^2 + \omega\psi(L\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(L\omega)\} \tag{5.56}$$
$$P_1^{L} = \frac{\Omega}{2\mu}\{\omega\nu^{-1}\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2 + \omega\psi(\underline{L}\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(\underline{L}\omega)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_1^u(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t^u}\frac{\Omega}{2}\{\omega\nu^{-1}[\eta^{-1}\kappa(L\psi)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi|^2] + \omega\psi[\eta^{-1}\kappa(L\psi) + (\underline{L}\psi)] \tag{5.57}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\psi^2[\alpha^{-1}\kappa(L\omega) + (\underline{L}\omega)]\}d\mu_{\not{g}}du'$$
$$\mathcal{F}_1^t(u) = \int_{C_u^t}\Omega\{\omega\nu^{-1}(L\psi)^2 + \omega\psi(L\psi) - \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(L\omega)\}d\mu_{\not{g}}dt' \tag{5.58}$$

We actually define the flux integral associated to the vectorfield K_1 to be:

$$\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) = \int_{C_u^t}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(L\psi + \nu\psi)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt' \tag{5.59}$$

By a direct calculation, we deduce:

$$\mathcal{F}_1^t(u) - \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) = -\int_{C_u^t}\frac{1}{2}\Omega[L(\omega\psi^2) + 2\nu\omega\psi^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}dt' \tag{5.60}$$

Now we have

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L d\mu_{\not{g}} = \mathrm{tr}\chi d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{5.61}$$

In view of (5.9), we have for an arbitrary function f defined in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\int_{S_{t,u}}\Omega f d\mu_{\not{g}}) = \int_{S_{t,u}}\Omega(Lf + 2\nu f)d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{5.62}$$

Setting $f = \frac{1}{2}\omega\psi^2$, we have from (5.60),

$$\mathcal{F}_1^t(u) - \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) = -\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}(\int_{S_{t',u}}\frac{1}{2}\Omega\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})dt' \tag{5.63}$$
$$= -\int_{S_{t,u}}\frac{1}{2}\Omega\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} + \int_{S_{0,u}}\frac{1}{2}\Omega\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}$$

Consider next the energy integral (5.57)-(5.58). We actually define the energy integral associated to the vectorfield K_1 to be:

$$\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t^u}\frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\nu^{-1}\{\eta^{-1}\kappa(L\psi + \nu\psi)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}d\mu_{\not{g}}du' \tag{5.64}$$

Taking into account the fact that,

$$\underline{L} - \eta^{-1}\kappa L = 2T \tag{5.65}$$

we find:

$$\mathcal{E}_1^u(t) - \mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{\Omega}{2}\{2\omega\psi(T\psi) - \frac{1}{2}[\eta^{-1}\kappa L\omega + \underline{L}\omega + 2\eta^{-1}\kappa\nu\omega]\psi^2\}d\mu_{\not g}du' \tag{5.66}$$

From the definition of θ, we have

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T d\mu_{\not g} = \kappa \mathrm{tr}\theta d\mu_{\not g} \tag{5.67}$$

From (5.67), we know that for an arbitrary function f defined in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\int_{S_{t,u}} \Omega f d\mu_{\not g}) = \int_{S_{t,u}} \not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\Omega f d\mu_{\not g}) = \int_{S_{t,u}} \Omega\{Tf + [\kappa\mathrm{tr}\theta + T(\log\Omega)]f\}d\mu_{\not g} \tag{5.68}$$

Setting $f = \frac{1}{2}\omega\psi^2$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{\Omega}{2}\{2\omega\psi(T\psi) + (T\omega)\psi^2 + [\kappa\mathrm{tr}\theta + T(\log\Omega)]\omega\psi^2\}d\mu_{\not g}du' \\ &= \int_0^u \frac{\partial}{\partial u'}(\int_{S_{t,u'}} \frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g})du' = \int_{S_{t,u}} \frac{1}{2}\Omega\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g}\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that ψ vanishes on $S_{t,0} \subset C_0$. Comparing this with (5.66) and using (5.65), we get:

$$\mathcal{E}_1^u(t) - \mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) = \int_{S_{t,u}} \frac{1}{2}\Omega\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g} - I \tag{5.69}$$

where

$$I = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega\{2T\omega + \eta^{-1}\kappa[L\omega + (\nu + \eta\mathrm{tr}\theta)\omega] + \omega T(\log\Omega)\}\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g}du'$$

Taking into account the fact that:

$$\mathrm{tr}\chi = \eta(\mathrm{tr}\not k - \mathrm{tr}\theta), \quad \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} = \kappa(\mathrm{tr}\not k + \mathrm{tr}\theta) \tag{5.70}$$

we have

$$\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} = \eta^{-1}\kappa[\mathrm{tr}\chi + 2\eta\mathrm{tr}\theta] \tag{5.71}$$

Then we get

$$I = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g}du'$$

So (5.69) takes the form

$$\mathcal{E}_1^u(t) - \mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) = \int_{S_{t,u}} \frac{1}{2}\Omega\omega\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g} - \int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g}du' \tag{5.72}$$

Substituting this and (5.63) into (5.36), we get

$$\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) + \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g}du' - \int_{\Sigma_0^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2 d\mu_{\not g}du' + \mathcal{E}_1'^u(0) + \int_{W_u^t} Q_1 d\mu_g \tag{5.73}$$

Also for K_0 we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0^u(t) + \mathcal{F}_0^t(u) = \mathcal{E}_0^u(0) + \int_{W_u^t} Q_0 d\mu_g \tag{5.74}$$

Obviously, by **A1** and **A2** there is a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t) \leq \int_0^u \{\int_{S_{t,u'}} [\mu(1+\mu)((L\psi)^2 + |\not{d}\psi|^2) + (\underline{L}\psi)^2] d\mu_{\not{g}}\} du' \leq C\mathcal{E}_0^u(t) \tag{5.75}$$

and

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{F}_0^t(u) \leq \int_0^t \{\int_{S_{t',u}} [(1+\mu)(L\psi)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi|^2] d\mu_{\not{g}}\} dt' \leq C\mathcal{F}_0^t(u) \tag{5.76}$$

By **A1**, **A2** and **B1**, **D1** there is a positive constant C such that:

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) \leq (1+t)^2 \int_0^u \{\int_{S_{t,u'}} \mu[(L\psi + \nu\psi)^2 + |\not{d}\psi|^2] d\mu_{\not{g}}\} du' \leq C\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) \tag{5.77}$$

and

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) \leq \int_0^t (1+t')^2 \{\int_{S_{t',u}} (L\psi + \nu\psi)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}\} dt' \leq C\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) \tag{5.78}$$

Lemma 5.1 There is a numerical constant C such that for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \psi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq \epsilon_0 C\mathcal{E}_0^u(t)$$

Proof. We shall use the acoustical coordinates $(t, u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$. Now on a given hyperplane Σ_t we may set $\Xi = 0$, then on this Σ_t we have

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

hence, in view of the fact that ψ vanishes on C_0,

$$\psi(t, u, \vartheta) = \int_0^u (T\psi)(t, u', \vartheta) du' \tag{5.79}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{t,u}} \psi^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} &= \int_{S^2} \psi^2(t, u, \vartheta) d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}(t, u, \vartheta) \\ &= \int_{S^2} \{\int_0^u (T\psi)(t, u', \vartheta) du'\}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}(t, u, \vartheta) \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 \int_{S^2} \{\int_0^u (T\psi)^2(t, u', \vartheta) du'\} d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}(t, u, \vartheta) \end{aligned} \tag{5.80}$$

In view of the fact $\tilde{\not{g}} = \Omega\not{g}$,

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = \Omega\not{\mathcal{L}}_T d\mu_{\not{g}} + T(\Omega) d\mu_{\not{g}} = (\kappa \mathrm{tr}\theta + T \log\Omega) d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{5.81}$$

From (5.9) and (5.10) we have

$$\kappa \mathrm{tr}\theta + T \log\Omega = -\eta^{-1}\kappa\nu + \underline{\nu} \tag{5.82}$$

Thus

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = (-\eta^{-1}\kappa\nu + \underline{\nu}) d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{5.83}$$

From **B1**, **B2** and **A3**, **A2** we have

$$|-\eta^{-1}\kappa\nu + \underline{\nu}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)]^4 \leq C' \tag{5.84}$$

Integrate (5.83) along T on Σ_t and using the fact $\epsilon_0 \le \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$C^{-1} \le \frac{d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}(t,u,\vartheta)}}{d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}(t,0,\vartheta)}} \le C \tag{5.85}$$

for all $(u,\vartheta) \in [0,\epsilon_0] \times S^2$. Then (5.80) is bounded by

$$C\epsilon_0 \int_0^u \{\int_{S^2} (T\psi)^2(t,u',\vartheta)\, d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}(t,u',\vartheta)\} du' \le C\epsilon_0 \int_0^u \{\int_{S_{t,u'}} (T\psi)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}\} du' \tag{5.86}$$

Obviously

$$(T\psi)^2 \le C[(\underline{L}\psi)^2 + \eta^{-2}\kappa^2(L\psi)^2] \tag{5.87}$$

The result follows. $\square$

We now introduce the following quantities which are non-decreasing functions of t at each u:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) = \sup_{t' \in [0,t]} \mathcal{E}_0^u(t') \tag{5.88}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_0^t(u) \tag{5.89}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) = \sup_{t' \in [0,t]} [1 + \log(1+t')]^{-4} \mathcal{E}_1'^u(t') \tag{5.90}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u) = \sup_{t' \in [0,t]} [1 + \log(1+t')]^{-4} \mathcal{F}_1'^{t'}(u) \tag{5.91}$$

Obviously, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t)$ are also non-decreasing functions of u at each t. The statements (**i**) – (**v**) of **Theorem 5.1** is equivalent to

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^{\epsilon_0}(s), \sup_{u \in [0,\epsilon_0]} \mathcal{F}_0^s(u), \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^{\epsilon_0}(s), \sup_{u \in [0,\epsilon_0]} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^s(u) \le CD_0 \tag{5.92}$$

In view of (5.73) and (5.74), to prove the theorem we must estimate properly the spacetime integrals

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_0 d\mu_g, \quad \int_{W_u^t} Q_1 d\mu_g \tag{5.93}$$

in terms of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t), \mathcal{F}_0^t(u), \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t), \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u)$. When we estimate the error spacetime integral we shall see the reason why we allow the growth like $[1 + \log(1+t)]^4$ for $\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u)$

5.3 The Error Integrals

From (5.25) and (5.30), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= -\Omega^2 \rho K_0 \psi - \frac{1}{2} T^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\pi}_{0,\mu\nu} \qquad (5.94) \\ &= Q_{0,0} + Q_{0,1} + Q_{0,2} + Q_{0,3} + Q_{0,4} + Q_{0,5} + Q_{0,6} + Q_{0,7} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_{0,0} = -\Omega^2 \rho K_0 \psi \tag{5.95}$$

$$Q_{0,1} = -\frac{1}{2} T^{LL} \tilde{\pi}_{0,LL} = -\frac{1}{8} \mu^{-2} (\underline{L}\psi)^2 \tilde{\pi}_{0,LL} \tag{5.96}$$

$$Q_{0,2} = -\frac{1}{2} T^{\underline{L}\underline{L}} \tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{L}\underline{L}} = -\frac{1}{8} \mu^{-2} (L\psi)^2 \tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{L}\underline{L}} \tag{5.97}$$

$$Q_{0,3} = -T^{L\underline{L}} \tilde{\pi}_{0,L\underline{L}} = -\frac{1}{4} \mu^{-1} |\not{d}\psi|^2 \tilde{\pi}_{0,L\underline{L}} \tag{5.98}$$

$$Q_{0,4} = -T^{LA} \tilde{\pi}_{0,LA} = \frac{1}{2} \mu^{-1} (\underline{L}\psi)(\not{d}^A \psi) \tilde{\pi}_{0,LA} \tag{5.99}$$

$$Q_{0,5} = -T^{\underline{L}A} \tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{L}A} = \frac{1}{2} \mu^{-1} (L\psi)(\not{d}^A \psi) \tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{L}A} \tag{5.100}$$

and,

$$-\frac{1}{2}T^{AB}\tilde{\pi}_{0,AB} = Q_{0,6} + Q_{0,7}$$

where

$$Q_{0,6} = -\frac{1}{2}\{\not{d}^A\psi\not{d}^B\psi - \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}|\not{d}\psi|^2\}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}_{0,AB} \tag{5.101}$$

$$Q_{0,7} = -\frac{1}{4}\mu^{-1}(L\psi)(\underline{L}\psi)\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_0 \tag{5.102}$$

Here $\tilde{\not{\pi}}_0$ denotes the restriction to $S_{t,u}$ of $\tilde{\pi}_0$, and $\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}_0$ denotes the trace-free part of $\tilde{\not{\pi}}_0$ and we have made use of the trace-free nature of

$$\not{d}^A\psi\not{d}^B\psi - \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}|\not{d}\psi|^2$$

We have

$$\tilde{\pi}_0 = \Omega\pi_0 + (K_0\Omega)g$$

Using (3.131)-(3.138) we have

$$\tilde{\pi}_{0,LL} = 0 \tag{5.103}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{L}\underline{L}} = -4\Omega\mu\{\underline{L}(\eta^{-1}\kappa) - (\eta_0^{-1} + \alpha^{-1}\kappa)L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)\} \tag{5.104}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{0,L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega\mu\{\mu^{-1}(K_0\mu) + (K_0\log\Omega) + 2L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)\} \tag{5.105}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{0,LA} = -2\Omega(\zeta_A + \eta_A) \tag{5.106}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{L}A} = 2\Omega\{(\eta_0^{-1} + \alpha^{-1}\kappa)(\zeta_A + \eta_A) - \mu\not{d}_A(\eta^{-1}\kappa)\} \tag{5.107}$$

$$\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}_{0,AB} = 2\Omega\{(\eta_0^{-1} + \eta^{-1}\kappa)\hat{\chi}_{AB} + \underline{\hat{\chi}}_{AB}\} \tag{5.108}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_0 = 4\Omega\{(\eta_0^{-1} + \eta^{-1}\kappa)\nu + \underline{\nu}\} \tag{5.109}$$

From (5.27) and (5.30) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -\Omega^2\rho K_1\psi - \Omega^2\rho\omega\psi - \frac{1}{2}T^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega^2\psi^2\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega \\ &= Q_{1,0} + Q_{1,1} + Q_{1,2} + Q_{1,3} + Q_{1,4} + Q_{1,5} + Q_{1,6} + Q_{1,7} + Q_{1,8} \end{aligned} \tag{5.110}$$

where

$$Q_{1,0} = -\Omega^2\rho K_1\psi - \Omega^2\rho\omega\psi \tag{5.111}$$

$$Q_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{2}T^{LL}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,LL} = -\frac{1}{8}\mu^{-2}(\underline{L}\psi)^2\tilde{\pi}'_{1,LL} \tag{5.112}$$

$$Q_{1,2} = -\frac{1}{2}T^{\underline{L}\underline{L}}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}\underline{L}} = -\frac{1}{8}\mu^{-2}(L\psi)^2\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}\underline{L}} \tag{5.113}$$

$$Q_{1,3} = -T^{L\underline{L}}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,L\underline{L}} = -\frac{1}{4}\mu^{-1}|\not{d}\psi|^2\tilde{\pi}'_{1,L\underline{L}} \tag{5.114}$$

$$Q_{1,4} = -T^{LA}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,LA} = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}(\underline{L}\psi)(\not{d}^A\psi)\tilde{\pi}'_{1,LA} \tag{5.115}$$

$$Q_{1,5} = -T^{\underline{L}A}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}A} = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{-1}(L\psi)(\not{d}^A\psi)\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}A} \tag{5.116}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}T^{AB}\tilde{\pi}'_{1,AB} = Q_{1,6} + Q_{1,7}$$

where

$$Q_{1,6} = -\frac{1}{2}\{\not{d}^A\psi\not{d}^B\psi - \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}|\not{d}\psi|^2\}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}'_{1,AB} \tag{5.117}$$

$$Q_{1,7} = -\frac{1}{4}\mu^{-1}(L\psi)(\underline{L}\psi)\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}'_1 \tag{5.118}$$

Also,

$$Q_{1,8} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega^2\psi^2\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega \tag{5.119}$$

The symbols are similar as (5.101)-(5.102).

Since $\tilde{\pi}_1 = \Omega\pi_1 + (K_1\Omega)g$, we have $\tilde{\pi}'_1 = \Omega\{\pi_1 + (K_1\log\Omega - 2\omega)g\}$. So we get the following:

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,LL} = 0 \tag{5.120}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}\underline{L}} = -4\Omega\mu\{\underline{L}(\nu^{-1}\omega) - \nu^{-1}\omega L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)\} \tag{5.121}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega\mu\{\mu^{-1}K_1\mu + L(\nu^{-1}\omega) - 2\omega + K_1\log\Omega\} \tag{5.122}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,LA} = 0 \tag{5.123}$$

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}A} = 2\Omega\{\nu^{-1}\omega(\zeta_A + \eta_A) - \mu\not{d}_A(\nu^{-1}\omega)\} \tag{5.124}$$

$$\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}'_{1,AB} = 2\Omega\nu^{-1}\omega\hat{\chi}_{AB} \tag{5.125}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}'_1 = 0 \tag{5.126}$$

At this point, we can see more clearly why we choose

$$K_1 = (\omega/\nu)L$$

To see this, let us first set:

$$K_1 = fL$$

where f is a function to be determined.

Recall (5.26):

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,AB} = \tilde{\pi}_{1,AB} - 2\omega\tilde{\not{g}}_{AB}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\pi}_{1,AB} = f\{\tilde{g}(D_AL, e_B) + \tilde{g}(D_BL, e_A)\} &= 2f\tilde{\chi}_{AB}\\ &= 2f\hat{\tilde{\chi}}_{AB} + f\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\chi}\tilde{\not{g}}_{AB}\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\chi} = 2\nu$$

we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}'_1 = 4(\nu f - \omega)$$

So if we choose

$$f = \omega/\nu$$

then

$$\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}'_1 = 0$$

Hence $Q_{1,7}$ vanishes. This fact is very important, otherwise, the growth of ω would prevent us from appropriately estimating this term.

5.4 The Estimates for the Error Integrals

We now consider the spacetime integral of Q_0.

In view of (5.32), we have for an arbitrary function f,

$$\int_{W_u^t} \mu^{-1} f d\mu_g = \int_0^t \{\int_{\Sigma_t'^u} f\} dt' = \int_0^u \{\int_{C_{u'}^t} f\} du' \tag{5.127}$$

where

$$\int_{\Sigma_t'^u} f = \int_0^u [\int_{S_{t',u'}} f d\mu_{\not{g}}] du', \quad \int_{C_{u'}^t} f = \int_0^t [\int_{S_{t',u'}} f d\mu_{\not{g}}] dt' \tag{5.128}$$

In view of the fact that ρ vanishes and (5.103),

$$Q_{0,0} = Q_{0,1} = 0 \tag{5.129}$$

By **B10**, **B11** and **A1**,

$$\mu^{-1} |\tilde{\pi}_{0,\underline{LL}}| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \tag{5.130}$$

hence, from (5.97), we have

$$\mu |Q_{0,2}| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} (L\psi)^2 \tag{5.131}$$

Writing

$$(L\psi)^2 \leq 2(L\psi + \nu\psi)^2 + 2(\nu\psi)^2 \tag{5.132}$$

then

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{0,2}| d\mu_g \leq C(J_0 + J_1) \tag{5.133}$$

where

$$J_0 = \int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2} \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (\nu\psi)^2\} dt' \tag{5.134}$$

$$J_1 = \int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2} \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (L\psi + \nu\psi)^2\} dt' \tag{5.135}$$

By **B1**,

$$J_0 \leq C \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1} [1+\log(1+t')]^{-2} \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} \psi^2\} dt' \tag{5.136}$$

Using Lemma 5.1 we obtain:

$$J_0 \leq C \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1} [1+\log(1+t')]^{-2} \mathcal{E}_0^u(t') dt' \tag{5.137}$$

On the other hand,

$$J_1 = \int_0^t f_0(t') \frac{dg(t')}{dt'} dt' \tag{5.138}$$

where

$$g(t) = \int_0^t (1+t')^2 \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (L\psi + \nu\psi)^2\} dt' \tag{5.139}$$

and

$$f_0(t) = (1+t)^{-1} [1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \tag{5.140}$$

Integrating by parts:

$$J_1 = f_0(t)g(t) - \int_0^t g(t')\frac{df_0(t')}{dt'}dt' \tag{5.141}$$

By (5.76)

$$\int_0^t \int_{S_{t',u}} (L\psi)^2 d\mu_{\not g} dt' \leq C\mathcal{F}_0^t(u) \tag{5.142}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^t \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (L\psi)^2\} dt' \leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' \tag{5.143}$$

Also by Lemma 5.1 and **B1**,

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (\nu\psi)^2 d\mu_{\not g} dt' \leq C\epsilon_0 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2} \sup_{u'\in[0,u]} (\int_{S_{t',u'}} \psi^2 d\mu_{\not g})dt' \leq C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \tag{5.144}$$

Set:

$$F(t,u) = \{\int_{\Sigma_t^u} (L\psi + \nu\psi)^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.145}$$

We then have:

$$g(t) = \int_0^t (1+t')^2 F^2(t',u)dt' \tag{5.146}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(t)g(t) &\leq [1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \int_0^t (1+t')F^2(t',u)dt' \\ &\leq \{\int_0^t F^2(t',u)dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4} \int_0^t (1+t')^2 F^2(t',u)dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.147}$$

By (5.143) and (5.144),

$$\int_0^t F^2(t',u)dt' \leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \tag{5.148}$$

On the other hand, by (5.78) we have:

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^2 F^2(t',u)dt' \leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du' \tag{5.149}$$

So we have:

$$f_0(t)g(t) \leq C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4} \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.150}$$

Moreover, since

$$|\frac{df_0}{dt}| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \tag{5.151}$$

we have by (5.146) and (5.149),

$$\int_0^t g(t')|\frac{df_0}{dt}|dt' \leq C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t']^{-2}\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^{t'}(u')du'\}dt' \tag{5.152}$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 \leq C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1^{\prime t}(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ +C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1^{\prime t'}(u')du'\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.153}$$

which together with (5.137) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_u^t} |Q_{0,2}|d\mu_g \leq C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+(\log(1+t')]^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \\ +C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ \{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1^{\prime t}(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ +C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1^{\prime t'}(u')du'\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.154}$$

We now turn to $Q_{0,6}$ and $Q_{0,7}$. The terms $Q_{0,3}, Q_{0,4}, Q_{0,5}$ shall be treated later.

From $\mathbf{B3}, \mathbf{B4}$ and $\mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{A3}$, we have

$$|\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}_0| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-6} \tag{5.155}$$

In view of (5.77) and (5.90) we can then estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_u^t} |Q_{0,6}|d\mu_g &\leq C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-6}\mathcal{E}_1^{\prime u}(t')dt' \\ &\leq C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1^{\prime u}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.156}$$

Also, by $\mathbf{B1}, \mathbf{B2}, \mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{A3}$, we have

$$|\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not\pi}_0| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{5.157}$$

Writing $|L\psi| \leq |L\psi + \nu\psi| + |\nu\psi|$, we can then estimate:

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{0,7}|d\mu_g \leq C(J_2 + J_3) \tag{5.158}$$

where:

$$J_2 = \int_0^t f_1(t')\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} |\nu\psi||\underline{L}\psi| \tag{5.159}$$

$$J_3 = \int_0^t f_1(t')\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} |L\psi + \nu\psi||\underline{L}\psi| \tag{5.160}$$

and

$$f_1(t) = (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{5.161}$$

We have:

$$J_2 \leq I_0^{\frac{1}{2}} I_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.162}$$

where:

$$I_0 = \int_0^t (1+t')f_1(t')\{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (\nu\psi)^2\}dt' \tag{5.163}$$

$$I_1 = \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}f_1(t')\{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (\underline{L}\psi)^2\}dt' \tag{5.164}$$

By **B1** and Lemma 5.1, we have ,with

$$f_2(t) = (1+t)^{-1} f_1(t) = (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{5.165}$$

$$I_0 \leq C\epsilon_0 \int_0^t f_2(t')\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \tag{5.166}$$

Also, by (5.75),

$$I_1 \leq C \int_0^t f_2(t')\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \tag{5.167}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$J_2 \leq C\epsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t f_2(t')\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \tag{5.168}$$

We have:

$$J_3 \leq \frac{1}{2}(I_1 + I_2) \tag{5.169}$$

where:

$$I_2 = \int_0^t (1+t') f_1(t')\{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (L\psi + \nu\psi)^2\}dt' \tag{5.170}$$

Recalling (5.139), we have:

$$I_2 = \int_0^t f_2(t')\frac{dg(t')}{dt'}dt' \tag{5.171}$$

We can see that I_2 is similar to J_1, moreover, f_2 decays faster than f_0, thus I_2 has the same bound as J_1:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \leq C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ +C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^{t'}(u')du'\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.172}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_t^t} |Q_{0,7}|d\mu_g \leq C\int_0^t f_2(t')\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \\ +C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ +C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^{t'}(u')du'\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.173}$$

We turn to Q_1. Since we are considering the case that ρ vanishes, we have:

$$Q_{1,0} = 0 \tag{5.174}$$

Also, from (5.120),(5.123) and (5.126) we have

$$Q_{1,1} = Q_{1,4} = Q_{1,7} = 0 \tag{5.175}$$

So we first consider $Q_{1,2}$. By $\mathbf{B1}, \mathbf{B10}, \mathbf{B13}, \mathbf{D1}, \mathbf{D3}, \mathbf{A1}$, we have

$$\mu^{-1}|\tilde{\pi}'_{1,\underline{L}L}| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^3 \tag{5.176}$$

Hence,

$$\mu|Q_{1,2}| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^3(L\psi)^2 \tag{5.177}$$

Writing again: $(L\psi)^2 \leq 2(L\psi + \nu\psi)^2 + 2(\nu\psi)^2$, it then follows:

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{1,2}| d\mu_g \leq C(J_4 + J_5) \tag{5.178}$$

where

$$J_4 = \int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^3 \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (\nu\psi)^2\} dt' \tag{5.179}$$

$$J_5 = \int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^3 \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} (L\psi + \nu\psi)^2\} dt' \tag{5.180}$$

By **B1** and Lemma 5.1 we have:

$$J_4 \leq C\epsilon_0^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3 \mathcal{E}_0^u(t') dt' \leq C\epsilon_0^2 \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{5.181}$$

From (5.139) we have

$$J_5 = \int_0^t f_3(t') \frac{dg(t')}{dt'} dt' \tag{5.182}$$

where

$$f_3(t) = (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 \tag{5.183}$$

Then we have

$$J_5 = f_3(t)g(t) - \int_0^t g(t') \frac{df_3(t')}{dt'} dt' \leq g(t)\{f_3(t) + \int_0^t |\frac{df_3(t')}{dt'}| dt'\} \leq C \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u') du' \tag{5.184}$$

We have used the fact that $g(t)$ is non-decreasing. In conclusion,

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{1,2}| d\mu_g \leq C \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u') du' + C\epsilon_0^2 \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{5.185}$$

Consider next $Q_{1,6}$. By $\mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{B1}, \mathbf{B3}, \mathbf{D1}$, we have

$$|\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}'_1| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \tag{5.186}$$

Hence, by (5.77),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_u^t} |Q_{1,6}| d\mu_g &\leq C \int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2} \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} \mu |\not{d}\psi|^2\} dt' \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2} \mathcal{E}_1'^u(t') dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.187}$$

To estimate $Q_{1,8}$, we shall use **D5** and Lemma 5.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_u^t} |Q_{1,8}| d\mu_g &\leq \int_0^t \{\int_0^u \sup_{S_{t',u'}} (\mu |\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega|) \cdot [\int_{S_{t',u'}} \psi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}] du' dt' \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0 \int_0^t \{\int_0^u \sup_{S_{t',u'}} (\mu |\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega|) du'\} \mathcal{E}_0^u(t') dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.188}$$

So from **D5** we have

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{1,8}| d\mu_g \leq C\epsilon_0 [1+\log(1+t)]^4 \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) \tag{5.189}$$

We now turn to the crucial terms, $Q_{0,3}$ and $Q_{1,3}$.

Consider first $Q_{1,3}$. We have:

$$\tilde{\pi}'_{1,L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega\mu(\omega\nu^{-1})(\mu^{-1}L\mu + r_1) \tag{5.190}$$

where

$$r_1 = \omega^{-1}(L\omega - \nu\omega) - \nu^{-1}(L\nu + \nu^2) + L\log\Omega \tag{5.191}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{1,3}d\mu_g = \int_0^t \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} \frac{\Omega}{2}(\omega\nu^{-1})(\mu^{-1}L\mu + r_1)\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}dt' \tag{5.192}$$

Decomposing $\mu^{-1}L\mu$ into its positive and negative parts, we write:

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{1,3}d\mu_g = \int_0^t \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} \frac{\Omega}{2}(\omega\nu^{-1})[\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+ + \mu^{-1}(L\mu)_- + r_1]\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}dt' \tag{5.193}$$

Then by **C1** and (5.64) we have

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{1,3}d\mu_g \leq \int_0^t \{(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1} + A(t') + \sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^u}|r_1|\}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt' - K(t,u) \tag{5.194}$$

where $K(t,u)$ is the non-negative spacetime integral:

$$K(t,u) = -\int_{W_u^t} \frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\nu^{-1}\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-|\not{d}\psi|^2 d\mu_g \tag{5.195}$$

Moreover, by $\mathbf{B1}, \mathbf{D1}, \mathbf{B5}, \mathbf{B12}, \mathbf{D2}$ we have:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|r_1| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \tag{5.196}$$

Consider next $Q_{0,3}$. From (5.105) and (5.18) we have:

$$\tilde{\pi}_{0,L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega\mu(\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu) + r_0) \tag{5.197}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \alpha^{-2}L\mu + 2L(\alpha^{-1}\kappa) + K_0\log\Omega \\ &= 3L(\alpha^{-1}\kappa) + 2\alpha^{-2}\kappa L\alpha + (1+\alpha^{-1}\kappa)L\log\Omega + \underline{L}\log\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{5.198}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{0,3}d\mu_g = \int_0^t \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} \frac{\Omega}{2}(\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu) + r_0)\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}dt' \tag{5.199}$$

Decomposing $\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu)$ into its positive and negative parts, and then dropping the negative part, we obtain:

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{0,3}d\mu_g \leq \int_0^t \{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u} \frac{\Omega}{2}(\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu)_+ + |r_0|)\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}dt' \tag{5.200}$$

Then by **C2** and (5.64) we have:

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{0,3}d\mu_g \leq \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[B(t') + \sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^u}|r_0|]\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt' \tag{5.201}$$

Moreover, by $\mathbf{B5}, \mathbf{B6}, \mathbf{B9}, \mathbf{B10}$ we have:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} |r_0| \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-6} \tag{5.202}$$

Finally, we consider $Q_{0,4}$,$Q_{0,5}$ and $Q_{1,5}$.

First, we have:

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{1,5}| d\mu_g \leq M_1 + R_1 \tag{5.203}$$

where

$$M_1 = \int_{W_u^t} \Omega(\omega\nu^{-1})|L\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.204}$$

$$R_1 = \int_{W_u^t} \Omega|L\psi||\not{d}\psi||\not{d}(\omega\nu^{-1})| d\mu_g \tag{5.205}$$

First, we estimate M_1. We decompose:

$$M_1 = M_1' + M_1'' \tag{5.206}$$

where

$$M_1' = \int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t} \Omega(\omega\nu^{-1})|L\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.207}$$

$$M_1'' = \int_{\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W_u^t} \Omega(\omega\nu^{-1})|L\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.208}$$

The region $\mathcal{U}$ is defined by (5.14).

According to $\mathbf{C3}$ we have:

$$-(L\mu)_- \geq C^{-1}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1} \tag{5.209}$$

in $\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t$.

Comparing with (5.195) we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(t,u) &\geq -\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t} \frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\nu^{-1}\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-|\not{d}\psi|^2 d\mu_g \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2C}\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t} \Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mu^{-1}|\not{d}\psi|^2 d\mu_g \end{aligned} \tag{5.210}$$

Thus we can estimate:

$$M_1' \leq CK^{\frac{1}{2}}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.211}$$

where:

$$N_1 = \int_{W_u^t} \Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]|\zeta+\eta|^2|L\psi|^2\mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.212}$$

By virtue of $\mathbf{B7}$,

$$N_1 \leq \int_{W_u^t} \Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3|L\psi|^2\mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.213}$$

Thus

$$N_1 \leq C(N_{1,0} + N_{1,1}) \tag{5.214}$$

where

$$N_{1,0}=\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3|\nu\psi|^2\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \tag{5.215}$$

$$N_{1,1}=\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3|L\psi+\nu\psi|^2\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \tag{5.216}$$

Now by $\mathbf{B1},\mathbf{D1}$ and $\mathbf{A1}$,

$$N_{1,0}\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\{\int_0^u[\int_{S_{t',u'}}\psi^2d\mu_{\not g}]du'\}dt' \tag{5.217}$$

hence by Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}N_{1,0}&\leq C\epsilon_0^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt' \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4\end{aligned} \tag{5.218}$$

Also, from (5.59),

$$N_{1,1}\leq C\int_0^u\{\int_{C_{u'}^t}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(L\psi+\nu\psi)^2\}du'=C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du' \tag{5.219}$$

We thus obtain:

$$N_1\leq C\epsilon_0^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4+C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du' \tag{5.220}$$

We conclude that

$$M_1'\leq CK(t,u)^{\frac{1}{2}}\{\epsilon_0^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4+C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.221}$$

On the other hand, since in $\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W_u^t$ we have $\mu\geq 1/4$,

$$\begin{aligned}M_1''&\leq 2\int_{\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W_u^t}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}|L\psi||\not d\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_g \\ &\leq 2\int_{W_u^t}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}|L\psi||\not d\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\mu_g \\ &\leq 2\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}|\not d\psi|^2d\mu_g\}^{\frac{1}{2}}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned} \tag{5.222}$$

where N_1 is given by (5.212). In view of (5.64),

$$M_1''\leq C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.223}$$

So by (5.220) we conclude:

$$M_1''\leq C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{\epsilon_0^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4+C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.224}$$

In the following we estimate R_1 given by (5.205). Using $\mathbf{B14},\mathbf{D4},\mathbf{B1},\mathbf{D1}$, we have

$$\omega^{-1}\nu|\not d(\omega\nu^{-1})|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.225}$$

so $\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega^{-1}\nu|\not{d}(\omega\nu^{-1})|$ enjoys the same bound as $|\zeta+\eta|$, namely by $C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$. It follows that R_1 is bounded in the same way as M_1''.

So we conclude:

$$\int_{W^t_u}|Q_{1,5}|d\mu_g \leq C\{\epsilon_0^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 + C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du'\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.226}$$
$$\{K(t,u)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt'\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Next we consider $Q_{0,4}$. Obviously, we have

$$\int_{W^t_u}|Q_{0,4}|d\mu_g \leq M_0 \tag{5.227}$$

where

$$M_0 = \int_{W^t_u}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \tag{5.228}$$

Again, we decompose:

$$M_0 = M_0' + M_0'' \tag{5.229}$$

where

$$M_0' = \int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W^t_u}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \tag{5.230}$$

$$M_0'' = \int_{\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W^t_u}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \tag{5.231}$$

By **B7**, we estimate:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W^t_u}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \leq C\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W^t_u}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi|d\mu_{\not{g}}du'dt' \tag{5.232}$$

By Holder Inequality, the right hand side of the above is bounded by:

$$C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')}\sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap\Sigma^u_{t'}}|\not{d}\psi|^2dt'} \tag{5.233}$$
$$\leq (\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')dt')^{1/2}\cdot(\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W^t_u}|\not{d}\psi|^2d\mu_{\not{g}}du'dt')^{1/2}$$

Let us define:

$$F(t,u) := \int_0^t(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}(\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap\Sigma^u_{t'}}|\not{d}\psi|^2)dt' \tag{5.234}$$

By (5.210) and $\mathbf{B1},\mathbf{D1}$,

$$K(t,u) \geq \frac{1}{C}\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W^t_u}\Omega(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mu^{-1}|\not{d}\psi|^2d\mu_g \tag{5.235}$$

Let us define

$$\bar{K}(t,u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}K(t',u)$$

Therefore

$$F(t,u) \leq CK(t,u) \leq C\bar{K}(t,u)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{5.236}$$

and

$$\frac{dF}{dt}(t,u) = (1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap\Sigma_t^u}|\not{d}\psi|^2 \tag{5.237}$$

What we need to estimate is the following integral:

$$I(t) := \int_0^t(\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap\Sigma_{t'}^u}|\not{d}\psi|^2)dt' \tag{5.238}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\frac{dF}{dt'}(t')dt' \\ &= (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]F(t) + \int_0^t F(t')\{(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]-(1+t')^{-2}\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.239}$$

Substitute (5.236) we obtain:

$$I(t) \leq C'\bar{K}(t,u) \tag{5.240}$$

Therefore

$$M_0' \leq C\bar{K}(t,u)^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{5.241}$$

To estimate M_0'' we note that since $\mu \geq \eta_0/4$ in $\mathcal{U}^c$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_0'' &\leq 2\int_{\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W_u^t}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1/2}d\mu_g \\ &\leq 2\int_{W_u^t}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{-1/2}d\mu_g \\ &= 2\int_0^t\{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi||\not{d}\psi||\zeta+\eta|\mu^{1/2}\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{5.242}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_0'' &\leq 2\int_0^t\{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u}\Omega\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}^{1/2}\cdot\{\int_{\Sigma_{t'}^u}\Omega|\underline{L}\psi|^2|\zeta+\eta|^2\}^{1/2}dt' \\ &\leq C\int_0^t\frac{\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')^{1/2}}{(1+t')}\cdot\frac{[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')^{1/2}}{(1+t')}dt' \\ &\leq C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}\cdot\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.243}$$

From (5.227),(5.229),(5.241) and (5.243) we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_u^t}|Q_{0,4}|d\mu_g &\leq C\bar{K}(t,u)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt')^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}\cdot\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{5.244}$$

We are left with $Q_{0,5}$. We have:

$$\int_{W_u^t} |Q_{0,5}| d\mu_g \leq \tilde{M}_0 + R_0 \tag{5.245}$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_0 = \int_{W_u^t} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa) |\zeta + \eta| \mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.246}$$

$$R_0 = \int_{W_u^t} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| |\not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa)| d\mu_g$$

Decomposing:

$$\tilde{M}_0 = \tilde{M}_0' + \tilde{M}_0'' \tag{5.247}$$

$$\tilde{M}_0' = \int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^t} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa) |\zeta + \eta| \mu^{-1} d\mu_g$$

$$\tilde{M}_0'' = \int_{\mathcal{U}^c \bigcap W_u^t} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa) |\zeta + \eta| \mu^{-1} d\mu_g$$

Similarly we have:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^t} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa) |\zeta + \eta| d\mu_{\not{g}} du' dt' \tag{5.248}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t')]^{3/2} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u(t')} \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap \Sigma_{t'}^u} |\not{d}\psi|^2 dt'}$$

This is similar to (5.233). We then proceed as we estimate M_0' to obtain:

$$\tilde{M}_0' \leq C \bar{K}(t,u)^{1/2} (\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u') du')^{1/2} \tag{5.249}$$

Next we estimate $\tilde{M}_0''$. Since $\mu \geq 1/4$ in $\mathcal{U}^c \bigcap W_u^t$, we have, by**A2**, **A3** and **B7**,

$$\tilde{M}_0'' \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\eta_0}} \int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^t} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| |\zeta + \eta| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa) \mu^{-1/2} d\mu_g \tag{5.250}$$

$$\leq C \int_{W_u^t} (1+t')^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t')]^{3/2} \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa)^{1/2} \mu^{-1/2} d\mu_g$$

Since the factor $(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{3/2}$ is bounded by a numerical constant we obtain:

$$\tilde{M}_0'' \leq C \int_{W_u^t} \Omega \{(1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi)^2 + \mu |\not{d}\psi|^2\} \mu^{-1} d\mu_g \tag{5.251}$$

hence in view of (5.54) we get

$$\tilde{M}_0'' \leq C \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u') du' \tag{5.252}$$

Finally we estimate R_0. By **B8** we have:

$$R_0 \leq C \int_{W_u^t} (1+t')^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t')] \Omega |L\psi| |\not{d}\psi| (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa)^{1/2} \mu^{-1/2} d\mu_g \tag{5.253}$$

We have used the fact that $(1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa)^{1/2} \mu^{-1/2} = ((1 + \eta^{-2}\mu)/\mu)^{1/2} \geq \eta^{-1} \geq C^{-1}$.

Since the factor $(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]$ is bounded by a numerical constant we again obtain:

$$R_0 \leq C\int_{W_u^t}\Omega\{(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi)^2+\mu|\not{d}\psi|^2\}\mu^{-1}d\mu_g \leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' \tag{5.254}$$

So we conclude that

$$\int_{W_u^t}|Q_{0,5}|d\mu_g \leq C\bar{K}(t,u)^{1/2}(\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du')^{1/2}+C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' \tag{5.255}$$

5.5 Treatment of the Integral Inequalities Depending on t and u. Completion of the Proof

We now focus on the identity (5.73). By (5.174),(5.175),(5.185),(5.187),(5.189),(5.194),(5.196) and (5.226), the spacetime integral on right hand side of (5.73) is bounded from above by:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W_u^t} Q_1 d\mu_g \leq CM(t,u)+L(t,u)+\int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt' \\ -K(t,u)+C(K(t,u)^{1/2}+L(t,u)^{1/2})M(t,u)^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{5.256}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}M(t,u) &= \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4+\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du' \\ L(t,u) &= \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt' \\ \tilde{A}(t) &= A(t)+C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2}\end{aligned} \tag{5.257}$$

Now from **C1** we have:

$$\int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')dt' \leq C \tag{5.258}$$

where C is independent of t.

Using the inequalities:

$$-K+CK^{1/2}M^{1/2} \leq -\frac{1}{2}K+CM, \quad CL^{1/2}M^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}L+CM \tag{5.259}$$

So we get the following:

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_1 d\mu_g \leq -\frac{1}{2}K(t,u)+CM(t,u)+\frac{3}{2}L(t,u)+\int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt' \tag{5.260}$$

We have:

$$L(t,u) \leq \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt' \leq \frac{1}{4}[1+\log(1+t)]^4\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) \tag{5.261}$$

Also, since

$$\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) \leq [1+\log(1+t)]^4\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u) \tag{5.262}$$

defining:

$$V_1'(t,u) = \int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u')du' \tag{5.263}$$

we have:

$$\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u')du' \leq [1+\log(1+t)]^4 V_1'(t,u) \tag{5.264}$$

Note that $V_1'(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u as well as a non-decreasing function of u at each t. Hence:

$$M(t,u) \leq [1+\log(1+t)]^4(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) \tag{5.265}$$

and also,

$$\int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t')dt' \leq [1+\log(1+t)]^4 \int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt' \tag{5.266}$$

From $\mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{B2}, \mathbf{D1}, \mathbf{D3}$ and Lemma 5.1, the space-like hypersurface integrals on the right hand side of (5.73) are bounded by:

$$|\int_{\Sigma_t^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2| \leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4, \quad |\int_{\Sigma_0^u} \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2| \leq CD_0 \tag{5.267}$$

Also, by (5.75) and (5.77) at $t = 0$, the remaining term on the right hand side of (5.73) is bounded by:

$$\mathcal{E}_1'^u(0) \leq CD_0 \tag{5.268}$$

In view of (5.260)-(5.268), the identity (5.73) implies

$$\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) + \mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) + \frac{1}{2}K(t,u) \tag{5.269}$$

$$\leq [1+\log(1+t)]^4\{\frac{3}{8}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) + C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) + \int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt'\} + CD_0$$

Keeping only the first term on the left we have:

$$[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t) \leq \frac{3}{8}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) + C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) + \int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt' + CD_0 \tag{5.270}$$

The same holds with t replaced by $t' \in [0,t]$. Since the right hand side is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, we deduce:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) \leq C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) + \int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt' + CD_0 \tag{5.271}$$

Since $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u we can use Gronwall's Inequality to obtain inview of (5.259),

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) \leq C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) + CD_0 \tag{5.272}$$

hence also:

$$\int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt' \leq \bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t)\int_0^t \tilde{A}(t')dt' \leq C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) \tag{5.273}$$

Substituting (5.272)-(5.273) to (5.269) and keeping only the second term on the left, we get

$$[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u) \leq C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) + CD_0 \tag{5.274}$$

The same holds with t replaced by $t' \in [0,t]$. Since the right hand side is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, we deduce:

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u) \leq C(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + V_1'(t,u)) + CD_0 \tag{5.275}$$

In view of the definition of $V_1'(t,u)$, this is

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u) \leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + C\int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u')du' + CD_0 \tag{5.276}$$

In view of the fact that $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)$ is a non-decreasing function of u at each t and $[0, \epsilon_0]$ is a bounded interval, we can use Gronwall's inequality to get

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t(u) \leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + CD_0 \tag{5.277}$$

hence also:

$$V_1'(t,u) \leq C\epsilon_0\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + CD_0 \tag{5.278}$$

Substituting (5.278) into (5.272) we obtain:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t) \leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + CD_0 \tag{5.279}$$

Substituting (5.273), (5.278) and (5.279) into (5.269) and keeping only the third term on the left we obtain:

$$[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}K(t,u) \leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + CD_0 \tag{5.280}$$

which implies:

$$\bar{K}(t,u) \leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + CD_0 \tag{5.281}$$

We now turn to the identity (5.74). By (5.129), (5.154), (5.156), (5.173), (5.201)-(5.202), (5.244) and (5.255), the spacetime integral on the right hand side of (5.74) is bounded from above by, in view of (5.264):

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{W_u^t} Q_0 d\mu_g \leq & \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^4 B(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t')dt' \\
& + C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}_1'^u(t') + \bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t'))dt' + CV_0(t,u) \\
& + C\{V_0(t,u) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0'^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}(V_1'(t,u))^{1/2} \\
& + C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 V_1'(t',u)dt' \\
& + C\bar{K}(t,u)^{1/2}(\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt')^{1/2} \\
& + C\bar{K}(t,u)^{1/2}(V_0(t,u))^{1/2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.282}$$

Here we have defined:

$$V_0(t,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' \tag{5.283}$$

We now substitute (5.278), (5.279) and (5.281) to the above. In doing this we estimate the fourth term on the right by:

$$\begin{aligned}
& C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)^{1/2}\{V_0(t,u) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0'^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \\
\leq & \frac{\delta}{2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + \frac{C^2}{2\delta}\{V_0(t,u) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0'^u(t')dt'\}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.284}$$

and the sixth term by:

$$\begin{aligned}
& C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t)^{1/2}(\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt')^{1/2} \\
\leq & \frac{\delta}{2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t) + \frac{C^2}{2\delta}(\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0^u(t')dt')
\end{aligned} \tag{5.285}$$

and the seventh term by:

$$C\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t)^{1/2}(V_0(t,u))^{1/2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t) + \frac{C^2}{2\delta}V_0(t,u) \tag{5.286}$$

After these substitutions, we obtain:

$$\int_{W^t_u} Q_0 d\mu_g \leq \frac{3\delta}{2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t) + C(1+\frac{1}{\delta})V_0(t,u) + C(1+\frac{1}{\delta})\int_0^t \tilde{B}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t')dt' + CD_0 \tag{5.287}$$

The constants C are independent of δ. Here:

$$\tilde{B}(t) = (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 B(t) + C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2} \tag{5.288}$$

By **C2** we have:

$$\int_0^t \tilde{B}(t')dt' \leq C \tag{5.289}$$

In view of (5.287) the identity (5.74) implies:

$$\mathcal{E}^u_0(t) + \mathcal{F}^t_0(u) \leq \mathcal{E}^u_0(0) + \frac{3\delta}{2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t) + C(1+\frac{1}{\delta})\{V_0(t,u) + \int_0^t \tilde{B}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t')dt'\} \tag{5.290}$$

We set: $\delta = \frac{1}{3}$. Replacing t by $t' \in [0,t]$ in the above, noting that the right hand side is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, and keeping only the first term on the left, we obtain:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t) \leq \mathcal{E}^u_0(0) + CV_0(t,u) + C\int_0^t \tilde{B}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t')dt' \tag{5.291}$$

Since $V_0(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, we can apply Gronwall's inequality to obtain:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t) \leq C(\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) + V_0(t,u)) \tag{5.292}$$

hence also:

$$\int_0^t \tilde{B}(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t')dt' \leq \bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t)\int_0^t \tilde{B}(t')dt' \leq C(\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) + V_0(t,u)) \tag{5.293}$$

Keeping only the second term on the left in (5.290) we obtain:

$$\mathcal{F}^t_0(u) \leq C(\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) + V_0(t,u)) = C(\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}^t_0(u')du') \tag{5.294}$$

In view that $\mathcal{E}^u_0(0)$ is a non-decreasing function of u and $[0,\epsilon_0]$ is a bounded interval, we can use Gronwall's inequality to obtain:

$$\mathcal{F}^t_0(u) \leq C\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) \tag{5.295}$$

hence also:

$$V_0(t,u) \leq C\epsilon_0\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) \tag{5.296}$$

Substituting this into (5.292) we obtain:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_0(t) \leq C\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) \tag{5.297}$$

Substituting finally (5.297) to (5.277), (5.279), (5.281) we conclude that:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}'^u_1(t), \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_1(u), \bar{K}(t,u) \leq C\mathcal{E}^u_0(0) \tag{5.298}$$

The proof of **Theorem 5.1** is now complete. $\square$

There are some remarks concerning the estimate of the error spacetime integrals.

(i) When we estimate $Q_{1,3}$, we do not just bound the contribution from $L\mu$ simply in absolute value. Instead, we split $L\mu$ into positive and negative parts. Because by **C3** and (5.195), we can use the negative part of $L\mu$ to estimate the tangential derivative of variations on the region $\mathcal{U}$, where μ is very small. In estimating $Q_{0,3}$, we also split the term $L\mu + \underline{L}\mu$ into positive part and negative part so that we can use the bootstrap assumption **C1** and **C2**.

(ii) Let us revisit the estimate (5.218) for $N_{1,0}$, which is original from $Q_{1,5}$. There is a growth of $[1+\log(1+t)]^4$ which can not be improved on the right hand side, this is why we introduce the weight for $\mathcal{E}_1'^u(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1'^t(u)$, and also for $K(t,u)$ see (5.90), (5.91) and (5.235). Then we can complete the error estimates associated to K_1, since also this weight is enough to bound the contribution of $L(t,u)$ (see (5.257)), whose growth in time is like $\log[\log(1+t)]$.

(iii) Finally, the treatment in section 5.5 is not standard, because wo have two variables t, u in the integral inequalities with u in a bounded interval. However, we can use Gronwall inequality with respect to one variable when the other is fixed to complete the estimates.

Chapter 6

Construction of Commutation Vectorfields

6.1 Commutation Vectorfields and Their Deformation Tensors

In this chapter, we shall construct the vectorfields $Y_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ used to define the higher order variations of the wave function ϕ. That is,

$$\psi_n = Y_{i_1} ... Y_{i_{n-1}} \psi_1 \tag{6.1}$$

where ψ_1 is a first order variation, namely one of the functions (5.5). Here $i_1, ..., i_{n-1} \in 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Since ψ_1 satisfies:

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}} \psi_1 = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

ψ_n satisfies

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}} \psi_n = \rho_n \tag{6.3}$$

where ρ_n is obtained by commuting the vectorfields $Y_{i_1} ... Y_{i_{n-1}}$ with $\Box_{\tilde{g}}$. So we call $Y_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ the $commutation\ vectorfields$.

We require that, at each point, these commutation vectors span the tangent space to the spacetime manifold at the corresponding point. Thus, the set of all ψ_n for fixed n corresponding to a given ψ_1 contains all derivatives of ψ_1 of order $n - 1$.

We take

$$Y_1 = T \tag{6.4}$$

Since this is transversal to C_u, we require $Y_i : i = 2, 3, 4, 5$ to be tangential to C_u. Moreover, for each $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ and each point $x \in C_u$, the set $\{Y_i(x) : i = 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ spans the tangent space to C_u at x.

Next, we take

$$Y_2 = Q := (1 + t)L \tag{6.5}$$

In view of the fact that the range of u on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ is the bounded interval $[0, \epsilon_0]$, Q is an analogue in the acoustical spacetime of the dilation field D of flat spacetime introduced in Chapter 2. Since Y_2 is transversal to the surfaces $S_{t,u}$, we require that $Y_i : i = 3, 4, 5$ to be tangential to $S_{t,u}$. Moreover, for each t, u and each point $x \in S_{t,u}$, the set $\{Y_i(x) : i = 3, 4, 5\}$ spans the tangent plane to $S_{t,u}$

We set:

$$Y_{i+2} = R_i := \Pi \overset{\circ}{R}_i : i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{6.6}$$

Here $\overset{\circ}{R}_i$ are the generators of rotations about the three rectangular coordinate axes:

$$\overset{\circ}{R}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \Omega_{jk} \tag{6.7}$$

where ϵ_{ijk} is the fully antisymmetric 3-dimensional symbol. In (6.6) Π is the projection to the tangent plane to the surfaces $S_{t,u}$ with respect to the induced acoustical metric $\bar{g}$ on Σ_t, which, as we have seen, coincides with the Euclidean metric.

When we consider the commutator $[Y, \Box_{\tilde{g}}]$, the deformation tensor ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ appears. Since:

$$ {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} = \Omega {}^{(Y)}\pi + (Y\Omega) g \tag{6.8} $$

we must consider the expression for ${}^{(Y)}\pi$:

$$ {}^{(Y)}\pi(Z_1, Z_2) = g(D_{Z_1} Y, Z_2) + g(D_{Z_2} Y, Z_1) \tag{6.9} $$

The componets of the deformation tensors ${}^{(T)}\pi, {}^{(Q)}\pi$ in the null frame $(L, \underline{L}, X_1, X_2)$ can be directly computed from (3.99)-(3.106) and (3.131)-(3.138).

$$ {}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{LL} = 0 \tag{6.10} $$

$$ {}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}} = 4\Omega\mu T(\eta^{-1}\kappa) \tag{6.11} $$

$$ {}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}L} = -2\Omega(T\mu + \mu T \log\Omega) \tag{6.12} $$

$$ {}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{LA} = -\Omega(\zeta_A + \eta_A) \tag{6.13} $$

$$ {}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}A} = -\Omega\alpha^{-1}\kappa(\zeta_A + \eta_A) \tag{6.14} $$

$$ {}^{(T)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}_{AB} = \Omega(\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{AB} - \eta^{-1}\kappa\hat{\chi}_{AB}) \tag{6.15} $$

$$ \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\tilde{\not\pi} = 2\Omega(\underline{\nu} - \eta^{-1}\kappa\nu) \tag{6.16} $$

and:

$$ {}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{LL} = 0 \tag{6.17} $$

$$ {}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}} = 4\Omega\mu\{Q(\eta^{-1}\kappa) - \eta^{-1}\kappa\} \tag{6.18} $$

$$ {}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega\{Q\mu + \mu Q \log\Omega + \mu\} \tag{6.19} $$

$$ {}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{LA} = 0 \tag{6.20} $$

$$ {}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}A} = 2\Omega(1+t)(\zeta_A + \eta_A) \tag{6.21} $$

$$ {}^{(Q)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}_{AB} = 2\Omega(1+t)\hat{\chi}_{AB} \tag{6.22} $$

$$ \mathrm{tr}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi} = 4\Omega(1+t)\nu \tag{6.23} $$

Here we denote by ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}$ the restriction of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ to $S_{t,u}$, and by ${}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}$ the trace-free part of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}$.

Noting that the definition of $R_i : i = 1, 2, 3$ is intrinsic to each space-like hypersurface Σ_t, we shall derive expressions for the components of ${}^{(R_i)}\pi$ in the frame (L, T, X_1, X_2), taking advantage of the fact that (T, X_1, X_2) is a frame field for each Σ_t. From (3.99)-(3.106), we have:

$$ {}^{(R_i)}\pi_{LL} = 2g(D_L R_i, L) = -2g(R_i, D_L L) = 0 \tag{6.24} $$

This is because R_i is tangential to $S_{t,u}$, while L is orthogonal to $S_{t,u}$. For the same reason, T is also orthogonal to R_i, hence:

$$ {}^{(R_i)}\pi_{TT} = 2g(D_T R_i, T) = -2g(R_i, D_T T) \tag{6.25} $$

Since R_i is tangential to $S_{t,u}$, we can expand

$$ R_i = R_i^A X_A \tag{6.26} $$

we obtain

$$ {}^{(R_i)}\pi_{TT} = 2\kappa R_i \kappa \tag{6.27} $$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(R_i)}\pi_{LT} &= g(D_L R_i, T) + g(D_T R_i, L) \\ &= -g(R_i, D_L T) - g(R_i, D_T L) = -\eta_A R_i^A + \zeta_A R_i^A = -R_i \mu \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

where we have used (3.55) in chapter 3.

Next we have:

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{LA} = g(D_L R_i, X_A) + g(D_{X_A} R_i, L) \tag{6.29}$$

Now,

$$g(D_{X_A} R_i, L) = -g(R_i, D_{X_A} L) = -\chi_{AB} R_i^B \tag{6.30}$$

On the other hand, from (6.6) we have

$$D_L R_i = (D_L \Pi)\mathring{R}_i + \Pi(D_L \mathring{R}_i) \tag{6.31}$$

Noting that for any vectorfield Z

$$g(\Pi Z, X_A) = g(Z, X_A) \tag{6.32}$$

we obtain:

$$g(D_L R_i, X_A) = g((D_L \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_A) + g(D_L \mathring{R}_i, X_A) \tag{6.33}$$

Thus,

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{LA} = g((D_L \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_A) + g(D_L \mathring{R}_i, X_A) - \chi_{AB} R_i^B \tag{6.34}$$

Similarly, we have

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{TA} = g((D_T \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_A) + g(D_T \mathring{R}_i, X_A) - \kappa\theta_{AB} R_i^B \tag{6.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(R_i)}\pi_{AB} = {} & g((D_{X_A} \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_B) + g((D_{X_B} \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_A) \\ & + g(D_{X_A} \mathring{R}_i, X_B) + g(D_{X_B} \mathring{R}_i, X_A) \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

Now we must compute $(D\Pi)\mathring{R}_i$ and $D\mathring{R}_i$. Since $\mathring{R}_i$ are tangential to Σ_t we can expand:

$$\mathring{R}_i = R_i^A X_A + \lambda_i \hat{T}, \quad \hat{T} = \kappa^{-1} T \tag{6.37}$$

for some functions λ_i. Since $\Pi T = 0$, for any vectorfield Z we have:

$$(D_Z \Pi)T = D_Z(\Pi T) - \Pi(D_Z T) = -\Pi(D_Z T) \tag{6.38}$$

Setting Z equal to $L, T, X_A : A = 1, 2$, we obtain, using (3.99)-(3.106),

$$(D_L \Pi)T = \zeta^A X_A \tag{6.39}$$

$$(D_T \Pi)T = \kappa(\not{d}^A \kappa) X_A \tag{6.40}$$

$$(D_{X_A} \Pi)T = -\kappa \theta_A^B X_B \tag{6.41}$$

Since $\Pi X_A = X_A$, for any vectorfield Z we have:

$$(D_Z \Pi)X_A = D_Z(\Pi X_A) - \Pi(D_Z X_A) = D_Z X_A - \Pi(D_Z X_A) \tag{6.42}$$

Obviously, the right hand side of the above is orthogonal to $S_{t,u}$. So we get

$$g((D_L \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_A) = \kappa^{-1} \lambda_i \zeta_A \tag{6.43}$$

$$g((D_T \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_A) = \lambda_i \not{d}_A \kappa \tag{6.44}$$

$$g((D_{X_A} \Pi)\mathring{R}_i, X_B) = -\lambda_i \theta_{AB} \tag{6.45}$$

Next, we shall compute $D\mathring{R}_i$. In an arbitrary coordinate system we have:

$$D_\mu \mathring{R}_i^\nu = \frac{\partial \mathring{R}_i^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda}\mathring{R}_i^\lambda \tag{6.46}$$

where $\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the acoustical metric g in the given coordinate system, and we have:

$$\Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda} = (g^{-1})^{\nu\kappa}\Gamma_{\mu\lambda\kappa} \tag{6.47}$$

Recall from Chapter 3, that in a Galilean coordinate system we have:

$$\Gamma_{000} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_t(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \tag{6.48}$$

$$\Gamma_{0i0} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_i(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2) \tag{6.49}$$

$$\Gamma_{ij0} = \partial_i\partial_j\phi \tag{6.50}$$

$$\Gamma_{00k} = \frac{1}{2}(2\partial_t\partial_k\phi - \partial_k(-\eta^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2)) \tag{6.51}$$

$$\Gamma_{i0k} = \Gamma_{ijk} = 0 \tag{6.52}$$

Now we can compute $g(D_L\mathring{R}_i, X_A), g(D_T\mathring{R}_i, X_A)$ and $g(D_{X_A}\mathring{R}_i, X_B)$.

$$g(D_L\mathring{R}_i, X_A) = g_{\mu\nu}L^\lambda D_\lambda \mathring{R}_i^\mu X_A^\nu = g_{\mu\nu}L^\lambda(\frac{\partial \mathring{R}_i^\mu}{\partial x^\lambda} + \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\gamma}\mathring{R}_i^\gamma)X_A^\nu \tag{6.53}$$

This is:

$$L^\lambda(g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial \mathring{R}_i^\mu}{\partial x^\lambda} + \Gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}\mathring{R}_i^\mu)X_A^\nu = L^l\bar{g}_{mn}\frac{\partial \mathring{R}_i^m}{\partial x^l}X_A^n = L^l\delta_{mn}\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^n = L^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^m \tag{6.54}$$

where we have used the expressions for Christoffel symbols and the fact that $\mathring{R}_i^0 = X_A^0 = 0$

Similarly, we have

$$g(D_T\mathring{R}_i, X_A) = T^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^m \tag{6.55}$$

and

$$g(D_{X_A}\mathring{R}_i, X_B) = X_A^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_B^m \tag{6.56}$$

Substituting the above in (6.35)-(6.37) we obtain the following:

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{LA} = -\chi_{AB}R_i^B + L^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^m + \kappa^{-1}\lambda_i\zeta_A \tag{6.57}$$

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{TA} = -\kappa\theta_{AB}R_i^B + T^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^m + \lambda_i\not{d}_A\kappa \tag{6.58}$$

and noting that ϵ_{ilm} is antisymmetric in l, m, while $X_A^lX_B^m + X_A^mX_B^l$ is symmetric in l, m:

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{AB} = -2\lambda_i\theta_{AB} \tag{6.59}$$

Since the coefficient of λ_i in (6.57) is $\kappa^{-1}\zeta_A$, and recalling from Chapter 3 that $\kappa^{-1}\zeta_A$, θ_{AB} are regular as $\mu \to 0$, these expressions are regular as $\mu \to 0$.

We introduce the functions y^i by setting:

$$\hat{T}^i = -\frac{x^i}{1-u+t} + y^i \tag{6.60}$$

using this and the fact that:

$$\epsilon_{ilm}x^l X_A^m = \sum_m \mathring{R}_i^m X_A^m = \not{g}_{AB}R_i^B \tag{6.61}$$

we rewrite the first two terms on the right hand side in (6.58) as:

$$-\kappa\theta_{AB}R_i^B + T^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^m = -\kappa(\theta_{AB} + \frac{\not{g}_{AB}}{1-u+t})R_i^B + \kappa\epsilon_{ilm}y^l X_A^m \tag{6.62}$$

Then (6.58) takes the form:

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{TA} = -\kappa(\theta_{AB} + \frac{\not{g}_{AB}}{1-u+t})R_i^B + \kappa\epsilon_{ilm}y^l X_A^m + \lambda_i \not{d}_A\kappa \tag{6.63}$$

Also we define the function z^i by setting:

$$L^i = \frac{x^i}{1-u+t} + z^i \tag{6.64}$$

By (6.61) and (6.65) and recalling: $L = \partial_0 - (\eta\hat{T}^i + \psi_i)\partial_i$, we get:

$$z^i = -\eta y^i + \frac{(\eta-1)x^i}{1-u+t} - \psi_i \tag{6.65}$$

Using (6.62) and (6.65), we rewrite the first two terms of (6.57):

$$-\chi_{AB}R_i^B + L^l\epsilon_{ilm}X_A^m = -(\chi_{AB} - \frac{\not{g}_{AB}}{1-u+t})R_i^B + \epsilon_{ilm}z^l X_A^m \tag{6.66}$$

So (6.57) takes the form:

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{LA} = -(\chi_{AB} - \frac{\not{g}_{AB}}{1-u+t})R_i^B + \epsilon_{ilm}z^l X_A^m + \lambda_i(\kappa^{-1}\zeta_A) \tag{6.67}$$

6.2 Preliminary Estimates for the Deformation Tensors

In the remainder of this chapter, we shall show how the deformation tensors ${}^{(Y)}\pi$ of the commutation vectorfields are to be controlled in terms of χ, μ and ψ_μ.

In the following, the assumptions **A1**, **A2**, **A3** are assumed to hold in $W_{\epsilon_0}^s$.

$$\mathbf{A1}: C^{-1} \leq \Omega \leq C$$
$$\mathbf{A2}: C^{-1} \leq \eta \leq C$$
$$\mathbf{A3}: \mu \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]$$

where the constant C is independent of s.

Recall that

$$\psi_\mu = \partial_\mu\phi \tag{6.68}$$

and we shall use use the following bootstrap assumptions on ψ_μ and their first derivatives. In the following we denote by δ_0 a positive contant, which is by definition less or equal to unity. This constant is used to keep track of the relative size of various quantities.

On the other hand, no smallness condition is assumed on ϵ_0, other than the condition: $\epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

There is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $W_{\epsilon_0}^s$,

$$\mathbf{E1}: |\psi_0 - h_0|, \quad |\psi_i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$
$$\mathbf{E2}: |T\psi_\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |L\psi_\mu|, \quad |\not{d}\psi_\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$

The second of **E2** shall follow from $|Q\psi_\mu| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$, while the third shall follow from $|R_i\psi_\mu| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} : i = 1,2,3$ in the actual estimates of Chapter 10,11 and 12.

We also have the bootstrap assumptions on μ and χ in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\mathbf{F1} : |T\mu| \le C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)], \quad |\not{d}\mu| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

$$\mathbf{F2} : |\chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Here the positive constant C is also independent of s.

Under the assumptions $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$, the estimates which we shall derive will hold in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ with constants which are independent of s.

First, recall that the enthalpy is $h = \psi_0 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 \psi_i^2$, so by **E** we have:

$$|h| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |Th| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |Lh|, \quad |\not{d}h| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.69}$$

Recall that $\hat{T}$ is the unit normal to the surface $S_{t,u}$ in Σ_t with respect to the induced acoustical metric $\bar{g}$, which is the Euclidean metric so:

$$|\hat{T}| = 1 \tag{6.70}$$

It follows by **E1** that

$$|\psi_{\hat{T}}| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.71}$$

Recalling that $L = \partial_0 - (\alpha\hat{T}^i + \psi_i)\partial_i$, from **E1** and (6.70) we have:

$$|L^i| \le C \tag{6.72}$$

Since $L^0 = 1$, we have :

$$|\psi_L| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.73}$$

Let us express $\bar{g}^{-1}$ in the frame $\hat{T}, X_1, X_2$

$$\delta^{ij} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij} = \hat{T}^i\hat{T}^j + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X^i_A X^j_B \tag{6.74}$$

It follows from **E1** that:

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\psi}|^2 = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{\psi}_A\not{\psi}_B &= (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X^i_A X^j_B\psi_i\psi_j \\ &\le (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij}\psi_i\psi_j = \sum_i(\psi_i)^2 \le C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2} \end{aligned} \tag{6.75}$$

that is :

$$|\not{\psi}| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.76}$$

For a bilinear form ω in the tangent space to $S_{t,u}$ at a point, the magnitude $|\omega|$ is given by:

$$|\omega|^2 = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}\omega_{AB}\omega_{CD} \tag{6.77}$$

Recall that $\not{k}_{AB} = X^i_A X^j_B k_{ij} = -\eta^{-1}X^i_A X^j_B\partial_i\psi_j$. So

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{k}|^2 = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}\not{k}_{AB}\not{k}_{CD} &= (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}X^i_A X^j_B k_{ij}X^l_C X^m_D k_{lm} \\ &= \eta^{-2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}X^i_A\not{d}_B\psi_i X^l_C\not{d}_D\psi_l \\ &\le C\eta^{-2}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}(\not{d}_B\psi_i)(\not{d}_D\psi_j) \\ = \eta^{-2}\sum_i(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}(\not{d}_B\psi_i)(\not{d}_D\psi_i) &= \eta^{-2}\sum_i|\not{d}\psi_i|^2 \le C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4} \end{aligned} \tag{6.78}$$

That is

$$|\not{k}| \le C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.79}$$

By assumptions **A2** and **A3** this implies:

$$\kappa|\not{k}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.80}$$

For a linear form v in the tangent space to $S_{t,u}$ at a point, the magnitude $|v|$ is given by:

$$|v|^2 = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} v_A v_B \tag{6.81}$$

From the definition in Chapter 3, $\epsilon_A = \kappa^{-1}k(X_A, T)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\epsilon|^2 &= (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\epsilon_A\epsilon_B = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}k_{A\hat{T}}k_{B\hat{T}} = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X^i_A X^j_B \hat{T}^l\hat{T}^m k_{il}k_{jm} \\ &= \eta^{-2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\hat{T}^l\hat{T}^m \not{d}_A\psi_l \not{d}_B\psi_m \leq \eta^{-2}\sum_i |\not{d}\psi_i|^2 \leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4} \end{aligned} \tag{6.82}$$

That is

$$|\epsilon| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.83}$$

Next, we shall estimate η. Recall that

$$\eta = (\frac{\rho'(h)}{\rho(h)})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6.84}$$

From the first of (6.69), it then follows that:

$$|\eta - 1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.85}$$

Differentiating (6.84) tangentially to $S_{t,u}$, we obtain $\not{d}\eta = (\frac{\rho'(h)}{\rho(h)})^{-\frac{1}{2}\prime}\not{d}h$, hence by the last of (6.69):

$$|\not{d}\eta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.86}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|T\eta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |L\eta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.87}$$

In view of the fact that $\kappa^{-1}\zeta_A = \eta\epsilon_A - \not{d}_A\alpha$, we then obtain:

$$|\kappa^{-1}\zeta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.88}$$

hence

$$|\zeta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.89}$$

We can also bound $L\mu$, given by (3.92) in Chapter 3:

$$L\mu = m + \mu e \tag{6.90}$$

where

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}Th, \quad e = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho'}{\rho})'Lh + \alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) \tag{6.91}$$

From (6.69) we have:

$$|m| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.92}$$

From (6.69), (6.70) and **E2** we have

$$|e| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.93}$$

Hence

$$|L\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.94}$$

In view of $\kappa = \alpha^{-1}\mu$, and the second of (6.87), we have:

$$|L\kappa| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.95}$$

Integrating this along the integral curves of L yields

$$|\kappa - \kappa_0| \leq C\delta_0 \log(1+t) \tag{6.96}$$

Here the value of κ_0 at a point in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ is the value of κ at the corresponding point on Σ_0 along the same integral curve of L. Combining (6.96) and **I0** we obtain the following estimates in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$|\kappa - 1| \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.97}$$

We now combine **F1** with (6.86) and the first of (6.87) to estimate the first derivatives of κ on Σ_t:

$$|T\kappa| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)], \quad |\not{d}\kappa| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.98}$$

In view of the fact that $\eta = \zeta + \not{d}\mu$, **F1** and (6.89) yield:

$$|\eta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.99}$$

Now we use **F2** to estimate

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi + \frac{d\log\Omega}{dh}Lh), \quad \underline{\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} + \frac{d\log\Omega}{dh}\underline{L}h) \tag{6.100}$$

If δ_0 is small enough, **F2** implies:

$$\mathrm{tr}\chi \geq C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.101}$$

This restriction on the size of δ_0 is imposed from now on.

Recall that:

$$\chi = \eta(\not{k} - \theta), \quad \underline{\chi} = \kappa(\not{k} + \theta) \tag{6.102}$$

Thus we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} = 2\kappa\mathrm{tr}\not{k} - \eta^{-1}\kappa\mathrm{tr}\chi, \hat{\underline{\chi}} = 2\kappa\hat{\not{k}} - \eta^{-1}\kappa\hat{\chi} \tag{6.103}$$

From (6.80), (6.96) and **F2** we have:

$$|\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)], \quad |\hat{\underline{\chi}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.104}$$

Also, using (6.79), (6.85) and **F2** we deduce:

$$|\theta + \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.105}$$

Finally, combining **F2**, (6.69) and (6.101), we obtain:

$$C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1} \leq \nu \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |\nu - (1-u+t)^{-1}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.106}$$

Also, from (6.69) and (6.104) we have:

$$|\underline{\nu}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.107}$$

We can now give the bounds of the deformation tensor of T and Q. In the following, we denote by ${}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_L$ and ${}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_{\underline{L}}$ the 1-forms on each surface $S_{t,u}$ with components:

$${}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_L(X_A) = {}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_{LA}, {}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_{\underline{L}}(X_A) = {}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_{\underline{L}A} \tag{6.108}$$

and similarly for ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L$ and ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}}$.

First, we estimate the deformation tensor of T. By (6.11), (6.97), (6.98) and (6.87) we have:

$$\mu^{-1}|{}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{LL}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.109}$$

By (6.12), **F1**, **A**, and (6.69) we have:

$$|{}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.110}$$

By (6.13), (6.89) and (6.99) we have

$$|{}^{(T)}\tilde{\not\pi}_L| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.111}$$

By (6.14), (6.89), (6.97) and (6.99) we have:

$$\mu^{-1}|{}^{(T)}\tilde{\not\pi}_{\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.112}$$

By (6.15), (6.97), (6.104) and **F2**, we have:

$$|{}^{(T)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.113}$$

Finally by (6.16), (6.97), (6.106) and (6.107) we have:

$$|\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(T)}\tilde{\not\pi}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.114}$$

Next, we estimate the deformation tensor of Q. By (6.18), (6.87), (6.95) and (6.97) we have:

$$\mu^{-1}|{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.115}$$

By (6.19), (6.69), (6.94) we have:

$$|{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.116}$$

By (6.21), (6.89) and (6.99) we have:

$$|{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi}_{\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.117}$$

By (6.22), **F2** we have:

$$|{}^{(Q)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.118}$$

By (6.23), (6.106) we have:

$$|\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi}| \leq C \tag{6.119}$$

We can obtain, in fact, a more precise estimate for $\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi}$:

$$\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi} - 4 = 4(\Omega-1)(1+t)\nu + 4(1+t)(\nu - \frac{1}{1+t}) \tag{6.120}$$

Recalling that $\Omega(0) = 1$, i.e. at the constant state, the conformal factor $\Omega = 1$, (6.69) implies:

$$|\Omega - 1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.121}$$

Then by (6.106), we get

$$|\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi} - 4| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.122}$$

where we have used the fact that the behavior of difference between $(1+t)^{-1}$ and $(1-u+t)^{-1}$ is like $(1+t)^{-2}$.

To bound the deformation tensor of R_i, we must get estimates for λ_i defined by (6.37), as well as the functions y_i and z_i defined by (6.60) and (6.64).

First we shall get upper and lower bounds for the function:

$$r = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{3}(x^i)^2} \tag{6.123}$$

Since r achieves its maximum value in $\Sigma_t \bigcap W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ at the outer boundary $\Sigma_t \bigcap C_0 = S_{t,0}$ where it is equal to $1+t$, we have:

$$r \leq 1+t \tag{6.124}$$

in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$.

For the lower bound, we have:

$$Tr = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{x^i T^i}{r} = \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{x^i \hat{T}^i}{r} \tag{6.125}$$

In view of (6.70), we get

$$|Tr| \leq \kappa \tag{6.126}$$

then by (6.97), we have:

$$|Tr| \leq 1 + C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.127}$$

Integrating (6.127) along the integral curves of T from $\Sigma_t \bigcap C_0 = S_{t,0}$ where $r = 1+t$ to $S_{t,u}$, we get

$$r \geq 1 - u + t - C\delta_0 u[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.128}$$

In $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$, $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$, hence taking δ_0 suitably small we get:

$$r \geq C^{-1}(1+t) \tag{6.129}$$

We proceed to derive the estimates for λ_i, from (6.37) we have:

$$\lambda_i = \bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, \hat{T}) \tag{6.130}$$

First, we derive the estimates for $\mathring{R}_i$ and R_i

$$|\mathring{R}_i| = \sqrt{r^2 - (x_i)^2} \leq r \leq 1+t \tag{6.131}$$

From (6.37), we have:

$$|R_i| \leq |\mathring{R}_i| \leq 1+t \tag{6.132}$$

Next, we derive an ordinary differential equation for λ_i along the integral curves of L. Note that the surfaces $S_{0,u}$ being spheres centered at the origin and we have $\hat{T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ on Σ_0, hence

$$\lambda_i = 0 \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_0 \tag{6.133}$$

Now we have:

$$L\lambda_i = \sum_m (L\mathring{R}_i^m)\hat{T}^m + \sum_m \mathring{R}_i^m L\hat{T}^m \tag{6.134}$$

Recall that $L = \partial_t - (\eta\hat{T}^i + \psi_i)\partial_i$ and $\mathring{R}_i^m = \epsilon_{ijm}x^j$.

$$\Rightarrow L\mathring{R}_i^m = -(\eta\hat{T}^j + \psi_j)\epsilon_{ijm} \tag{6.135}$$

While $L\hat{T}^m$ is given by (3.177):

$$L\hat{T}^m = p_L\hat{T}^m + q_L^m \tag{6.136}$$

Recall from Chapter 3, $p_L = 0$, and from (3.179) we have:

$$q_L = q_L^A X_A = q_L^A X_A^m \partial_m \Rightarrow q_L^m = q_L^A X_A^m \tag{6.137}$$

we thus obtain:

$$L\lambda_i = -\psi_j \hat{T}^m \epsilon_{ijm} + \epsilon_{ijm} q_L^A X_A^m x^j \tag{6.138}$$

where we have used the fact that $\epsilon_{ijm}\hat{T}^j\hat{T}^m = 0$.

Recalling from (3.183) that

$$q_L^A = -\kappa^{-1}\zeta^A \tag{6.139}$$

the estimate (6.88) gives

$$|q_L| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{6.140}$$

The second term on the right in (6.138) is $\bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, q_L)$.

By (6.131) and (6.140):

$$|\bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, q_L)| \leq |\mathring{R}_i||q_L| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.141}$$

using also **E1** we obtain:

$$|L\lambda_i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{6.142}$$

Integrating this along the integral curve of L, we conclude that:

$$|\lambda_i| \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.143}$$

Next, we should derive the estimates of the derivatives of λ_i tangent to the Σ_t. We have:

$$T\lambda_i = \sum_m (T\mathring{R}_i^m)\hat{T}^m + \sum_m \mathring{R}_i^m T(\hat{T}^m) \tag{6.144}$$

From $\mathring{R}_i^m = \epsilon_{ijm}x^j$, we have:

$$\hat{T}\mathring{R}_i^m = \epsilon_{ijm}\hat{T}^j \tag{6.145}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_m (T\mathring{R}_i^m)\hat{T}^m = \epsilon_{ijm}\hat{T}^j\hat{T}^m = 0$$

Hence:

$$T\lambda_i = \sum_m \mathring{R}_i^m T(\hat{T}^m) \tag{6.146}$$

From (3.192), we know that

$$T(\hat{T}^m) = p_T\hat{T}^m + q_T^m \tag{6.147}$$

From (3.193), we have $p_T = 0$, and from (3.194) and (3.195), we have $q_T^A = -X^A(\kappa)$. So

$$T\lambda_i = \bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, q_T) \Rightarrow |T\lambda_i| \leq |\mathring{R}_i||q_T| \tag{6.148}$$

and

$$|q_T|^2 = \not{g}_{AB} q_T^A q_T^B = |\not{d}\kappa|^2 \leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.149}$$

by the second of (6.98). In view also of (6.131) we conclude that:

$$|T\lambda_i| \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.150}$$

Next, we have:

$$\not{d}_A\mathring{R}_i^m = \epsilon_{ijm}\not{d}_A x^j = \epsilon_{ijm}X_A^j \tag{6.151}$$

$$\Rightarrow \not{d}_A \lambda_i = \sum_m (\not{d}_A \mathring{R}_i^m) \hat{T}^m + \sum_m \mathring{R}_i^m \not{d}_A \hat{T}^m = \epsilon_{ijm} X_A^j \hat{T}^m + \sum_m \mathring{R}_i^m (\not{p}_A \hat{T}^m + \not{q}_A^m) \tag{6.152}$$

where we have used (3.201). Also from (3.202) and (3.203) we have:

$$\not{p}_A = 0, \quad \not{q}_A^m = \theta_A^B X_B^m \tag{6.153}$$

Therefore:

$$\not{d}_A \lambda_i = \epsilon_{ijm} X_A^j \hat{T}^m + \bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, \not{q}_A) \tag{6.154}$$

By (6.60), the first term on the right in (6.154) is:

$$-\frac{\epsilon_{ijm} X_A^j x^m}{1-u+t} + \epsilon_{ijm} X_A^j y^m \tag{6.155}$$

On the other hand, the second term on the right in (6.154) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{R}_i^m \theta_A^B X_B^m &= \epsilon_{ijm} x^j \theta_A^B X_B^m \\ &= -\frac{\epsilon_{ijm} x^j X_A^m}{1-u+t} + \epsilon_{ijm} x^j (\theta_A^B + \frac{\delta_A^B}{1-u+t}) X_B^m \end{aligned} \tag{6.156}$$

The first terms in (6.155) and (6.156) cancel each other, hence we obtain:

$$\not{d}_A \lambda_i = \epsilon_{ijm} [X_A^j y^m + x^j (\theta_A^B + \frac{\delta_A^B}{1-u+t}) X_B^m] \tag{6.157}$$

In view of (6.105) and (6.124), the estimate

$$|y^i| \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)]$$

would imply:

$$|\not{d}\lambda_i| \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.158}$$

To derive the above estimate for the functions y^i, and a similar estimate for the functions x^i, we shall need another bound for r.

Note that for any vector V in euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have:

$$\sum_i \bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, V)^2 = r^2 |\Sigma V|^2 \tag{6.159}$$

where Σ is the Euclidean projection operator to the Euclidean spheres:

$$\Sigma_j^i = \delta_j^i - r^{-2} x^i x^j \tag{6.160}$$

We can check this with the identities:

$$\sum_i \epsilon_{ijm} \epsilon_{ikn} = \delta_{jk} \delta_{mn} - \delta_{jn} \delta_{km}, \quad \sum_i \Sigma_m^i \Sigma_n^i = \Sigma_n^m \tag{6.161}$$

Taking in (6.159) V to be $\hat{T}$, we obtain in view of (6.130):

$$|\Sigma \hat{T}|^2 = r^{-2} \sum_i (\lambda_i)^2 \tag{6.162}$$

Then by (6.129) and (6.143) we have:

$$|\Sigma \hat{T}| \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.163}$$

Consider N, the Euclidean outward unit normal to the Euclidean spheres:

$$N = \frac{x^i}{r}\partial_i \tag{6.164}$$

Then (6.125) takes the form:

$$Tr = \kappa \bar{g}(N, \hat{T}) \tag{6.165}$$

We decompose:

$$\hat{T} = \bar{g}(N, \hat{T})N + \Sigma\hat{T} \tag{6.166}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\hat{T}|^2 = |\bar{g}(N, \hat{T})|^2 + |\Sigma\hat{T}|^2$$

Recalling that $|\hat{T}| = 1$, we have:

$$1 - \bar{g}(N, \hat{T})^2 = |\Sigma\hat{T}|^2 \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.167}$$

If δ_0 is small enough, the right hand side above is no bigger than $\frac{1}{2}$. While on $S_{t,0}$, $\bar{g}(N, \hat{T}) = -1$, by continuity in u this implies the angle between $\hat{T}$ and N is greater than $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence

$$\bar{g}(\hat{T}, N) < 0$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq 1 + \bar{g}(N, \hat{T}) \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.168}$$

Going back to (6.165),

$$Tr + 1 = \kappa(1 + \bar{g}(N, \hat{T})) - (\kappa - 1) \tag{6.169}$$

From (6.97) and (6.168) we have:

$$|Tr + 1| \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.170}$$

Since $r = 1 + t$ on $S_{t,0}$, we have, on $S_{t,u}$:

$$r - 1 - t + u = \int_0^u (Tr + 1)du' \tag{6.171}$$

Using (6.170), we get:

$$r \leq 1 + t - u[1 - C\delta_0(1 + \log(1+t))] \tag{6.172}$$

If $C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] < 1$, (6.172) is stronger than (6.124), otherwise weaker.
From (6.128) and (6.172), we get

$$|\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{1-u+t}| \leq C\delta_0 u(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.173}$$

Consider now the vectorfield:

$$y' = \hat{T} + N = \Sigma\hat{T} + (1 + \bar{g}(N, \hat{T}))N \tag{6.174}$$

(6.163) and (6.168) imply:

$$|y'| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{6.175}$$

Recall (6.60) and $N^i = \frac{x^i}{r}$, we get:

$$y'^i = -\frac{x^i}{1-u+t} + y^i + \frac{x^i}{r} \Rightarrow y^i = y'^i - x^i(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{1-u+t}) \tag{6.176}$$

Using (6.124), (6.172) and (6.174) we have:

$$|y^i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.177}$$

By this and (6.65), **E1**, we have:

$$|z^i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.178}$$

We also used the fact that $|\alpha - 1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$.

We turn to estimate the deformation tensor of R_i. Since $\underline{L} = \alpha^{-1}\kappa L + 2T$, we get from (6.24) and (6.27)-(6.29):

$$^{(R_i)}\pi_{LL} = 0 \tag{6.179}$$

$$^{(R_i)}\pi_{L\underline{L}} = -2R_i\mu \tag{6.180}$$

$$^{(R_i)}\pi_{\underline{L}\underline{L}} = 4\mu R_i(\alpha^{-1}\kappa) \tag{6.181}$$

By **F1**, (6.85), (6.86), (6.97), (6.98) and (6.132), we have:

$$|^{(R_i)}\pi_{L\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.182}$$

$$\mu^{-1}|^{(R_i)}\pi_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.183}$$

Next, we estimate the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $^{(R_i)}\not\pi_L$, whose component $^{(R_i)}\pi_{LA}$ is given by (6.67). In view of **F2** and (6.132), the first term on the right of (6.67) is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$. The square magnitude of the second term is $(\not g^{-1})^{AB}\epsilon_{ilm}z^l X^m_A \epsilon_{irp}z^r X^p_B$. From (6.74), this is bounded by $\sum_m \epsilon_{ilm}z^l\epsilon_{irm}z^r = \sum_{l\neq i}(z^l)^2$. We have used the identity: $\sum_i \epsilon_{ijm}\epsilon_{ikn} = \delta_{jk}\delta_{mn} - \delta_{jn}\delta_{km}$. Then by (6.178), the second term in (6.67) is bounded by: $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$. From (6.88) and (6.143), the third term is bounded by: $C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$.

$$\Rightarrow |^{(R_i)}\not\pi_L| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.184}$$

Next, we estimate $^{(R_i)}\pi_{TA}$, which is given by (6.63).

By (6.105), the first term is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$.

By (6.97) and (6.177) we know that the second term is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$

Lastly, Using (6.98) and (6.143) the third term $\lambda_i \not d_A\kappa$ is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$.

So we get

$$|^{(R_i)}\not\pi_T| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.185}$$

Since $\underline{L} = \alpha^{-1}\kappa L + 2T$, we get:

$$|^{(R_i)}\not\pi_{\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.186}$$

Finally, we estimate $^{(R_i)}\not\pi_{AB}$, which is given by (6.59). Using **F2**, (6.80) and (6.143), this is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$. But we would like to bound its trace and trace-free part seperately.

Since $\mathrm{tr}^{(R_i)}\not\pi = 2\lambda_i(\alpha^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\chi - \mathrm{tr}\not k)$, also by **F2**, (6.79) and (6.143),

$$|\mathrm{tr}^{(R_i)}\not\pi| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.187}$$

as well as

$$|^{(R_i)}\hat{\not\pi}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.188}$$

Then we can substitute all these estimates to the expressions of $^{(R_i)}\tilde{\pi}$:

$$^{(R_i)}\tilde{\pi} = \Omega^{(R_i)}\pi + \frac{d\Omega}{dh}(R_i h)g \tag{6.189}$$

By (6.69) and (6.132) we have: $|R_i h| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$, then we get:

$$ {}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\pi}_{LL} = 0 \tag{6.190} $$

$$ |{}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.191} $$

$$ \mu^{-1}|{}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.192} $$

$$ |{}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\not\pi}_{L}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.193} $$

$$ |{}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\not\pi}_{\underline{L}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.194} $$

$$ |\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\not\pi}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.195} $$

$$ |{}^{(R_i)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{6.196} $$

Finally, we shall estimate the functions ${}^{(R_i)}\delta$, defined by:

$$ {}^{(R_i)}\delta = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} - \mu^{-1}Y\mu - 2\Omega^{-1}Y\Omega \tag{6.197} $$

which will play a role in Chaptter 7. Here $\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}$ is the trace with respect to $\tilde{g}$. Since $\tilde{g}^{-1} = \Omega^{-1}g^{-1}$, we have:

$$ \tilde{\mathrm{tr}}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} = \Omega^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} = \Omega^{-1}(-\mu^{-1}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} + \mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}) \tag{6.198} $$

Hence,

$$ {}^{(Y)}\delta = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega^{-1}\mu^{-1}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} - \mu^{-1}Y\mu + \Omega^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi} - 2\frac{d\Omega}{dh}Yh) \tag{6.199} $$

From (6.12), we find:

$$ {}^{(T)}\delta = \Omega^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(T)}\tilde{\not\pi} - \frac{d\Omega}{dh}Th) \tag{6.200} $$

From (6.19),

$$ {}^{(Q)}\delta = \Omega^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not\pi} - \frac{d\Omega}{dh}Qh) + 1 \tag{6.201} $$

From (6.69) and (6.114), we have:

$$ |{}^{(T)}\delta| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.202} $$

from (6.69) and (6.122), we have:

$$ |{}^{(Q)}\delta| \leq C \tag{6.203} $$

From (6.180) and (6.189), we have:

$$ {}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega R_i\mu - 2\mu R_i\Omega \tag{6.204} $$

$$ \Rightarrow {}^{(R_i)}\delta = \Omega^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(R_i)}\tilde{\not\pi} - \frac{d\Omega}{dh}R_ih) \tag{6.205} $$

By (6.69) and (6.195), we have:

$$ |{}^{(R_i)}\delta| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{6.206} $$

Chapter 7

Outline of the Derived Estimates of Each Order

7.1 The Inhomogeneous Wave Equations for the Higher Order Variations. The Recursion Formula for the Source Functions

Proposition 7.1 Let ψ be a solution of the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi = \rho \tag{7.1}$$

and let Y be an arbitrary vectorfield. Then

$$\psi' = Y\psi \tag{7.2}$$

satisfies the inhomogeneous wave equation:

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi' = \rho' \tag{7.3}$$

where the source function ρ' is related to the source function ρ by:

$$\rho' = \tilde{\mathrm{div}}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{J} + Y\rho + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}\rho \tag{7.4}$$

Here

$${}^{(Y)}\tilde{J}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}[(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\alpha}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\beta} + (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\alpha}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\beta} - (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}]^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\nu}\psi \tag{7.5}$$

is the commutation current associated to ψ and Y.

Proof. Let f_s be the local 1-parameter group generated by Y. We denote by f_{s*} the corresponding pullback. We then have:

$$\Box_{f_{s*}\tilde{g}}(f_{s*}\psi) = f_{s*}(\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi) = f_{s*}\rho \tag{7.6}$$

Now, in an arbitrary system of local coordinates,

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det\tilde{g}}}\partial_{\mu}((\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-\det\tilde{g}}\partial_{\nu}\psi) \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$\Box_{f_{s*}\tilde{g}}(f_{s*}\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det f_{s*}\tilde{g}}}\partial_{\mu}(((f_{s*}\tilde{g})^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-\det f_{s*}\tilde{g}}\partial_{\nu}(f_{s*}\psi)) \tag{7.8}$$

Let us differentiate (7.8) with respect to s at $s = 0$. In view of the facts that:

$$(\frac{d}{ds} f_{s*}\tilde{g})_{s=0} = \mathcal{L}_Y \tilde{g} = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} \tag{7.9}$$

$$(\frac{d}{ds}\sqrt{-\det f_{s*}\tilde{g}})_{s=0} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}\,\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} \tag{7.10}$$

$$(\frac{d}{ds}((f_{s*}\tilde{g})^{-1})^{\mu\nu})_{s=0} = -(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\alpha}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\beta\,(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{7.11}$$

and:

$$(\frac{d}{ds} f_{s*}\psi)_{s=0} = (\frac{d}{ds}\psi \circ f_s)_{s=0} = Y\psi \tag{7.12}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{ds}\Box_{f_{s*}\tilde{g}}(f_{s*}\psi))_{s=0} &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}\partial_\mu((\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}\partial_\nu\psi) \\ +\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}}\partial_\mu\{\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}&(-(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\alpha}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\beta\,(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi})\partial_\nu\psi \\ &\qquad + (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}\partial_\nu(Y\psi)\}\end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

On the other hand, by (7.6), we have:

$$(\frac{d}{ds}\Box_{f_{s*}\tilde{g}}(f_{s*}\psi))_{s=0} = (\frac{d}{ds} f_{s*}\rho)_{s=0} = (\frac{d}{ds}\rho \circ f_s)_{s=0} = Y\rho \tag{7.14}$$

Comparing (7.13) and (7.14) and in view of the expression (7.7) with $Y\psi$ in the role of ψ, the proposition follows. $\square$

Let X be an arbitrary vectorfield. In an arbitrary system of local coordinates the divergence of X with respect to the acoustical metric g is expressed by:

$$\mathrm{div}X = D_\mu X^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det g}X^\mu) \tag{7.15}$$

while its divergence with respect to the conformal acoustical metric $\tilde{g}$ is expressed by:

$$\tilde{\mathrm{div}}X = \tilde{D}_\mu X^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}}X^\mu) \tag{7.16}$$

Since

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \sqrt{-\det \tilde{g}} = \Omega^2\sqrt{-\det g} \tag{7.17}$$

we have:

$$\tilde{D}_\mu X^\mu = \Omega^{-2} D_\mu(\Omega^2 X^\mu) \tag{7.18}$$

Applying this to the vectorfield ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{J}$, we obtain:

$$\tilde{\mathrm{div}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{J} = \Omega^{-2}\mathrm{div}{}^{(Y)}J \tag{7.19}$$

where:

$${}^{(Y)}J = \Omega^{2\,(Y)}\tilde{J} \tag{7.20}$$

In an arbitrary local coordinates:

$${}^{(Y)}J^\mu = \frac{1}{2}((g^{-1})^{\mu\alpha}(g^{-1})^{\nu\beta} + (g^{-1})^{\nu\alpha}(g^{-1})^{\mu\beta} - (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(g^{-1})^{\alpha\beta})^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\nu\psi \tag{7.21}$$

Setting

$${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}^{\mu\nu} = (g^{-1})^{\mu\alpha}(g^{-1})^{\nu\beta\,(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{7.22}$$

we can write:

$$^{(Y)}J^{\mu} = (^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi})\partial_{\nu}\psi \tag{7.23}$$

We now consider the nth order variations ψ_n of the wave function ϕ, as defined in the begining of Chapter 6, by applying to a first order variation ψ_1 a string of commutation vectorfields $Y_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ of length $n - 1$. We shall use proposition 7.1 to derive a recursion formula for the corresponding source functions ρ_n:

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi_n = \rho_n \tag{7.24}$$

First, we have:

$$\rho_1 = 0 \tag{7.25}$$

Denoting by Y one of the $Y_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we have:

$$\psi_n = Y\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.26}$$

Applying Proposition 7.1 to ψ_{n-1} and ψ_n we get:

$$\rho_n = \tilde{\mathrm{div}}^{(Y)}\tilde{J}_{n-1} + Y\rho_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}\rho_{n-1} \tag{7.27}$$

Here,

$$^{(Y)}\tilde{J}^{\mu}_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2}[(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\alpha}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\beta} + (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\nu\alpha}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\beta} - (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}]^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\nu}\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.28}$$

is the commutation current associated to ψ_{n-1} and Y. Equation (7.27) is a recursion formula for the source functions ρ_n, but it is not quite in the form which can be used in our estimats. To obtain the appropriate form we consider instead the re-scaled sources:

$$\tilde{\rho}_n = \Omega^2\mu\rho_n \tag{7.29}$$

By direct computation and (7.27), (7.28) as well as (7.19)-(7.23), we have:

$$\tilde{\rho}_n = {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1} + Y\tilde{\rho}_{n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\delta\tilde{\rho}_{n-1} \tag{7.30}$$

Here,

$$^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1} = \mu\mathrm{div}^{(Y)}J_{n-1} \tag{7.31}$$

$$^{(Y)}J^{\mu}_{n-1} = (^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi})\partial_{\nu}\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.32}$$

and $^{(Y)}\delta$ are defined in Chapter 6:

$$^{(Y)}\delta = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathrm{tr}}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} - \mu^{-1}Y\mu - 2\Omega^{-1}Y\Omega \tag{7.33}$$

Moreover, by (7.25):

$$\tilde{\rho}_1 = 0 \tag{7.34}$$

7.2 The First Term in $\tilde{\rho}_n$

Since ψ_n is the solution of (7.24), we can apply Theorem 5.1 to ψ_n, provided that we can estimate:

$$\int_{W^t_u} Q_{0,0,n}d\mu_g = -\int_{W^t_u} \Omega^2\rho_n K_0\psi_n d\mu_g = -\int_{W^t_u} \tilde{\rho}_n(K_0\psi_n)dt'du'd\mu_{\slashed{g}} \tag{7.35}$$

and

$$\int_{W_u^t} Q_{1,0,n} d\mu_g = -\int_{W_u^t} \Omega^2 \rho_n (K_1\psi_n + \omega\psi_n) d\mu_g = -\int_{W_u^t} \tilde{\rho}_n (K_1\psi_n + \omega\psi_n) dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.36}$$

We have used here the fact that:

$$d\mu_g = \mu dt du d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.37}$$

First, we consider the contribution of the term ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}$ in $\tilde{\rho}_n$.

Let V be an arbitrary vectorfield defined in the spacetime domain W_{ϵ_0}. We decompose:

$$V = V^L L + V^{\underline{L}} \underline{L} + \not{V} \tag{7.38}$$

where $\not{V} = \Pi V = V^A X_A$ is tangent to $S_{t,u}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} V^L &= -\frac{1}{2\mu} g(V, \underline{L}) \\ V^{\underline{L}} &= -\frac{1}{2\mu} g(V, L) \\ V^A &= (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} g(V, X_B) \end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

The divergence of V is then expressed by:

$$\text{div} V = (D_L V)^L + (D_{\underline{L}} V)^{\underline{L}} + (D_{X_A} V)^A \tag{7.40}$$

Replacing V in (7.39) by $D_L V, D_{\underline{L}} V, D_{X_A} V$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_L V)^L &= -\frac{1}{2\mu} g(D_L V, \underline{L}) \\ (D_{\underline{L}} V)^{\underline{L}} &= -\frac{1}{2\mu} g(D_{\underline{L}} V, L) \\ (D_{X_A} V)^A &= (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} g(D_{X_A} V, X_B) \end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$

Moreover, substituting (7.38) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} g(D_{X_A} V, X_B) &= V^L g(D_{X_A} L, X_B) + V^{\underline{L}} g(D_{X_A} \underline{L}, X_B) + g(D_{X_A} \not{V}, X_B) \\ &= \chi_{AB} V^L + \underline{\chi}_{AB} V^{\underline{L}} + \not{g}(\not{D}_{X_A} \not{V}, X_B) \end{aligned} \tag{7.42}$$

hence:

$$(D_{X_A} V)^A = \text{tr}\chi V^L + \text{tr}\underline{\chi} V^{\underline{L}} + \not{\text{d}}\text{iv} \not{V} \tag{7.43}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$\text{div} V = -\frac{1}{2\mu} [g(D_L V, \underline{L}) + g(D_{\underline{L}} V, L)] + \text{tr}\chi V^L + \text{tr}\underline{\chi} V^{\underline{L}} + \not{\text{d}}\text{iv} \not{V} \tag{7.44}$$

We can express the first term on the right-hand side in terms of:

$$V_L = g(V, L), \quad V_{\underline{L}} = g(V, \underline{L}) \tag{7.45}$$

Using (3.131)-(3.138):

$$g(D_L V, \underline{L}) = L(g(V, \underline{L})) - g(V, D_L \underline{L}) = L(V_{\underline{L}}) + g(V, 2\zeta^A X_A) = L(V_{\underline{L}}) + 2\zeta_A V^A \tag{7.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(D_{\underline{L}} V, L) = \underline{L}(g(V, L)) - g(V, D_{\underline{L}} L) &= \underline{L}(V_L) - g(V, -L(\eta^{-1}\kappa) L + 2\eta^A X_A) \\ &= \underline{L}(V_L) + L(\eta^{-1}\kappa) V_L - 2\eta_A V^A \end{aligned} \tag{7.47}$$

Substituting the equation:

$$\eta_A - \zeta_A = \not{d}_A \mu \tag{7.48}$$

we have:

$$-2\mu \mathrm{div} V = L(V_{\underline{L}}) + \underline{L}(V_L) - 2\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}(\mu \not{V}) + L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)V_L + \mathrm{tr}\chi V_{\underline{L}} + \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} V_L \tag{7.49}$$

We shall apply the above formula to ${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1}$, given by (7.32). We introduce the vectorfields ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}$ and ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}$, associated to the commutation vectorfield Y, and tangent to $S_{t,u}$, by the condition that:

$$g(\tilde{Z}, V) = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}(L, \Pi V), \quad g(\tilde{\underline{Z}}, V) = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}(\underline{L}, \Pi V) \tag{7.50}$$

for any vector $V \in TW_{\epsilon_0}$. In terms of the null frames we have:

$${}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}^A X_A, \, {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}^A X_A \tag{7.51}$$

where:

$${}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}^A = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{LB}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}, \quad {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}^A = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}B}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} \tag{7.52}$$

Note that the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}$ and ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}$ correspond, through the induced metric $\not{g}$, to the 1-forms ${}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_L$ and ${}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}_{\underline{L}}$, respectively. Taking into account these definitions as well as the fact that:

$$\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} = -\frac{1}{\mu}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} + \mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}} \tag{7.53}$$

we deduce the following the components of ${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1}$:

$${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,L} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}(L\psi_{n-1}) + {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.54}$$

$${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,\underline{L}} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}(\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}) + {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2\mu}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}(L\psi_{n-1}) \tag{7.55}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu{}^{(Y)}\not{J}^A_{n-1} = & -\frac{1}{2}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}^A(\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}^A(L\psi_{n-1}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} - \mu\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}})\not{d}^A\psi_{n-1} + \mu{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}^A_B\not{d}^B\psi_{n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{7.56}$$

We note here that there is no $\frac{1}{\mu}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}$ in the expressions for ${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,L}$, ${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,\underline{L}}$, although such a term appears in (7.53). This is related to the fact that the operator Δ_g on the 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is conformally covariant. Here in the role of such a 2-dimensional manifold we have the 2-dimensional distribution of time-like planes spanned by L and $\underline{L}$ at each point. This distribution is not integrable, the obstruction to integrability being

$$\Pi[L, \underline{L}] = 2\Lambda, \quad \Lambda = -(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\zeta_B + \eta_B)X_A \tag{7.57}$$

However, the conformal covariance is still reflected by the fact that the restriction of ${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1}$ to the plane spanned by L, $\underline{L}$ depends only on the trace-free, relative to this plane, part of the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$ to the plane, therefore not on $\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}$. Similarly, one can easily check that ${}^{(Y)}\not{J}^A_{n-1}$ does not actually depend on $\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}$.

Applying (7.49) to ${}^{(Y)}J_{n-1}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1} = & -\frac{1}{2}L({}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,\underline{L}}) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{L}({}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,L}) + \not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}(\mu{}^{(Y)}\not{J}_{n-1}) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}L(\eta^{-1}\kappa){}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,L} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi{}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,\underline{L}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}{}^{(Y)}J_{n-1,L} \end{aligned} \tag{7.58}$$

The right hand side of (7.58) contains the principal terms, the derivatives of products of components of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ with derivatives of ψ_{n-1}, while the second line contains lower order terms of the form of triple products of connection coefficients of the null frame with components of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ and derivatives of ψ_{n-1}. We decompose:

$$ {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1} = {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1} \tag{7.59} $$

where ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}$ contains the terms which are products of components of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ with 2nd derivatives of ψ_{n-1}, ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}$ contains the terms which are products of 1st derivatives of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ with 1st derivatives of ψ_{n-1}, and ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1}$ contains the lower order terms.

Now, in view of (7.54)-(7.56), the first two terms on the right of (7.58) contain $L({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1})$ and $\underline{L}({}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1})$. In decomposing each of these into three parts as above, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1 Let V be a vectorfield defined on the spacetime domain $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ and tangent to $S_{t,u}$. Also, let f be a function on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. We then have:

$$ L(V \cdot \not{d}f) = V \cdot \not{d}Lf + \not{\mathcal{L}}_L V \cdot \not{d}f $$
$$ \underline{L}(V \cdot \not{d}f) = V \cdot \not{d}\underline{L}f + \not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}} V \cdot \not{d}f - (V \cdot \not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa))Lf $$

Here, we denote:

$$ \not{\mathcal{L}}_L V = \Pi \mathcal{L}_L V = \Pi[L, V], \quad \not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}} V = \Pi \mathcal{L}_{\underline{L}} V = \Pi[\underline{L}, V] \tag{7.60} $$

Proof. Since V is tangent to $S_{t,u}$ we have:

$$ V \cdot \not{d}f = V \cdot df \tag{7.61} $$

Hence,

$$ \begin{aligned} L(V \cdot \not{d}f) &= L(V \cdot df) = \mathcal{L}_L V \cdot df + V \cdot dLf = \mathcal{L}_L V \cdot df + V \cdot \not{d}Lf \\ \underline{L}(V \cdot \not{d}f) &= \underline{L}(V \cdot df) = \mathcal{L}_{\underline{L}} V \cdot df + V \cdot d\underline{L}f = \mathcal{L}_{\underline{L}} V \cdot df + V \cdot \not{d}\underline{L}f \end{aligned} \tag{7.62} $$

Since

$$ Lt = 1 \quad Lu = 0 $$

and

$$ S_{t,u} = \Sigma_t \bigcap C_u \tag{7.63} $$

and V is $S_{t,u}$-tangential, thus

$$ V(u) = V(t) = 0 \tag{7.64} $$

it follows that

$$ (\mathcal{L}_L V)(t) = L(Vt) - V(Lt) = 0 $$
$$ (\mathcal{L}_L V)(u) = L(Vu) - V(Lu) = 0 $$

So we have:

$$ \mathcal{L}_L V = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L V \tag{7.65} $$

Concerning the term,

$$ \mathcal{L}_{\underline{L}} V \tag{7.66} $$

we use the fact that:

$$\underline{L} = \eta^{-1}\kappa L + 2T \tag{7.67}$$

Then we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\underline{L}} V = (\eta^{-1}\kappa)\mathcal{L}_L V + 2\mathcal{L}_T V - (V \cdot \not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa))L \tag{7.68}$$

By an argument similar to that leading to (7.65), we can see that

$$\mathcal{L}_T V = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T V \tag{7.69}$$

Therefore

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}} V = (\eta^{-1}\kappa)\not{\mathcal{L}}_L V + 2\not{\mathcal{L}}_T V \tag{7.70}$$

So we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\underline{L}} V = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}} V - (V(\eta^{-1}\kappa))L \tag{7.71}$$

In view of (7.62), (7.65) and (7.71), the lemma follows. ☐

Applying Lemma 7.1 to the case $V = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}$, $f = \psi_{n-1}$, we obtain:

$$L({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1}) = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}L\psi_{n-1} + \not{\mathcal{L}}_L {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.72}$$

while applying Lemma 7.1 to the case $V = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}$, $f = \psi_{n-1}$, yields:

$$\underline{L}({}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1}) = {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\underline{L}\psi_{n-1} + \not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}} {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} - {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa)L\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.73}$$

Substituting (7.54)-(7.56), we get the following expressions in regard to the decomposition (7.59):

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}(L\underline{L}\psi_{n-1} + \nu\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}) \\ +\frac{1}{4}(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{LL}})L^2\psi_{n-1} \\ -{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\underline{L}\psi_{n-1} - {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}L\psi_{n-1} \\ +\frac{1}{2}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}\not{\Delta}\psi_{n-1} + \mu{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}} \cdot \not{D}^2\psi_{n-1}\end{aligned} \tag{7.74}$$

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1} = \frac{1}{4}L(\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}})\underline{L}\psi_{n-1} + \frac{1}{4}\underline{L}(\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}})L\psi_{n-1} \\ +\frac{1}{4}L(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{LL}})L\psi_{n-1} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\!\!/\mathrm{iv}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}\underline{L}\psi_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\!\!/\mathrm{iv}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}L\psi_{n-1} \\ +\frac{1}{2}\not{d}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} + \mathrm{d}\!\!/\mathrm{iv}(\mu{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}) \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1}\end{aligned} \tag{7.75}$$

and

$${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1} = {}^{(Y)}\sigma^L_{3,n-1}L\psi_{n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\sigma^{\underline{L}}_{3,n-1}\underline{L}\psi_{n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\not{\sigma}_{3,n-1} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.76}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(Y)}\sigma^{L}_{3,n-1} &= \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi} + \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\chi(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}) && (7.77)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}\cdot\not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa)\\
{}^{(Y)}\sigma^{\underline{L}}_{3,n-1} &= -\frac{1}{4}(L\log\Omega)\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi} && (7.78)\\
{}^{(Y)}\not\sigma_{3,n-1} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})\Lambda && (7.79)\\
-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} + L(\eta^{-1}\kappa))^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} &- \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that we have incorporated the term $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}\nu\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}$ into the first term on the right in (7.74). This term comes from the contribution $\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}\chi\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}$ of the first term on the right in (7.55) to the second term in the second line in (7.58). This leaves as a remainder the coefficient (7.78) of $\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}$ in (7.76).

7.3 The Estimates of the Contribution of the First Term in $\tilde{\rho}_n$ to the Error Integrals

We shall begin our estimates of the contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}$ to the error integrals (7.35), (7.36), with the estimates of the partial contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}$. We shall use the following assumptions:

G0: There is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, for all five commutation fields Y, we have:

$$ {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{LL} = 0 \tag{7.80}$$

$$ \mu^{-1}|{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.81}$$

$$ |{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.82}$$

$$ |{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}_{L}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.83}$$

$$ |{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}_{\underline{L}}| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.84}$$

$$ |{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not\pi}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.85}$$

$$ |{}^{(Y)}\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not\pi}| \leq C \tag{7.86}$$

This assumption comes from the results in Chapter 6.

We shall also use the assumptions concerning the set of rotation fields $\{R_i : i = 1, 2, 3\}$.

H0: There is a positive constant C independent of s such that for any function f differentiable on each surface $S_{t,u}$ we have:

$$ |\not{d}f|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i (R_i f)^2 \tag{7.87}$$

H1: There is a positive constant C independent of s such that for any 1-form ξ differentiable on each surface $S_{t,u}$ we have:

$$ |\not{D}\xi|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi|^2 \tag{7.88}$$

In particular, taking $\xi = \not{d}f$, where f is any function twice differentiable on each $S_{t,u}$, we have:

$$ |\not{D}^2 f|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\not{d}R_i f|^2 \tag{7.89}$$

H2: There is a positive constant C independent of s such that for any differentiable traceless symmetric 2-covariant tensorfield ϑ on each surface $S_{t,u}$ we have:

$$|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 \tag{7.90}$$

Similarly as in Chapter 5 we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t) &= \sum\int_{\Sigma^u_t}\frac{\Omega}{2}\{\eta^{-1}\kappa(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2 + (\underline{L}\psi_n)^2 + (1+2\eta^{-1}\kappa)\mu|\not{d}\psi_n|^2\}d\mu_{\not{g}}du' \\
\mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u) &= \sum\int_{C^t_u}\Omega\{(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi_n|^2\}d\mu_{\not{g}}dt' \\
\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t) &= \sum\int_{\Sigma^u_t}\frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\nu^{-1}\{\eta^{-1}\kappa(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi_n|^2\}d\mu_{\not{g}}du' \\
\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u) &= \sum\int_{C^t_u}\Omega\omega\nu^{-1}(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'
\end{aligned}$$

In each of the above the sum is over the set of ψ_n of the form (7.26) as Y ranges over the set $\{Y_i : i = 1,2,3,4,5\}$. Also as in Chapter 5, we have:

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t) \leq \sum\int_0^u\{\int_{S_{t,u'}}[\mu(1+\mu)((L\psi_n)^2 + |\not{d}\psi_n|^2) + (\underline{L}\psi_n)^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}du' \leq C\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t) \tag{7.91}$$

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u) \leq \sum\int_0^t\{\int_{S_{t',u}}[(1+\mu)(L\psi_n)^2 + \mu|\not{d}\psi_n|^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}dt' \leq C\mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u) \tag{7.92}$$

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t) \leq (1+t)^2\sum\int_0^u\{\int_{S_{t,u'}}\mu[(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 + |\not{d}\psi_n|^2]d\mu_{\not{g}}\}du' \leq C\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t) \tag{7.93}$$

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u) \leq \sum\int_0^t(1+t')^2\{\int_{S_{t',u}}(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}\}dt' \leq C\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u) \tag{7.94}$$

Also, the sum has the same meaning as the above. And we can define the following quantities which are non-decreasing in t at each u as in Chapter 5:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_{0,n}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t') \tag{7.95}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u) \tag{7.96}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}'^u_{1,n}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t') \tag{7.97}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}\mathcal{F}'^{t'}_{1,n}(u) \tag{7.98}$$

Obviously, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^u_{0,n}(t)$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}'^u_{1,n}(t)$ are also non-decreasing functions of u at each t.

The contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}$ to (7.35) and (7.36) is

$$-\int_{W^t_u}(K_0\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.99}$$

and

$$-\int_{W^t_u}(K_1\psi_n+\omega\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.100}$$

respectively.

We shall first consider the contribution from ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}$ to each of these integrals and we begin with the contribution to the integral (7.99). We first consider the first term on the right-hand side of (7.74):

$$\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}(L\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}+\nu\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}) \tag{7.101}$$

Now $\underline{L}$ can be expressed in terms of commutation vectorfields by:

$$\underline{L}=\eta^{-1}\kappa(1+t)^{-1}Q+2T \tag{7.102}$$

Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(L\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}+\nu\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}) &= (LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\eta^{-1}\kappa(1+t)^{-1}(LQ\psi_{n-1}+\nu Q\psi_{n-1})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}(1+t)^{-1}(L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)-(1+t)^{-1}\eta^{-1}\kappa)Q\psi_{n-1}\end{aligned} \tag{7.103}$$

Here we estimate the contribution of the first term on the right in (7.103), which is the leading term. The contributions of the other two terms are easier to estimate because of the presence of the decay factor $(1+t)^{-1}$.

We thus first estimate

$$-\int_{W^t_u}(K_0\psi_n)\text{tr}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi}(LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1})dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.104}$$

By the last of **G0**, this is bounded in absolute value by: $C(M^L+M^{\underline{L}})$, where

$$M^L=\int_{W^t_u}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n||LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.105}$$

$$M^{\underline{L}}=\int_{W^t_u}|\underline{L}\psi_n||LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.106}$$

Since T is one of the commutation fields, we have, by (7.94) and (7.98), for all $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$,

$$\int_{C^t_u}(1+t')^2(LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1})^2d\mu_{\not g}dt'\leq C\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{7.107}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{W^t_u}(1+t')^2(LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1})^2d\mu_{\not g}dt'du'\leq C\int_0^u\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{7.108}$$

We estimate:

$$M^{\underline{L}}\leq\int_0^t[(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}^u_0(t')]^{1/2}[(1+t')^{3/2}\int_{\Sigma^u_{t'}}(LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1})^2]^{1/2}dt' \tag{7.109}$$

Let us define:

$$F(t,u):=\int_0^t(1+t')^2(\int_{\Sigma^u_{t'}}(LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1})^2)dt' \tag{7.110}$$

Therefore

$$F(t,u)\leq C\int_0^u\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \tag{7.111}$$

Then

$$\frac{dF}{dt}(t,u) = (1+t)^2 \int_{\Sigma_t^u} (LT\psi_{n-1} + \nu T\psi_{n-1})^2 \tag{7.112}$$

What we need to estimate is

$$I(t) := \int_0^t (1+t')^{3/2} (\int_{\Sigma_t^u} (LT\psi_{n-1} + \nu T\psi_{n-1})^2) dt' \tag{7.113}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1/2} \frac{dF}{dt'}(t') dt' \\ &= (1+t)^{-1/2} F(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (1+t')^{-3/2} F(t') dt' \end{aligned} \tag{7.114}$$

Substitute (7.111) we obtain

$$I(t) \leq C \int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u') du' (1+t)^{-1/2} [1 + \log(1+t)]^4 \leq C' \int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u') du' \tag{7.115}$$

Therefore

$$M^{\underline{L}} \leq C(\int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u') du')^{1/2} (\int_0^t (1+t')^{-3/2} \mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t') dt')^{1/2} \tag{7.116}$$

We turn to M^L given by (7.105):

$$\begin{aligned} M^L &\leq (\int_{W_u^t} \Omega (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not g})^{1/2} \\ &\cdot (\int_{W_u^t} \Omega^{-1} (1 + \eta^{-1}\kappa)(LT\psi_{n-1} + \nu T\psi_{n-1})^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not g})^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(\int_0^u \mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u') du')^{1/2} \cdot (\int_{W_u^t} [1 + \log(1+t')](LT\psi_{n-1} + \nu T\psi_{n-1})^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not g})^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{7.117}$$

where we have used assumption **A**. We can estimate the integral in the last factor in a similar way as we estimate $M^{\underline{L}}$:

$$\int_{W_u^t} [1 + \log(1+t')](LT\psi_{n-1} + \nu T\psi_{n-1})^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not g} \leq C \int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u') du' \tag{7.118}$$

Therefore we obtain:

$$M^L \leq C(\int_0^u \mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u') du')^{1/2} (\int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}'}^t_{1,n}(u') du')^{1/2} \tag{7.119}$$

This completes the estimate of the integral (7.104).

We then turn to estimate the contribution of the second term on the right in (7.74)

$$(1/4)(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{LL}}) L^2\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.120}$$

to the integral (7.99).

Writing

$$L^2\psi_{n-1} = L((1+t)^{-1} Q\psi_{n-1}) = (1+t)^{-1} LQ\psi_{n-1} - (1+t)^{-2} Q\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.121}$$

what we must estimate is

$$-(1/4)\int_{W_u^t}(K_0\psi_n)(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{LL}})(1+t')^{-1}(LQ\psi_{n-1})dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.122}$$
$$+(1/4)\int_{W_u^t}(K_0\psi_n)(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{LL}})(1+t')^{-2}(Q\psi_{n-1})dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}$$

By (7.81), the first integral is bounded in absolute value by

$$C(I_1^{\underline{L}}+I_1^L) \tag{7.123}$$

where

$$I_1^{\underline{L}}=\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^{-1}|\underline{L}\psi_n|[1+\log(1+t')]|LQ\psi_{n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.124}$$

$$I_1^L=\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]|LQ\psi_{n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.125}$$

We estimate:

$$I_1^{\underline{L}}\le C\{\int_{W_u^t}(\underline{L}\psi_n)^2(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}\{\int_{W_u^t}(LQ\psi_{n-1})^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2} \tag{7.126}$$
$$\le C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}$$

and,

$$I_1^L\le C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du' \tag{7.127}$$

where we have used the fact that for any $t\ge 0,(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$ is bounded.

Also, by (7.81), the second integral in (7.122) is bounded by:

$$C\int_{W_u^t}|\underline{L}\psi_n||Q\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.128}$$
$$+C\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n||Q\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}$$
$$\le C\{\int_{W_u^t}(\underline{L}\psi_n)^2(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}$$
$$\cdot\{\int_{W_u^t}(Q\psi_{n-1})^2(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}$$
$$+C\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}$$
$$\cdot\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(Q\psi_{n-1})^2(1+t')^{-4}[1+\log(1+t')]^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}$$
$$\le C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}$$
$$\cdot\{\epsilon_0^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}$$
$$+C\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\epsilon_0^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-4}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}$$

Here we have made use of Lemma 5.1 with ψ_n in the role of ψ, namely

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \sum \psi_n^2 d\mu_{\not g} \leq C\epsilon_0 \mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t) \tag{7.129}$$

the sum being over the set of ψ_n of the form (7.26) as Y ranges over the set $\{Y_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$.

Next, we shall estimate the contribution of the third and fourth terms on the right in (7.74):

$$-{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not d \underline{L}\psi_{n-1} - {}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}} \cdot \not d L\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.130}$$

to the integral (7.99).

Expressing $\underline{L}$ and L in terms of commutation fields T, Q,

$$\underline{L} = \eta^{-1}\kappa(1+t)^{-1}Q + 2T, \quad L = (1+t)^{-1}Q \tag{7.131}$$

(7.130) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} -2^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not d T\psi_{n-1} - (1+t)^{-1}(\eta^{-1}\kappa^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} + {}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}) \cdot \not d Q\psi_{n-1} \\ -(1+t)^{-1(Y)}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not d(\eta^{-1}\kappa)Q\psi_{n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{7.132}$$

The last term is a lower order term and its contribution is easily estimated.

Using (7.83), (7.84) and noting that $T\psi_{n-1}, Q\psi_{n-1}$ are among the ψ_n, the contribution of (7.132) to the error integral (7.99) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_u^t} |\underline{L}\psi_n||\not d\psi_n|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \\ + \int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n||\not d\psi_n|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \end{aligned} \tag{7.133}$$

Here the first integral is bounded by:

$$\{\int_{W_u^t} (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\underline{L}\psi_n)^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}\{\int_{W_u^t} |\not d\psi_n|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2} \tag{7.134}$$

The integral in the first factor in (7.134) is bounded by:

$$C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 \mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt' \tag{7.135}$$

while the integral in the second factor decomposes into:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t} |\not d\psi_n|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} + \int_{\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W_u^t} |\not d\psi_n|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.136}$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is defined in Chapter 5:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x \in W_{\epsilon_0}^* : \mu < 1/4\} \tag{7.137}$$

Since $4\mu \geq 1$ in $\mathcal{U}^c$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}^c\bigcap W_u^t} |\not d\psi_n|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \leq 4\int_{W_u^t} \mu|\not d\psi_n|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du' \tag{7.138}$$

To estimate the integral over $\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t$ we use the spacetime integral $K_n(t,u)$ defined by (5.195), with ψ_n in the role of ψ:

$$K_n(t,u) = -\int_{W_u^t} \frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\nu^{-1}\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-|\not d\psi_n|^2 d\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.139}$$

According to $\mathbf{C3}, \mathbf{B1}, \mathbf{D1}$, we have:

$$K_n(t,u) \geq \frac{1}{C} \int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^t} (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1} |\not{d}\psi_n|^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.140}$$

Recalling the definition:

$$\bar{K_n}(t,u) = \sup_{t' \in [0,t]} [1+\log(1+t')]^{-4} K_n(t',u) \tag{7.141}$$

and noting that for $t' \in [t_{m-1}, t_m]$ it holds that:

$$(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')] \leq 2(1+t_m)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t_m)] \tag{7.142}$$

we then obtain:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^{t_{m-1},t_m}} |\not{d}\psi_n|^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq C A'_m \bar{K_n}(t_m, u) \tag{7.143}$$

where:

$$A'_m = (1+t_m)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t_m)]^5 = (1+2^{m+r})^{-1}[1+\log(1+2^{m+r})]^5 \tag{7.144}$$

Hence, in view of the fact that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A'_m$ is convergent and $\bar{K_n}(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t, we conclude that:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^t} |\not{d}\psi_n|^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \int_{\mathcal{U} \bigcap W_u^{t_{m-1},t_m}} |\not{d}\psi_n|^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq C\bar{K_n}(t,u) \tag{7.145}$$

Combining with (7.138) we get

$$\int_{W_u^t} |\not{d}\psi_n|^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq \bar{K_n}(t,u) + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u') du' \tag{7.146}$$

Thus, the first integral in (7.133) is bounded in absolute value by

$$C\left(\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 \mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t') dt'\right)^{1/2} \left(\bar{K_n}(t,u) + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u') du'\right)^{1/2} \tag{7.147}$$

Also, in view of (7.92) and the estimate (7.146), the second integral in (7.133) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{\int_{W_u^t} (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 (1+\alpha^{-1}\kappa)^2 (L\psi_n)^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}}\right\}^{1/2} \cdot \left\{\int_{W_u^t} |\not{d}\psi_n|^2 dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}}\right\}^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \leq C\left\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u') du'\right\}^{1/2} \left\{\bar{K_n}(t,u) + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u') du'\right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{7.148}$$

Finally, we estimate the contribution of the last term in (7.74),

$$(1/2)^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} \not{\Delta}\psi_{n-1} + \mu \, {}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}} \cdot \not{D}^2 \psi_{n-1} \tag{7.149}$$

to the error integral (7.99). From (7.82) and (7.85), this contribution can be bounded by

$$C \int_{W_u^t} |K_0\psi_n| |\not{D}^2\psi_{n-1}| [1+\log(1+t')] dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.150}$$

By $\mathbf{H1}$,

$$|\not{D}^2\psi_{n-1}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \sum_i |\not{d}R_i\psi_{n-1}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \sum |\not{d}\psi_n| \tag{7.151}$$

since the $R_i\psi_{n-1}$ are among the ψ_n. So (7.160) can be bounded by

$$C\int_{W_u^t}|K_0\psi_n||\not{d}\psi_n|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.152}$$

which is the integral (7.133) bounded by (7.148).

We collect the above results in the following lemma:

Lemma 7.2 We have:

$$|\int_{W_u^t}(K_0\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}| \tag{7.153}$$

$$\leq C\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'+\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\} \tag{7.154}$$

$$+C\{(\int_0^u\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,n}(u')du')^{1/2}+(\bar{K_n}(t.u))^{1/2}\} \tag{7.155}$$

$$\times\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'+\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2} \tag{7.156}$$

We now consider the contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}$ to the integral (7.100). Since

$$K_1=(\omega/\nu)L \tag{7.157}$$

(7.100) equals

$$-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.158}$$

What we consider here is

$$-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.159}$$

By **B1** and **D1** we have:

$$C^{-1}(1+t)^2\leq\omega/\nu\leq C(1+t)^2 \tag{7.160}$$

So (7.159) is bounded by:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^2|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n||{}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.161}$$

We shall estimate this integral.

We begin with the contribution of the first term on the right in (7.74). We expand this term as in (7.103). So the contribution of the leading term in (7.103) is

$$\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^2|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n||\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}||LT\psi_{n-1}+\nu T\psi_{n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.162}$$

By (7.86) and the fact that $T\psi_{n-1}$ is one of the ψ_n this is bounded by

$$C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du' \tag{7.163}$$

To estimate the contribution of the second term on the right in (7.74), we write:

$$\begin{aligned}L^2\psi_{n-1}&=(1+t)^{-1}LQ\psi_{n-1}-(1+t)^{-2}Q\psi_{n-1} \qquad (7.164)\\&=(1+t)^{-1}(LQ\psi_{n-1}+\nu Q\psi_{n-1})-(1+t)^{-2}(1+(1+t)\nu)Q\psi_{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

So by (7.81) and the fact that $Q\psi_{n-1}$ is one of the ψ_n, the contribution in question can be bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \\ &+C\int_{W_u^t}[1+\log(1+t')]|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n||\psi_n|dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \\ \leq\, &C\int_0^u {\mathcal{F}'}^t_{1,n}(u')du' + C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\epsilon_0^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t')dt'\}^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{7.165}$$

To estimate the contribution of the third and fourth term on the right in (7.74), we shall use the expression (7.132). Using (7.83),(7.84) the contribution in question is bounded by:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n||\not{d}\psi_n|dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.166}$$

which is in turn bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^2|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2} \\ \times&\{\int_{W_u^t}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{7.167}$$

Now, the integral in the first factor in (7.167) is bounded by

$$C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'^{1/2} \tag{7.168}$$

The integral in the second factor in (7.167) decomposes into:

$$\int_{W_u^t\bigcap\mathcal{U}}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}+\int_{W_u^t\bigcap\mathcal{U}^c}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.169}$$

In $\mathcal{U}^c$ we have $4\mu\geq 1$;we can thus estimate, in view of (7.93),

$$\int_{W_u^t\bigcap\mathcal{U}^c}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\leq 4\int_{W_u^t}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mu|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.170}$$

$$\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t')dt' \tag{7.171}$$

on the other hand, by (7.140),

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}\bigcap W_u^t}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\leq CK_n(t,u) \tag{7.172}$$

So we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{W_u^t}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_n|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \\ &\leq C\{K_n(t,u)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t')dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{7.173}$$

Thus (7.166) is bounded by

$$C\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{K_n(t,u)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{7.174}$$

Finally, we estimate the contribution of the last two terms on the right in (7.74). By (7.82), (7.85) and (7.151) this is bounded by (7.166), which has already been estimated.

We collect the above results in the following lemma:

Lemma 7.3 We have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{W^t_u}(K_1\psi_n+\omega\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\right| \\ &\leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du' \\ &+C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{K_n(t,u)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,n}(t')+\epsilon_0^2\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t'))dt'\}^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{7.175}$$

To estimate the contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}$ to (7.99) and (7.100), we shall use the following assumptions:

G1: There is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, for all five commutation vectorfields we have:

$$Y({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{LL})=0 \tag{7.176}$$

$$|Y(\mu^{-1}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}})|\leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.177}$$

$$|Y({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}})|\leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.178}$$

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L)|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.179}$$

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y({}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}})|\leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.180}$$

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y({}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}})|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.181}$$

$$|Y({}^{(Y)}\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}})|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.182}$$

Here in each line Y occurs twice. In each of these occurances Y is meant to range *independently* over the set of the five commutation fields $\{Y_i : i=1,2,3,4,5\}$.

The last of **G1** seems stronger than what corresponds to the last of **G0**, however, recall that by (6.114), (6.122) and (6.195) of Chapter 6, we have:

$$|{}^{(Y_i)}\mathrm{tr}\tilde{\not{\pi}}|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] : i\neq 2$$

while for $Y_2=Q$ we have:

$$|\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}-4|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

So the last of **G1** is in fact in accordance with the other assumptions.

In the following we shall use the bounds:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}}(\underline{L}\psi_{n-1})^2d\mu_{\not{g}}\leq C\epsilon_0\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t) \tag{7.183}$$

$$\int_{S_{t,u}}(L\psi_{n-1})^2d\mu_{\not{g}}\leq C\epsilon_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t)$$

$$\int_{S_{t,u}}|\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|^2d\mu_{\not{g}}\leq C\epsilon_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t)$$

These follow from (7.129) using the expressions of L and $\underline{L}$ in terms of the commutation fields T, Q, as well as **H0**.

We consider now the error integral:

$$-\int_{W_u^t}(K_0\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.184}$$

The first term in (7.75),

$$(1/4)L(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}$$

involves $L(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})$. Writing $L=(1+t)^{-1}Q$, we have, from **G1**,

$$|L(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})|\leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.185}$$

It follows that the contribution of the first term to the error integral (7.184) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_2^{\underline{L}}+I_2^L)$$

where

$$I_2^{\underline{L}}=\int_{W_u^t}|\underline{L}\psi_n||\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.186}$$

$$I_2^L=\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n||\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not g} \tag{7.187}$$

Using the first of (7.183) we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}I_2^{\underline{L}}\leq&\int_0^t\{\int_0^u\int_{S_{t',u'}}(\underline{L}\psi_n)^2d\mu_{\not g}du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^u\int_{S_{t',u'}}(\underline{L}\psi_{n-1})^2d\mu_{\not g}du'\}^{1/2}\\&\cdot(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'\\\leq& C\int_0^t\{\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')\}^{1/2}\{\epsilon_0^2\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')\}^{1/2}(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'\\=&C\epsilon_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{7.188}$$

and (using **A**):

$$\begin{aligned}I_2^L\leq&\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}\\&\cdot\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(\underline{L}\psi_{n-1})^2(1+t')^{-4}[1+\log(1+t')]^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not g}\}^{1/2}\\\leq& C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-4}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{7.189}$$

The second term in (7.75),

$$(1/4)\underline{L}(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})L\psi_{n-1} \tag{7.190}$$

involves $\underline{L}(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})$. Writing $\underline{L}=2T+\alpha^{-1}\kappa(1+t)^{-1}Q$, we have, by **G1**,

$$|\underline{L}(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not\pi})|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.191}$$

So the contribution of the second term is bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_3^{\underline{L}}+I_3^L)$$

where:

$$I_3^{\underline{L}} = \int_{W_u^t} |\underline{L}\psi_n||L\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.192}$$

$$I_3^{L} = \int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n||L\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.193}$$

using the second of (7.183), we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^{\underline{L}} \leq & \int_0^t \{\int_0^u \int_{S_{t',u'}} (\underline{L}\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^u \int_{S_{t',u'}} (L\psi_{n-1})^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}du'\}^{1/2} \\ & \cdot(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \\ \leq & \, C\int_0^t \{\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')\}^{1/2}\{\epsilon_0^2(1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')\}^{1/2}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \\ = & \, C\epsilon_0\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{7.194}$$

and (using **A**):

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^{L} \leq & \{\int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \\ & \cdot\{\int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_{n-1})^2(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \\ \leq & \, C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-4}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{7.195}$$

The third term in (7.75),

$$(1/4)L(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}})L\psi_{n-1}$$

involves $L(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}})$. Writing $L = (1+t)^{-1}Q$, we have, from **G1**:

$$|L(\mu^{-1(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{\underline{L}\underline{L}})| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.196}$$

It follows that the contribution in question can be also bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_3^{\underline{L}} + I_3^{L})$$

The term fourth and fifth terms in (7.75),

$$-\frac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}\cdot\not{d}\psi_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}\cdot\not{d}\psi_{n-1}$$

involve $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}$ and $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}$. Now from (7.50)-(7.52), we have:

$${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L = \not{g}\cdot{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}, \, {}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}} = \not{g}\cdot{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L &= \not{g}\cdot\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} + {}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}\cdot{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z} \\ \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}} &= \not{g}\cdot\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} + {}^{(Y)}\not{\pi}\cdot{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} \end{aligned} \tag{7.197}$$

Since

$${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi} = \Omega({}^{(Y)}\pi + Y(\log\Omega)g)$$

and by **E1** and **E2** we have:

$$|Yh| \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \tag{7.198}$$

which implies

$$|Y \log \Omega| \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \tag{7.199}$$

for all five commutation vectorfields Y, then by **G0** and **G1** we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}| &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ |\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y {}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}| &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned} \tag{7.200}$$

These imply, writing $\underline{L} = 2T + \eta^{-1}\kappa(1+t)^{-1}Q$, $L = (1+t)^{-1}Q$, that

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{\underline{L}} {}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}|, |\not{\mathcal{L}}_L {}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.201}$$

So the contribution of the fourth and fifth terms in (7.75) can be bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_4^{\underline{L}} + I_4^{L})$$

where:

$$I_4^{\underline{L}} = \int_{W_u^t} |\underline{L}\psi_n||\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.202}$$

$$I_4^{L} = \int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|L\psi_n||\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.203}$$

Using the last of (7.183), we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^{\underline{L}} &\leq \int_0^t \{\int_0^u \int_{S_{t',u'}} (\underline{L}\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} du'\}^{1/2} \{\int_0^u \int_{S_{t',u'}} |\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} du'\}^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \cdot (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \\ &\leq C\int_0^t \{\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')\}^{1/2}\{\epsilon_0^2(1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')\}^{1/2}(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \\ &= C\epsilon_0 \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{7.204}$$

and (using **A**)

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^{L} &\leq \{\int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)(L\psi_n)^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \{\int_{W_u^t} (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)|\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|^2(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-4}[1+\log(1+t')]^3\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{7.205}$$

The sixth and seventh terms in (7.75) involve $\not{\mathrm{div}}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}$ and $\not{\mathrm{div}}\,{}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}$. Now by **H1** applied to the 1-forms $\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L$, $\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}}$ on each $S_{t,u}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{D}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}|^2 = |\not{D}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L|^2 &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\mathcal{L}_{R_i}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L|^2 \\ |\not{D}\,{}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}|^2 = |\not{D}\,{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}}|^2 &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\mathcal{L}_{R_i}{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}}|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{7.206}$$

Then from **G1**:

$$|\not{D}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.207}$$
$$|\not{D}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Thus, also:

$$|\not{\mathrm{div}}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.208}$$
$$|\not{\mathrm{div}}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

It then follows that the contribution of the sixth term in (7.75)

$$-(1/2)\not{\mathrm{div}}^{(Y)}\tilde{Z}\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}$$

is bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_2^L + I_2^{\underline{L}})$$

while the contribution of the seventh term in (7.75)

$$-(1/2)\not{\mathrm{div}}^{(Y)}\underline{\tilde{Z}}L\psi_{n-1}$$

is bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_3^L + I_3^{\underline{L}})$$

The eighth term in (7.75),

$$(1/2)\not{d}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1}$$

involves $\not{d}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}$. By **H0** and **G1**,

$$|\not{d}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\sum_i (R_i{}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}})^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.209}$$

It then follows that the contribution of this term is bounded by:

$$C(I_4^L + I_4^{\underline{L}})$$

Finally, the last term in (7.75),

$$\not{\mathrm{div}}(\mu\,{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}) \cdot \not{d}\psi_{n-1}$$

involves

$$\not{\mathrm{div}}(\mu\,{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}) = \mu\not{\mathrm{div}}\,{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}} + \not{d}\mu \cdot {}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}$$

Now, **H2** applied to ${}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}$ gives:

$$|\not{D}\,{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}|^2 \tag{7.210}$$

Then **G1** implies:

$$|\not{D}\,{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.211}$$

thus also:

$$|\not{\mathrm{div}}\,{}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{7.212}$$

Using then $\mathbf{A3}, \mathbf{F1}$ and $\mathbf{G0}$ we obtain:

$$|\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}(\mu \, {}^{(Y)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}})| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{7.213}$$

hence the contribution of this term is also bounded in absolute value by:

$$C(I_4^L + I_4^{\underline{L}})$$

We collect the above results in the following lemma:

Lemma 7.4 We have:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{W_u^t} (K_0\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}| \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt' + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\} \end{aligned} \tag{7.214}$$

We now consider the error integral

$$-\int_{W_u^t} (K_1\psi_n + \omega\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}$$

or

$$-\int_{W_u^t} (\omega/\nu)(L\psi_n + \nu\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.215}$$

Recalling the bounds for ω and ν, this is bounded by:

$$C\int_{W_u^t} (1+t')^2|L\psi_n + \nu\psi_n||^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}|dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{7.216}$$

We shall estimate this integral.

In view of (7.195), the contribution of the first term in (7.75) to the integral (7.161) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_0^u \{\int_{C_{u'}} |L\psi_n + \nu\psi_n||\underline{L}\psi_{n-1}|[1+\log(1+t')]d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}du' \\ &\leq C\int_0^u \{\int_{C_{u'}} (1+t')^2(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}^{1/2} \\ &\cdot\{\int_{C_{u'}} (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\underline{L}\psi_{n-1})^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}^{1/2}du' \\ &\leq C\int_0^u \{\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t \epsilon_0\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2dt'\}^{1/2}du' \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{7.217}$$

Here we have used the first of (7.183).

In view of (7.191), the contribution of the second term in (7.75) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\begin{aligned} C\int_0^u\{\int_{C_{u'}}|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n||L\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}du' \quad (7.218)\\ \leq C\int_0^u\{\int_{C_{u'}}(1+t')^2(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}^{1/2}\\ \cdot\{\int_{C_{u'}}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(L\psi_{n-1})^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}^{1/2}du'\\ \leq C\int_0^u\{\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t\epsilon_0\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t')(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2dt'\}^{1/2}du'\\ \leq C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the second of (7.183).

In view of (7.196), the contribution of the third term in (7.75) is bounded in a same way as (7.218).

In view of (7.201), the contributions of the fourth and fifth terms in (7.75) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\begin{aligned} C\int_0^u\{\int_{C_{u'}}|L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n||\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}du' \quad (7.219)\\ \leq C\int_0^u\{\int_{C_{u'}}(1+t')^2(L\psi_n+\nu\psi_n)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}^{1/2}\\ \cdot\{\int_{C_{u'}}[1+\log(1+t')]^2|\not{d}\psi_{n-1}|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}dt'\}^{1/2}du'\\ \leq C\int_0^u\{\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t\epsilon_0\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t')(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2dt'\}^{1/2}du'\\ \leq C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the last of (7.183).

In view of the bounds (7.208), the contribution of the sixth term in (7.75) is bounded in the same way as (7.217), while that of the seventh is bounded in the same way as (7.228).

Finally, in view of (7.209) and (7.213), the contributions of the last two terms of (7.75) is bounded in the same way as (7.219).

We collect the above results in the following lemma:

Lemma 7.5 We have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{W^t_u}(K_1\psi_n+\omega\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}| \quad (7.220)\\ \leq C\epsilon_0\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,n}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2\mathcal{E}^u_{0,n}(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1}$ given by (7.77)-(7.79) to (7.99)-(7.100). From $\mathbf{E1},\mathbf{E2},\mathbf{F1},\mathbf{F2}$ and (6.86), (6.87), (6.89), (6.95), (6.98), (6.99) and (6.104), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |L\log\Omega|\leq C(1+t)^{-2} \quad (7.221)\\ |\mathrm{tr}\chi|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}, |\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\ |\Lambda|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\ |L(\eta^{-1}\kappa)|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}, |\not{d}(\eta^{-1}\kappa)|\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned}$$

Together with **G0** these imply:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|{}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1}^{\underline{L}}| &\leq C(1+t)^{-2} \qquad (7.222)\\
|{}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1}^{L}| &\leq (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\
|{}^{(Y)}\not{\sigma}_{3,n-1}| &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling (7.185), (7.191) and (7.201), we conclude that the contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1}$ to each of the error integrals (7.99), (7.100) can be bounded in the same way as the corresponding contribution of ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}$.

Combining this with the previous conclusions we arrive at the following lemma:

Lemma 7.6 We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\int_{W_u^t}(K_0\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}| \leq C\{&\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt' + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}\\
&+C\{(\int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{1,n}'^t(u')du')^{1/2} + (\bar{K}_n(t,u))^{1/2}\}\\
&\times\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt' + \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{0,n}^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{W_u^t}(K_1\psi_n+\omega\psi_n)^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1}dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}|\\
&\leq C\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{1,n}'^t(u')du' + C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{1,n}'(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{K_n(t,u)\}^{1/2}\\
&+C\{\int_0^u \mathcal{F}_{1,n}'^t(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\mathcal{E}_{1,n}'^u(t')+\epsilon_0^2\mathcal{E}_{0,n}^u(t')dt'\}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Chapter 8

Regularization of the Propagation Equation for $\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi$. Estimates for the Top Order Angular Derivatives of χ

8.1 Preliminary

8.1.1 Regularization of The Propagation Equation

We denote,

$$\tau_\mu = \partial_\mu h, \quad \omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \psi_\nu = \partial_\nu \psi_\mu \tag{8.1}$$

Proposition 8.1 The function h satisfies the following inhomogeneous wave equation in the acoustical metric g:

$$\Box_g h = -\Omega^{-1}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}a - b \tag{8.2}$$

where a and b are the functions:

$$a = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\tau_\mu\tau_\nu, \quad b = \sum_i (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu i}\omega_{\nu i} \tag{8.3}$$

Proof. From Chapter 1, we know that:

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi_\alpha = 0 \tag{8.4}$$

It follows that h being given by

$$h = \partial_t\phi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (\partial_i\phi)^2 \tag{8.5}$$

satisfies the equation:

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}} h = -\sum_i (\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi_i\partial_\nu\psi_i \tag{8.6}$$

Since

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu} \tag{8.7}$$

then for an arbitrary function f, we have

$$\Box_{\tilde{g}} f = \Omega^{-1}\Box_g f + \Omega^{-2}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Omega\partial_\nu f \tag{8.8}$$

and we have

$$\partial_\mu \Omega = \frac{d\Omega}{dh} \partial_\mu h \tag{8.9}$$

then the proposition follows. $\square$

From Chapter 3 trχ satisfies the propagation equation

$$L\mathrm{tr}\chi = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)\mathrm{tr}\chi - |\chi|^2 - \mathrm{tr}\alpha \tag{8.10}$$

Since

$$S_{\mu\nu} = (g^{-1})^{\kappa\lambda} R_{\kappa\mu\lambda\nu} \tag{8.11}$$

is the Ricci tensor, we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha = S(L, L) \tag{8.12}$$

We decompose:

$$S(L, L) = S^{[P]}(L, L) + S^{[N]}(L, L) = \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[P]} + \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]} \tag{8.13}$$

From Chapter 4,

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[P]} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dH}{dh} (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} v_{AB} \tag{8.14}$$

Since

$$-\mu^{-1} v_{L\underline{L}} + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} v_{AB} = \mathrm{tr}v = \Box_g h \tag{8.15}$$

we have:

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[P]} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dH}{dh} (\mu^{-1} v_{L\underline{L}} + \Box_g h) \tag{8.16}$$

Also from Chapter 4,

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]} = \eta^{-2} \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[A]} + \frac{1}{2} H_1 \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[C]} - \frac{1}{2} H_2 \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[B]} \tag{8.17}$$

where:

$$H_1 = \eta^{-2} \frac{dH}{dh}, \quad H_2 = \frac{d^2 H}{dh^2} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{-2} (\frac{dH}{dh})^2 \tag{8.18}$$

and:

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[A]} = w_{LL} \mathrm{tr}\not{\psi} - |\not{\psi}_L|^2 \tag{8.19}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[B]} = |\not{\tau}|^2 \tag{8.20}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[C]} = 2(\tau_L \mathrm{tr}\not{\psi} - \not{\tau} \cdot \not{\psi}_L) \tag{8.21}$$

Now,

$$v_{L\underline{L}} = D^2 h(L, \underline{L}) = L(\underline{L}h) - \tau(D_L \underline{L}) \tag{8.22}$$

and from Chapter 3:

$$D_L \underline{L} = -2\zeta^A X_A$$

Hence:

$$v_{L\underline{L}} = L(\underline{L}h) + 2\zeta \cdot \not{\tau} \tag{8.23}$$

Let us define, noting that $\underline{L}h = \tau_{\underline{L}}$,

$$f = -\frac{1}{2\mu}\frac{dH}{dh}\tau_{\underline{L}} \tag{8.24}$$

We then have:

$$S(L, L) = Lf + g \tag{8.25}$$

where:

$$g = \frac{1}{2\mu}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}\tau_L\tau_{\underline{L}} - \frac{1}{2\mu^2}(L\mu)\frac{dH}{dh}\tau_{\underline{L}} - \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{dH}{dh}\zeta \cdot \not{\tau} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\Box_g h + \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]} \tag{8.26}$$

We now set:

$$\check{f} = \mu f = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\tau_{\underline{L}} \tag{8.27}$$

which is regular as $\mu \to 0$. We then have:

$$\mu S(L, L) = L\check{f} + \check{g} \tag{8.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \check{g} &= \mu g - (L\mu)f \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}\tau_L\tau_{\underline{L}} - \frac{dH}{dh}\zeta \cdot \not{\tau} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\mu\Box_g h + \mu\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]} \end{aligned} \tag{8.29}$$

By Proposition 8.1:

$$\mu\Box_g h = -\Omega^{-1}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}\mu a - \mu b \tag{8.30}$$

where:

$$\mu a = \mu(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\tau_\mu\tau_\nu = -\tau_L\tau_{\underline{L}} + \mu|\not{\tau}|^2 \tag{8.31}$$

and:

$$\mu b = \sum_i \mu(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\psi_i\partial_\nu\psi_i = \sum_i\{-(L\psi_i)(\underline{L}\psi_i) + \mu|\not{d}\psi_i|^2\} \tag{8.32}$$

We see that μa and μb are both regular as $\mu \to 0$, so is $\mu\Box_g h$. It follows that $\check{g}$ is regular as $\mu \to 0$. (8.10) takes the form:

$$L(\mu\mathrm{tr}\chi + \check{f}) = 2(L\mu)\mathrm{tr}\chi - \frac{1}{2}\mu(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 - \mu|\hat{\chi}|^2 - \check{g} \tag{8.33}$$

Introduce the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$$x_0 = \mu\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \not{d}\check{f} \tag{8.34}$$

We shall obtain a propagation equation for x_0, by differentiaing (8.33) tangentially to $S_{t,u}$.

Lemma 8.1 For an arbitrary function ϕ, we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L(\not{d}\phi) = \not{d}(L\phi) \tag{8.35}$$

Proof. Since both sides of the equation are $S_{t,u}$ 1-forms, we need only evaluate both sides on X_A.

$$\begin{aligned}\slashed{\mathcal{L}}_L(\slashed{d}\phi)(X_A) = \mathcal{L}_L(\slashed{d}\phi)(X_A) = L(X_A(\phi)) - (\slashed{d}\phi)(\mathcal{L}_L X_A) = \\ = L(X_A(\phi)) - [L, X_A]\phi = X_A(L\phi)\end{aligned}$$

While $\slashed{d}(L\phi)(X_A) = X_A(L\phi)$, so the lemma follows. $\square$

Taking $\phi = \mu\mathrm{tr}\chi + \check{f}$ in lemma 8.1, using (8.33),(8.34), we get the propagation equation for x_0:

$$\slashed{\mathcal{L}}_L x_0 + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))x_0 = (\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\slashed{d}\check{f} - \mu\slashed{d}(|\hat{\chi}|^2) - g_0 \tag{8.36}$$

where:

$$g_0 = \slashed{d}\check{g} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi\slashed{d}(\check{f} + 2L\mu) + (\slashed{d}\mu)(L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2) \tag{8.37}$$

We may substitute (3.24)

$$L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2 = \mu^{-1}(L\mu)\mathrm{tr}\chi - \mathrm{tr}\alpha \tag{8.38}$$

into the expression of g_0. From Chapter 4,

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha = \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[P]} + \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]}$ is regular as $\mu \to 0$ while

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[P]} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}v_{AB} \tag{8.39}$$

where

$$v_{AB} = D^2h(X_A, X_B) = \slashed{D}^2h(X_A, X_B) - \eta^{-1}\slashed{k}_{AB}Lh - \mu^{-1}\chi_{AB}Th$$

hence

$$(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}v_{AB} = \slashed{\Delta}h - \eta^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\slashed{k}Lh - \mu^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\chi Th \tag{8.40}$$

and:

$$\mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[P]} = \mu^{-1}m\mathrm{tr}\chi - \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\slashed{\Delta}h - \eta^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\slashed{k}Lh) \tag{8.41}$$

In view of the propagation equation for μ

$$L\mu = m + \mu e \tag{8.42}$$

the right hand side of (8.38) is

$$e\mathrm{tr}\chi + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\slashed{\Delta}h - \eta^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\slashed{k}Lh) - \mathrm{tr}\alpha^{[N]} \tag{8.43}$$

which is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$.

Thus g_0 is of the order of the second derivatives of ψ_μ and bounded as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$.

8.1.2 Propagation Equations for Higher Order Angular Derivatives

To control the higher order angular derivatives of $\mathrm{tr}\chi$, we introduce the $S_{t,u}$ 1-forms:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l = \mu\slashed{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + \slashed{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\check{f}) \tag{8.44}$$

Thus, for a given positive integer l we have the mult-indices $(i_1...i_l)$ of length l, where each $i_k \in 1, 2, 3$, $k = 1, .., l$. To the mult-index $(i_1...i_l)$ there corresponds the string $(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1})$ of rotation vectorfields. In deriving propagation equations for x_l, we shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 8.2 Let Y be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield in spacetime manifold. We have

$$[L, Y] = {}^{(Y)}Z \tag{8.45}$$

where ${}^{(Y)}Z$ is the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields, associated to Y, and defined by the condition that for any vector $V \in TW^*_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$g({}^{(Y)}Z, V) = {}^{(Y)}\pi(L, \Pi V) \tag{8.46}$$

In terms of (L, T, X_1, X_2) or $(L, \underline{L}, X_1, X_2)$,

$${}^{(Y)}Z = {}^{(Y)}Z^A X_A, \quad {}^{(Y)}Z^A = {}^{(Y)}\pi_{LB}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} \tag{8.47}$$

Proof. The vectorfield $[L, Y]$ satisfies

$$[L, Y]t = L(Yt) - Y(Lt) = 0$$
$$[L, Y]u = L(Yu) - Y(Lu) = 0$$

It follows that $[L, Y] = {}^{(Y)}Z$ is a vectorfield which is tangential to the surfaces $S_{t,u}$, consequently we expand

$${}^{(Y)}Z = {}^{(Y)}Z^B X_B \tag{8.48}$$

Taking g-inner product with X_A we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \not{g}_{AB}{}^{(Y)}Z^B &= {}^{(Y)}Z_A = g({}^{(Y)}Z, X_A) \\ &= g([L, Y], X_A) = g(D_L Y, X_A) - g(D_Y L, X_A) \\ &= {}^{(Y)}\pi_{LA} - g(D_{X_A}Y, L) - g(D_Y L, X_A) \end{aligned} \tag{8.49}$$

Now since $g(L, X_A) = 0$ we have

$$-g(D_Y L, X_A) = g(L, D_Y X_A) \tag{8.50}$$

hence, substituting,

$$\not{g}_{AB}Z^B = {}^{(Y)}\pi_{LA} + g(L, [Y, X_A]) = {}^{(Y)}\pi_{LA} \tag{8.51}$$

We have used the fact that $[Y, X_A]$ is tangential to $S_{t,u}$, hence orthogonal to L. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 8.3 Let Y be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield on the spacetime domain $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ and let ξ be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ 1-form on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. We have

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi - \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\xi = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(Y)}Z}\xi \tag{8.52}$$

Proof. Since both L, Y are tangential to the hypersurfaces C_u we can restrict ourselves to a given C_u. In defining $\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L\xi$ we are considering the extension of ξ to TC_u by the condition

$$\xi(L) = 0 \tag{8.53}$$

We have

$$(\mathcal{L}_L\xi)(L) = L(\xi(L)) - \xi([L, L]) = 0 \tag{8.54}$$

therefore

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\xi = \mathcal{L}_L\xi \tag{8.55}$$

However

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y\xi)(L) = Y(\xi(L)) - \xi([Y,L]) = \xi([L,Y]) = \xi({}^{(Y)}Z) \tag{8.56}$$

Since $\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi$ is defined by restricting $\mathcal{L}_Y\xi$ to $TS_{t,u}$ and then extending to TC_u by the condition $(\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi)(L) = 0$, it follows that on the manifold C_u,

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi = \mathcal{L}_Y\xi - \xi({}^{(Y)}Z)dt \tag{8.57}$$

in view of the fact that $dt(L) = Lt = 1, \not{d}t = 0$.

We have

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi - \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\xi)(X_A) = (\mathcal{L}_L\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\xi - \mathcal{L}_Y\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\xi)(X_A) \tag{8.58}$$

Substituting (8.55) and (8.57), the right hand side of the above is

$$(\mathcal{L}_L\mathcal{L}_Y\xi - L(\xi({}^{(Y)}Z))dt - \xi({}^{(Y)}Z)\mathcal{L}_L(dt) - \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_L\xi)(X_A) \tag{8.59}$$

Now, $dt(X_A) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_L(dt) = d(Lt) = 0$, therefore (8.58) becomes

$$(\mathcal{L}_L\mathcal{L}_Y\xi - \mathcal{L}_Y\mathcal{L}_L\xi)(X_A)) = (\mathcal{L}_{[L,Y]}\xi)(X_A) \tag{8.60}$$

and by lemma 8.2, this is $(\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(Y)}Z}\xi)(X_A)$. The lemma follows. $\square$

In the sequel, the proofs of several propositions make use of a general elementary proposition on linear recursions, the proof of which is by a simple application of the principle of induction.

Proposition 8.2 Let $(y_n : n = 1,2,...)$ be a given sequence in a space X and $(A_n : n = 1,2,...)$ a given sequence of operators in X. Suppose that $(x_n : n = 0,1,2,...)$ is a sequence in X satisfying the recursion:

$$x_n = A_n x_{n-1} + y_n \tag{8.61}$$

Then for each $n = 1,2,...$ we have:

$$x_n = A_n...A_1x_0 + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} A_n...A_{n-m+1}y_{n-m} \tag{8.62}$$

To present the propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1...i_l}x_l$, we introduce the functions:

$${}^{(i_1..i_l)}\check{f}_l = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\check{f} \tag{8.63}$$

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}(|\hat{\chi}|^2) \tag{8.64}$$

Proposition 8.3 For each nonnegative integer l and each multi-index $(i_1...i_l)$, the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l$ satisfies the propagation equation:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu)){}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l = (\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\not{d}{}^{(i_1..i_l)}\check{f}_l - \mu\not{d}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l - {}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$$

where ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$ is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form given by:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}g_0 - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1}\\ +\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1..i_{l-k})}y_{l-k}\end{aligned}$$

where g_0 is given by (8.37). Here for each $j = 1...l$, ${}^{(i_1...i_j)}y_j$ is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_j)}y_j &= (R_{i_j}\mu)^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}\\ &+(\mu R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi - R_{i_j}L\mu + {}^{(R_{i_j})}Z\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}(R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi)\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\check{f}_{j-1}\end{aligned}$$

where ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$ is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + \mathrm{tr}\chi\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + \not{d}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}h_{j-1}$$

Proof. When $l = 0$, the propagation equation in the proposition is just the equation (8.36). Thus, by induction, assuming that the propagation equation holds with l replaced by $l-1$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}&\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1}\\ &= (\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\check{f}_{l-1} - \mu\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}h_{l-1} - {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}g_{l-1}\end{aligned} \tag{8.65}$$

holds for some $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}g_{l-1}$, what we shall show is that a propagation equation of the form given by the proposition holds true for l, where ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$ is an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form related to ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}g_{l-1}$ by a certain recursion relation. This recursion relation shall then determine ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$, for each l, from the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form g_0, given by (8.37).

We begin by re-writing

$$2\mu^{-1}(L\mu)({}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} - \not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\check{f}_{l-1})$$

as

$$2(L\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$$

(see (8.40) and (8.63)) obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned}&\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} + \mathrm{tr}\chi{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1}\\ &= 2(L\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\check{f}_{l-1} - \mu\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}h_{l-1} - {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}g_{l-1}\end{aligned} \tag{8.66}$$

We now apply $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}$ to this equation. By Lemma 8.1 applied to R_{i_l} and to the functions:

$$R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi, \quad {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\check{f}_{l-1}, \quad {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}h_{l-1}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) &= \not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)\\ \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\check{f}_{l-1}) &= \not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l)\\ \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}h_{l-1}) &= \not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l)\end{aligned} \tag{8.67}$$

(see (8.63), (8.64)). We then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} + \mathrm{tr}\chi\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} + (R_{i_l}\mathrm{tr}\chi)^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}x_{l-1}\\ &= 2(L\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + 2(R_{i_l}L\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}(R_{i_l}\mathrm{tr}\chi)\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\check{f}_{l-1}) - \mu\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l) - (R_{i_l}\mu)\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}h_{l-1}) - \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}g_{l-1}\end{aligned} \tag{8.68}$$

Next, we apply Lemma 8.3 to $Y = R_{i_l}, \xi = {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1}$, to express

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} \not{\mathcal{L}}_L {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} - \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_l})}Z} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} \tag{8.69}$$

Now, from (8.63) we have:

$${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} = \mu \not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi) + \not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} \check{f})$$

Applying $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}$ to this we obtain, using (8.63) and Lemma 8.1,

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} = {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}x_l + (R_{i_l}\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{8.70}$$

Applying $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L$ to (8.70) and expressing:

$$L R_{i_l} \mu = R_{i_l} L \mu + {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z \mu \tag{8.71}$$

using the fact that by Lemma 8.2

$$[L, R_{i_l}] = {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z \tag{8.72}$$

yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}x_l + (R_{i_L}\mu)\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi) \\ +(R_{i_l} L\mu + {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi) \end{aligned} \tag{8.73}$$

Substituting (8.72) in (8.69) and the result in (8.68), and using (8.70) to re-write the second term on the left in (8.68), a propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}x_l$ of the form given by the proposition results with ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}g_l$ expressed in terms of ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}g_{l-1}$ by the recursion formula:

$${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}g_l = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}g_{l-1} - \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_l})}Z} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1} + {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}y_l \tag{8.74}$$

Applying Proposition 8.2 to this recursion with the space of $S_{t,u}$ 1-forms in the role of the space X, and ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}g_l$, ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}y_l - \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_l})}Z} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}x_{l-1}$, in the role of x_n, y_n, respectively, the proposition follows. $\square$

We remark that the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$ reduces for $j = 1$ to

$$a_0 = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \mathrm{tr}\chi \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \not{d}h_0 \tag{8.75}$$

Define

$$L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2 = f_0 \tag{8.76}$$

Applying $\not{d}$ to the above, we obtain, in view of Lemma 8.1 and the fact that

$$|\chi|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 + h_0,$$

simply:

$$a_0 = \not{d}f_0 \tag{8.77}$$

For $j \geq 2$, we apply $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}$ to (8.75) to obtain, in view of (8.76), the following expression for ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$:

$${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1} = \not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} f_0) + {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}b_{j-1} \tag{8.78}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}b_{j-1} = [\not{\mathcal{L}}_L, \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}]\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \\ + \sum_{m=1}^{j-1} \sum_{k_1 < \ldots < k_m = 1}^{j-1} (R_{i_{k_m}} \ldots R_{i_{k_1}} \mathrm{tr}\chi)(\not{d} R_{i_{j-1}} {}^{> i_{k_m} \ldots i_{k_1} <} \overset{\ldots}{} R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi) \end{aligned} \tag{8.79}$$

Here and in the sequel the symbol $>\quad<$ signifies that the enclosed indices are absent. We can get an explicit expression for the first term on the right hand side of (8.79) by applying the following lemma, proved inductively, using Lemma 8.3.

Lemma 8.4 For any positive integer l and any $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ we have:

$$[\not{\mathcal{L}}_L, \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}]\xi = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \xi$$

Applying this to $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi$, we get:

$$[\not{\mathcal{L}}_L, \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1}}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}]\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi = \sum_{m=0}^{j-2} \not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}} ... R_{i_{j-m}} {}^{(R_{i_{j-m-1}})}Z R_{i_{j-m-2}} ... R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{8.80}$$

Let us now investigate the order of the various terms in the propagation equation of Proposition 8.3. We agree to the convention that the order of $\psi_\alpha : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is 0, so the order of enthalpy h and the acoustical metric $g, \bar{g}, \not{g}$ is also 0. Since μ, κ are the components of the acoustical metric g in another coordinate system, they are also of order 0 . So L^μ, T^i and α are also of order 0, as well as ψ_L, $\psi_{\hat{T}}$ and $\not{\psi}$. The $\not{\psi}$, $\not{\psi}_L$, ω_{LL} and $\omega_{L\hat{T}}$ are of order 1, so are the $\kappa^{-1}\zeta$ and $\not{k}$. The χ, the focus of this chapter is also of order 1. We are to set $l = n - 1$, in estimating the nth order angular derivatives of χ in terms of the $n + 1$st order derivatives of ψ_α. From the definition of $\check{f}$ (see (8.27)), we see that $\check{f}$ is of order 1. So $\not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l$ is of order $l + 2$, its principal part contains $l + 2$th derivatives of h, and it contains $l + 1$st order angular derivatives of μ.

Next, we shall investigate the term ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$. First, we should investigate the terms $\not{d}\check{g}$, $L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2$, together with $\not{d}\check{f}$, which we have discussed above, which express g_0. From (8.38), we see that $L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2$ is of order 2. Its principal term is $\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\not{\Delta}h$. The function μ is not involved in $L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2$. We then investigate $\not{d}\check{g}$. From the definition (8.29) we know $\not{d}\check{g}$ contains 1st angular derivatives of μ, and 2nd derivatives of the ψ_α. It follows that

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} g_0$$

the first term in the expression for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$, is of principal order $l + 2$ and its principal terms are $l + 2$ order derivatives of the ψ_α. Also it contains $l + 1$ order angular derivatives of μ.

We now consider the last term in the expression for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$, namely,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}y_{l-k} \tag{8.81}$$

${}^{(i_1...i_j)}y_j$ is the sum of

$${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}, \quad \not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}} ... R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi), \quad \not{d}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\check{f}_{j-1}$$

with coefficients

$$R_{i_j}\mu, \quad \mu R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi - R_{i_j}L\mu + {}^{(R_{i_j})}Z\mu = \mu R_{i_j}(\mathrm{tr}\chi - e) - R_{i_j}m + {}^{(R_{i_j})}Z\mu - eR_{i_j}\mu, \quad \frac{1}{2}(R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$$

of order 1,2,2, respectively. The first two of these coefficients involve the 1st angular derivatives of μ. The order of $\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}} ... R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$ and $\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\check{f}_{j-1}$ is $j + 1$. On the other hand, ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$ is given by (8.78). By the above discussion of f_0, the first term on the right of (8.78) is of order $j + 2$, its principal terms being angular derivatives of h. This is the principal term in ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$. The second term on the right, ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b_{j-1}$, given by (8.79) is of lower order, namely $j + 1$. In fact only the first term in (8.79), given by (8.80), is of order $j + 1$ while the second term, the double sum, is of order j. Also,

${}^{(i_1\dots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$ does not involve the function μ. So we conclude that ${}^{(i_1\dots i_j)}y_j$ is of order $j+2$ and its principal terms are $j+2$ order angular derivatives of h. Then the order of (8.81) is $l+2$, its principal terms are $l+2$ order angular derivatives of h. Moreover, (8.81) contains l order angular derivatives of μ.

To complete the investigation of ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g_l$, we must consider:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} \tag{8.82}$$

which we can write as:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} \tag{8.83}$$

minus the commutator term:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}[\not\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z},\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}]{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} \tag{8.84}$$

We shall show this commutator term is of lower order by using the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5 For any $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield X and any $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ and positive integer l we have:

$$[\not\mathcal{L}_X,\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_1}}]\xi=-\sum_{j=1}^{l}\sum_{k_1<\dots<k_j=1}^{l}\not\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(i_{k_1}\dots i_{k_j})}Y}{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{k_1}\dots i_{k_j}<}{\dots}i_l)}\xi_{l-j}$$

Here ${}^{(i_{k_1}\dots i_{k_j})}Y$ is the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield:

$${}^{(i_{k_1}\dots i_{k_j})}Y=\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{k_j}}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{k_1}}}X$$

and ${}^{(i_1\dots i_m)}\xi_m$ the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_m)}\xi_m=\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_m}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_1}}\xi$$

The proof is by induction, starting from the case $l=1$, which is a standard fact in differential geometry, in view of the fact that everything restricts to $S_{t,u}$.

Applying Lemma 8.5 we see that (8.84) is minus:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{m_1<\dots<m_j=l-k+1}^{l}\not\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_j};i_{l-k})}Z}\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_l}}\overset{>i_{m_j}\dots i_{m_1}<}{\dots}\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} \tag{8.85}$$

Here the indexes $i_{m_j},\dots,i_{m_1}$ are absent, and ${}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_j};i_{l-k})}Z$ is the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield:

$${}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_j};i_{l-k})}Z=\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{m_j}}}\dots\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{m_1}}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z \tag{8.86}$$

From (6.57), we know that ${}^{(R_i)}Z$ is of order 1 and its principal acoustical term involves χ. Hence ${}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_j};i_{l-k})}Z$ is of order $j+1$ and its principal acoustical term is a jth order angular derivative of χ. In (8.85), the 1-form

$$\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_l}}\overset{>i_{m_j}\dots i_{m_1}<}{\dots}\not\mathcal{L}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} \tag{8.87}$$

is of order $l-j+1$ as it is an angular derivative of order $k-j$ of ${}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1}$. If X is an $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield of order m and ξ an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form of order n, then the order of $\not\mathcal{L}_X\xi$ is $\max\{m,n\}+1$. This is evident from the formula:

$$(\not\mathcal{L}_X\xi)(Y)=X(\xi(Y))-\xi(\not\mathcal{L}_XY)$$

Thus, the order of the terms in the triple sum in (8.85) is

$$\max\{j+1, l-j+1\}+1$$

and the two extreme terms corresponding to $j=1$ and $j=l-1$ are of highest order, namely $l+1$. So (8.85) is of order $l+1$, thus a lower order term.

We now turn to the principal term (8.83). In view of (8.40) and the fact that for any function ϕ:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{d}\phi = \not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\phi)$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} \\ = {}^{(i_l...i_{l-k+1}i_{l-k-1}...i_1)}x_{l-1} \end{aligned} \tag{8.88}$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{m_1<...<m_j=l-k+1}^{l}(R_{i_{m_j}}...R_{i_{m_1}}\mu)\not{d}(R_{i_l}\overset{>i_{m_j}...i_{m_1}i_{l-k}<}{\underset{...}{}}R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$$

Here the indexes $i_{m_j}...i_{m_1}i_{l-k}$ are absent. The first term is of order $l+1$, while the double sum is of order l. The contribution of the first term in (8.88) to (8.83) is then the principal part of (8.82). This contribution is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\,{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}x_{l-1} \tag{8.89}$$

We conclude that the principal acoustical terms of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l$ are all the terms of the sum (8.89). Moreover (8.82) does not involve the function μ.

We shall estimate each term in (8.89) pointwise in terms of

$$\max_j|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_lj)}x_l|$$

Lemma 8.6 Let ϕ and ρ be arbitrary functions and μ a nonnegative function. Denote by ξ the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$$\xi = \mu\not{d}\phi + \not{d}\rho$$

and by η_j the $S_{t,u}$ 1-forms

$$\eta_j = \mu\not{d}(R_j\phi) + \not{d}(R_j\rho) : j=1,2,3$$

Then for any $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield X we have the pointwise estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|X|(\max_j|\eta_j| + 2\max_j|\not{d}(R_j\rho)| + (1+t)|\not{d}\mu||\not{d}\phi|) \\ +(\max_j|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}X|)(\mu|\not{d}\phi| + |\not{d}\rho|)\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi = \mu\not{d}(X\phi) + (X\mu)\not{d}\phi + \not{d}(X\rho) \tag{8.90}$$

hence:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi| \leq \mu|\not{d}(X\phi)| + |X||\not{d}\mu||\not{d}\phi| + |\not{d}(X\rho)| \tag{8.91}$$

Now, by **H0**,

$$|\not{d}(X\phi)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\max_j|R_j(X\phi)| \tag{8.92}$$

and we have:

$$R_j(X\phi) = X(R_j\phi) + [R_j, X]\phi = X \cdot \not{d}(R_j\phi) + (\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j} X) \cdot \not{d}\phi \tag{8.93}$$

hence:

$$\max_j |R_j(X\phi)| \leq |X| \max_j |\not{d}(R_j\phi)| + (\max_j |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j} X|)|\not{d}\phi| \tag{8.94}$$

Then we get:

$$|\not{d}(X\phi)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|X| \max_j |\not{d}(R_j\phi)| + (\max_j |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j} X|)|\not{d}\phi|\} \tag{8.95}$$

By the definition of η_j,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \max_j |\not{d}(R_j\phi)| &= \max_j |\mu\not{d}(R_j\phi)| \\ = \max_j |\eta_j - \not{d}(R_j\rho)| &\leq \max_j |\eta_j| + \max_j |\not{d}(R_j\rho)| \end{aligned} \tag{8.96}$$

Moreover, from (8.95) with ρ in the role of ϕ we have:

$$|\not{d}(X\rho)| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|X| \max_j |\not{d}(R_j\rho)| + (\max_j |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j} X|)|\not{d}\rho|\} \tag{8.97}$$

In view of (8.95)-(8.97), the lemma follows through (8.91). $\square$

We now take $\phi = R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}R_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi$, and $\rho = R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}R_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}\check{f}$ $= {}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}\check{f}_{l-1}$. Then ξ is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}x_{l-1}$ and η_j the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l j)}x_l$. Moreover, we take X to be the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield ${}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z$. Noting that:

$$\mu|\not{d}\phi| + |\not{d}\rho| \leq |\xi| + 2|\not{d}\rho|,$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}x_{l-1}| &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z|(\max_j |{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l j)}x_l| \\ &+2\max_j |\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l j)}\check{f}_l)| + (1+t)|\not{d}\mu||\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}R_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)|) \\ &+(\max_j |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z|)(|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}x_{l-1}| + 2|\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}\check{f}_{l-1})|)\} \end{aligned} \tag{8.98}$$

8.1.3 Elliptic Theory on $S_{t,u}$

Returning to the propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l$ given by Proposition 8.3, the term $\mu\not{d}({}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l)$ remains to be considered. Since ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l$ given by (8.64) is lth order angular derivative of $|\hat{\chi}|^2$, so the term to be considered is a principal acoustical term. Moreover, it involves $l+1$st order angular derivatives of $\hat{\chi}$, not $\mathrm{tr}\chi$, which is what the propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l$ allows us to control.

The term in question comes from the right-hand side of propagation equation (8.36) for $x_0 = \mu\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \not{d}f$, from the term:

$$\mu\not{d}(|\hat{\chi}|^2) = 2\mu\hat{\chi} \cdot \not{D}\hat{\chi}$$

Now, the propagation equation (8.36) must be considered in conjunction with the Codazzi equation (4.36):

$$\not{\mathrm{div}}\,\hat{\chi} = \frac{1}{2}\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + i \tag{8.99}$$

where

$$i = \beta^*_A - \mu^{-1}(\zeta_B \chi^B_A - \zeta_A \mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{8.100}$$

which is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$. Equation (8.99) allows us to estimate, on $S_{t,u}$, $\hat{\chi}$ and $\not{D}\hat{\chi}$ in terms of $\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi$ and the derivatives of ψ_μ of up to 1st order. The propagation equation (8.36) allows us to estimate, along each generator, $\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi$ in terms of $\not{d}(|\hat{\chi}|^2)$, that is in terms of $\hat{\chi}$ and $\not{D}\hat{\chi}$, and the derivatives of ψ_μ of up to the 2nd order. Thus, considering on C_u the propagation equation (8.36) in conjunction with the Codazzi equation (8.99) we can estimate χ and its 1st order angular derivatives in terms of ψ_μ and their derivatives of up to the 2nd order, avoiding in this way loss of differentiability. The idea coupling the propagation equations for χ along the generators of C_u with the Codazzi equations at each $S_{t,u}$ was also used in [4], where the Ricci curvature tensor vanishes.

To get a higher order version of (8.99), we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma 8.7 Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold, let X be an arbitrary vectorfield on M and let θ be a trace-free symmetric 2-covariant tensorfield on (M, g) satisfying the equation:

$$\mathrm{div}_g\theta = f \tag{8.101}$$

for some 1-form f on M. Then $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\theta$, the trace-free part of $\mathcal{L}_X\theta$, satisfies the equation:

$$\mathrm{div}_g\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\theta = {}^{(X)}\dot{f} \tag{8.102}$$

where ${}^{(X)}\dot{f}$ is the 1-form given, in an arbitrary local frame, by:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(X)}\dot{f}_a &= (\mathcal{L}_X f)_a + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(X)}\pi f_a \\ &+\frac{1}{2}{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{bc}(\nabla_b\theta_{ac} + \nabla_c\theta_{ab} - \nabla_a\theta_{bc}) + (\mathrm{div}_g{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi})^b\theta_{ab}\end{aligned}$$

Here, ${}^{(X)}\pi = \mathcal{L}_X g$.

Proof. Let ϕ_t be the local 1-parameter group generated by X and let ϕ_{t*} be the corresponding pullback. We then have:

$$\mathrm{div}_{\phi_{t*}g}(\phi_{t*}\theta) = \phi_{t*}(\mathrm{div}_g\theta) = \phi_{t*}f \tag{8.103}$$

Now in an arbitrary local coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{div}_g\theta)_a &= (g^{-1})^{bc}\nabla_c\theta_{ab} \\ &= (g^{-1})^{bc}(\frac{\partial\theta_{ab}}{\partial x^c} - \Gamma^d_{ca}\theta_{db} - \Gamma^d_{cb}\theta_{ad})\end{aligned} \tag{8.104}$$

where Γ^c_{ab} are the Christoffel symbols of the metric g. Similarly,

$$(\mathrm{div}_{\phi_{t*}g}(\phi_{t*}\theta))_a = ((\phi_{t*}g)^{-1})^{bc}(\frac{\partial(\phi_{t*}\theta)_{ab}}{\partial x^c} - \overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma^d_{ca}}(\phi_{t*}\theta)_{db} - \overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma^d_{cb}}(\phi_{t*}\theta)_{ad}) \tag{8.105}$$

where $\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma^c_{ab}}$ is the Christoffel symbols of the metric $\phi_{t*}g$. We have:

$$\Gamma^c_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1})^{cd}(\frac{\partial g_{bd}}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial g_{ad}}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial g_{ab}}{\partial x^d}) \tag{8.106}$$

and

$$\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma^c_{ab}} = \frac{1}{2}((\phi_{t*}g)^{-1})^{cd}(\frac{\partial(\phi_{t*}g)_{bd}}{\partial x^a} + \frac{\partial(\phi_{t*}g)_{ad}}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial(\phi_{t*}g)_{ab}}{\partial x^d}) \tag{8.107}$$

Since, by definition,

$$(\frac{d}{dt}\phi_{t*}g)_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_X g = {}^{(X)}\pi \tag{8.108}$$

differentiating (8.107) with respect to t at $t = 0$, we obtain , in view of (8.106), the following formula:

$$(\frac{d}{dt}\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma^c_{ab}})_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1})^{cd}(\nabla_a{}^{(X)}\pi_{bd} + \nabla_b{}^{(X)}\pi_{ad} - \nabla_d{}^{(X)}\pi_{ab}) \tag{8.109}$$

Differentiating then (8.105) with respect to t at $t = 0$ and using (8.109) and (8.108) and the fact, by definition:

$$(\frac{d}{dt}\phi_{t*}\theta)_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_X\theta \tag{8.110}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d}{dt}(\mathrm{div}_{\phi_{t*}g}(\phi_{t*}\theta))_a)_{t=0} = (\mathrm{div}_g(\mathcal{L}_X\theta))_a - {}^{(X)}\pi^{bc}\nabla_c\theta_{ab} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\{(\nabla_a{}^{(X)}\pi^{bc})\theta_{bc} + (2\nabla_c{}^{(X)}\pi^{bc} - \nabla^b\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi)\theta_{ab}\}\end{aligned} \tag{8.111}$$

From (8.103) and the definition:

$$(\frac{d}{dt}\phi_{t*}f)_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_X f \tag{8.112}$$

we conclude:

$$\mathrm{div}_g(\mathcal{L}_X\theta) = {}^{(X)}f' \tag{8.113}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(X)}f'_a = (\mathcal{L}_X f)_a + {}^{(X)}\pi^{bc}\nabla_c\theta_{ab} \\ +\frac{1}{2}\{(\nabla_a{}^{(X)}\pi^{bc})\theta_{bc} + (2\nabla_c{}^{(X)}\pi^{bc} - \nabla^b\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi)\theta_{ab}\}\end{aligned} \tag{8.114}$$

In fact the above hold for any n-dimensional manifold M, and irrespective of the trace-free nature of θ. In the present case where M is 2-dimensional, decomposing ${}^{(X)}\pi$ into its trace-free part and its trace,

$${}^{(X)}\pi = {}^{(X)}\hat{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}g\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi \tag{8.115}$$

we may write (8.114) as:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(X)}f'_a = (\mathcal{L}_X f)_a + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi f_a + {}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{bc}\nabla_c\theta_{ab} \\ +\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{bc})\theta_{bc} + (\nabla_c{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{bc})\theta_{ab}\end{aligned} \tag{8.116}$$

Now,

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathcal{L}_X\theta) = (g^{-1})^{ab}(\mathcal{L}_X\theta)_{ab} = -(\mathcal{L}_X g^{-1})^{ab}\theta_{ab} = {}^{(X)}\pi^{ab}\theta_{ab} = {}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}\cdot\theta \tag{8.117}$$

where we have used the fact that θ is trace-free. Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\theta$ is given by:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\theta = \mathcal{L}_X\theta - \frac{1}{2}g({}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}\cdot\theta) \tag{8.118}$$

and noting that for any function ψ we have:

$$\mathrm{div}_g(g\psi) = d\psi$$

we obtain:

$$\mathrm{div}_g(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\theta) = \mathrm{div}_g(\mathcal{L}_X\theta) - \frac{1}{2}d({}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}\cdot\theta) \tag{8.119}$$

Hence, defining:

$${}^{(X)}\dot{f} = {}^{(X)}f' - \frac{1}{2}d({}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}\cdot\theta) \tag{8.120}$$

we conclude that $\mathrm{div}_g\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X\theta = {}^{(X)}\dot{f}$ and ${}^{(X)}\dot{f}$ is as given in the lemma. $\square$

Proposition 8.4 For each non-negative integer l and each multi-index $(i_1, ..., i_l)$, the trace-free symmetric 2-covariant tensorfield

$$^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l = \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_1}}\hat{\chi}$$

on $S_{t,u}$ satisfies the elliptic system:

$$\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}(^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l) = \frac{1}{2}\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$$

where the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$ is given by:

$$^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})...(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_1})}\not{\pi})i$$
$$+\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})...(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}\not{\pi})^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}q_{l-k}$$

Here i is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form given by (8.100) and for each $j = 1, ..., l$, $^{(i_1...i_j)}q_j$ is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form given by:

$$^{(i_1...i_j)}q_{j,A} = \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_j})}\not{\pi}\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}{}^{(R_{i_j})}\hat{\not{\pi}}^{BC}\{\not{D}_B(^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1})_{AC} + \not{D}_C(^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1})_{AB}$$
$$-\not{D}_A(^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1})_{BC}\} + (\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}^{(R_{i_j})}\hat{\not{\pi}})^B(^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1})_{AB}$$

Proof. The proposition reduces for $l = 0$, since $i = i_0$, to (8.99). By induction, we assume the elliptic system holds with l replaced by $l - 1$:

$$\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}(^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\hat{\chi}_{l-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}i_{l-1}$$

Taking (M, g) to be $(S_{t,u}, \not{g})$, θ to be $^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\hat{\chi}_{l-1}$, X to be R_{i_l}, and f to be

$$\frac{1}{2}\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}i_{l-1}$$

in Lemma 8.7, and taking into account the fact that:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\not{d}(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) = \not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$$

we get a recursion formula for $^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$:

$$^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}i_{l-1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}i_{l-1} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}q_l \tag{8.121}$$

Applying Proposition 8.2 to this recursion with the space of $S_{t,u}$ 1-forms in the role of the space X, the operators

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi}$$

in the role of the operators A_n and $^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$, $^{(i_1...i_l)}q_l$, in the role of x_n, y_n, respectively, the proposition is proved. $\square$

Let us investigate the order of the terms in $^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$. We begin with i, given by (8.100). From the expression (4.39)-(4.40), we know that there is no 2nd order term in i, so the first term in the expression for ${}^{(i_1\cdots i_l)}i_l$ contains no principal term. Moreover, the second term is of order $l+1$. This can be easily got from the fact that ${}^{(i_1\cdots i_j)}q_j$ is of order $j+1$. Also ${}^{(i_1\cdots i_l)}i_l$ does not involve μ.

Remark: From the propagation equation in Proposition 8.3, we can control $\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$ in terms of $2\mu\hat{\chi}\not{D}(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_1}}\hat{\chi})$ and the derivatives of ψ_μ up to $l+2$nd order. While using the elliptic system in Proposition 8.4, we can control $\not{D}(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_1}}\hat{\chi})$ in terms of $\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)$ and the derivatives of ψ_μ up to $l+1$st order.

Since the elliptic system is to be considered in conjunction with the propagation equation of Proposition 8.3, the right hand side of the elliptic system for $\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_1}}\hat{\chi}$ is thus to be expressed in terms of ${}^{(i_1\cdots i_l)}x_l$. From (8.40) we have:

$$\mu\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) = {}^{(i_1\cdots i_l)}x_l - \not{d}^{(i_1\cdots i_l)}\check{f}_l$$

Then we can only control the product of μ with the right-hand side of the elliptic system in terms of ${}^{(i_1\cdots i_l)}x_l$. This shall lead to μ-weighted L^2 estimates on $S_{t,u}$.

Lemma 8.8 Let (M,g) be a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let θ be a trace-free symmetric 2-covariant tensorfield on (M,g) satisfying the equation:

$$\mathrm{div}_g\theta = f \tag{8.122}$$

for some 1-form f om M. Let also μ be an arbitrary non-negative function on M. Then the following estimates holds on M:

$$\int_M \mu^2\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\theta|^2 + 2K|\theta|^2\}d\mu_g \leq 3\int_M \mu^2|f|^2 d\mu_g + 3\int_M |d\mu|^2|\theta|^2 d\mu_g$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of (M,g).

Proof. Consider the 3-covariant tensorfield given in an arbitrary local frame by:

$$\omega_{abc} = \nabla_a\theta_{bc} - \nabla_b\theta_{ac} \tag{8.123}$$

For any vectorfield X, $\omega_{abc}X^c$ defines a 2-form on M. Since $\mathrm{dim}M = 2$, this 2-form must be a function ϕ, times the volume form ϵ of (M,g). Since ϕ depends linearly on X, there must be a 1-form e on M such that $e\cdot X = \phi$. So we can write:

$$\omega_{abc} = \epsilon_{ab}e_c \tag{8.124}$$

Contracting the right hand side of (8.124) with $(g^{-1})^{ac}$ we get:

$$(g^{-1})^{ac}\epsilon_{ab}e_c = e^a\epsilon_{ab} = {}^*e_b$$

(the Hodge dual of e). Contracting the left hand side of (8.124) with $(g^{-1})^{ac}$ and taking into account the fact that $(g^{-1})^{ac}\theta_{ac} = 0$ we obtain:

$$(g^{-1})^{ac}\omega_{abc} = (\mathrm{div}\theta)_b = f_b$$

We conclude that:

$${}^*e = f, e = -{}^*f \tag{8.125}$$

and (8.124) becomes:

$$\omega_{abc} = -\epsilon_{ab}{}^*f_c \tag{8.126}$$

In view of the fact that

$$\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_b{}^c = g_{ab}$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_{abc}\omega^{abc} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}{}^*f_c\epsilon^{ab*}f^c = |{}^*f|^2 = |f|^2 \tag{8.127}$$

On the other hand, from the definition (8.123) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\omega_{abc}\omega^{abc} &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a\theta_{bc} - \nabla_b\theta_{ac})(\nabla^a\theta^{bc} - \nabla^b\theta^{ac}) \\ &= |\nabla\theta|^2 - \nabla_a\theta_{bc}\nabla^b\theta^{ac}\end{aligned} \tag{8.128}$$

We write:

$$\nabla_a\theta_{bc}\nabla^b\theta^{ac} = \nabla^b(\theta^{ac}\nabla_a\theta_{bc}) - \theta^{ac}\nabla^b\nabla_a\theta_{bc} \tag{8.129}$$

Using a well known fact in differential geometry, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^b\nabla_a\theta_{bc} - \nabla_a\nabla^b\theta_{bc} &= R_b{}^{db}{}_a\theta_{dc} + R_c{}^{db}{}_a\theta_{bd} \\ &= S^d{}_a\theta_{dc} + R_c{}^{db}{}_a\theta_{bd}\end{aligned} \tag{8.130}$$

where R_{abcd} is the curvature tensor and $S_{ab} = (g^{-1})^{cd}R_{cadb}$ the Ricci tensor of (M, g). Since M is 2-dimensional, we have:

$$R_{abcd} = K(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc}), \quad S_{ab} = Kg_{ab}$$

Hence, the right hand side of (8.130) is:

$$K\delta^d_a\theta_{dc} + K(\delta^b_c\delta^d_a - g_{ca}(g^{-1})^{db})\theta_{bd} = 2K\theta_{ac}$$

in view of the fact that $\mathrm{tr}\theta = 0$. Thus, (8.130) reduces to:

$$\nabla^b\nabla_a\theta_{bc} - \nabla_a\nabla^b\theta_{bc} = 2K\theta_{ac} \tag{8.131}$$

Substituting in (8.129) we obtain:

$$\nabla_a\theta_{bc}\nabla^b\theta^{ac} = \nabla^b(\theta^{ac}\nabla_a\theta_{bc}) - \theta^{ac}\nabla_a\nabla^b\theta_{bc} - 2K|\theta|^2 \tag{8.132}$$

In reference to the second term on the right, we write:

$$\theta^{ac}\nabla_a\nabla^b\theta_{bc} = \nabla_a(\theta^{ac}\nabla^b\theta_{bc}) - (\nabla_a\theta^{ac})(\nabla^b\theta_{bc}) = \nabla_a(\theta^{ac}\nabla^b\theta_{bc}) - |f|^2$$

Substuting in (8.132) then yields:

$$\nabla_a\theta_{bc}\nabla^b\theta^{ac} = \mathrm{div}_g J - 2K|\theta|^2 + |f|^2 \tag{8.133}$$

where J is the vectorfield:

$$J^a = \theta^b{}_c\nabla_b\theta^{ac} - \theta^a{}_c\nabla_b\theta^{bc} = \theta^b{}_c\nabla_b\theta^{ac} - \theta^a{}_c f^c \tag{8.134}$$

Substuting (8.133) in (8.128) we get:

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_{abc}\omega^{abc} = |\nabla\theta|^2 + 2K|\theta|^2 - |f|^2 - \mathrm{div}_g J \tag{8.135}$$

Comparing (8.135) with (8.127) we conclude that:

$$|\nabla\theta|^2 + 2K|\theta|^2 = 2|f|^2 + \mathrm{div}_g J \tag{8.136}$$

Multiplying this equation by μ^2 and integrating over M, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_M \mu^2\{|\nabla\theta|^2 + 2K|\theta|^2\}d\mu_g \\ &= 2\int_M \mu^2|f|^2 d\mu_g + \int_M \mu^2\mathrm{div}_g J d\mu_g\end{aligned} \tag{8.137}$$

Writing

$$\mu^2 \mathrm{div}_g J = \mathrm{div}_g(\mu^2 J) - 2\mu(J \cdot d\mu)$$

we obtain, Since M is compact,

$$\int_M \mu^2 \mathrm{div}_g J d\mu_g = -2\int_M \mu(J \cdot d\mu) d\mu_g \tag{8.138}$$

From (8.134), we can estimate

$$|J| \leq |\theta|(|\nabla\theta| + |f|) \tag{8.139}$$

therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} -2\int_M \mu(J \cdot d\mu) d\mu_g &\leq 2\int_M \mu|J||d\mu| d\mu_g \\ &\leq 2\int_M |d\mu||\theta|(\mu|\nabla\theta| + \mu|f|) d\mu_g \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 2|d\mu||\theta|\mu|\nabla\theta| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\mu^2|\nabla\theta|^2 + 2|d\mu|^2|\theta|^2 \\ 2|d\mu||\theta|\mu|f| &\leq \mu^2|f|^2 + |d\mu|^2|\theta|^2 \end{aligned}$$

we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\int_M \mu(J \cdot d\mu) d\mu_g \\ \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_M \mu^2|\nabla\theta|^2 d\mu_g + \int_M &\mu^2|f|^2 d\mu_g + 3\int_M |d\mu|^2|\theta|^2 d\mu_g \end{aligned} \tag{8.140}$$

So the lemma follows. $\square$

Next, we shall derive an estimate for K, the Gauss curvature of $S_{t,u}$. Recall the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$ of Chapter 6.

Lemma 8.9 Under the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$, the following estimate holds:

$$|K - r^{-2}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

In particular, taking δ_0 suitably small, we have:

$$K \geq C^{-1}(1+t)^{-2}$$

Proof. From the equation (3.27), (3.31) and (3.36) in Chapter 3, we have the following expression for K:

$$K = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}[(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 - |\chi|^2] - \eta^{-1}(\mathrm{tr}\not{k}\mathrm{tr}\chi - \chi \cdot \not{k}) + \rho$$

From (3.5), (3.33) and (4.10), we know that K is regular as $\mu \longrightarrow 0$. Also from $\mathbf{E}$ we know that

$$|\rho| \leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4} \tag{8.141}$$

From $\mathbf{A2}, \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F2}$ we have:

$$|\eta^{-1}(\mathrm{tr}\not{k}\mathrm{tr}\chi - \chi \cdot \not{k})| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{8.142}$$

Finally, we consider the first term in the expression of K. Assumption $\mathbf{F2}$ implies

$$|\frac{1}{2}[(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 - |\chi|^2] - (\frac{1}{r})^2| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1 + \log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^3} \tag{8.143}$$

In fact, we can decompose:

$$|\chi|^2 = |\hat{\chi}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2$$

and

$$|\frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 - (\frac{1}{r})^2| \leq |\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - \frac{1}{r}||\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \frac{1}{r}|$$

From (6.124) and (6.129) and **F2** we have:

$$|\frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 - (\frac{1}{r})^2| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Also from **F2**, we have:

$$|\hat{\chi}|^2 \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$$

So (8.143) results.

Then from **E**, we have:

$$|\eta^{-2} - 1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{8.144}$$

so from (6.127) and (6.124), (6.129), we have:

$$|\frac{1}{2}\eta^{-2}[(\mathrm{tr}\chi)^2 - |\chi|^2] - \frac{1}{r^2}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.145}$$

The lemma then follows. □

8.1.4 Preliminary Estimates for the Solutions of the Propagation Equations

We now apply Lemma 8.8 to Proposition 8.4, taking (M, g) to be $(S_{t,u}, \not{g})$, the trace-free symmetric 2-covariant tensorfields θ to be ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l$, and the 1-form f to be:

$$\frac{1}{2}\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$$

In view of the fact that by Lemma 8.9, taking δ_0 suitably small, we have $K \geq 0$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu\not{D}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C\|\mu\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} + C\|\mu^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\\ +C\|\not{d}\mu\|_{L^\infty(S_{t,u})}\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\end{aligned} \tag{8.146}$$

Now, by **F1** we have:

$$|\not{d}\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.147}$$

Also,

$$\mu\not{d}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l - \not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l \tag{8.148}$$

Substituting (8.147) and (8.148) in (8.146) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu\not{D}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C\|^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\\ +C\|\not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} + C\|\mu^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\\ +C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\end{aligned} \tag{8.149}$$

Let us return to the propagation equation of Proposition 8.3. Setting:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{g}_l = \mu\not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}h_l + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}g_l \tag{8.150}$$

This propagation equation takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}&\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l+(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l \\ &=(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l-{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l\end{aligned}\tag{8.151}$$

Recall that $S_{0,0}$ is the unit sphere S^2 in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (Σ_0 is identified with $\mathbb{R}^3$). We define a diffeomorphism $\Phi_{t,u}$ of S^2 onto $S_{t,u}$ as follows. First, at $t=0$, $\Phi_{0,u}$ is the diffeomorphism of S^2 onto $S_{0,u}$ defined by the flow of T on Σ_0.Then $\Phi_{t,u}=\Phi_t\circ\Phi_{0,u}$ where Φ_t is the flow of L on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. That is, $\Phi_{t|S_{0,u}}$ is the diffeomorphism of $S_{0,u}$ onto $S_{t,u}$ defined by the generators of C_u. Given an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ, we may pull it back by $\Phi_{t,u}$ to S^2 and consider the 1-form $\xi(t,u)=\Phi^*_{t,u}\xi$ as a 1-form on S^2 depending on the parameters t and u. If $\xi=\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\zeta$, where ζ is an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ 1-form, then $\xi(t,u)=\frac{\partial\zeta(t,u)}{\partial t}$. If $\xi=\not{d}\phi$ where ϕ is a function, then $\xi(t,u)=\not{d}\phi(t,u)$, where $\phi(t,u)=\phi\circ\Phi_{t,u}$ is the corresponding function on S^2 and $\not{d}\phi(t,u)$ denotes its differential on S^2. Also, we may pull the induced metric $\not{g}$ on $S_{t,u}$ back to S^2 and consider $\not{g}(t,u)=\Phi^*_{t,u}\not{g}$ as a metric on S^2 depending on t and u. The foregoing correspond simply to the description in terms of acoustical coordinates $(t,u,\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)$, where $(\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)$ are arbitrary local coordinates on S^2 extended to Σ_0 so that the lines corresponding to constant values of $(\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)$ are orthogonal to the $S_{0,u}$ (hence $\Xi=0$ on Σ_0).

In view of above, we may think of (8.151) as an equation for the 1-form ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l(t,u)$ on S^2 depending on (t,u):

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l+(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l \\ &=(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l-{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l\end{aligned}\tag{8.152}$$

where ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l={}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l(t,u)$ is a 1-form on S^2 depending on (t,u).

In the following we denote by $(\quad,\quad)$ the pointwise inner product of tensorfields on S^2, depending on t and u, with respect to the metric $\not{g}(t,u)$. For 1-forms $\xi(t,u)$ and $\zeta(t,u)$, we have:

$$(\xi(t,u),\zeta(t,u))=(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(t,u)\xi_A(t,u)\zeta_B(t,u)\tag{8.153}$$

We also denote by $|\quad|$ the pointwise magnitude of tensorfields on S^2, depending on t and u, with respect to $\not{g}(t,u)$. Thus,

$$|\xi(t,u)|=\sqrt{(\xi(t,u),\xi(t,u))}\tag{8.154}$$

Now by the definition of χ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\not{g}_{AB}(t,u)}{\partial t}=2\chi_{AB}(t,u),\quad \frac{\partial(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(t,u)}{\partial t}=-2\chi^{AB}(t,u);\\ \chi^{AB}(t,u)=(\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(t,u)(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}(t,u)\chi_{CD}(t,u)\end{aligned}\tag{8.155}$$

It follows from (8.153) and (8.155) that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\xi,\zeta)=(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t},\zeta)+(\xi,\frac{\partial\zeta}{\partial t})-2\xi\cdot\chi\cdot\zeta\tag{8.156}$$

Here,

$$\xi\cdot\chi\cdot\zeta=\xi_A\chi^{AB}\zeta_B\tag{8.157}$$

In particular, taking $\zeta=\xi$ we obtain, in view of (8.154),

$$|\xi|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\xi|=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\xi,\xi)=(\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t},\xi)-\xi\cdot\chi\cdot\xi\tag{8.158}$$

Decomposing:

$$\chi_{AB} = \hat{\chi}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\not{g}_{AB}\mathrm{tr}\chi, \quad \chi^{AB} = \hat{\chi}^{AB} + \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{8.159}$$

then we have:

$$\xi \cdot \chi \cdot \zeta = \xi \cdot \hat{\chi} \cdot \zeta + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi(\xi, \zeta) \tag{8.160}$$

hence (8.158) takes the form:

$$|\xi|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\xi| = (\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}, \xi) - \xi \cdot \hat{\chi} \cdot \xi - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi|\xi|^2 \tag{8.161}$$

We shall apply (8.161) taking $\xi = {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}x_l$. From (8.152) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l}{\partial t}, {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l|^2 = \\ -(-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi)|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l|^2 + (-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi)({}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l, \not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l) \\ -({}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l, {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l)\end{aligned} \tag{8.162}$$

We shall use the following assumption:
In $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ we have:

$$\mathbf{AS} : -2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi \geq 0$$

From this assumption and (8.162) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{\partial {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l}{\partial t}, {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l|^2 \\ \leq -(-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi)|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l|^2 + (-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi)|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l||\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l| \\ +|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l|\end{aligned} \tag{8.163}$$

In reference to the second term on the right in (8.161) with ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l$ in the role of ξ, we have

$$|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l \cdot \hat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l| \leq |\hat{\chi}||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l|^2 \tag{8.164}$$

Substituting (8.164) in (8.163) we obtain, in view of (8.161),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l|}{\partial t} \leq -(-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - |\hat{\chi}|)|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l| \\ +(-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi)|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l| + |{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l|\end{aligned} \tag{8.165}$$

The integrating factor here is:

$$\exp\{\int_0^t (-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - |\hat{\chi}|)(t', u)dt'\} = (\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(0,u)})^{-2}(A(t,u))^{3/2}e^{-S(t,u)} \tag{8.166}$$

Here

$$A(t,u) = \exp(\int_0^t \mathrm{tr}\chi(t',u)dt'), \quad S(t,u) = \int_0^t |\hat{\chi}|(t',u)dt' \tag{8.167}$$

Since by (8.155):

$$\mathrm{tr}\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \not{g}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sqrt{\det \not{g}} \tag{8.168}$$

we have:

$$A(t,u)=\frac{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(t,u)}}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(0,u)}} \tag{8.169}$$

that is, $A(t,u)$ is the ratio of the area elements of $S_{t,u}$ and $S_{0,u}$ at corresponding points along the same generator of C_u. Integrating (8.165) and using (8.166) we get:

$$|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(t,u)|\leq {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F_l(t,u)+{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}G_l(t,u) \tag{8.170}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F_l(t,u)=e^{S(t,u)}(A(t,u))^{-3/2}(\mu(t,u))^2\{(\mu(0,u))^{-2}|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(0,u)| \\ +\int_0^t(\mu(t',u))^{-2}(A(t',u))^{3/2}e^{-S(t',u)}(-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi)(t',u)|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t',u)|\}\end{aligned} \tag{8.171}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}G_l(t,u)=e^{S(t,u)}(A(t,u))^{-3/2}(\mu(t,u))^2 \\ \cdot\int_0^t(\mu(t',u))^{-2}(A(t',u))^{3/2}e^{-S(t',u)}|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l(t',u)|dt'\end{aligned} \tag{8.172}$$

We begin our estimates with $A(t,u)$ and $S(t,u)$. By **F2** and (8.167) we have:

$$|\log A(t,u)-\int_0^t\frac{2}{1-u+t'}dt'|\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'\leq C\delta_0$$

Since

$$\int_0^t\frac{2}{1-u+t'}dt'=2\log(\frac{1-u+t}{1-u})$$

it follows that:

$$e^{-C\delta_0}(\frac{1-u+t}{1-u})^2\leq A(t,u)\leq e^{C\delta_0}(\frac{1-u+t}{1-u})^2 \tag{8.173}$$

Also by **F2** and (8.167) we have:

$$S(t,u)\leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.174}$$

the integral

$$\int_0^\infty(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt'$$

being convergent.

In view of (8.173) and (8.174) we obtain from (8.171) and (8.172):

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F_l(t,u)\leq e^{C\delta_0}(1-u+\eta_0t)^{-3}\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M_l^0(t,u)+{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M_l^1(t,u)+{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M_l^2(t,u)\} \tag{8.175}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M_l^0(t,u)=(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(0,u)})^2(1-u)^3|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(0,u)| \tag{8.176}$$

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M_l^1(t,u)=\int_0^t(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^3[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t',u)]\cdot|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t',u)|dt' \tag{8.177}$$

$$
{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t,u) = \int_0^t (\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^3(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi(t',u))|\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t',u)|dt' \tag{8.178}
$$

Also:

$$
{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G_l(t,u) \leq e^{C\delta_0}(1-u+t)^{-3}\cdot\int_0^t (\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^3|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l(t',u)|dt' \tag{8.179}
$$

To proceed we must analyze the behavior of μ. We need the following assumption:

$$
\not{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{3}_0 : |\not{\Delta}\psi_0| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}
$$

8.2 Crucial Lemmas Concerning the Behavior of μ

Lemma 8.10 Under the assumptions $\mathbf{E1}, \mathbf{E2}, \not{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{3}_0, \mathbf{F2}$ and $\mathbf{A}$, the following hold. Let us denote:

$$
P_s(u,\vartheta) = (1+s)(\underline{L}\psi_0)(s,u,\vartheta)
$$

We then have, for all $t\in[0,s]$:

$$
(\underline{L}\psi_0)(t,u,\vartheta) = \frac{P_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)} + R_s(t,u,\vartheta)
$$

and:

$$
|R_s(t,u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}
$$

where C is a constant independent of s.

Proof. The function ψ_0 satisfies the equation:

$$
\Box_{\tilde{g}}\psi_0 = 0
$$

From the expression for $\Box_{\tilde{g}}$ in Chapter 3, this reads:

$$
L(\underline{L}\psi_0) + \nu(\underline{L}\psi_0) = \rho_0 \tag{8.180}
$$

where

$$
\rho_0 = \mu\not{\Delta}\psi_0 - \underline{\nu}L\psi_0 - 2\zeta\cdot\not{d}\psi_0 + \mu\frac{d\log\Omega}{dh}\not{d}h\cdot\not{d}\psi_0 \tag{8.181}
$$

Now, by $\not{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{3}_0$, and $\mathbf{A3}$, the first term on the right hand side of (8.181) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$
C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

By (6.122) and $\mathbf{E2}$, the second term on the right hand side of (8.181) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$
C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

By (6.104) and $\mathbf{E2}$, the third term on the right hand side of (8.181) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$
C\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

Finally, by $\mathbf{A3}$ and $\mathbf{E}$, the last term on the right of (8.181) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$
C\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

We conclude:

$$|\rho_0| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.182}$$

Consider on S^2 the function:

$$\tilde{A}(t,u) = A(t,u)(\frac{\Omega(t,u)}{\Omega(0,u)}) \tag{8.183}$$

depending on the parameters t and u, where $A(t,u)$ is defined by (8.169). We have, using (8.167) and the definition of ν,

$$\frac{\partial\tilde{A}(t,u)}{\partial t} = 2\nu(t,u)\tilde{A}(t,u), \quad \tilde{A}(0,u) = 1 \tag{8.184}$$

Thus, setting, in terms of the pullbacks by $\Phi_{t,u}$ to S^2,

$$\tau_0(t,u) = (\tilde{A}(t,u))^{1/2}(\underline{L}\psi_0)(t,u), \quad \tilde{\rho_0}(t,u) = (\tilde{A}(t,u))^{1/2}\rho_0(t,u) \tag{8.185}$$

(8.180) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial\tau_0}{\partial t} = \tilde{\rho}_0 \tag{8.186}$$

Integrating (8.184) we obtain:

$$\tilde{A}(t,u) = e^{2N(t,u)}, \quad N(t,u) = \int_0^t \nu(t',u)dt' \tag{8.187}$$

Writing,

$$\nu(t,u) = \frac{1}{1-u+t} + \hat{\nu} \tag{8.188}$$

we have,

$$N(t,u) = \log(\frac{1-u+t}{1-u}) + \hat{N}(t,u), \quad \hat{N}(t,u) = \int_0^t \hat{\nu}(t',u)dt' \tag{8.189}$$

hence:

$$\tilde{A}(t,u) = (\frac{1-u+t}{1-u})^2 e^{2\hat{N}(t,u)} \tag{8.190}$$

Now, by **E** and **F2**:

$$|\hat{\nu}(t,u)| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.191}$$

It follows that:

$$|\hat{N}(s,u) - \hat{N}(t,u)| \leq \int_t^s |\hat{\nu}(t',u)|dt' \leq C\delta_0 \int_t^s (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \tag{8.192}$$

The last integral is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^s (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \qquad (8.193)\\ &= 2[\frac{1}{(1+t)} - \frac{1}{(1+s)}] + [\frac{\log(1+t)}{(1+t)} - \frac{\log(1+s)}{(1+s)}]\\ &\leq 2\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$|\hat{N}(s,u) - \hat{N}(t,u)| \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)} \tag{8.194}$$

This implies in particular, replacing s, t by $t, 0$ respectively and noting that $N(0,u) = 0$,

$$|\hat{N}(t,u)| \leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.195}$$

We now return to (8.186). Integrating on $[t,s]$ we obtain:

$$\tau_0(t,u) = \tau_0(s,u) - \int_t^s \tilde{\rho}_0(t',u)dt' \tag{8.196}$$

By (8.182), (8.185), (8.190) and (8.195) we have:

$$|\tilde{\rho}_0(t,u)| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.197}$$

Hence, in view of (8.193),

$$|\int_t^s \tilde{\rho}_0(t',u)dt'| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)} \tag{8.198}$$

From (8.185) and (8.190) we have:

$$(\underline{L}\psi_0)(t,u) = (\tilde{A}(t,u))^{-1/2}\tau_0(t,u) = (\frac{1-u}{1-u+t})e^{-\hat{N}(t,u)}\tau_0(t,u) \tag{8.199}$$

In particular, this holds at $t = s$. On the other hand, according to the definition of $P_s(u)$ in the statement of Lemma 8.10,

$$(\underline{L}\psi_0)(s,u) = \frac{P_s(u)}{(1+s)}$$

It follows that:

$$\tau_0(s,u) = \frac{P_s(u)}{(1-u)}\frac{(1-u+s)}{(1+s)}e^{\hat{N}(s,u)} \tag{8.200}$$

Substuting (8.200) in (8.196) and the result in (8.199) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{L}\psi_0)(t,u) = {} & \frac{P_s(u)}{(1+s)}\frac{(1-u+s)}{(1-u+t)}e^{\hat{N}(s,u)-\hat{N}(t,u)} \\ & -\frac{(1-u)}{(1-u+t)}e^{-\hat{N}(t,u)}\int_t^s \tilde{\rho}_0(t',u)dt'\end{aligned} \tag{8.201}$$

Setting:

$$R_s(t,u) = P_s(u)B_s(t,u) - \frac{(1-u)}{(1-u+t)}e^{-\hat{N}(t,u)}\int_t^s \tilde{\rho}_0(t',u)dt' \tag{8.202}$$

where

$$B_s(t,u) = \frac{1}{(1+s)}\frac{(1-u+s)}{(1-u+t)}e^{\hat{N}(s,u)-\hat{N}(t,u)} - \frac{1}{(1+t)} \tag{8.203}$$

We obtain:

$$(\underline{L}\psi_0)(t,u) = \frac{P_s(u)}{(1+t)} + R_s(t,u) \tag{8.204}$$

Moreover, (8.203) can be written as:

$$B_s(t,u) = \frac{1}{(1+t)}\{e^{\hat{N}(s,u)-\hat{N}(t,u)} - 1 - \frac{-u}{(1-u+t)}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}e^{\hat{N}(s,u)-\hat{N}(t,u)}\} \tag{8.205}$$

Since from (8.194),

$$e^{|\hat{N}(s,u)-\hat{N}(t,u)|} \leq e^{C\delta_0},$$

$$|e^{\hat{N}(s,u)-\hat{N}(t,u)} - 1| \leq e^{C\delta_0}|\hat{N}(s,u) - \hat{N}(t,u)| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}$$

it follows that:

$$|B_s(t,u)| \leq C \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}$$

Since by **E2**, we also have:

$$|P_s(u)| \leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.206}$$

it follows from (8.202), in view of (8.198), that:

$$|R_s(t,u)| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)} \tag{8.207}$$

and the lemma is proved. $\square$

We now introduce the additional bootstrap assumptions:

There is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$,

$$\mathbf{E_{LT}3} : |LT\psi_\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\mathbf{E_{LL}3} : |LL\psi_\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}$$

Proposition 8.5 Let the assumptions of Lemma 8.10 hold. Let the additional bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E_{LT}3}, \mathbf{E_{LL}3}$, hold as well. Denoting:

$$E_s(u,\vartheta) = (1+s)(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(s,u,\vartheta)$$

we then have, for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(t,u,\vartheta) = \frac{E_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)} + Q_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)$$

and

$$|Q_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}$$

Proof. We begin with the equation for μ (see (3.92)). We have:

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(Th) \tag{8.208}$$

Setting:

$$m_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ell(T\psi_0), \quad m_1 = m - m_0 \tag{8.209}$$

where ℓ is the constant:

$$\ell = \frac{dH}{dh}(0) \tag{8.210}$$

we have:

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{dH}{dh} - \ell)(Th) + \frac{1}{2}\ell[(Th) - (T\psi_0)] \tag{8.211}$$

From **E1**:

$$|h| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{8.212}$$

which implies:

$$|\frac{dH}{dh} - \ell| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{8.213}$$

Moreover, since

$$Th = T\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i T\psi_i$$

E implies:

$$|m_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{8.214}$$

Also using $\mathbf{E_{LT}3}$ we deduce:

$$|Lm_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{8.215}$$

Now we set:

$$m_{0,1} = \frac{1}{4}\ell(\underline{L}\psi_0) \tag{8.216}$$

Then, since $\underline{L} = 2T + \alpha^{-2}\mu L$, we have:

$$m_{0,1} = m_0 + \frac{1}{4}\ell\alpha^{-2}\mu(L\psi_0) \tag{8.217}$$

Thus, setting also:

$$e_1 = e - \frac{1}{4}\ell\alpha^{-2}(L\psi_0), \quad n_1 = m_1 + \mu e_1 \tag{8.218}$$

we get:

$$L\mu = m_{0,1} + n_1 \tag{8.219}$$

From (8.214), **A** and **E**, we have:

$$|e_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}, \quad |n_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.220}$$

Moreover, by $\mathbf{E_{LL}3}$,

$$|Le_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{8.221}$$

which, together with (8.215) and the fact that:

$$|L\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{8.222}$$

yields:

$$|Ln_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.223}$$

By virtue of Lemma 8.10 we have:

$$m_{0,1}(t,u) = \frac{1}{4}\ell\frac{P_s(u)}{(1+t)} + \frac{1}{4}\ell R_s(t,u) \tag{8.224}$$

We define the function $E_s(u)$ on S^2, depending on the parameters u and s:

$$E_s(u) = \frac{1}{4}\ell P_s(u) + (1+s)n_1(s,u) \tag{8.225}$$

Then by the second of (8.220),

$$|E_s(u) - \frac{1}{4}\ell P_s(u)| \leq C\delta_0(1+s)^{-1}[1+\log(1+s)] \tag{8.226}$$

From (8.219), (8.224) and the fact that $R_s(s,u)=0$, we have:

$$(1+s)(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(s,u)=E_s(u) \tag{8.227}$$

Moreover we have:

$$(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}(t,u)=\frac{E_s(u)}{(1+t)}+Q_{1,s}(t,u)$$

$$Q_{1,s}(t,u)=\frac{1}{4}\ell R_s(t,u)-n_1(s,u)\frac{(s-t)}{(1+t)}-(n_1(s,u)-n_1(t,u)) \tag{8.228}$$

From Lemma 8.10, the first term on the right of above satisfies the required bound. From (8.220) and the fact that $f(t)=(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$ is decreasing in t, the second term on the right of above also satisfies the required bound. For the last term, we have, by (8.223),

$$|n_1(s,u)-n_1(t,u)|\leq\int_t^s|\frac{\partial n_1}{\partial t}(t',u)|dt'\leq C\delta_0\int_t^s(1+t')^{-3}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \tag{8.229}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_t^s(1+t')^{-3}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \qquad (8.230)\\ =&\frac{3}{4}[\frac{1}{(1+t)^2}-\frac{1}{(1+s)^2}]+\frac{1}{2}[\frac{\log(1+t)}{(1+t)^2}-\frac{\log(1+s)}{(1+s)^2}]\\ \leq&\frac{3}{4}\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that indeed

$$|Q_{1,s}(t,u)|\leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)} \tag{8.231}$$

and the proposition is proved. ☐

From Proposition 8.5, we have:

$$\mu(t,u)=\mu(0,u)+E_s(u)\log(1+t)+\int_0^t Q_{1,s}(t',u)dt' \tag{8.232}$$

Recall that $\mu=\eta\kappa$. Assumption **E1** restricted to $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$ implies:

$$|\eta(0,u)-1|\leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.233}$$

In view of the fact that

$$\kappa=1 \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_0 \tag{8.234}$$

we obtain:

$$|\mu(0,u)-1|\leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.235}$$

According the bound for $Q_{1,s}(t,u)$ in Proposition 8.5,

$$|Q_{1,s}(t,u)|\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.236}$$

Let us define on S^2 the function:

$$\mu_{1,s}(u)=\int_0^s Q_{1,s}(t,u)dt \tag{8.237}$$

Substuting (8.236) we obtain:

$$|\mu_{1,s}(u)|\leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.238}$$

We then define on S^2 the function:

$$\mu_{[1],s}(u) = \mu(0,u) + \mu_{1,s}(u) \tag{8.239}$$

From (8.235) and (8.238) we have:

$$|\mu_{[1],s}(u) - 1| \leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.240}$$

Taking δ_0 suitably small, this implies:

$$\inf_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]} \inf_{S^2} \mu_{[1],s}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{8.241}$$

In view of (8.237) and (8.239), (8.232) can be written as

$$\mu(t,u) = \mu_{[1],s}(u) + E_s(u)\log(1+t) + Q_{0,s}(t,u) \tag{8.242}$$

where

$$Q_{0,s}(t,u) = -\int_t^s Q_{1,s}(t',u)dt' \tag{8.243}$$

We have:

$$|Q_{0,s}(t,u)| \leq \int_t^s |Q_{1,s}(t',u)|dt' \leq C\delta_0 \int_t^s (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]dt' \tag{8.244}$$

$$\leq 2C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)} \tag{8.245}$$

(see (8.193))

Finally, setting

$$\begin{gathered}\hat{\mu}_s(t,u) = \frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u)}, \quad \hat{E}_s(u) = \frac{E_s(u)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u)} \\ \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u) = \frac{Q_{0,s}(t,u)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u)}, \quad \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u) = \frac{Q_{1,s}(t,u)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u)},\end{gathered} \tag{8.246}$$

We collect the above results in the following proposition:

Proposition 8.6 Let the assumptions of Proposition 8.5 hold. Then, there is a function $\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)$ on S^2 depending on the parameters u and s, satisfying:

$$|\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta) - 1| \leq C\delta_0, \quad \inf_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]} \inf_{\vartheta\in S^2} \mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

such that setting:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) = \frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)}$$

we have:

$$\begin{gathered}\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) = 1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta) \\ \frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t,u,\vartheta) = \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)} + \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)\end{gathered}$$

where:

$$\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta) = -\int_t^s \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t',u,\vartheta)dt'$$

and the functions $\hat{Q}_{0,s}, \hat{Q}_{1,s}$ satisfiy the bounds:

$$|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}$$
$$|\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)}$$

Let us define:

$$\mu_m(t) = \min_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \mu = \min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} \mu(t,u,\vartheta) \tag{8.247}$$

We set:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t) = \min\{\mu_m(t), 1\} \tag{8.248}$$

Let us also define:

$$M(t) = \max_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\{-\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-\} = \max_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}\{-\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t,u,\vartheta)\} \tag{8.249}$$

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the sequel.

Lemma 8.11 Let the assumptions of Proposition 8.6 hold on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Then for any constant $a \geq 4$, there is a positive constant C independent of s and a such that for all $t \in [0,s]$ we have:

$$I_a(t) := \int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt' \leq Ca^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)$$

provided δ_0 is suitably small depending on a.

Proof. We can express $M(t)$ as:

$$M(t) = \max_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}\{-\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_-(t,u,\vartheta)\} \tag{8.250}$$

Let us denote:

$$\hat{E}_{s,m} = \min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) \tag{8.251}$$

Case 1) $\hat{E}_{s,m} \geq 0$. In this case,

$$\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) \geq 0 : \forall (u,\vartheta) \in [0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2 \tag{8.252}$$

From Proposition 8.6 we then have:

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t,u,\vartheta) \geq \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)$$

hence:

$$-(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_-(t,u,\vartheta) \leq -(\hat{Q}_{1,s})_-(t,u,\vartheta) \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{8.253}$$

Also:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) \geq 1 + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta) \geq 1 - C\delta_0 \tag{8.254}$$

Now (8.253) and (8.254) together imply:

$$M(t) \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{8.255}$$

provided δ_0 is suitably small.

On the other hand, we have:

$$\mu(t,u,\vartheta)=\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta)$$

From Proposition 8.6,

$$|\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)-1|\leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.256}$$

The lower bound of (8.254) then implies:

$$\mu_m(t)\geq 1-C\delta_0 \tag{8.257}$$

hence also:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t)\geq 1-C\delta_0 \tag{8.258}$$

Substituting (8.255) and (8.258) into $I_a(t)$ we obtain:

$$I_a(t)\leq\int_0^t(1-C\delta_0)^{-a}C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')^2}dt'\leq C'\delta_0 \tag{8.259}$$

provided that:

$$\delta_0 a\leq\frac{1}{C} \tag{8.260}$$

This is because:

$$(1-C\delta_0)^{-a}\leq(1-a^{-1})^{-a}\longrightarrow e,\quad\text{as}\quad a\longrightarrow\infty$$

On the other hand, we have, in any case, by definition,

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t)\leq 1\Rightarrow\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\geq 1$$

Therefore, the lemma holds in Case 1).

Case 2) $\hat{E}_{s,m}<0$. Let us set in this case:

$$\hat{E}_{s,m}=-\delta_1,\quad\delta_1>0 \tag{8.261}$$

From the definition of $E_s(u)$ and (8.222) we have:

$$\delta_1\leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.262}$$

In the following we denote:

$$b(t,s)=\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\frac{(s-t)}{(1+s)},\quad c(t)=\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{8.263}$$

We also denote:

$$\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t)=\min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) \tag{8.264}$$

From Proposition 8.6 we have, in the present case,

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta)\geq 1-\delta_1\log(1+t)-C\delta_0 b(t,s) \tag{8.265}$$

for all $(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$, hence:

$$\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t)\geq 1-\delta_1\log(1+t)-C\delta_0 b(t,s) \tag{8.266}$$

On the other hand, if

$$\hat{E}_s(u_m,\vartheta_m)=\hat{E}_{s,m}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t) &\leq \hat{\mu}_s(t, u_m, \vartheta_m) \\ &= 1 - \delta_1 \log(1+t) + Q_{0,s}(t, u_m, \vartheta_m)\end{aligned}$$

hence:

$$\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t) \leq 1 - \delta_1 \log(1+t) + C\delta_0 b(t,s) \tag{8.267}$$

Moreover, from Proposition 8.6 we have:

$$-(\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_-(t, u, \vartheta) \leq -\frac{(\hat{E}_s)_-(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)} - (\hat{Q}_{1,s})_-(t,u,\vartheta)$$

hence:

$$-(\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_-(t, u, \vartheta) \leq \frac{\delta_1}{(1+t)} + C\delta_0 c(t) \tag{8.268}$$

Consider now the integral $I_a(t)$. Let us set:

$$t_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}} - 1 \tag{8.269}$$

We have two subcases to consider:

Subcase 2a) $t \leq t_1$. Since $t' \leq t$, where t' is the variable of the integration in the integral $I_a(t)$, in this subcase we have:

$$1 - \delta_1 \log(1+t') \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2a} \tag{8.270}$$

hence, by (8.266):

$$\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t') \geq 1 - \frac{1}{a} \tag{8.271}$$

provided that:

$$\delta_0 a \leq \frac{1}{2C} \tag{8.272}$$

where we have used the fact that $b(t,s) \leq 1$. In view of (8.256), (8.271) implies

$$\mu_m(t') \geq 1 - \frac{2}{a}$$

hence also:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t') \geq 1 - \frac{2}{a} \tag{8.273}$$

Now by (8.268) and (8.271) we have:

$$M(t') \leq (1 - \frac{1}{a})^{-1}\{\frac{\delta_1}{(1+t')} + C\delta_0 c(t')\} \tag{8.274}$$

Using (8.273) and (8.274) we obtain:

$$I_a(t) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{a})^{-1}(1 - \frac{2}{a})^{-a} \int_0^t \{\frac{\delta_1}{(1+t')} + C\delta_0 c(t')\}dt' \tag{8.275}$$

Here,

$$\int_0^t \frac{\delta_1}{(1+t')}dt' = \delta_1 \log(1+t) \leq \delta_1 \log(1+t_1) = \frac{1}{2a} \tag{8.276}$$

while,

$$\int_0^t C\delta_0 c(t')dt' \leq C'\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2a} \tag{8.277}$$

provided δ_0 is suitably small. In view of the fact that the coefficient in (8.275):

$$(1-\frac{1}{a})^{-1}(1-\frac{2}{a})^{-a} \longrightarrow e^2, \text{as} \quad a \longrightarrow \infty$$

it follows that for $t \leq t_1$:

$$I_a(t) \leq \frac{C}{a} \tag{8.278}$$

Since $\bar{\mu}_m(t) \leq 1$, the lemma holds in subcase 2a).

Subcase 2b) $t > t_1$. We write:

$$I_a(t) = I_a(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{t} \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt' \tag{8.279}$$

By (8.278) with t replaced by t_1,

$$I_a(t) \leq \frac{C}{a} + \int_{t_1}^{t} \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt' \tag{8.280}$$

Consider now the upper bound (8.267) evaluated at $t = s$. Since $b(s,s) = 0$, we have:

$$\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(s) \leq 1 - \delta_1 \log(1+s) \tag{8.281}$$

On the other hand, $\mu > 0$ in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. It follows that:

$$s \leq t_*, \quad \text{where} \quad t_* = e^{\frac{1}{\delta_1}} - 1 \tag{8.282}$$

Let us introduce the variable:

$$\tau' = \log(1+t') \tag{8.283}$$

and correspondingly write:

$$\tau = \log(1+t), \quad \sigma = \log(1+s) \tag{8.284}$$

and:

$$\tau_1 = \log(1+t_1) = \frac{1}{2a\delta_1}, \quad \tau_* = \log(1+t_*) = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \tag{8.285}$$

Then in (8.280) we have:

$$\tau_1 \leq \tau' \leq \tau \leq \sigma \leq \tau_* \tag{8.286}$$

Also, we express:

$$b(t,s) = \frac{(1+\tau)}{e^{\tau}} \frac{(e^{\sigma} - e^{\tau})}{e^{\sigma}} \tag{8.287}$$

Since,

$$0 \leq \frac{(e^{\sigma} - e^{\tau})}{e^{\sigma}} = 1 - e^{-(\sigma-\tau)} \leq \sigma - \tau$$

it follows that

$$0 \leq b(t,s) \leq \frac{(1+\tau)}{e^{\tau}}(\sigma - \tau) \tag{8.288}$$

Substuting (8.288) in (8.266) with t replaced by t' we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t') &\geq 1 - \delta_1\tau' - C\delta_0 \frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}}(\sigma - \tau') \qquad (8.289) \\ &= 1 - \delta_1\sigma + \delta_1\{1 - \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}}\}(\sigma - \tau') \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}} \leq \frac{(1+\tau_1)}{e^{\tau_1}} = (1+\frac{1}{2a\delta_1})e^{-\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}} \tag{8.290}$$

Hence, under the smallness condition

$$C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2a}$$

on δ_0:

$$\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}} \leq \frac{1}{2a\delta_1}(1+\frac{1}{2a\delta_1})e^{-\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}} \tag{8.291}$$

In view of the fact that

$$\lim_{x\to 0_+}\frac{1}{x}(1+\frac{1}{x})e^{-\frac{1}{x}} = 0$$

there is a positive constant $K(a)$, depending on a, such that:

$$\frac{1}{x}(1+\frac{1}{x})e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \leq \frac{1}{a} : \forall x \leq \frac{1}{K(a)}$$

Here we set:

$$x = 2a\delta_1 \leq 2aC\delta_0$$

So the smallness condition:

$$\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2CaK(a)} \tag{8.292}$$

on δ_0 implies:

$$\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}(1+\frac{1}{2a\delta_1})e^{-\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}} \leq \frac{1}{a} \tag{8.293}$$

It then follows from (8.291) that:

$$1 - \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{a} \tag{8.294}$$

In reference to (8.289), taking into account the fact that:

$$1 - \delta_1\sigma \geq 1 - \delta_1\tau_* = 0 \tag{8.295}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \delta_1\sigma + \delta_1\{1 - \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}}\}(\sigma - \tau') \\ \geq 1 - \delta_1\sigma + (1-\frac{1}{a})\delta_1(\sigma-\tau') \\ \geq (1-\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\sigma) + (1-\frac{1}{a})\delta_1(\sigma-\tau') = (1-\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau') \end{aligned} \tag{8.296}$$

From (8.289) we then conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_s(t',u,\vartheta) \geq \hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t') \geq (1-\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau') \\ : \forall (u,\vartheta) \in [0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2, \forall t' \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \tag{8.297}$$

We now consider the bound (8.268). Since

$$(1+t')c(t') = \frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}} \tag{8.298}$$

the bound (8.268) with t replaced by t' reads:

$$-(1+t')(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_-(t',u,\vartheta) \leq \delta_1\{1+\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau')}{e^{\tau'}}\} \tag{8.299}$$

If (8.292) holds, this implies:

$$\begin{aligned} -(1+t')(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_-(t',u,\vartheta) \leq \delta_1(1+\frac{1}{a}) \\ : \forall(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2, \forall t'\geq t_1 \end{aligned} \tag{8.300}$$

The bounds (8.297) and (8.300) together yield:

$$(1+t')M(t') \leq \frac{1+\frac{1}{a}}{1-\frac{1}{a}}\frac{\delta_1}{(1-\delta_1\tau')} \tag{8.301}$$

By (8.256), taking $C\delta_0 \leq a^{-1}$, and (8.297),

$$\mu_m(t') \geq (1-\frac{2}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau') \tag{8.302}$$

hence also:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t') \geq (1-\frac{2}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau') \tag{8.303}$$

We now consider the integral in (8.280). In terms of the variable τ' we have:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt' = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')(1+t')M(t')d\tau'$$

thus (8.301) and (8.303) yields:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt' \leq \frac{1+\frac{1}{a}}{1-\frac{1}{a}}\frac{1}{(1-\frac{2}{a})^a}\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a-1}\delta_1 d\tau' \tag{8.304}$$

Since:

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a-1}\delta_1 d\tau' = \frac{1}{a}[(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a}-(1-\delta_1\tau_1)^{-a}] \leq \frac{1}{a}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a}$$

while the coefficient:

$$\frac{1+\frac{1}{a}}{1-\frac{1}{a}}\frac{1}{(1-\frac{2}{a})^a} \to e^2, \text{as} \quad a\to\infty$$

we conclude that:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt' \leq \frac{C}{a}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a} \tag{8.305}$$

On the other hand, by (8.267) and (8.288),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t) &\leq 1-\delta_1\tau + C\delta_0\frac{(1+\tau)}{e^{\tau}}(\sigma-\tau) \\ &= 1-\delta_1\sigma+\delta_1\{1+\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)}{e^{\tau}}\}(\sigma-\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{8.306}$$

Now by (8.291) with τ' replaced by τ and (8.293),

$$\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)}{e^{\tau}} \leq \frac{1}{a} \tag{8.307}$$

provided (8.292) holds. Hence,

$$1+\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)}{e^\tau}\leq 1+\frac{1}{a} \tag{8.308}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 1-\delta_1\sigma+\delta_1\{1+\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)}{e^\tau}\}(\sigma-\tau) \\ \leq 1-\delta_1\sigma+(1+\frac{1}{a})\delta_1(\sigma-\tau) \\ \leq (1+\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\sigma)+(1+\frac{1}{a})\delta_1(\sigma-\tau) \\ =(1+\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{8.309}$$

Thus we obtain:

$$\hat{\mu}_{s,m}(t)\leq(1+\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau) \tag{8.310}$$

which together with (8.256) and a smallness condition on δ_0 of the form (8.260) yields:

$$\mu_m(t)\leq(1+\frac{2}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau) \tag{8.311}$$

hence also:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t)\leq(1+\frac{2}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau) \tag{8.312}$$

Therefore:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\geq\frac{1}{(1+\frac{2}{a})^a}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a} \tag{8.313}$$

Since

$$(1+\frac{2}{a})^a\to e^2,\text{as}\quad a\to\infty$$

we conclude that:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\geq\frac{1}{C}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a} \tag{8.314}$$

Comparing with (8.305), then yields:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')M(t')dt'\leq\frac{C}{a}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{8.315}$$

In view of above, (8.280) and the fact that $\bar{\mu}_m(t)\leq 1$, the lemma follows as well in subcase 2b).

The proof of the lemma is now complete. $\square$

Corollary 1 Under the assumptions of Lemma 8.11, there is a positive constant C independent of s such that the following holds. If at some $(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ we have $\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)<0$, then we have:

$$\frac{\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta)}{\hat{\mu}_s(t',u,\vartheta)}\leq C$$

for all $t'\in[0,t]$ and all $t\in[0,s]$.

Proof. Suppose at (u,ϑ), we have

$$\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)=-\delta_1,\delta_1>0,$$

From (8.266) and (8.267),

$$1-\delta_1\log(1+t)-C\delta_0 b(t,s)\leq\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta)\leq 1-\delta_1\log(1+t)+C\delta_0 b(t,s) \tag{8.316}$$

Fixing $a = 4$, and setting, in accordance with (8.269),

$$t_1 = e^{\frac{1}{8\delta_1}} - 1 \tag{8.317}$$

we have to consider the two subcases according as to whether $t \leq t_1$ or $t > t_1$. If $t \leq t_1$, from (8.271),

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t', u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{8.318}$$

while by the upper bound in (8.316),

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) \leq \frac{5}{4} \tag{8.319}$$

So the corollary holds when $t \leq t_1$.

If $t > t_1$, from (8.310) we have:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) \leq \frac{5}{4}(1 - \delta_1 \tau) \tag{8.320}$$

If then $t' \leq t_1$, the corollary holds by (8.318). While if $t' > t_1$, from (8.297) we have:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t', u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{3}{4}(1 - \delta_1 \tau') \tag{8.321}$$

Since $(1 - \delta_1 \tau) \leq (1 - \delta_1 \tau')$, the corollary follows. $\square$

Corollary 2 Under the assumptions of Lemma 8.11, there is a positive constant C independent of s and a such that:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t') \leq C \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)$$

holds for all $t' \in [0, t]$ and all $t \in [0, s]$.

Proof. Case 1) $\hat{E}_{s,m} \geq 0$. In this case, from (8.258):

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t') \geq 1 - C\delta_0 \tag{8.322}$$

On the other hand, by definition,

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t) \leq 1$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')}{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)} \leq (1 - C\delta_0)^{-a} \tag{8.323}$$

So the corollary holds provided that

$$C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{a}$$

Case 2) $\hat{E}_{s,m} < 0$. In this case we define δ_1 as in (8.261) and t_1 as in (8.269) and we consider the subcases 2a) $t' \leq t_1$ and 2b) $t' > t_1$ separately.

In subcase 2a), (8.273) holds, thus we have:

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')}{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)} \leq (1 - \frac{2}{a})^{-a} \tag{8.324}$$

which is bounded by a constant independent of a (for $a \geq 4$).

In subcase 2b), (8.303) as well as (8.312) hold, hence:

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')}{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)} \leq (\frac{1 - \frac{2}{a}}{1 + \frac{2}{a}})^{-a} (\frac{1 - \delta_1 \tau}{1 - \delta_1 \tau'})^{a} \leq (\frac{1 - \frac{2}{a}}{1 + \frac{2}{a}})^{-a} \tag{8.325}$$

(for,$\tau' \leq \tau$) which is bounded by a constant independent of a (for $a \geq 4$), as required. $\square$

Corollary 3 The assumptions of Lemma 8.11 imply the assumption **AS**.

Proof. We consider a given $(u, \vartheta) \in [0, \epsilon_0] \times S^2$ as in the proof of Corollary 1. Again, we have the two cases $\hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta) \geq 0$ and $\hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta) < 0$ to consider.

In the first case, recalling (8.254), we have:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) \geq 1 - C\delta_0$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 8.6 we have:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t, u, \vartheta) = \frac{\hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta)}{(1+t)} + \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t, u, \vartheta) \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

where we have used (8.206), (8.226) and (8.231). Therefore:

$$-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = -2\hat{\mu}_s^{-1}\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t} \geq -C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

On the other hand, **F2** implies

$$\text{tr}\chi \geq C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1}$$

So **AS** follows in Case 1) if δ_0 is suitably small.

In the second case we set as in the proof of Corollary 1, $\hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta) = -\delta_1, \delta_1 > 0, a = 4$ and define t_1 as (8.317). Then we have to consider the subcases $t \leq t_1$ and $t > t_1$.

In the first subcase we have (see (8.318))

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{3}{4}$$

from Proposition 8.6

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t, u, \vartheta) \leq \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t, u, \vartheta) \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

Therefore:

$$-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} = -2\hat{\mu}_s^{-1}\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t} \geq -C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

So **AS** follows in subcase 2a) if δ_0 is suitably small.

In the second subcase we have from Proposition 8.6,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t, u, \vartheta) &= -\delta_1 + (1+t)\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t, u, \vartheta) \\ &\leq -\delta_1\{1 - \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)}{e^\tau}\} \leq -\frac{3\delta_1}{4}\end{aligned}$$

if δ_0 is suitably small, according to (8.292) with $a = 4$. It follows that in subcase 2b)

$$-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} > 0$$

So **AS** again holds. $\square$

8.3 The Actual Estimates for the Solutions of the Propagation Equations

We now return to (8.175). We would like to obtain an estimate for the L^2 norm of ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F_l(t)$ on $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$. In general, if ϕ is a function defined on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ and we consider $\phi(t,u)$, the corresponding function on S^2 depending on t and u, then the L^2 norm of ϕ on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &= \sqrt{\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\phi^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}du} \\ &= \sqrt{\int_{[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}(\phi(t,u))^2 d\mu_{\not{g}(t,u)}du}\end{aligned} \tag{8.326}$$

While the L^2 norm of $\phi(t,.)$ on $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ is:

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} = \sqrt{\int_{[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}(\phi(t,u))^2 d\mu_{\not{g}(0,0)}du} \tag{8.327}$$

$\not{g}(0,0)$ being the standard metric on S^2. We have:

$$d\mu_{\not{g}(t,u)} = \frac{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(t,u)}}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(0,0)}}d\mu_{\not{g}(0,0)} = A(t,u)\frac{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(0,u)}}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(0,0)}}d\mu_{\not{g}(0,0)} \tag{8.328}$$

Now, by the definition of θ, we have,

$$\kappa\mathrm{tr}\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\sqrt{\det\not{g}} \tag{8.329}$$

on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$, because Ξ vanishes there. Using **F2**, **I0**, (6.93) and the relation

$$\chi = \eta(\not{k} - \theta)$$

we have:

$$|\kappa\mathrm{tr}\theta + \frac{2}{1-u}| \leq C\delta_0 \tag{8.330}$$

on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$.

Integrating (8.330) we get:

$$e^{-C\delta_0}(1-u)^2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(0,u)}}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}(0,0)}} \leq e^{C\delta_0}(1-u)^2 \tag{8.331}$$

Combining with (8.173), we obtain from (8.328):

$$e^{-C\delta_0}(1-u+t)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}(0,0)} \leq d\mu_{\not{g}(t,u)} \leq e^{C\delta_0}(1-u+t)^2 d\mu_{\not{g}(0,0)} \tag{8.332}$$

In view of (8.326) and (8.327) it follows that:

$$C^{-1}(1+t)\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)\|\phi(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.333}$$

Moreover, if ξ is an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form defined on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ and we consider $\xi(t,u)$, the corresponding 1-form on S^2 depending on t and u, then setting $\phi = |\xi|$, $\phi(t,u) = |\xi(t,u)|$, we have:

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} = \|\xi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \|\phi(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} = \||\xi(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.334}$$

hence, by (8.333),

$$C^{-1}(1+t)\||\xi(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq \|\xi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)\||\xi(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.335}$$

We now consider the terms ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^0(t,u)$, ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t,u)$, ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t,u)$ on the right hand side of (8.175), given by (8.176), (8.177) and (8.178) respectively.

First, by **A3**, (8.335), and (8.235),

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^0(t,u)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{8.336}$$

We turn to ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t,u)$. We partition $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$, into

$$\mathcal{V}_{s-} = \{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2 : \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) < 0\} \tag{8.337}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{s+} = \{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2 : \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) \geq 0\} \tag{8.338}$$

We then have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t)\|^2_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} = \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} + \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{8.339}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^1(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})}dt' \tag{8.340}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^1(t,t',u) = (\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^3[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t',u)]|\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t',u)| \tag{8.341}$$

We have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^1(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq (1+t')^3[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2 \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t')]\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \tag{8.342}$$

Now, by Corollary 1 to Lemma 8.11 we have:

$$\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}) \leq C \tag{8.343}$$

while by (8.249),

$$\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t')] \leq 2M(t') \tag{8.344}$$

Substituting in (8.342),

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^1(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C(1+t')^3M(t')\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.345}$$

We define:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t) = (1+t)^2\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.346}$$

Suppose that, for non-negative quantities ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(0)}$, ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(1)}$, we have:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t) \leq {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(0)}(t) + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(1)}(t) \tag{8.347}$$

Defining then the non-decreasing non-negative quantities ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}$, ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}$, by

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a} = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\bar{\mu}^a_m(t')^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P^{(0)}_l(t')\} \tag{8.348} $$

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a} = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')^{1/2}\bar{\mu}^a_m(t')^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P^{(1)}_l(t')\} \tag{8.349} $$

Then for $t'\in[0,t]$ we have:

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t') \leq \bar{\mu}^{-a}_m(t')\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) + (1+t')^{-1/2\,(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\} \tag{8.350} $$

Substituting in (8.345) we obtain:

$$ \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N^1_l(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2\,(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}^{-a}_m(t')M(t') \tag{8.351} $$

for all $t'\in[0,t]$. Substituting this in (8.340) we obtain:

$$ \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M^1_l(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2\,(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}I_a(t) \tag{8.352} $$

We then apply Lemma 8.11 to conclude that:

$$ \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M^1_l(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq Ca^{-1}\{(1+t)^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2\,(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}^{-a}_m(t) \tag{8.353} $$

In analogy with (8.340) and (8.342), with $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$ in the role of $\mathcal{V}_{s-}$, we have:

$$ \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M^1_l(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N^1_l(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \tag{8.354} $$

and:

$$ \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N^1_l(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq (1+t')^3[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2 \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t')]\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{8.355} $$

From (8.255) we have:

$$ \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t')] \leq C\delta_0(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')] \tag{8.356} $$

and from (8.254) we have:

$$ \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}) \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.357} $$

Substituting (8.356) and (8.357) as well as (8.346) in (8.354) and (8.355) we obtain:

$$ \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M^1_l(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t')dt' \tag{8.358} $$

hence, by (8.350),

$$ \begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M^1_l(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\cdot \\ \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\bar{\mu}^{-a}_m(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{8.359} $$

To estimate the integral in (8.359), we follow the proof of Corollary 2 to Lemma 8.11. We have two cases $\hat{E}_{s,m}\geq 0$ and $\hat{E}_{s,m}<0$ to consider. In the first case (8.322) holds, hence if

$$ \delta_0 a \leq \frac{1}{C} $$

we have:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t')^{-a} \leq (1-\frac{1}{a})^{-a} \leq C \tag{8.360}$$

and then

$$\int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')dt' \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{8.361}$$

In the second case we define as before, $\delta_1 > 0$ by $\hat{E}_{s,m} = -\delta_1$, and t_1 according to (8.269). Then for $t' \leq t_1$ (8.273) holds, so an estimate of the form (8.361) holds for all $t \leq t_1$.

If $t' > t_1$, then (8.303) holds, under the smallness condition (8.292) on δ_0. Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_1}^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')dt' \leq C\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1+\tau')(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a}d\tau' \leq \\ C(1+\tau)\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a}d\tau' \leq C(1+\tau)\cdot\frac{(1-\delta_1\tau)^{1-a}}{\delta_1(a-1)} \\ = 2C(1-\frac{1}{a})^{-1}\tau_1(1+\tau)(1-\delta_1\tau)^{1-a}\end{aligned} \tag{8.362}$$

The last equality comes from the first of (8.285). By the upper bound (8.312) we conclude that the last is bounded by a constant multiple of

$$[1+\log(1+t)]^2\bar{\mu}_m^{1-a}(t)$$

We conclude that in general,

$$\int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')dt' \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\bar{\mu}_m^{1-a}(t) \tag{8.363}$$

which, substituted in (8.359), yields:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]^4\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{1-a}(t) \tag{8.364}$$

Combining (8.364) and (8.353), and taking account into the fact that $C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{a}$, we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^1(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq Ca^{-1}\{(1+t){}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{8.365}$$

We turn to ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t,u)$. We have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|^2_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} = \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} + \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{8.366}$$

and also:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})}dt' \tag{8.367}$$

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \tag{8.368}$$

where

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t',u) = (\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^3(\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\chi(t',u))|\not{d}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t',u)| \tag{8.369}$$

We have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq (1+t')^3[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\chi(t'))\||\not{d}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \tag{8.370}$$

Now, by **F2**,

$$\max_{[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi(t')) \leq C(1+t')^{-1} \tag{8.371}$$

This together with (8.343) imply:

$$\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C(1+t')^2\||\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.372}$$

Then from (8.346), (8.350) and Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} &\leq C^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P_l(t') \\ \leq C\{^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) &+ (1+t')^{-1/2(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t') \\ \leq C'\{^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) &+ (1+t')^{-1/2(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{8.373}$$

Substituting (8.373) in (8.367) we get:

$$\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{8.374}$$

In analogy with (8.370) we have:

$$\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq (1+t')^3[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi(t'))\||\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t')|\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{8.375}$$

To estimate

$$\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}) = \max_{(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta)}{\hat{\mu}_s(t',u,\vartheta)})$$

we appeal to Proposition 8.6 to obtain:

$$\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}) \leq \max_{(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{1+C\delta_0+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)}{1-C\delta_0+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t')}) \tag{8.376}$$

We have two cases to distinguish according as to whether $t' < \sqrt{t}$ or $t' \geq \sqrt{t}$. In the second case we have $1+t' \geq 1+\sqrt{t} \geq \sqrt{1+t}$, hence:

$$\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t') \geq \frac{1}{2}\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) : \forall(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}$$

Then from (8.376) we obtain:

$$\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}) \leq 2 : t' \geq \sqrt{t} \tag{8.377}$$

provided that

$$C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{3}$$

On the other hand, in the first case, we have, trivially:

$$\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}) \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] : t' < \sqrt{t} \tag{8.378}$$

In view of (8.371) and the definition (8.346) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{2(i_1\ldots i_l)}P_l(t') : t' < \sqrt{t} \\ \|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} &\leq C^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P_l(t') : t' \geq \sqrt{t}\end{aligned} \tag{8.379}$$

Substituting (8.379) in (8.368), yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} &\leq \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' + \int_{\sqrt{t}}^t \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}N_l^2(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \\ &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^{\sqrt{t}} {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t')dt' + C\int_{\sqrt{t}}^t {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t')dt' \\ &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^{\sqrt{t}} \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}dt' \\ &\quad + C\int_{\sqrt{t}}^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}dt' \\ &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{(1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{1/4}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \\ &\quad + C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \\ &\leq C'\{(1+t)^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{8.380}$$

Here we have used (8.350) and Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11.

Combining (8.374) and (8.380) we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M_l^2(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{8.381}$$

Finally, (8.336), (8.365) and (8.381) yield, through (8.175), the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}F_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\quad + C(1+t)^{-2}\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{8.382}$$

We now consider the estimate (8.179) for ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G_l(t,u)$. By (8.343) and (8.357) we have, in general,

$$\max_{[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}\left(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')}\right) \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.383}$$

Hence (8.179) implies:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G_l(t,u) \leq C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t (1+t')^3|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l(t',u)|dt' \tag{8.384}$$

It follows by Minkowski's inequality that:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t (1+t')^3\||{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l(t')|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}dt' \tag{8.385}$$

Now the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l$ is given by (8.150). Here we must distinguish the principal acoustical terms. In reference to (8.64), we define the function:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{h}_l = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}h_l - 2\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l \tag{8.386}$$

then $\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{h}_l$ does not contain principal acoustical terms. Also, according to the discussion following Lemma 8.4, the principal acoustical part of ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g_l$ consists of the sum (8.89). Therefore the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}_l = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g_l + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\,{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x_{l-1} \tag{8.387}$$

does not contain principal acoustical terms. We conclude that, writing:

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l = 2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\not{D}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x_{l-1} + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\ddot{g}_l \tag{8.388} $$

where

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\ddot{g}_l = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}_l + \mu(2\not{D}\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l + \not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{h}_l) \tag{8.389} $$

does not contain principal acoustical terms.

Let us define

$$ X_l(t) = \max_{i_1\dots i_l}\||{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{8.390} $$

We shall estimate $\||{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}$ in terms of $X_l(t)$. Consider first the second term on the right of (8.388). By (8.98) we have:

$$ \begin{aligned} &|\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x_{l-1}| \leq \\ &C(1+t)^{-1}\{\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}|{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z|\sum_j|{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l j)}x_l| + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}z_l\} \end{aligned} \tag{8.391} $$

where

$$ \begin{aligned} &{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}z_l = \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}|{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z|(\sum_j|\not{d}({}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l j)}\check{f}_l)| + (1+t)|\not{d}\mu||\not{d}(R_{i_l}\dots R_{i_{l-k+1}}R_{i_{l-k-1}}\dots R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi|) \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(\max_j|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z|)(|{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k+1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x_{l-1}| + |\not{d}({}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}\check{f}_{l-1})|) \end{aligned} \tag{8.392} $$

From (6.184), we have:

$$ |{}^{(R_i)}Z| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{8.393} $$

Substituting this in (8.391), we deduce:

$$ \begin{aligned} &\||\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x_{l-1}|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \\ &\leq Cl\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X_l(t) + C(1+t)^{-1}\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}z_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{8.394} $$

Consider next the first term on the right in (8.388). By the 2nd of **F2** we have:

$$ \begin{aligned} &\|2\mu|\hat{\chi}\cdot\not{D}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\mu|\not{D}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{8.395} $$

Using the elliptic estimate (8.149) and the inequality:

$$ C^{-1}(1+t)\||\xi(t,u)|\|_{L^2(S^2)} \leq \|\xi\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C(1+t)\||\xi(t,u)|\|_{L^2(S^2)} \tag{8.396} $$

which holds for any covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ξ of any rank, $\xi(t,u)$ being the corresponding tensorfield on S^2, we obtain:

$$ \begin{aligned} &\|\mu|\not{D}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l|(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)} \\ &\leq C\||{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l(t,u)|\|_{L^2(S^2)} + C\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t,u)|\|_{L^2(S^2)} + C\|\mu|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}i_l|(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)} \\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\||{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l(t,u)|\|_{L^2(S^2)} \end{aligned} \tag{8.397} $$

Taking the L^2 norms on $[0, \epsilon_0]$ then yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mu|\not{D}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \quad (8.398)\\
&\leq C\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + C\||\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + C\|\mu|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}i_l|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\
&+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (8.394), (8.395) and (8.398), we obtain from (8.388):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \quad (8.399)\\
&\leq C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X_l(t) + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q_l(t)
\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q_l(t) &= C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \quad (8.400)\\
&C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\||\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\
&+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\mu|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}i_l|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\
&+C(1+t)^{-1}\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}z_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\
&+\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\ddot{g}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Returning to (8.170) and taking L^2 norms on $[0, \epsilon_0] \times S^2$ we obtain:

$$\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}G_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \quad (8.401)$$

Substituting (8.382), (8.385) and (8.399) then yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}B_l(t) \quad (8.402)\\
&+C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]X_l(t')dt'
\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}B_l(t) &= C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \quad (8.403)\\
&+C(1+t)^{-2}\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2}\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\\
&+C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')^3\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q_l(t')dt'
\end{aligned}$$

Taking in (8.402) the maximum over $i_1, ..., i_l$, recalling the definition (8.390), we obtain the integral inequality:

$$X_l(t) \leq B_l(t) + C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]X_l(t')dt' \quad (8.404)$$

where:

$$B_l(t) = \max_{i_1\ldots i_l}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}B_l(t) \quad (8.405)$$

Setting:

$$Y_l(t) = \int_0^t(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]X_l(t')dt' \quad (8.406)$$

then (8.404) becomes:

$$\frac{dY_l(t)}{dt} \leq (1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]B_l(t) + C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3Y_l(t) \quad (8.407)$$

which implies:

$$Y_l(t) \leq e^{C_l(t)} \int_0^t e^{-C_l(t')}(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_l(t')dt' \tag{8.408}$$

where

$$C_l(t) = C(l+1)\delta_0 \int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]^3}{(1+t')^2} dt' \tag{8.409}$$

Obviously,

$$0 \leq C_l(t) \leq C'(l+1)\delta_0 \tag{8.410}$$

Hence, if

$$\delta_0 \leq \frac{\log 2}{C'(l+1)} \tag{8.411}$$

(8.408) implies:

$$Y_l(t) \leq 2 \int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_l(t')dt' \tag{8.412}$$

Substituting this in (8.402), recalling (8.406), we finally conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \| |^{(i_1 \dots i_l)} x_l(t)| \|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq {}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)} B_l(t) \\ +2C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 &\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_l(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{8.413}$$

Chapter 9

Regularization of the Propagation Equation for $\not{\Delta}\mu$.

Estimates for the Top Order Spartial Derivatives of μ

9.1 Regularization of the Propagation Equation

In this chapter, we shall concentrate on the propagation equation (3.92) in Chapter 3:

$$L\mu = m + \mu e \tag{9.1}$$

where

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}Th \tag{9.2}$$

and

$$e = \frac{1}{2\eta^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'Lh + \eta^{-1}\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) \tag{9.3}$$

Since

$$\frac{\rho}{\rho'} = \frac{\rho}{d\rho/dh} = \frac{dp/dh}{d\rho/dh} = \eta^2$$

therefore (9.3) takes the form:

$$e = \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}Lh + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) \tag{9.4}$$

Lemma 9.1 The following commutation formula holds:

$$[L, \not{\Delta}]\phi + \mathrm{tr}\chi\not{\Delta}\phi = -2\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{D}}^2\phi - 2\not{d}\mathrm{iv}\hat{\chi}\cdot\not{d}\phi$$

and

$$[T, \not{\Delta}]\phi + \kappa\mathrm{tr}\theta\not{\Delta}\phi = -2\kappa\hat{\theta}\cdot\hat{\not{D}}^2\phi - 2\not{d}\mathrm{iv}(\kappa\hat{\theta})\cdot\not{d}\phi$$

Here, ϕ is an arbitrary function defined on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$.

Proof. Let us work in acoustical coordinates $(t, u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$. We have:

$$\not\Delta\phi = (\not g^{-1})^{AB}\not D_A(\not d_B\phi) = (\not g^{-1})^{AB}\{\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\vartheta^A\partial\vartheta^B} - \not\Gamma^C_{AB}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\vartheta^C}\} \tag{9.5}$$

Since in acoustical coordinates $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} L\not\Delta\phi = (\not g^{-1})^{AB}\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\vartheta^A\partial\vartheta^B}(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}) - \not\Gamma^C_{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^C}(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t})\} \\ +\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\not g^{-1})^{AB}\not D_A(\not d_B\phi) - (\not g^{-1})^{AB}\frac{\partial\not\Gamma^C_{AB}}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\vartheta^C} \end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

We have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\not g^{-1})^{AB} = -2\chi^{AB} \tag{9.7}$$

and:

$$\frac{\partial\not\Gamma^C_{AB}}{\partial t} = \not D_A\chi^C_B + \not D_B\chi^C_A - \not D^C\chi_{AB} \tag{9.8}$$

Here, we used the fact that for a non-degenerate matrix M, we have:

$$\frac{dM^{-1}}{dt} = -M^{-1}\frac{dM}{dt}M^{-1}$$

and the way we use to derive (8.109).

Hence:

$$(\not g^{-1})^{AB}\frac{\partial\not\Gamma^C_{AB}}{\partial t} = 2\not{d}\mathrm{iv}\chi^C - \not d^C\mathrm{tr}\chi = 2\not{d}\mathrm{iv}\hat\chi^C \tag{9.9}$$

Substuting (9.6) and (9.8) to (9.5), we get:

$$L\not\Delta\phi = \not\Delta L\phi - 2\chi^{AB}\not D_A(\not d_B\phi) - 2(\not{d}\mathrm{iv}\hat\chi)^A\not d_A\phi \tag{9.10}$$

Writing then:

$$2\chi^{AB}\not D_A(\not d_B\phi) = \mathrm{tr}\chi\not\Delta\phi + 2\hat\chi^{AB}\not D_A(\not d_B\phi)$$

the first formula follows. The second formula can be proved in a similar way. □

Now we apply the second identity by taking $\phi = h$ to obtain:

$$\not\Delta Th = T\not\Delta h + c_T \tag{9.11}$$

where:

$$c_T = \kappa\mathrm{tr}\theta\not\Delta h + 2\kappa\hat\theta\cdot\hat{\not D}^2 h + 2\not{d}\mathrm{iv}(\kappa\hat\theta)\cdot\not d h \tag{9.12}$$

c_T is of order 2 and regular as $\mu \to 0$. The last term is a principal (i.e.order 2) acoustical term.

We now appeal to the wave equation for h given in Chapter 8:

$$\mu\Box_g h = -\Omega^{-1}\frac{d\Omega}{dh}\check a - \check b \tag{9.13}$$

where

$$\check a = \mu a, \quad \check b = \mu b \tag{9.14}$$

are regular as $\mu \to 0$. Since for any function ϕ we have:

$$\mu \Box_g \phi = \mu \not{\Delta} \phi - L \underline{L} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\chi \underline{L} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} L \phi - 2\zeta \cdot \not{d} \phi \tag{9.15}$$

Then (9.13) reads:

$$\mu \not{\Delta} h = L \underline{L} h + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\chi \underline{L} h + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} L h + j \tag{9.16}$$

where:

$$j = 2\zeta \cdot \not{d} h - \Omega^{-1} \frac{d\Omega}{dh} \check{a} - \check{b} \tag{9.17}$$

is of order 1 and regular as $\mu \to 0$. Applying T to (9.16) yields, in view of the fact that

$$[L, T] = \Lambda \tag{9.18}$$

we have:

$$T(\mu \not{\Delta} h) = L(T \underline{L} h) - \Lambda \cdot \not{d} \underline{L} h + \frac{1}{2} T(\mathrm{tr}\chi \underline{L} h) + \frac{1}{2} T(\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} L h) + T j \tag{9.19}$$

On the other hand from (9.11) we have:

$$\mu \not{\Delta} T h = T(\mu \not{\Delta} h) - (T\mu) \not{\Delta} h + \mu c_T \tag{9.20}$$

Combining, we obtain:

$$\mu \not{\Delta} T h = L(T \underline{L} h) + \frac{1}{2} T(\mathrm{tr}\chi \underline{L} h) + \frac{1}{2} T(\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} L h) + j' \tag{9.21}$$

where:

$$j' = T j - \Lambda \cdot \not{d} \underline{L} h + \mu c_T - (T\mu) \not{\Delta} h \tag{9.22}$$

j' is of order 2 and regular as $\mu \to 0$. The only principal acoustical term in j' is contributed by the last term in c_T noted as above.

We have:

$$\not{\Delta} m = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dH}{dh} \not{\Delta} T h + w_0 \tag{9.23}$$

where

$$w_0 = \frac{d^2 H}{dh^2} \not{d} h \cdot \not{d} T h + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2 H}{dh^2} \not{\Delta} h + \frac{d^3 H}{dh^3} |\not{d} h|^2 \right) T h \tag{9.24}$$

is of order 2 and regular as $\mu \to 0$. Then by (9.21):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \not{\Delta} m = L f_0' - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 H}{dh^2} (Lh)(T \underline{L} h) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{dH}{dh} \{ (Lh) T \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} + (\underline{L} h) T \mathrm{tr}\chi \} \\ + \frac{1}{4} \frac{dH}{dh} \{ \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} (T L h) + \mathrm{tr}\chi (T \underline{L} h) \} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dH}{dh} j' + \mu w_0 \end{aligned} \tag{9.25}$$

where:

$$f_0' = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dH}{dh} T \underline{L} h \tag{9.26}$$

here we note that the 3rd term on the right contains principal acoustical terms. In view of the equation for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi$ in Chapter 3, the principal acoustical part of $T\text{tr}\chi$ is $\not{\Delta}\mu$. Also, since

$$\underline{\chi} = \eta^{-1}\kappa(-\chi + 2\not{k}) \tag{9.27}$$

the principal acoustical part of $T\text{tr}\underline{\chi}$ is $-\eta^{-1}\kappa\not{\Delta}\mu$. It follows that the principal acoustical part of the 3rd term on the right in (9.25) is:

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{dH}{dh}\{-\eta^{-1}\kappa(Lh) + (\underline{L}h)\}\not{\Delta}\mu = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(Th)\not{\Delta}\mu = m\not{\Delta}\mu \tag{9.28}$$

We can therefore write:

$$\mu\not{\Delta}m = Lf_0' + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\chi f_0' + m\not{\Delta}\mu + w_0' \tag{9.29}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} w_0' = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2H}{dh^2}(Lh)(T\underline{L}h) + \frac{1}{4}\frac{dH}{dh}(T\text{tr}\chi - \not{\Delta}\mu)\underline{L}h \\ +\frac{1}{4}\frac{dH}{dh}(T\text{tr}\underline{\chi} + \eta^{-1}\kappa\not{\Delta}\mu)Lh + \frac{1}{4}\frac{dH}{dh}\text{tr}\underline{\chi}TLh + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}j' + \mu w_0 \end{aligned} \tag{9.30}$$

contains principal acoustical terms only through j'.

Let us turn to e. We have:

$$\not{\Delta}e = \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}\not{\Delta}Lh + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{T}^i\not{\Delta}L\psi_i + w_1 \tag{9.31}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 = 2\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh})\not{d}h\cdot\not{d}Lh + 2[\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta})\hat{T}^i\not{d}h\cdot\not{d}L\psi_i + \frac{1}{\eta}\not{d}\hat{T}^i\cdot\not{d}L\psi_i] \\ +[\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh})\not{\Delta}h + \frac{d^2}{dh^2}(\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh})|\not{d}h|^2]Lh \\ +\{[\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta})\not{\Delta}h + \frac{d^2}{dh^2}(\frac{1}{\eta})|\not{d}h|^2]\hat{T}^i + 2\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta})\not{d}h\cdot\not{d}\hat{T}^i + \frac{1}{\eta}\not{\Delta}\hat{T}^i\}L\psi_i \end{aligned} \tag{9.32}$$

is of order 2 and regular as $\mu \to 0$. The only principal acoustical term in w_1 is the term

$$\frac{1}{\eta}\not{\Delta}\hat{T}^iL\psi_i \tag{9.33}$$

contained in the last term on the right in (9.32).

Applying Lemma 9.1 taking $\phi = h, \psi_i$ we then obtain:

$$\not{\Delta}e = \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}L\not{\Delta}h + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{T}^iL\not{\Delta}\psi_i + c_L + w_1 \tag{9.34}$$

where

$$c_L = \frac{2}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}(\chi\cdot\not{D}^2h + \not{\text{d}}\text{iv}\hat{\chi}\cdot\not{d}h) + \frac{2}{\eta}\hat{T}^i(\chi\cdot\not{D}^2\psi_i + \not{\text{d}}\text{iv}\hat{\chi}\cdot\not{d}\psi_i) \tag{9.35}$$

contains principal acoustical terms in the terms involving $\not{\text{d}}\text{iv}\hat{\chi}$. Defining:

$$f_1' = \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}\not{\Delta}h + \frac{1}{\eta}\hat{T}^i\not{\Delta}\psi_i \tag{9.36}$$

we then have:

$$\mu^2 \not\Delta e = L(\mu^2 f'_1) + \mu w'_1 \tag{9.37}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} w'_1 = -2(L\mu)f'_1 - \mu\{\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh})(Lh)\not\Delta h + [\frac{d}{dh}(\frac{1}{\eta})(Lh)\hat{T}^i + \frac{1}{\eta}L\hat{T}^i]\not\Delta\psi_i\} \\ +\mu(c_L + w_1) \end{aligned} \tag{9.38}$$

is of order 2 and regular as $\mu \to 0$.

We commute $\not\Delta$ with equation (9.1) and multiply it by μ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu\not\Delta\mu) + (\mu\mathrm{tr}\chi - L\mu)\not\Delta\mu = -2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not\Delta}^2\mu \\ -\mu\not d\mu\cdot(\not d\mathrm{tr}\chi + 2i - 2\not d e) + \mu\not\Delta m + \mu^2\not\Delta e + e\mu\not\Delta\mu \end{aligned} \tag{9.39}$$

Substituting (9.29) in (9.39), the term $m\not\Delta\mu$ in (9.29) combines with the last term on the right in (9.39) to $(L\mu)\not\Delta\mu$. This is brought to the left hand side of (9.39), making the coefficient of $\not\Delta\mu$ on the left equal to $\mu\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2L\mu$. Also, defining

$$\check{f}' = f'_0 + \mu^2 f'_1 \tag{9.40}$$

and

$$x' = \mu\not\Delta\mu - \check{f}' \tag{9.41}$$

the term $L\check{f}'$ is brought to the left hand side of (9.39). Then left hand side becomes:

$$Lx' + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))(x' + f') \tag{9.42}$$

and the resulting right hand side is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi f'_0 - 2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not D}^2\mu - \mu\not d\mu\cdot(\not d\mathrm{tr}\chi + 2i - 2\not d e) \\ +w'_0 + \mu w'_1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.43}$$

Defining then:

$$\check{g}' = -\frac{\mu^2}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi f'_1 - \mu\not d\mu\cdot(\not d\mathrm{tr}\chi + 2i - 2\not d e) + w'_0 + \mu w'_1 \tag{9.44}$$

the resulting equation takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} Lx' + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))x' = \\ -(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\check{f}' - 2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not D}^2\mu + \check{g}' \end{aligned} \tag{9.45}$$

The functions $\check{f}'$, $\check{g}'$ are of order 2 and regular as $\mu \to 0$. The function $\check{f}'$ does not contain principal acoustical terms. From the above discussion, the principal acoustical part of $\check{g}'$, is, besides the term $-\mu\not d\mu\cdot\not d\mathrm{tr}\chi$, contained in the last two terms in (9.43). In fact, the principal acoustical part of w'_0 is contained in the term

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu\frac{dH}{dh}c_T \tag{9.46}$$

contributed by the term $\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}j'$ in (9.30). Since

$$\theta = \slashed{k} - \eta^{-1}\chi \tag{9.47}$$

taking into account the Codazzi equation

$$\slashed{\mathrm{div}}\hat{\chi} = \frac{1}{2}\slashed{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + i \tag{9.48}$$

this principal acoustical part is

$$-\frac{\mu^2}{\eta^2}\frac{dH}{dh}\slashed{d}h \cdot \slashed{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{9.49}$$

The principal acoustical part of $\mu w_1'$ is contained in the term

$$\mu^2(c_L + w_1) \tag{9.50}$$

Taking into account the Codazzi equation the principal acoustical part of $\mu^2 c_L$ is:

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\eta}(\frac{d\eta}{dh}\slashed{d}h + \hat{T}^i\slashed{d}\psi_i) \cdot \slashed{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{9.51}$$

Finally, the principal acoustical part of $\mu^2 w_1$ is contained in

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\eta}\slashed{\Delta}\hat{T}^i L\psi_i \tag{9.52}$$

By the results in Chapter 3, the principal acoustical part of $\slashed{\Delta}\hat{T}^i$ is:

$$-\eta^{-1}\slashed{d}x^i \cdot \slashed{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{9.53}$$

Now,

$$\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \vartheta^A}L\psi_i = X_A^i L\psi_i = L^\mu X_A \psi_\mu \tag{9.54}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned}(\slashed{d}x^i)L\psi_i &= L^\mu \slashed{d}\psi_\mu = \slashed{d}\psi_t + L^i\slashed{d}\psi_i \\ &= \slashed{d}\psi_t - \psi^i\slashed{d}\psi_i - \eta\hat{T}^i\slashed{d}\psi_i = \slashed{d}h - \eta\hat{T}^i\slashed{d}\psi_i\end{aligned} \tag{9.55}$$

Therefore the principal acoustical part of (9.52) is:

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\eta}\{-\frac{1}{\eta}\slashed{d}h + \hat{T}^i\slashed{d}\psi_i\} \cdot \slashed{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{9.56}$$

Combining the above results and noting that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh} = 1 + \eta\frac{d\eta}{dh} \tag{9.57}$$

we conclude that the principal acoustical part of $\breve{g}'$ is:

$$\xi \cdot (\mu\slashed{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{9.58}$$

where

$$\xi = -\slashed{d}\mu + \frac{2\mu}{\eta}\hat{T}^i\slashed{d}\psi_i + \frac{2\mu}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}\slashed{d}h \tag{9.59}$$

9.2 Propagation Equations for the Higher Order Spartial Derivatives

We now return to the propagation equation (9.45). To control the higher order spartial derivatives of μ, we introduce the function:

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l} = \mu R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m \not{\Delta}\mu - R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m \check{f}' \tag{9.60}$$

We have:

$$x'_{0,0} = x' \tag{9.61}$$

To derive a propagation equation for $x'_{m,l}$, we shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 9.2 Let α and β be $S_{t,u}$ trace-free symmetric 2-covariant tensorfields defined in the spacetime domain $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. Then we have:

$$T(\alpha\cdot\beta) = (\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\alpha)\cdot\beta + \alpha\cdot(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\beta) - \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not{\pi}(\alpha\cdot\beta)$$

Proof. Since T is tangential to Σ_t, we can confine ourselves to Σ_t. To define $\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\alpha$ and $\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\beta$, we extend α and β to $T\Sigma_t$ as:

$$\alpha(V,T) = \beta(V,T) = 0 : \forall V \in T\Sigma_t \tag{9.62}$$

Since

$$\bar{g}^{-1} = \hat{T}\otimes\hat{T} + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}X_A\otimes X_B \tag{9.63}$$

we have:

$$\alpha\cdot\beta = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}\alpha_{AB}\beta_{CD} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\alpha_{ab}\beta_{cd} \tag{9.64}$$

Then,

$$T(\alpha\cdot\beta) = -{}^{(T)}\bar{\pi}^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + (\mathcal{L}_T\alpha)_{ab}\beta^{ab} + \alpha^{ab}(\mathcal{L}_T\beta)_{ab} \tag{9.65}$$

Here,

$${}^{(T)}\bar{\pi}_{ab} = (\mathcal{L}_T\bar{g})_{ab}, \quad {}^{(T)}\bar{\pi}^{ab} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd(T)}\bar{\pi}_{cd} \tag{9.66}$$

Here, γ is a symmetric 2-covariant tensorfield on Σ_t given by:

$$\gamma_{ab} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{cd}(\alpha_{ac}\beta_{bd} + \beta_{ac}\alpha_{bd}) \tag{9.67}$$

We have:

$$\gamma_{ab}T^b = 0$$

so, we can view γ as an $S_{t,u}$ symmetric 2-covariant tensorfield. Now for any two symmetric trace-free 2-dimensional matrices A and B we have:

$$AB + BA - \mathrm{tr}(AB)I = 0$$

In an orthonormal frame relative to $\not{g}$ the components of α and β form A and B. The components of γ form $AB+BA$. It follows that:

$$\gamma_{AB} = (\alpha\cdot\beta)\not{g}_{AB} \tag{9.68}$$

Hence, we have:

$${}^{(T)}\bar{\pi}^{AB}\gamma_{AB} = {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^{AB}\gamma_{AB} = \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not{\pi}(\alpha\cdot\beta) \tag{9.69}$$

Since α and β are trace-free, the lemma follows. $\square$

Similarly, we have:

Lemma 9.3 Let α and β be $S_{t,u}$ trace-free symmetric 2-covariant tensorfields defined in the spacetime domain $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$. Then we have:

$$R_i(\alpha\cdot\beta)=(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_i}\alpha)\cdot\beta+\alpha\cdot(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_i}\beta)-\mathrm{tr}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}(\alpha\cdot\beta)$$

To simplify the derivation of the propagation equation for $x'_{m,l}$, we introduce the functions:

$$^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}=R_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\check{f}' \tag{9.70}$$

$$\hat{\not{\mu}}_2=\hat{\not{D}}^2\mu \tag{9.71}$$

and

$$^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}=\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_1}}(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T)^m\hat{\not{\mu}}_2 \tag{9.72}$$

Proposition 9.1 For each non-negative integer m the function $x'_{m,0}$ satisfies the propagation equation:

$$Lx'_{m,0}+(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))x'_{m,0}=-(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\check{f}'_{m,0}-2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,0}+g'_{m,0}$$

where $g'_{m,0}$ is given by:

$$g'_{m,0}=T^m\check{g}'+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}T^k\Lambda x'_{m-k-1,0}+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}T^k y'_{m-k,0}$$

Here, for each $j=1,\dots,m$, $y'_{j,0}$ is the function:

$$\begin{aligned}y'_{j,0}=&-(T\mu)a'_{j-1,0}+(TL\mu-\mu T\mathrm{tr}\chi-\Lambda\mu)T^{j-1}\not{\Delta}\mu\\&+\frac{1}{2}(T\mathrm{tr}\chi)\check{f}'_{j-1,0}-2\mu(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\hat{\chi}-\mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not{\pi}\hat{\chi})\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,j-1,0}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a'_{j-1,0}=LT^{j-1}\not{\Delta}\mu+\mathrm{tr}\chi T^{j-1}\not{\Delta}\mu+2\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,j-1,0}$$

Proof. The proposition reduces for $m=0$ to the propagation equation (9.45). By induction on m, assuming that the propagation equation holds with m replaced by $m-1$:

$$Lx'_{m-1,0}+(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))x'_{m-1,0}=-(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))\check{f}'_{m-1,0}-2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m-1,0}+g'_{m-1,0} \tag{9.73}$$

for some $g'_{m-1,0}$, we shall show that a propagation equation of the form given by the proposition holds for m, where $g'_{m,0}$ is related to $g'_{m-1,0}$ by a certain recursion relation. Rewrite the term

$$2\mu^{-1}(L\mu)(x'_{m-1,0}+\check{f}'_{m-1,0})$$

in (9.73) as:

$$2(L\mu)T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu$$

(see (9.60) and (9.70)) obtaining the equation:

$$Lx'_{m-1,0}+\mathrm{tr}\chi x'_{m-1,0}=2(L\mu)T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi\check{f}'_{m-1,0}-2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m-1,0}+g'_{m-1,0} \tag{9.74}$$

We apply T to this equation. Since:

$$TT^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu = T^m\slashed{\Delta}\mu$$
$$T\check{f}'_{m-1,0} = \check{f}'_{m,0}$$

and by Lemma 9.2:

$$T(\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m-1,0}) = (\hat{\slashed{\mathcal{L}}}_T\hat{\chi})\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m-1,0} + \hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m,0} - \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}(\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m-1,0}) \tag{9.75}$$

(see definition (9.72)), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} TLx'_{m-1,0} + \mathrm{tr}\chi Tx'_{m-1,0} + (T\mathrm{tr}\chi)x'_{m-1,0} \\ = 2(L\mu)T^m\slashed{\Delta}\mu + 2(TL\mu)T^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu \\ -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi\check{f}'_{m,0} - \frac{1}{2}(T\mathrm{tr}\chi)\check{f}'_{m-1,0} \\ -2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m,0} - 2\mu(\hat{\slashed{\mathcal{L}}}_T\hat{\chi})\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m-1,0} + 2(\mu\mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi} - (T\mu))(\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m-1,0}) \\ +Tg'_{m-1,0} \end{aligned} \tag{9.76}$$

Using the fact:

$$[L,T] = \Lambda = -(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\zeta_B + \eta_B)X_A \tag{9.77}$$

we express:

$$TLx'_{m-1,0} = LTx'_{m-1,0} - \Lambda x'_{m-1,0} \tag{9.78}$$

From the definition (9.60) with $l = 0$ and m replaced by $m-1$:

$$x'_{m-1,0} = \mu T^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu - T^{m-1}\check{f}'$$

we get:

$$Tx'_{m-1,0} = x'_{m,0} + (T\mu)T^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu \tag{9.79}$$

Applying L to (9.79) and expressing:

$$LT\mu = TL\mu + \Lambda\mu$$

yields:

$$LTx'_{m-1,0} = Lx'_{m,0} + (T\mu)LT^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu + (TL\mu + \Lambda\mu)T^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu \tag{9.80}$$

Substituting (9.80) to (9.78) and the result in (9.76), substituting also (9.79) on the left, we obtain a propagation equation of the required form with:

$$g'_{m,0} = Tg'_{m-1,0} + \Lambda x'_{m-1,0} + y'_{m,0} \tag{9.81}$$

Applying then Proposition 8.2, the proposition follows. ☐

Proposition 9.2 For each pair of non-negative integers (m,l) and each multi-index $(i_1...i_l)$, the function ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l}$ satisfies the propagation equation:

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l} + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l} = -(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l} \\ -2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\slashed{\pi}}_{2,m,l} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}g'_{m,l} = R_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_1}g'_{m,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_{l-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-k-1})}x'_{m,l-k-1} \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_{l-k+1}}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-k})}y'_{m,l-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g'_{m,0}$ is given by Proposition 9.1, and for each $j = 1, \ldots, l$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(i_1\ldots i_j)}y'_{m,j} = -(R_{i_j}\mu)^{(i_1\ldots i_{j-1})}a'_{m,j-1} \\
+(R_{i_j}L\mu - \mu R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi - {}^{(R_{i_j})}Z\mu)(R_{i_{j-1}}\ldots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu) \\
+\frac{1}{2}(R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi)^{(i_1\ldots i_{j-1})}\check{f}'_{m,j-1} - 2\mu(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_j}}\hat{\chi} - \mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_j})}\not{\pi}\hat{\chi})\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{j-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{j-1})}a'_{m,j-1} = L(R_{i_{j-1}}\ldots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu) \\
+\mathrm{tr}\chi(R_{i_{j-1}}\ldots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu) + 2\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{j-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proposition reduces for $l = 0$ to Proposition 9.1. By induction on l, assuming the proposition holds with l replaced by $l-1$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l-1} + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} = -(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\check{f}'_{m,l-1} \\
-2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}g'_{m,l-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{9.82}
$$

for some ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}g'_{m,l-1}$, we shall show that a propagation equation of the form given by the proposition holds for l, where ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}g'_{m,l}$ is related to ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}g'_{m,l-1}$ by a certain recursion relation. Rewrite the term

$$
2\mu^{-1}(L\mu)({}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\check{f}'_{m,l-1})
$$

in (9.82) as:

$$
2(L\mu)R_{i_{l-1}}\ldots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu
$$

to obtain the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l-1} + \mathrm{tr}\chi^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} \\
= 2(L\mu)R_{i_{l-1}}\ldots R_{i_l}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\check{f}'_{m,l-1} \\
-2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}g'_{m,l-1}
\end{aligned}
\tag{9.83}
$$

We now apply R_{i_l} to this equation. Since

$$
R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\check{f}'_{m,l-1} = {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}
$$

and by Lemma 9.3

$$
R_{i_l}(\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1}) = (\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}\hat{\chi})\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1} + \hat{\chi}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l} - \mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi}(\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1}) \tag{9.84}
$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}R_{i_l} L^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} + \mathrm{tr}\chi R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} + (R_{i_l}\mathrm{tr}\chi)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1}& \\ = 2(L\mu)R_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu + 2(R_{i_l}L\mu)R_{i_{l-1}}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu& \\ -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l} - \frac{1}{2}(R_{i_l}\mathrm{tr}\chi)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\check{f}'_{m,l-1}& \\ -2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l} - 2\mu(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}\hat{\chi})\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1}& \\ +2(\mu\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi} - (R_{i_l}\mu))(\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1})& \\ +R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}g'_{m,l-1}&\end{aligned} \tag{9.85}$$

By Lemma 8.2 we have:

$$[L, R_{i_l}] = {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z \tag{9.86}$$

hence:

$$R_{i_l}L^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} = LR_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} - {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} \tag{9.87}$$

From the definition of ${}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1}$ we obtain:

$$R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l} + (R_{i_l}\mu)(R_{i_{l-1}}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu) \tag{9.88}$$

Applying L to (9.88) and expressing:

$$LR_{i_l}\mu = R_{i_l}L\mu + {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z$$

yields:

$$\begin{aligned}LR_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} = L^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l} + (R_{i_l}\mu)L(R_{i_{l-1}}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu)& \\ +(R_{i_l}L\mu + {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z\mu)(R_{i_{l-1}}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu)&\end{aligned} \tag{9.89}$$

We substitute (9.89) in (9.87) and the result in (9.85), substituting also (9.88) on the left, a propagation equation of the required form results, with:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g'_{m,l} = R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}g'_{m,l-1} + {}^{(R_{i_l})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}x'_{m,l-1} + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}y'_{m,l} \tag{9.90}$$

Applying then Proposition 8.2, the proposition follows. $\square$

The function ${}^{(i_1\dots i_{j-1})}a'_{m,j-1}$ defined in Proposition 9.2 reduces for $m = 0, j = 1$, to the function:

$$a'_{0,0} = L\not{\Delta}\mu + \mathrm{tr}\chi\not{\Delta}\mu + 2\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_2 \tag{9.91}$$

According to equation:

$$L(\not{\Delta}\mu) + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - e)\not{\mu} = -2\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{D}}^2\mu - \not{d}\mu\cdot(\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + 2i - 2\not{d}e) + \not{\Delta}m + \mu\not{\Delta}e$$

which is derived by Lemma 9.1, we have:

$$a'_{0,0} = e\not{\Delta}\mu - 2\not{d}\mu\cdot\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}\hat{\chi} + \not{\Delta}m + \mu\not{\Delta}e + 2\not{d}\mu\cdot\not{d}e \tag{9.92}$$

Lemma 9.4 For each non-negative integer m we have:

$$a'_{m,0} = T^m a'_{0,0} + b'_{m,0}$$

where:

$$b'_{m,0} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} T^k \Lambda T^{m-k-1}\not{\Delta}\mu + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} T^k c'_{m-k}$$

and for each $j = 1, ..., m$,

$$c'_j = -(T\mathrm{tr}\chi)T^{j-1}\not{\Delta}\mu - 2(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\hat{\chi} - \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not{\pi}\hat{\chi}) \cdot \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,j-1,0}$$

Moreover, for each pair of non-negative integers (m, l) and each multi-index $(i_1...i_l)$ we have:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}a'_{m,l} = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m a'_{0,0} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}b'_{m,l}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}b'_{m,l} = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}b'_{m,0} &+ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}ZR_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}c''_{m,l-k}\end{aligned}$$

and for each $j = 1, ..., l$ and each multi-index $(i_1...i_j)$,

$${}^{(i_1...i_j)}c''_{m,j} = -(R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi)(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu) - 2(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_j}}\hat{\chi} - \mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_j})}\not{\pi}\hat{\chi}) \cdot {}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,j-1}$$

Proof. To prove the first part, we note that it holds trivially for $m = 0$ with:

$$b'_{0,0} = 0 \tag{9.93}$$

Thus, by induction on m, assume that:

$$a'_{m-1,0} = T^{m-1}a'_{0,0} + b'_{m-1,0} \tag{9.94}$$

holds for some $b'_{m-1,0}$. Applying T to this we obtain:

$$Ta'_{m-1,0} = T^m a'_{0,0} + Tb'_{m-1,0} \tag{9.95}$$

On the other hand, from the definition:

$$a'_{m-1,0} = LT^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu + \mathrm{tr}\chi T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu + 2\hat{\chi} \cdot \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m-1,0} \tag{9.96}$$

Applying T to this and using Lemma 9.2 yields:

$$\begin{aligned}Ta'_{m-1,0} &= TLT^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu + \mathrm{tr}\chi T^m\not{\Delta}\mu + 2\hat{\chi} \cdot \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,0} \\ &+(T\mathrm{tr}\chi)T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu + 2(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T\hat{\chi} - \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not{\pi}\hat{\chi}) \cdot \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m-1,0}\end{aligned} \tag{9.97}$$

Writing

$$TLT^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu = LT^m\not{\Delta}\mu - \Lambda T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu,$$

(9.97), in view of the definition of $a'_{m,0}$, reads:

$$Ta'_{m-1,0} = a'_{m,0} - \Lambda T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu - c'_m \tag{9.98}$$

where c'_m is as in the statement of the lemma. Equating the two expressions for $Ta'_{m-1,0}$, that is, (9.95) with (9.98), we obtain the formula for $a'_{m,0}$, if we set:

$$b'_{m,0} = Tb'_{m-1,0} + \Lambda T^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu + c'_m \tag{9.99}$$

Using then Proposition 8.2, the result follows.

To prove the second part, we apply induction on l. Assume:

$$a'_{m,l-1} = R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m a'_{0,0} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}b'_{m,l-1} \tag{9.100}$$

Applying R_{i_l} to this we obtain:

$$R_{i_l}a'_{m,l-1} = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m a'_{0,0} + R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}b'_{m,l-1} \tag{9.101}$$

On the other hand, from the definition in Proposition 9.2,

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}a'_{m,l-1} = L(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) + \mathrm{tr}\chi(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) + 2\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\hat{\not\mu}_{2,m,l-1} \tag{9.102}$$

Applying R_{i_l} to this and using Lemma 9.3:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}a'_{m,l-1} = {} & R_{i_l}L(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) + \mathrm{tr}\chi(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) \\ & + 2\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\not\mu}_{2,m,l} + (R_{i_l}\mathrm{tr}\chi)(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) \\ & + 2(\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\hat{\chi} - \mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not\pi\hat{\chi})\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}\hat{\not\mu}_{2,m,l-1} \end{aligned} \tag{9.103}$$

Writing:

$$R_{i_l}L(R_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) = L(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu) - {}^{(R_{i_l})}ZR_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu$$

(9.103) becomes, in view of the definition of $a'_{m,l}$,:

$$R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}a'_{m,l-1} = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}a'_{m,l} - {}^{(R_{i_l})}ZR_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu - c''_{m,l} \tag{9.104}$$

Equating the two expressions for $R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}a'_{m,l-1}$, that is (9.101) with (9.104), we obtain the formula for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}a'_{m,l}$, if we set:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}b'_{m,l} = R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-1})}b'_{m,l-1} + {}^{(R_{i_l})}ZR_{i_{l-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu + c''_{m,l} \tag{9.105}$$

Using again Proposition 8.2, the result follows. $\square$

Next, we shall investigate the order of various terms in the propagation equation of Proposition 9.2. In obtaining estimates for the $n+1$st order spartial derivatives of μ, of which at least two are angular, we are to set $m+l=n-1$ in Proposition 9.2. The principal terms shall be of order $m+l+2=n+1$, and the principal acoustical terms shall be the principal terms in the spartial derivatives of χ and μ.

From (9.26), (9.36) and (9.40), we know that $\check{f}'$ is of order 2, and contains no acoustical part of order 2. It follows that ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_{m,l}$ is of order $m+l+2$, but contains no principal acoustical part.

We turn to ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l}$. From the expression of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l}$, we must investigate $g'_{m,0}$. From (9.44), (9.36), (9.38), (9.35), (9.32), (9.30) and (9.24) we know that $\check{g}'$ is of order 2, and its principal acoustical part is given by (9.58), consisting of 1st angular derivatives of $\mathrm{tr}\chi$ multiplied by μ. It follows that

$$T^m\check{g}' \tag{9.106}$$

the first term in $g'_{m,0}$ is of order $m+2$ and its principal acoustical part consists of

$$\xi\cdot(\mu\not d T^m\mathrm{tr}\chi) \tag{9.107}$$

or, in view of equation (3.125)-(3.126),

$$\begin{gathered} \xi\cdot(\mu\not d\mathrm{tr}\chi) : m=0 \\ \xi\cdot(\mu\not d T^{m-1}\not\Delta\mu) : m\geq 1 \end{gathered} \tag{9.108}$$

to principal acoustical terms. In view of (9.60) and (8.34), (9.108) can be expressed to principal acoustical parts in terms of:

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi\cdot x_0 : m=0 \\ &\xi\cdot \not{d}x'_{m-1,0} : m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.109}$$

The second term in $g'_{m,0}$ can be written as:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\Lambda T^k x'_{m-k-1,0}-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}[\Lambda,T^k]x'_{m-k-1,0} \tag{9.110}$$

The commutator sum is of lower order $m+1$, while

$$\begin{aligned} T^k x'_{m-k-1,0} &= T^k(\mu T^{m-k-1}\not{\Delta}\mu - T^{m-k-1}\check{f}') \\ &= x'_{m-1,0}+\sum_{j=1}^{k}C_k^j(T^j\mu)(T^{m-j-1}\not{\Delta}\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{9.111}$$

The contribution of the second term in (9.111) to the first term of (9.110) is of lower order $m+1$, while the contribution of the first term is:

$$m\Lambda x'_{m-1,0} \tag{9.112}$$

This is the principal part of the second term in $g'_{m,0}$.

The third term in $g'_{m,0}$ is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}T^k y'_{m-k,0} \tag{9.113}$$

Consider the expression for $y'_{j,0}$. Here, all terms except the first term:

$$-(T\mu)a'_{j-1,0}=-(T\mu)(T^{j-1}a'_{0,0}+b'_{j-1,0}) \tag{9.114}$$

are of lower order $j+1$. The principal term in $a'_{0,0}$ is

$$\not{\Delta}m+\mu\not{\Delta}e$$

It follows that $T^{j-1}a'_{0,0}$ is of principal order $j+2$ but contains no principal acoustical part, its principal term being:

$$T^{j-1}\not{\Delta}m+\mu T^{j-1}\not{\Delta}e$$

From Lemma 9.4,

$$b'_{j-1,0}=\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}T^k\Lambda T^{j-k-2}\not{\Delta}\mu+\sum_{k=0}^{j-2}T^k c'_{j-k-1} \tag{9.115}$$

The first sum in (9.115) is of order $j+1$, while from the expression of c'_j, the second sum in (9.115) is of order j. We conclude that the principal part of the third term in $g'_{m,0}$ is

$$-m(T\mu)T^{m-1}a'_{0,0} \tag{9.116}$$

This is of principal order $m+2$, but contains no principal acoustical part. This completes the investigation of $g'_{m,0}$.

We conclude from above that the contributions of (9.109), (9.112) and (9.116) to

$$R_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_1}g'_{m,0} \tag{9.117}$$

are all of principal order $l+m+2$. The contribution of (9.109) to (9.117) is

$$\xi \cdot {}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l : m=0 \qquad (9.118)$$
$$\xi \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m-1,l} : m \geq 1$$

where ξ is given by (9.59). By **H0**,

$$|\xi \cdot {}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l| \leq |\xi||^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l| \qquad (9.119)$$
$$|\xi \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m-1,l}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}|\xi| \max_j |^{(i_1...i_l j)}x'_{m-1,l+1}|$$

The contribution of (9.112) is:

$$m\Lambda^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m-1,l} \qquad (9.120)$$

From **H0**, this is bounded by:

$$Cm(1+t)^{-1}|\Lambda| \max_j |^{(i_1...i_l j)}x'_{m-1,l+1}| \qquad (9.121)$$

Finally, the contribution of (9.116) is:

$$-m(T\mu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^{m-1}a'_{0,0} \qquad (9.122)$$

This does not contain principal acoustical part. This completes the investigation of the first term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l}$.

We turn to the second term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l}$. This is:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}x'_{m,l-k-1} \qquad (9.123)$$

which we write as:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}ZR_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}x'_{m,l-k-1} \qquad (9.124)$$

minus:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} [{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z, R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}]^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}x'_{m,l-k-1} \qquad (9.125)$$

Obviously, the commutator term is of lower order $l+m+1$, while the principal part of (9.135) is:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}...i_l)}x'_{m,l-1} \qquad (9.126)$$

This is a principal acoustical term. By **H0**, it can be bounded by:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{l} |^{(R_{i_k})}Z|\right) \max_j |^{(i_1...i_{k-1}i_{k+1}...i_l j)}x'_{m,l}| \qquad (9.127)$$

Finally, we consider the third term in ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l}$. This is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}y'_{m,l-k} \qquad (9.128)$$

Note that only the first term in ${}^{(i_1...i_j)}y'_{m,j}$ is of principal order $m+l+2$:

$$-(R_{i_j}\mu)^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a'_{m,j-1} = -(R_{i_j}\mu)(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m a'_{0,0} + {}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b'_{m,j-1}) \tag{9.129}$$

Since $a'_{0,0}$ is of order 3, but contains no acoustical part of order 3, it follows that $R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m a'_{0,0}$ is of principal order $m+j+2$ but contains no principal acoustical part. ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b'_{m,j-1}$ is given by Lemma 9.4:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b'_{m,j-1} &= R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}b'_{m,0} \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^{j-2} R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_{j-k}}{}^{(R_{i_{j-k-1}})}Z R_{i_{j-k-2}}...R_{i_1}T^m \not{\Delta}\mu \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^{j-2} R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_{j-k}}{}^{(i_1...i_{j-k-1})}c''_{m,j-k-1} \end{aligned} \tag{9.130}$$

By the discussions after (9.115), we know that the first term on the right of (9.130) is of order $m+j+1$. Obviously, the second term is also of order $m+j+1$. While ${}^{(i_1\cdots i_j)}c''_{m,j}$, given in Lemma 9.4, is of order $m+j+1$, hence, the third term on the right of (9.130) is of order $m+j$. Thus ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b'_{m,j-1}$ is of lower order $m+j+1$. We conclude that the principal part of (9.128) is:

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(R_{i_{l-k}}\mu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}R_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}T^m a'_{0,0} \tag{9.131}$$

This is of principal order $m+l+2$, but contains no principal acoustical part. This completes the investigation of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}g'_{m,l}$.

9.3 Elliptic Theory on $S_{t,u}$

Returning to the propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l}$ of Proposition 9.2, the term $2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}$ remains to be considered. Of course, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}$ is a principal acoustical term, it involves the $m+l$th order spartial derivatives of $\hat{\not{\mu}}_2$, not $\not{\Delta}\mu$, which is what the propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l}$ allows us to control. The term in question comes from (9.45), namely, from the term

$$2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{D}}^2\mu = 2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{\mu}}_2$$

Now, the propagation equation must be considered in conjunction with the definition (9.41):

$$\mu\not{\Delta}\mu = x' + \check{f}' \tag{9.132}$$

which is an elliptic equation for μ on each surface $S_{t,u}$. Then we can use this equation to estimate on $S_{t,u}$, $\not{d}\mu$ as well as $\hat{\not{\mu}}_2$ in terms of x' and the 2nd derivatives of ψ_μ. On the other hand, (9.45) allows us to estimate x' along each generator of C_u in terms of $\hat{\not{\mu}}_2$ and 2nd derivatives of ψ_μ. Thus we can estimate $\not{d}\mu$ and $\not{D}^2\mu$ in terms of 2nd derivatives of ψ_μ.

Similarly, by the propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l}$ must be considered in conjunction with an elliptic equation for

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mu_{m,l} = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m\mu \tag{9.133}$$

then we can estimate $\not{D}^2\,{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mu_{m,l}$ in terms of $m+l+2$nd derivatives of ψ_μ. The required ellptic equation will be derived from (9.60):

$$\mu R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m\not{\Delta}\mu = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'_{m,l} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l} \tag{9.134}$$

with the help of the following lemmas.

Lemma 9.5 Let (M, g) be a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold, let X be an arbitrary vectorfield and f an arbitrary function on M. Then the following commutation formulas hold:

$$X(\Delta_g f) - \Delta_g(Xf) = -{}^{(X)}\pi^{ab}(\nabla^2 f)_{ab} - \mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi_1^b d_b f$$

and:

$$(\hat{\mathcal{L}}_X \hat{\nabla}^2 f)_{ab} - (\hat{\nabla}^2(Xf))_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}_{ab}\Delta_g f - {}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^c_{1,ab} d_c f$$

Here,

$$\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi_1^b = \nabla^{a(X)}\pi_a^b - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^b \mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi$$

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^c_{1,ab} = {} & \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^c_b + \nabla_b{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^c_a - \nabla^{c(X)}\hat{\pi}_{ab} - g_{ab}\nabla^{d(X)}\hat{\pi}^c_d) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}(\delta^c_a d_b \mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi + \delta^c_b d_a \mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi - g_{ab} d^c \mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_X g = {}^{(X)}\pi$.

Proof. Let ϕ_t be the local 1-parameter group generated by X and let ϕ_{t*} be the corresponding pull back. Consider an arbitrary 1-form α on M. We then have

$$\phi_{t*}(\overset{g}{\nabla}\alpha) = \overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\nabla}(\phi_{t*}\alpha) \tag{9.135}$$

Now, in an arbitrary coordinates we have:

$$(\overset{g}{\nabla}\alpha)_{ab} = \frac{\partial \alpha_b}{\partial x^a} - \overset{g}{\Gamma}{}^c_{ab}\alpha_c \tag{9.136}$$

where $\overset{g}{\Gamma}{}^c_{ab}$ is the Christoffel symbol of metric g in these coordinates. Similarly, in the same coordinates,

$$(\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\nabla}(\phi_{t*}\alpha))_{ab} = \frac{\partial(\phi_{t*}\alpha)_b}{\partial x^a} - \overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma}{}^c_{ab}(\phi_{t*}\alpha)_c \tag{9.137}$$

Differentiating (9.137) with respect to t at $t = 0$ we obtain:

$$(\frac{d}{dt}(\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\nabla}(\phi_{t*}\alpha))_{ab})_{t=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}(\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_{t*}\alpha)_b)_{t=0} - \overset{g}{\Gamma}{}^c_{ab}(\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_{t*}\alpha)_c)_{t=0} - (\frac{d}{dt}\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma}{}^c_{ab})_{t=0}\alpha_c \tag{9.138}$$

Now, from the definition,

$$(\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_{t*}\alpha))_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_X\alpha$$

By (9.135), the left hand-side of (9.138) is the ab-component of:

$$(\frac{d}{dt}(\phi_{t*}(\overset{g}{\nabla}\alpha)))_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_X(\nabla\alpha)$$

Recalling (8.109), we have:

$$(\frac{d}{dt}\overset{\phi_{t*}g}{\Gamma}{}^c_{ab})_{t=0} = {}^{(X)}\pi^c_{1,ab} \tag{9.139}$$

where:

$${}^{(X)}\pi^c_{1,ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a{}^{(X)}\pi^c_b + \nabla_b{}^{(X)}\pi^c_a - \nabla^{c(X)}\pi_{ab}) \tag{9.140}$$

we conclude that:

$$(\mathcal{L}_X(\nabla\alpha))_{ab} = (\nabla(\mathcal{L}_X\alpha))_{ab} - {}^{(X)}\pi^c_{1,ab}\alpha_c \tag{9.141}$$

In particular, in the case $\alpha = df$ for some function f, since

$$\mathcal{L}_X(df) = d(Xf)$$

we obtain:

$$(\mathcal{L}_X(\nabla^2 f))_{ab} = (\nabla^2(Xf))_{ab} - {}^{(X)}\pi^c_{1,ab}d_c f \tag{9.142}$$

for any function f on M. Since:

$$\Delta_g f = (g^{-1})^{ab}(\nabla^2 f)_{ab}$$

it follows that:

$$X(\Delta_g f) = \mathcal{L}_X(\Delta_g f) = -{}^{(X)}\pi^{ab}(\nabla^2 f)_{ab} + (g^{-1})^{ab}(\mathcal{L}_X(\nabla^2 f))_{ab} \tag{9.143}$$

Since

$$(g^{-1})^{ab}(\nabla^2(Xf))_{ab} = \Delta_g(Xf)$$

then by (9.142) and (9.143), the first part of the lemma follows. In fact, the first part holds for an arbitrary n-dimensional manifold M.

For the second part, we consider the case of 2-dimensional manifold M. The trace-free part of $\nabla^2 f$ is:

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 f = \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{2}g\Delta_g f$$

hence:

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\hat{\nabla}^2 f) = \mathcal{L}_X(\nabla^2 f) - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(X)}\pi\Delta_g f - \frac{1}{2}gX(\Delta_g f) \tag{9.144}$$

Substituting from (9.142) and the first part of the lemma we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_X(\hat{\nabla}^2 f))_{ab} = {} & (\nabla^2(Xf))_{ab} - {}^{(X)}\pi^c_{1,ab}d_c f - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(X)}\pi_{ab}\Delta_g f \\ & -\frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\{\Delta_g(Xf) - {}^{(X)}\pi^{cd}(\nabla^2 f)_{cd} - \mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\pi^c_1 d_c f\}\end{aligned} \tag{9.145}$$

Taking the trace-free part on both sides of above, then we get the second part of the lemma. □

Lemma 9.6 Let f be an arbitrary function defined on a given hypersurface Σ_t. Then the following commutation formulas hold:

$$T(\not\Delta f) - \not\Delta(Tf) = -{}^{(T)}\not\pi^{AB}(\not D^2 f)_{AB} - \mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not\pi^B_1 \not d_B f$$

and:

$$(\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_T\hat{\not D}^2 f)_{AB} - (\hat{\not D}^2(Tf))_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}{}^{(T)}\hat{\not\pi}_{AB}\not\Delta f - {}^{(T)}\hat{\not\pi}^C_{1,AB}\not d_C f$$

Here,

$${}^{(T)}\not\pi^C_{1,AB} = \frac{1}{2}\{\not D_A{}^{(T)}\not\pi^C_B + \not D_B{}^{(T)}\not\pi^C_A - \not D^C{}^{(T)}\not\pi_{AB}\}$$

is the Lie derivative with respect to T of the induced connection on $S_{t,u}$, and the trace in the lemma is with respect to the induced metric on $S_{t,u}$.

Proof. Since T is tangential to Σ_t, we can confine attention to Σ_t. Also, we can choose acoustical coordinates $(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)$ so that $\Xi = 0$ on the given Σ_t. So on this Σ_t:

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{9.146}$$

Consider an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ 1-form α defined on Σ_t. In terms of $(u, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2)$:

$$(\not{D}\alpha)_{AB} = \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial \vartheta^A} - \not{\Gamma}^C_{AB}\alpha_C \tag{9.147}$$

Differentiating (9.147) with respect to u we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\not{D}\alpha)_{AB} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}(\frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial u}) - \not{\Gamma}^C_{AB}\frac{\partial \alpha_C}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial \not{\Gamma}^C_{AB}}{\partial u}\alpha_C \tag{9.148}$$

In view of (9.146),

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_A}{\partial u} = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\alpha)_A, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\not{D}\alpha)_{AB} = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\not{D}\alpha))_{AB} \tag{9.149}$$

Moreover, from Chapter 3 we have, in view of (9.146),

$$\frac{\partial \not{g}_{AB}}{\partial u} = {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{AB} = 2\kappa\theta_{AB}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} = -{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^{AB} = -2\kappa\theta^{AB} \tag{9.150}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \not{\Gamma}^C_{AB}}{\partial u} &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\{(\not{g}^{-1})^{CD}(\frac{\partial \not{g}_{BD}}{\partial \vartheta_A} + \frac{\partial \not{g}_{AD}}{\partial \vartheta_B} - \frac{\partial \not{g}_{AB}}{\partial \vartheta^D})\} \\
= -{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^{CD}\not{\Gamma}^E_{AB}\not{g}_{DE} &+ \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{CD}(\frac{\partial {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{BD}}{\partial \vartheta^A} + \frac{\partial {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{AD}}{\partial \vartheta^B} - \frac{\partial {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{AB}}{\partial \vartheta^D}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{CD}(\not{D}_A {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{BD} + \not{D}_B {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{AD} - \not{D}_D {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{AB})
\end{aligned}$$

that is:

$$\frac{\partial \not{\Gamma}^C_{AB}}{\partial u} = {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^C_{1,AB} \tag{9.151}$$

where:

$${}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^C_{1,AB} = \frac{1}{2}\{\not{D}_A {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^C_B + \not{D}_B {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^C_A - \not{D}^C {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_{AB}\} \tag{9.152}$$

Substituting (9.151) in (9.148), and in view of (9.149), we then obtain:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\not{D}\alpha))_{AB} = (\not{D}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\alpha))_{AB} - {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^C_{1,AB}\alpha_C \tag{9.153}$$

This holds for any $S_{t,u}$ 1-form α defined on Σ_t. In particular, it holds in the case $\alpha = \not{d}f$ for some function f defined on Σ_t. In this case, we have,

$$\alpha_A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta^A}, \quad \frac{\partial \alpha_A}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u})$$

i.e.

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\not{d}f) = \not{d}(Tf) \tag{9.154}$$

So by (9.153) we have:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\not{D}^2 f))_{AB} = (\not{D}^2(Tf))_{AB} - {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}^C_{1,AB}\not{d}_C f \tag{9.155}$$

for any function f on Σ_t. Since:

$$\slashed{\Delta} f = (\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\slashed{D}^2 f)_{AB}$$

it follows by (9.150) that:

$$T(\slashed{\Delta} f) = \slashed{\mathcal{L}}_T(\slashed{\Delta} f) = -{}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}^{AB}(\slashed{D}^2 f)_{AB} + (\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\slashed{\mathcal{L}}_T(\slashed{D}^2 f))_{AB} \tag{9.156}$$

Since

$$(\slashed{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\slashed{D}^2(Tf))_{AB} = \slashed{\Delta}(Tf)$$

the first part of the lemma follows.

To prove the second part, we note that the trace-free part of $\slashed{D}^2 f$ is:

$$\hat{\slashed{D}}^2 f = \slashed{D}^2 f - \frac{1}{2}\slashed{g}\slashed{\Delta} f$$

hence:

$$\slashed{\mathcal{L}}_T(\hat{\slashed{D}}^2 f) = \slashed{\mathcal{L}}_T(\slashed{D}^2 f) - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}\slashed{\Delta} f - \frac{1}{2}\slashed{g}T(\slashed{\Delta} f) \tag{9.157}$$

So using (9.155) and the first part of the lemma we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\slashed{\mathcal{L}}_T(\hat{\slashed{D}}^2 f))_{AB} = (\slashed{D}^2(Tf))_{AB} - {}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}^C_{1,AB}\slashed{d}_C f - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}_{AB}\slashed{\Delta} f \\ -\frac{1}{2}\slashed{g}_{AB}\{\slashed{\Delta}(Tf) - {}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}^{CD}(\slashed{D}^2 f)_{CD} - \mathrm{tr}{}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}^C_1\slashed{d}_C f\}\end{aligned} \tag{9.158}$$

Then taking the trace-free part on the above, we get the second part of the lemma. $\square$

Proposition 9.3 For each pair of non-negative integers (m, l) and each multi-index $(i_1 ... i_l)$ we have:

$$\slashed{\Delta}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mu_{m,l} - R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T^m\slashed{\Delta}\mu = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l} = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}d_{m,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}({}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\slashed{\pi}\cdot\slashed{D}^{2(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}\mu_{m,l-k-1}) \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}(\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\slashed{\pi}_1\cdot\slashed{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}\mu_{m,l-k-1})\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$d_{m,0} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} T^k({}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}\cdot\slashed{D}^2\mu_{m-k-1,0}) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} T^k(\mathrm{tr}{}^{(T)}\slashed{\pi}_1\cdot\slashed{d}\mu_{m-k-1,0})$$

Proof. We first consider the case $l = 0$. If also $m = 0$ we have trivially:

$$d_{0,0} = 0 \tag{9.159}$$

We shall derive a recursion formula for $d_{m,0}$. Consider then:

$$d_{m-1,0} = \slashed{\Delta}\mu_{m-1,0} - T^{m-1}\slashed{\Delta}\mu$$

Applying T to this we obtain:

$$Td_{m-1,0} = T(\not\Delta\mu_{m-1,0}) - T^m\not\Delta\mu \tag{9.160}$$

From the first part of Lemma 9.6,

$$T(\not\Delta\mu_{m-1,0}) = \not\Delta\mu_{m,0} - {}^{(T)}\not\pi\cdot\not D^2\mu_{m-1,0} - \mathrm{tr}{}^{(T)}\not\pi_1\cdot\not d\mu_{m-1,0} \tag{9.161}$$

Substituting (9.161) in (9.160) and noting that:

$$\not\Delta\mu_{m,0} - T^m\not\Delta\mu = d_{m,0}$$

we obtain the recursion relation:

$$d_{m,0} = Td_{m-1,0} + {}^{(T)}\not\pi\cdot\not D^2\mu_{m-1,0} + \mathrm{tr}{}^{(T)}\not\pi_1\cdot\not d\mu_{m-1,0} \tag{9.162}$$

Applying Proposition 8.2, we get the expression for $d_{m,0}$.

Next, we consider the case $l \geq 1$. We have:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}d_{m,l-1} = \not\Delta^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} - R_{i_{l-1}}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu$$

Applying R_{i_l} to this we obtain:

$$R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}d_{m,l-1} = R_{i_l}\not\Delta^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} - R_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu \tag{9.163}$$

From the first part of Lemma 9.5 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{i_l}\not\Delta^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} = \\ &\not\Delta^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mu_{m,l} - {}^{(R_{i_l})}\not\pi\cdot\not D^{2(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} - \mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\not\pi_1\cdot\not d^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1}\end{aligned} \tag{9.164}$$

Substituting (9.164) in (9.163) and noting that:

$$\not\Delta^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mu_{m,l} - R_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}T^m\not\Delta\mu = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}d_{m,l}$$

we obtain the recursion relation:

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}d_{m,l} = \\ &R_{i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}d_{m,l-1} + {}^{(R_{i_l})}\not\pi\cdot\not D^{2(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} + \mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\not\pi_1\cdot\not d^{(i_1\dots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1}\end{aligned} \tag{9.165}$$

Applying again Proposition 8.2, the result follows. ☐

Proposition 9.4 For each pair of non-negative integers (m, l) and each multi-index $(i_1\dots i_l)$ we have:

$$\hat{\not D}^{2(i_1\dots i_l)}\mu_{m,l} - {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not\mu}_{2,m,l} = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}e_{m,l}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}e_{m,l} = \hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}e_{m,0} &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}({}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\hat{\not\pi}\not\Delta^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}\mu_{m,l-k-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}\dots\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}({}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\hat{\not\pi}_1\cdot\not d^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1})}\mu_{m,l-k-1})\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$e_{m,0} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_T^k({}^{(T)}\hat{\not\pi}\not\Delta\mu_{m-k-1,0}) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\hat{\not\mathcal{L}}_T^k({}^{(T)}\hat{\not\pi}_1\cdot\not d\mu_{m-k-1,0})$$

Proof. We first consider the case $l=0$. If also $m=0$, from the definition, we have:

$$e_{0,0} = 0 \tag{9.166}$$

Consider then:

$$e_{m-1,0} = \hat{\not{D}}^2 \mu_{m-1,0} - \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m-1,0}$$

Apply $\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T$ to this to obatin:

$$\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T e_{m-1,0} = \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T \hat{\not{D}}^2 \mu_{m-1,0} - \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,0} \tag{9.167}$$

From the second part of Lemma 9.6:

$$\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T \hat{\not{D}}^2 \mu_{m-1,0} = \hat{\not{D}}^2 \mu_{m,0} - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(T)}\hat{\not{\pi}}\not{\Delta}\mu_{m-1,0} - {}^{(T)}\hat{\not{\pi}}_1 \cdot \not{d}\mu_{m-1,0} \tag{9.168}$$

Substituting (9.168) in (9.167) and noting that:

$$\hat{\not{D}}^2 \mu_{m,0} - \hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,0} = e_{m,0}$$

we obtain:

$$e_{m,0} = \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T e_{m-1,0} + \frac{1}{2}{}^{(T)}\hat{\not{\pi}}\not{\Delta}\mu_{m-1,0} + {}^{(T)}\hat{\not{\pi}}_1 \cdot \not{d}\mu_{m-1,0} \tag{9.169}$$

Applying Proposition 8.2, the expression for $e_{m,0}$ follows.

Next, we consider the case $l \geq 1$. Consider:

$${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}e_{m,l-1} = \hat{\not{D}}^{2\,(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} - {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l-1}$$

Applying $\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}$ to this we obtain:

$$\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}{}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}e_{m,l-1} = \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}} \hat{\not{D}}^{2\,(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} - {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l} \tag{9.170}$$

From the second part of Lemma 9.5:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}} \hat{\not{D}}^{2\,(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} &= \hat{\not{D}}^{2\,(i_1 \ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l} \\ -\frac{1}{2}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\hat{\not{\pi}}\not{\Delta}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} &- {}^{(R_{i_l})}\hat{\not{\pi}}_1 \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} \end{aligned} \tag{9.171}$$

Substituting (9.171) in (9.170) and noting that:

$$\hat{\not{D}}^{2\,(i_1 \ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l} - {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l} = {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}e_{m,l}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}e_{m,l} &= \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}{}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}e_{m,l-1} \\ +\frac{1}{2}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\hat{\not{\pi}}\not{\Delta}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} &+ {}^{(R_{i_l})}\hat{\not{\pi}}_1 \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-1})}\mu_{m,l-1} \end{aligned} \tag{9.172}$$

Thus, applying again Proposition 8.2, the result follows. $\square$

Let us investigate the order of ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}d_{m,l}$. First, we should investigate $d_{m,0}$. Here, the leading terms are of order $m+1$ and are contributed by $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T^k(\not{D}^2\mu_{m-k-1})$ in the first sum, a 2nd angular derivative of $T^{m-1}\mu$ to principal terms, and by $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T^{m-1}\mathrm{tr}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_1$ in the second sum, a 1st angular derivative of $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T^{m-1}\theta$

to principal terms.The principal acoustical part of the latter is a first angular derivative of χ if $m = 1$, a 3rd angular derivative of $T^{m-2}\mu$ if $m \geq 2$ (by (3.125)-(3.126)). It follows that the leading terms in the first term of $d_{m,l}$:

$$R_{i_l}...R_{i_1} d_{m,0}$$

are of order $m + l + 1$, the principal acoustical terms being $l + 1$st angular derivatives of χ and $l + 2$nd angular derivatives of μ if $m = 1$, $l + 3$rd angular derivatives of $T^{m-2}\mu$ and $l + 2$nd angular derivatives of $T^{m-1}\mu$ if $m \geq 2$.

The leading terms in the second term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l}$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}({}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\not\pi \cdot \not{D}^2 \mu_{m,l-k-1})$$

are also of order $m + l + 1$, being $l + 1$st angular derivatives of $T^m\mu, l \geq 1$. Finally, the leading term in the third term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l}$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}(\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\not\pi_1 \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})} \mu_{m,l-k-1})$$

is:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_2}} \mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_1})}\not\pi_1) \cdot \not{d}\mu_{m,0}$$

From the expression for ${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{AB}$, this term involves the lth angular derivatives of χ and is thus of order $l + 1$, which coincides with the order of the leading terms in the first two terms of the expression for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l}$ if $m = 0$. We conclude that ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l}$ is of order $m + l + 1$-one less than principal-and its leading acoustical terms are lth angular derivatives of χ and (for $l \geq 1$) $l + 1$st angular derivatives of μ if $m = 0$, $l + 1$st angular derivatives of χ and $l + 2$nd angular derivatives of μ and (for $l \geq 1$) $l + 1$st angular derivatives of $T\mu$ if $m = 1$, $l + 3$rd angular derivatives of $T^{m-2}\mu$ and $l + 2$nd angular derivatives of $T^{m-1}\mu$ and (for $l \geq 1$) $l + 1$st angular derivatives of $T^m\mu$ if $m \geq 2$.

Let us also investigate the order of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}e_{m,l}$. First, we should investigate $e_{m,0}$. Here, the leading terms are of order $m + 1$ and are contributed by $T^k(\not\Delta\mu_{m-k-1,0})$ in the first sum, a 2nd angular derivative of $T^{m-1}\mu$ to principal terms and by $\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_T^{m-1}{}^{(T)}\hat{\not\pi}_1$ in the second sum, a 1st angular derivative of $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T^{m-1}\theta$ to principal terms. The principal acoustical part of the latter is a 1st angular derivative of χ if $m = 1$, a 3rd angular derivative of $T^{m-2}\mu$ if $m \geq 2$ (by (3.125)-(3.126)). It follows that the leading terms in the first term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}e_{m,l}$:

$$\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_1}} e_{m,0}$$

are of order $m + l + 1$, the leading acoustical terms being $l + 1$st angular derivatives of χ and $l + 2$nd angular derivatives of μ if $m = 1$, $l + 3$rd angular derivatives of $T^{m-2}\mu$ and $l + 2$nd angular derivatives of $T^{m-1}\mu$ if $m \geq 2$. The leading terms in the second term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}e_{m,l}$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}({}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\hat{\not\pi} \not\Delta^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})} \mu_{m,l-k-1})$$

are also of order $m + l + 1$, being $l + 1$st angular derivatives of $T^m\mu, l \geq 1$. Finally, the leading term in the third term of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}e_{m,l}$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}({}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\hat{\not\pi}_1 \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})} \mu_{m,l-k-1})$$

is

$$(\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_l}}...\hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_{R_{i_2}}{}^{(R_{i_1})}\hat{\not{\pi}}_1)\cdot \not{d}\mu_{m,0}$$

This term involves the lth order angular derivatives of χ and is thus of order $l+1$, which coincides with the order of the leading terms in the first two terms of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}e_{m,l}$ if $m=0$. We conclude that ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}e_{m,l}$ is of order $m+l+1$-one less than principal and its leading acoustical terms are lth angular derivatives of χ and (for $l\geq 1$) $l+1$st angular derivatives of μ if $m=0$, $l+1$st angular derivatives of χ and $l+2$nd angular derivative of μ and (for $l\geq 1$) $l+1$st angular derivatives of $T\mu$ if $m=1$, $l+3$rd angular derivatives of $T^{m-2}\mu$ and $l+2$nd angular derivatives of $T^{m-1}\mu$ and (for $l\geq 1$) $l+1$st angular derivatives of $T^m\mu$ if $m\geq 2$.

By equation (9.134) and Proposition 9.3, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mu_{m,l}$ satisfies on each $S_{t,u}$ the elliptic equation:

$$\not{\Delta}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mu_{m,l}=\mu^{-1}({}^{(i_1...i_l)}x'{}_{m,l}+{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l})+{}^{(i_1...i_l)}d_{m,l} \tag{9.173}$$

We shall need the following μ-weighted L^2 estimate:

Lemma 9.7 Let (M,g) be a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let ϕ be a function on (M,g) satisfying the equation:

$$\Delta_g\phi=\rho$$

for some function ρ on M. Let also μ be an arbitrary non-negative function on M. Then the following estimate holds on M:

$$\int_M\mu^2\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla^2\phi|^2+K|d\phi|^2\}d\mu_g\leq 2\int_M\mu^2\rho^2d\mu_g+3\int_M|d\mu|^2|d\phi|^2d\mu_g$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of (M,g).

Proof. Consider the 1-form:

$$\psi=d\phi \tag{9.174}$$

We have:

$$\text{div}_g\psi=\Delta_g\phi=\rho \tag{9.175}$$

So we get:

$$\mu d(\text{div}_g\psi)=d(\mu\rho)-\rho d\mu \tag{9.176}$$

Now, in arbitrary local coordinate $d(\text{div}_g\psi)$ is:

$$\nabla_a(\nabla^b\psi_b)$$

and we have:

$$\nabla_a(\nabla^b\psi_b)=\nabla^b(\nabla_a\psi_b)-S_a{}^b\psi_b \tag{9.177}$$

where $S_a{}^b=S_{ac}(g^{-1})^{bc}$ and S_{ac} are the components of the Ricci curvature of (M,g). Since $\text{dim}M=2$, we have:

$$S_a{}^b=K\delta_a^b$$

Noting also that:

$$\nabla_a\psi_b=\nabla_b\psi_a$$

(9.177) reduces to

$$\nabla_a(\nabla^b\psi_b)=\nabla^b(\nabla_b\psi_a)-K\psi_a \tag{9.178}$$

Substituting in (9.176) we obtain:

$$\mu\nabla^b(\nabla_b\psi_a)-\mu K\psi_a=d_a(\mu\rho)-\rho d_a\mu \tag{9.179}$$

We multiply this equation by $-\mu\psi^a$ and integrate over M. We have, integrating by parts,

$$-\int_M \mu^2\psi^a\nabla^b(\nabla_b\psi_a)d\mu_g = \int_M \mu^2|\nabla\psi|^2 d\mu_g + \int_M 2\mu I^a d_a\mu d\mu_g \tag{9.180}$$

where

$$I^a = \psi^b\nabla_b\psi^a \tag{9.181}$$

Moreover, we have, also integrating by parts,

$$-\int_M \mu\psi^a d_a(\mu\rho)d\mu_g = \int_M \mu\rho\,\mathrm{div}_g(\mu\psi)d\mu_g = \int_M \{\mu^2\rho^2 + \mu\rho\psi^a d_a\mu\}d\mu_g \tag{9.182}$$

In view of (9.180) and (9.182), we obtain:

$$\int_M \mu^2\{|\nabla\psi|^2 + K|\psi|^2\}d\mu_g + \int_M 2\mu I^a d_a\mu d\mu_g = \int_M \{\mu^2\rho^2 + 2\mu\rho\psi^a d_a\mu\}d\mu_g \tag{9.183}$$

Now, we have:

$$2\mu|I^a d_a\mu| \leq 2\mu|I||d\mu|$$

and

$$|I| \leq |\nabla\psi||\psi|$$

hence we can estimate:

$$2\mu|I^a d_a\mu| \leq 2\mu|d\mu||\psi||\nabla\psi| \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu^2|\nabla\psi|^2 + 2|d\mu|^2|\psi|^2 \tag{9.184}$$

Also we can estimate:

$$2\mu|\rho\psi^a d_a\mu| \leq \mu^2\rho^2 + |d\mu|^2|\psi|^2 \tag{9.185}$$

In view of (9.184)-(9.185), the identity (9.183) implies:

$$\int_M \mu^2\{\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\psi|^2 + K|\psi|^2\}d\mu_g \leq 2\int_M \mu^2\rho^2 d\mu_g + 3\int_M |d\mu|^2|\psi|^2 d\mu_g \tag{9.186}$$

The lemma thus follows. ☐

We now apply Lemma 9.7 to (9.173), taking (M,g) to be $(S_{t,u},\not{g})$, ϕ to be ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}$, and the function ρ to be:

$$\mu^{-1}({}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}) + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}d_{m,l}$$

In view of Lemma 8.9, taking δ_0 suitably small, we have $K \geq 0$, thus we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mu\not{D}^2\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \\ &\leq C\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} + C\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \\ &+C\|\mu\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}d_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} + C\|\not{d}\mu\|_{L^\infty(S_{t,u})}\|\not{d}\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \end{aligned} \tag{9.187}$$

By **F1**,

$$|\not{d}\mu| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{9.188}$$

Substituting (9.188) in (9.187) yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\mu \not{D}^{2} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \qquad (9.189)\\
\leq C\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} &+ C\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} + C\|\mu^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}d_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\\
&+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Proposition 9.4, we then obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mu^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} &+ C\|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \qquad (9.190)\\
&+C\|\mu^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}d_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} + C\|\mu^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}e_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}\\
&+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})}
\end{aligned}
$$

9.4 The Estimates for the Solutions of the Propagation Equations

We now return to the propagation equation of Proposition 9.2. Setting:

$$
{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l} = -2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}g'_{m,l} \qquad (9.191)
$$

the propagation equation takes the form:

$$
L^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l} + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l} = -(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}(L\mu))^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l} \qquad (9.192)
$$

Defining as in Chapter 8 the diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{t,u}$ of S^2 onto $S_{t,u}$, if ω is any $S_{t,u}$ r-covariant tensorfield defined in $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$, we consider the pullback $\omega(t,u) = \Phi^*_{t,u}\omega$, a r-covariant tensorfield on S^2 depending on the parameters t and u. If in place of ω we have $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\omega$, the corresponding tensorfield on S^2 is $\frac{\partial\omega(t,u)}{\partial t}$. The propagation equation (9.192) can then be viewed as an equation for the function ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'(t,u)$ on S^2 depending on t and u:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l} + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l} = -(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l} \qquad (9.193)
$$

Consider a function $\phi(t,u)$ on S^2 depending on the parameters t and u. We have:

$$
|\phi|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\phi| = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi^2 = \phi\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \qquad (9.194)
$$

Then from the propagation equation (9.193) we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l} + (\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})({}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l})^2 \qquad (9.195)\\
&= -(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi - 2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l} + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'{}_{m,l}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}| \leq |{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}|
$$

and

$$
|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}| \leq |{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}|
$$

the assumption **AS** implies through (9.195)

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}+(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}|^2\\ \leq{}&(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}||^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}|+|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'{}_{m,l}||^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}|\end{aligned}\tag{9.196}$$

Applying (9.194) taking $\phi={}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}|+(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}|\\ \leq{}&(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}|+|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}|\end{aligned}\tag{9.197}$$

The integrating factor here is

$$\exp\{\int_0^t(\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(t',u)dt'\}=(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(0,u)})^{-2}A(t,u)\tag{9.198}$$

where $A(t,u)$ is defined by (8.167) and is given by (8.169). From (9.197) we deduce:

$$|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}|\leq{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}F'_{m,l}(t,u)+{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G'_{m,l}(t,u)\tag{9.199}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}F'_{m,l}(t,u)={}&(A(t,u))^{-1}(\mu(t,u))^2\{(\mu(0,u))^{-2}|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(0,u)|\\ &+\int_0^t(\mu(t',u))^{-2}A(t',u)(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi-2\mu^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(t',u)|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t',u)|dt'\}\end{aligned}\tag{9.200}$$

and:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G'_{m,l}(t,u)=(A(t,u))^{-1}(\mu(t,u))^2\cdot\int_0^t(\mu(t',u))^{-2}A(t',u)|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}(t',u)|dt'\tag{9.201}$$

Using the bound (8.173), we obtain from (9.200) and (9.201):

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}F'_{m,l}(t,u)\leq{}&e^{C\delta_0}(1-u+t)^{-2}\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M'^0_{m,l}(t,u)\\ &+{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t,u)+{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M'^2_{m,l}(t,u)\}\end{aligned}\tag{9.202}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M'^0_{m,l}(t,u)=(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(0,u)})^2(1-u)^2|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(0,u)|\tag{9.203}$$

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t,u)=\int_0^t(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^2\times[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t',u)]\cdot|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t',u)|dt'\tag{9.204}$$

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}M'^2_{m,l}(t,u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^2\mathrm{tr}\chi(t',u)|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t',u)|dt'\tag{9.205}$$

Also:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G'_{m,l}(t,u)\leq e^{C\delta_0}(1-u+t)^{-2}\cdot\int_0^t(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^2|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}(t',u)|dt'\tag{9.206}$$

We shall estimate the L^2 norm of ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F'_{m,l}(t)$ on $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$.

First, by **A3** and (8.333) at $t=0$,

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^0_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{9.207}$$

We turn to ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}$. Partitioning $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ into the sets $\mathcal{V}_{s-}$, $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$ defined by (8.337) and (8.338), respectively, we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t)\|^2_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} = \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} + \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{9.208}$$

By Minkowski's inequality:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^1_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})}dt' \tag{9.209}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^1_{m,l}(t,t',u) = (\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^2[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t',u)]|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t',u)| \tag{9.210}$$

We have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^1_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq (1+t')^2[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2 \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_-(t')]\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \tag{9.211}$$

In view of (8.343) and (8.344) (see definition (8.249)):

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^1_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C(1+t')^2 M(t')\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{9.212}$$

Let us define:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t) = (1+t)\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{9.213}$$

Suppose that, for non-negative quantities ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'^{(0)}_{m,l}$, ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'^{(1)}_{m,l}$, we have:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t) \leq {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'^{(0)}_{m,l}(t) + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'^{(1)}_{m,l}(t) \tag{9.214}$$

We define the non-decreasing non-negative quantities ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}$, ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}$ by:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\bar{\mu}^a_m(t'){}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'^{(0)}_{m,l}(t')\} \tag{9.215}$$

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')^{1/2}\bar{\mu}^a_m(t'){}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'^{(1)}_{m,l}(t')\} \tag{9.216}$$

Then for $t'\in[0,t]$ we have:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t') \leq \bar{\mu}^{-a}_m(t')\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\} \tag{9.217}$$

Substituting in (9.212) and in view of (9.213) we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^1_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C\{(1+t){}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}^{-a}_m(t')M(t') \tag{9.218}$$

for all $t'\in[0,t]$. Substituting this in (9.209) we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^1_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C\{(1+t){}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}I_a(t) \tag{9.219}$$

Applying Lemma 8.11 we conclude that:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{1}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq Ca^{-1}\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2\,(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{9.220}$$

In analogy with (9.209) and (9.211), with $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$ in the role of $\mathcal{V}_{s-}$, we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{1}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^{1}_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \tag{9.221}$$

and:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^{1}_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq (1+t')^2[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2 \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}[-2\mu^{-1}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})_{-}(t')]\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{9.222}$$

Substituting (8.356), (8.357) and (9.213) in (9.222), and the restriction (9.221), we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{1}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t')dt' \tag{9.223}$$

hence, by (9.217) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{1}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\\ \cdot\int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{9.224}$$

In view of (8.363), we conclude:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{1}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]^4\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{1-a}(t) \tag{9.225}$$

Combining finally (9.220) and (9.225) and taking into account the assumption $a\delta_0 \leq C^{-1}$, we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{1}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq Ca^{-1}\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2\,(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{9.226}$$

Here, we also have used the definition (8.248) of Chapter 8.

We turn to ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{2}_{m,l}(t,u)$. We have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{2}_{m,l}(t)\|^2_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} = \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{2}_{m,l}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} + \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{2}_{m,l}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{9.227}$$

and:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{2}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^{2}_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})}dt' \tag{9.228}$$

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^{2}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq \int_0^t \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^{2}_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \tag{9.229}$$

where

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^{2}_{m,l}(t,t',u) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\mu(t,u)}{\mu(t',u)})^2(1-u+t')^2\mathrm{tr}\chi(t',u)|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t',u)| \tag{9.230}$$

We have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^{2}_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+t')^2[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2 \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s-}}(\mathrm{tr}\chi(t'))\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \tag{9.231}$$

By (8.343) and (8.371):

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C(1+t')\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{9.232}$$

Then by (9.213) and (9.217):

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} &\leq C{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t') \\ \leq C\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) &+ (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t') \\ \leq C'\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) &+ (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{9.233}$$

where we have used Corollary 2 to Lemma 8.11. Substituting (9.233) in (9.228) we get:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^2_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})} \leq C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{9.234}$$

In analogy with (9.231) we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+t')^2[\max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t)}{\mu(t')})]^2 \max_{\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\mathrm{tr}\chi(t'))\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f'}_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \tag{9.235}$$

Here, we have two cases to distinguish according as to whether t' is $< \sqrt{t}$ or $\geq \sqrt{t}$. In the first case, (8.378) holds, and in the second case (8.377) holds. In view of these, recalling (8.371), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t') : t' \leq \sqrt{t} \\ \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} &\leq C^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t') : t' \geq \sqrt{t} \end{aligned} \tag{9.236}$$

Substituting (9.236) in (9.229), we then have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^2_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \leq \int_0^{\sqrt{t}}\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' + \int_{\sqrt{t}}^t\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}N'^2_{m,l}(t,t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \tag{9.237}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^{\sqrt{t}}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t')dt' + C\int_{\sqrt{t}}^t{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}(t')dt' \\ &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^{\sqrt{t}}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}dt' \\ &\quad +C\int_{\sqrt{t}}^t\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t')^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}dt' \\ &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{(1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/4}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \\ &\quad +C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}\overset{(i_1\ldots i_l)}{}{}^{(1)}\bar{P}'_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \\ &\leq C'\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Corollary 2 to Lemma 8.11 and (9.217).

Combining (9.234) and (9.237) we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}M'^2_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C\{(1+t)^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{9.238}$$

The estimates (9.202), (9.207), (9.226) and (9.238) yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}F'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &+C(1+t)^{-1}\{{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{9.239}$$

We now consider the estimate (9.206). From (8.383):

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G'_{m,l}(t,u) \leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \int_0^t (1+t')^2 |{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}(t',u)| dt' \tag{9.240}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \\ &\cdot \int_0^t (1+t')^2 \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}(t')\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} dt' \end{aligned} \tag{9.241}$$

Now ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}$ is given by (9.191). According to the discussion following Lemma 9.4, the principal part of ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g'_{m,l}$ consists of (9.118), (9.120) and (9.126). Define the function ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l}$ by:

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{0,l} = {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g'_{0,l} - \xi \cdot {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1} i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x'_{0,l-1} : m=0 \tag{9.242}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l} &= {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}g'_{m,l} - \xi \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m-1,l} \\ -m\Lambda^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m-1,l} &- \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1} i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l-1} : m \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.243}$$

Then ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l}$ does not contain principal acoustical terms and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{0,l} &= -2\mu\hat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,0,l} + \xi \cdot {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1} i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x'_{0,l-1} &+ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{0,l} : m=0 \end{aligned} \tag{9.244}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l} &= -2\mu\hat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l} + \xi \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m-1,l} \\ +m\Lambda^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m-1,l} + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1} i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l-1} &+ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l} : m \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.245}$$

Let us define:

$$ X'_{m,l} = \max_{i_1\dots i_l} \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{9.246}$$

We shall estimate $\|\tilde{g}'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}$ in terms of $X'_{0,l}(t)$ and $X_l(t)$, defined by (8.390), for $m=0$, and in terms of $X'_{m,l}(t)$ and $X'_{m-1,l+1}(t)$, for $m \geq 1$. Consider first the second term on the right of (9.244) and (9.245). The $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ is given by (9.59). By the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ we have:

$$ |\xi| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{9.247}$$

Thus, by the pointwise estimtes (9.119):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi \cdot {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]X_l(t) \\ \|\xi \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m-1,l}\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X'_{m-1,l+1}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{9.248}$$

Consider next the third term on the right of (9.245). From (6.89) and (6.99) we have:

$$|\Lambda| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{9.249}$$

Thus, by the pointwise estimate (9.121):

$$\|m\Lambda^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq Cm\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X'_{m-1,l+1}(t) \tag{9.250}$$

Consider next the term next to the last term on the right in (9.244) and (9.245). By the pointwise estimtes (9.127) and (8.393):

$$\|\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k-1}i_{l-k+1}\dots i_l)}x'{}_{m,l-1}\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq Cl\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X'_{m,l}(t) \tag{9.251}$$

Finally, we consider the first term on the right in (9.244) and (9.245). By **F2** we have:

$$\|2\mu\hat{\chi}\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\mu|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{9.252}$$

We now appeal to (9.190). In view of (8.396), (9.190) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}|(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)} \leq{}& C\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)} + C\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)}\\ &+C\|\mu^{(i_1\dots i_l)}d_{m,l}(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)} + C\|\mu|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}e_{m,l}|(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)}\\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}|(t,u)\|_{L^2(S^2)}\end{aligned} \tag{9.253}$$

Taking L^2 norms on $[0,\epsilon_0]$ then yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\hat{\not{\mu}}_{2,m,l}|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq{}& C\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + C\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ &+C\|\mu^{(i_1\dots i_l)}d_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + C\|\mu|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}e_{m,l}|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\end{aligned} \tag{9.254}$$

In view of (9.248), (9.250), (9.251), (9.252) and (9.254), we obtain from (9.244) and (9.245):

$$\begin{aligned}\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{0,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq{}& C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X'_{0,l}(t)\\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]X_l(t) + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}Q'{}_{0,l}(t) : m=0\\ \|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\tilde{g}'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq{}& C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X'_{m,l}(t)\\ &+C(m+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]X'_{m-1,l+1}(t)\\ &+{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}Q'_{m,l}(t) : m\geq 1\end{aligned} \tag{9.255}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}Q'_{m,l}(t) ={}& C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mu_{m,l}|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\check{f}'{}_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\mu^{(i_1\dots i_l)}d_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ &+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\mu|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}e_{m,l}|(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ &+\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\end{aligned} \tag{9.256}$$

We now return to (9.193). Taking L^2 norms on $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ yields:

$$\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq \|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}F'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + \|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}G'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{9.257}$$

Substituting (9.239), (9.241) and (9.255) then yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{0,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B'_{0,l}(t) \\
+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 &\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]X_l(t')dt' \\
+C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 &\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{0,l}(t')dt' : m=0 \\
\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B'_{m,l}(t) \\
+C(m+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 &\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{m-1,l+1}(t')dt' \\
+C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 &\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{m,l}(t')dt' : m\geq 1
\end{aligned} \tag{9.258}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B'_{m,l}(t) &= C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x'_{m,l}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\
&+C(1+t)^{-1}\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l,a}(t)+(1+t)^{-1/2}\,{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \\
&+C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t (1+t')^{2}\,{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}Q'_{m,l}(t')dt'
\end{aligned} \tag{9.259}$$

Taking in (9.258) the maximum over $i_1\dots i_l$ and recalling the definition (9.246) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
X'_{0,l} &\leq B'_{0,l}(t) + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]X_l(t')dt' \\
&+C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{0,l}(t')dt' : m=0 \\
X'_{m,l} &\leq B'_{m,l}(t) + C(m+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{m-1,l+1}(t')dt' \\
&+C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{m,l}(t')dt' : m\geq 1
\end{aligned} \tag{9.260}$$

where:

$$B'_{m,l}(t) = \max_{i_1\dots i_l} {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B'_{m,l}(t) \tag{9.261}$$

Setting:

$$l = n-1-m$$

(9.260) is a set of ordinary integral inequalities for $X'_{m,n-1-m}, m=0,\dots,n-1$. For $m=0$, we have an integral inequality for $X'_{0,n-1}$ containing on the right hand side the quantity X_{n-1} which has been estimated in Chapter 8. For $m\geq 1$, we have an integral inequality for $X'_{m,n-1-m}$ containing on the right-hand side the quantity $X'_{m-1,n-1-(m-1)}$. Thus, the integral inequalities, considered successively in the order of increasing m, depend only on quantities already estimated. Setting:

$$Y'_{l,m}(t) = \int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]X'_{m,l}(t')dt' \tag{9.262}$$

and recalling (8.406), since

$$\frac{dY'_{m,l}(t)}{dt} = [1+\log(1+t)]X'_{m,l}(t)$$

the $Y'_{m,l}$ satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dY'_{0,l}(t)}{dt} &\leq [1+\log(1+t)]B'_{0,l}(t) + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 Y_l(t) \\ &\quad +C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 Y'_{0,l}(t) : m=0 \\ \frac{dY'_{m,l}(t)}{dt} &\leq [1+\log(1+t)]B'_{m,l}(t) + C(m+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 Y'_{m-1,l+1}(t) \\ &\quad +C(l+1)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 Y'_{m,l}(t) : m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.263}$$

The integrating factor here is:

$$e^{-C_l(t)}$$

where $C_l(t)$ is of the form (8.409). We thus obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} Y'_{0,l}(t) &\leq e^{C_l(t)}\int_0^t e^{-C_l(t')}[1+\log(1+t')]B'_{0,l}(t')dt' \\ C\delta_0 &\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^3 Y_l(t')dt' : m=0 \\ Y'_{m,l}(t) &\leq e^{C_l(t)}\int_0^t e^{-C_l(t')}[1+\log(1+t')]B'_{m,l}(t')dt' \\ +C(m+1)\delta_0 &\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^3 Y'_{m-1,l+1}(t')dt' : m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.264}$$

Since the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]^3}{(1+t')^2}dt'$$

is convergent, if δ_0 satisfies a smallness condition of the form (8.411), taking into account the fact that $Y_l, Y'_{m-1,l+1}$ are non-decreasing functions of t, (9.264) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} Y'_{0,l}(t) &\leq 2\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t)]B'_{0,l}(t')dt' + C\delta_0 Y_l(t) : m=0 \\ Y'_{m,l}(t) &\leq 2\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{m,l}(t')dt' + C(m+1)\delta_0 Y'_{m-1,l+1}(t) : m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{9.265}$$

Setting $l = n-1-m, m = 0,1,...,n-1$, we then have:

$$Y'_{0,n-1}(t) \leq 2\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{0,n-1}(t')dt' + C\delta_0 Y_{n-1}(t) \tag{9.266}$$

and, for $m = 1,...,n-1$, using a modified version of Proposition 8.2 appropriate to inequalities, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} Y'_{m,n-1-m}(t) &\leq (m+1)!(C\delta_0)^m Y'_{0,n-1}(t) \\ +2\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\frac{(m+1)!}{(m+1-k)!}(C\delta_0)^k &\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{m-k,n-1-(m-k)}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{9.267}$$

Substituting the bound (8.412), these imply that:

$$\begin{aligned}Y'_{m,n-1-m}(t) \leq 2\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_{n-1}(t')dt' \\ +2\sum_{k=0}^{m}\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{k,n-1-k}(t')dt' : m = 0, ..., n-1\end{aligned} \tag{9.268}$$

provided δ_0 is suitably small depending on n. The bounds (8.412) and (9.268) in turn imply:

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{n-1}(t) + nY'_{0,n-1}(t) \leq 2(n+1)\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_{n-1}(t')dt' \\ +2n\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{0,n-1}(t')dt' : m = 0 \\ (m+1)Y'_{m-1,n-1-(m-1)}(t) + (n-m)Y'_{m,n-1-m}(t) \\ \leq 2(n+1)\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_{n-1}(t')dt' \\ +2(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{k,n-1-k}(t')dt' \\ +2(n-m)\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{m,n-1-m}(t')dt' : m = 1, ..., n-1\end{aligned} \tag{9.269}$$

Recalling then (9.258) and setting $l = n-1-m$, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}x'_{0,n-1}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1})}B'_{0,n-1}(t) \\ +C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2[Y_{n-1}(t) + nY'_{0,n-1}(t)] : \\ m = 0 \\ \|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1-m})}x'_{m,n-1-m}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1-m})}B'_{m,n-1-m}(t) \\ C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \cdot [(m+1)Y'_{m-1,n-1-(m-1)}(t) + (n-m)Y'_{m,n-1-m}(t)] : m \geq 1\end{aligned} \tag{9.270}$$

So finally we obtain the following estimates by substituting (9.269) in (9.270):

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}x'_{0,n-1}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1})}B'_{0,n-1}(t) \\ +C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{2(n+1)\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_{n-1}(t')dt' \\ +2n\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{0,n-1}(t')dt'\} : m = 0\end{aligned} \tag{9.271}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1-m})}x'_{m,n-1-m}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{n-1-m})}B'_{m,n-1-m}(t) \\ +C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{2(n+1)\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_{n-1}(t')dt' \\ +2(n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t']B'_{k,n-1-k}(t')dt' \\ +2(n-m)\int_0^t [1+\log(1+t')]B'_{m,n-1-m}(t')dt'\} : m \geq 1\end{aligned} \tag{9.272}$$

Chapter 10

Control of the Angular Derivatives of the First Derivatives of the x^i. Assumptions and Estimates in Regard to χ

10.1 Preliminary

One of the aims of this chapter is concerned with derivation of estimates for angular derivatives of the spartial rectangular coordinates x^i and $\hat{T}x^i : i = 1, 2, 3$ with respect to $R_j : j = 1, 2, 3$. The estimates are based on bootstrap assumptions in regard to χ. These estimates are then used to obtain estimates for angular derivatives of the deformation tensors of the commutation fields.

Before we proceed, we must discuss the general definition of the operator $\not{\mathcal{L}}_X$, with X an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield, as well as the operator $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L$, $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T$, as applied to an arbitrary $T^q_p\, S_{t,u}$ tensorfield. Consider first the case of an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ. Let $X \in TS_{t,u}$. Then $\not{\mathcal{L}}_X \xi$ is just a notion intrinsic to $S_{t,u}$. To define $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \xi$ however, we must consider the extension of ξ to a given C_u as an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form, that is, by requiring $\xi(L) = 0$. We then define $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \xi$ to be the restriction to $TS_{t,u}$ of the usual Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_L \xi$, a notion intrinsic to C_u. Note that in any case $(\mathcal{L}_L \xi)(L) = 0$. We can define $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \xi$ in a similar way by substituting C_u by Σ_t.

The case of any p-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield in the role of ξ, is formally identical to the case of an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form. Consider next the case of an $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield Y. X be another $S_{t,u}$ tangential vectorfield. Then $\not{\mathcal{L}}_X Y$ is defined to be simply $\mathcal{L}_X Y = [X, Y]$. From Lemma 8.2, $\mathcal{L}_L Y = [L, Y] = {}^{(Y)}Z$ is an $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield, so $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L Y$ is defined to be $\mathcal{L}_L Y$. Similarly (see Lemma 10.18), $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T Y$ is defined to be $\mathcal{L}_T Y$.

The case of any q-contravariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield is formally identical. And also, the general case of an arbitrary $T^q_p\, S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ is similar. Note that the above definitions of $\not{\mathcal{L}}_X \vartheta$, $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta$, $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \vartheta$, does not depend on the acoustical metric g. So we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_X(\vartheta \otimes \varphi) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_X \vartheta) \otimes \varphi + \vartheta \otimes (\not{\mathcal{L}}_X \varphi) \tag{10.1}$$

with X an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield. And also:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L(\vartheta \otimes \varphi) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta) \otimes \varphi + \vartheta \otimes (\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \varphi) \tag{10.2}$$

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\vartheta \otimes \varphi) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \vartheta) \otimes \varphi + \vartheta \otimes (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \varphi) \tag{10.3}$$

We also note that the same results hold with Q in the role of L.

From the above discussion, we have, for $X, Y \in TS_{t,u}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_L g)(X,Y) &= L(g(X,Y)) - g([L,X],Y) - g(X,[L,Y]) \\ &= L(\not{g}(X,Y)) - \not{g}([L,X],Y) - \not{g}(X,[L,Y]) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{g})(X,Y)\end{aligned}$$

That is:

$$ {}^{(L)}\not{\pi} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{g} = 2\chi \tag{10.4}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$ {}^{(T)}\not{\pi} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T \not{g} \tag{10.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_T \bar{g})(X,Y) = T(\bar{g}(X,Y)) - \bar{g}([T,X],Y) - \bar{g}(X,[T,Y]) \\ T(\not{g}(X,Y)) - \not{g}([T,X],Y) - \not{g}(X,[T,Y]) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \not{g})(X,Y)\end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \not{g} = 2\kappa\theta \tag{10.6}$$

Given now a positive integer l let us denote by $\not{\mathbf{E}}_l$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}_l \quad : \quad \max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} \max_{\alpha} \|R_{i_l} \ldots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}$$

Also, for convenience of notation, let us denote by $\not{\mathbf{E}}_0$ the basic bootstrap assumption **E1** of Chapter 6:

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}_0 \quad : \quad |\psi_0 - h_0|, \quad \max_i |\psi_i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

Given a positive integer l we then denote by $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}$ the bootstrap assumption:

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]} \quad : \quad \not{\mathbf{E}}_0 \quad \text{and...and} \quad \not{\mathbf{E}}_l$$

Given a positive integer n we denote:

$$n_* = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & : \text{if} \quad n \quad \text{is even} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & : \text{if} \quad n \quad \text{is odd} \end{cases} \tag{10.7}$$

Lemma 10.1 Let G be a smooth function of the variations $(\psi_\alpha \quad : \quad \alpha = 0,1,2,3)$. Suppose that the bootstrap assumption $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*]}$ holds for some positive integer l. Then there are constants C, C_l independent of s such that the following estimate holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|R_{i_l} \ldots R_{i_1} G\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C \sum_\alpha \|R_{i_l} \ldots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &+ C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \max_{i_1 \ldots i_k} \max_\alpha \|R_{i_k} \ldots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\end{aligned}$$

Proof: It is just a direct calculation. □

Consider now the functions $\hat{T}^j$. By (3.201)-(3.203), we have:

$$R_i \hat{T}^j = R_i^A \not{d}_A = \not{d}_i \cdot \not{d}x^j \tag{10.8}$$

where

$$\not{d}_i = m \cdot R_i \tag{10.9}$$

Here, m is given by:

$$m = m_b \cdot \not{g}^{-1} \tag{10.10}$$

where

$$m_b = -\alpha^{-1}\chi + \not{k} \tag{10.11}$$

Recall the definition of functions y^i in Chapter 6:

$$\hat{T}^j = -\frac{x^j}{1-u+t} + y^j \tag{10.12}$$

Since $R_i x^j = R_i \cdot \not{d}x^j$, we have:

$$R_i y^j = \not{d}'_i \cdot \not{d}x^j \tag{10.13}$$

where

$$\not{d}'_i = \not{d}_i + \frac{R_i}{1-u+t} \tag{10.14}$$

By (10.9)-(10.11) we have:

$$\not{d}'_i = m' \cdot R_i \tag{10.15}$$

where

$$m' = m'_b \cdot \not{g}^{-1} \tag{10.16}$$

and

$$m'_b = m_b + \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t} \tag{10.17}$$

is the 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield:

$$m'_b = -\frac{(\alpha^{-1}-1)\not{g}}{1-u+t} - \alpha^{-1}\left(\chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}\right) + \not{k} \tag{10.18}$$

We consider next the angular derivatives of the rectangular coordinate functions x^j. According to (6.6) we have:

$$(R_i)^j = \Pi^j_k (\overset{\circ}{R}_i)^k \tag{10.19}$$

where Π^j_k are the components of Π, the $\bar{g}$-orthogonal projection to $S_{t,u}$ on Σ_t:

$$\Pi^j_k = \delta^j_k - \bar{g}_{kl}\hat{T}^l\hat{T}^j \tag{10.20}$$

and

$$(\overset{\circ}{R}_i)^j = \epsilon_{imj}x^m \tag{10.21}$$

Recall (6.146):

$$\bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, \hat{T}) = \lambda_i = \epsilon_{imj} x^m y^j \tag{10.22}$$

we then obtain:

$$R_i = \mathring{R}_i - \lambda_i \hat{T}^j \partial_j \tag{10.23}$$

Define, for each non-negative integer k, the functions:

$${}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \mathring{R}_{i_k} \ldots \mathring{R}_{i_1} x^j \tag{10.24}$$

These are linear functions of the rectangular coordinates, therefore the

$${}^{(k)}c^j_{l;i_1 \ldots i_k} = \frac{\partial^{(k)} x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k}}{\partial x^l} \tag{10.25}$$

are constants. Define for each non-negative integer k the functions ${}^{(k)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_j}$ by the equation:

$$R_{i_k} \ldots R_{i_1} x^j = {}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} - {}^{(k)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} \tag{10.26}$$

In particular,

$${}^{(0)}\delta^j = 0 \tag{10.27}$$

and by (10.23),

$${}^{(1)}\delta^j_i = \lambda_i \hat{T}^j \tag{10.28}$$

Replacing k by $k-1$ in (10.26) and applying R_{i_k} we obtain:

$$R_{i_k} R_{i_{k-1}} \ldots R_{i_1} x^j = R_{i_k} {}^{(k-1)}x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} - R_{i_k} {}^{(k-1)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} \tag{10.29}$$

By (10.23)-(10.25),

$$R_{i_k} {}^{(k-1)}x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} = {}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} - {}^{(k-1)}c^j_{l;i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} \lambda_{i_k} \hat{T}^l \tag{10.30}$$

Substituting this in (10.29) and comparing with (10.26), we get:

$${}^{(k)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = R_{i_k} {}^{(k-1)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} + {}^{(k-1)}c^j_{l;i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} \lambda_{i_k} \hat{T}^l \tag{10.31}$$

Now we can apply Proposition 8.2 with A_n in the role of R_{i_k}, x_n in the role of ${}^{(k)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k}$ and y_n in the role of

$${}^{(k-1)}c^j_{l;i_1 \ldots i_{k-1}} \lambda_{i_k} \hat{T}^l$$

Since by (10.27) $x_0 = 0$ we obtain:

$${}^{(k)}\delta^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} {}^{(m-1)}c^j_{l;i_1 \ldots i_{m-1}} R_{i_k} \ldots R_{i_{k-m+2}} (\lambda_{i_m} \hat{T}^l) \tag{10.32}$$

Since the ${}^{(k)}x$ are linear functions of the rectangular coordinates, we have, from (10.25),

$${}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1 \ldots i_k} = {}^{(k)}c^j_{l;i_1 \ldots i_k} x^l \tag{10.33}$$

From (10.21) and (10.24) we obtain:

$$
{}^{(0)}x^j, \quad {}^{(1)}x^j_i = \epsilon_{ilj}x^l \tag{10.34}
$$

Moreover,

$$
{}^{(2)}x^j_{ik} = \delta_{jk}x^i - \delta_{ik}x^j \tag{10.35}
$$

For $k \geq 2$ we have from (10.24) and (10.35):

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1...i_k} &= \mathring{R}_{i_k}...\mathring{R}_{i_3}\,{}^{(2)}x^j_{i_1 i_2} \\
&= \mathring{R}_{i_k}...\mathring{R}_{i_3}(\delta_{ji_2}x^{i_1} - \delta_{i_1 i_2}x^j) \\
&= \delta_{ji_2}\,{}^{(k-2)}x^{i_1}_{i_3...i_k} - \delta_{i_1 i_2}\,{}^{(k-2)}x^j_{i_3...i_k}
\end{aligned} \tag{10.36}
$$

It follows from (10.36) that for $k \geq 2$:

$$
\max_{j;i_1...i_k} |{}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1...i_k}| = \max_{j;i_1...i_{k-2}} |{}^{(k-2)}x^j_{i_1...i_{k-2}}| \tag{10.37}
$$

while from (10.34):

$$
\max_{j;i} |{}^{(1)}x^j_i| = \max_j |{}^{(0)}x^j| = \max_j |x^j| \tag{10.38}
$$

Then we have:

$$
\max_{j;i_1...i_k} |{}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1...i_k}| = \max_j |x^j| \tag{10.39}
$$

which by (6.147) implies:

$$
\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}x^j_{i_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq 1 + t \tag{10.40}
$$

Lemma 10.2 Let

$$
\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

hold for $k = 0, ..., l$. Let also assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}$ hold. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (perhaps depending on l), we have:

$$
\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\lambda_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

and:

$$
\max_{j;ii_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k+1)}\delta^j_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Proof. Noting (6.160) and (10.27), the lemma holds when $l = 0$. Then by (10.12), (10.22), (10.26), (10.40) and (10.32), we can use an induction argument. □

Actually, we did not use $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}$.

Lemma 10.3 Let

$$
\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
$$

hold for $k = 0, ..., l_*$. Let also assumptions $\not{E}_{[l_*]}$ hold. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (perhaps depending on l) we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\lambda_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)\mathcal{Y}_{[l]}$$

and:

$$\max_{j;ii_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k+1)}\delta^j_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)\mathcal{Y}_{[l]}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$. Here:

$$\mathcal{Y}_k = \max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{Y}_k$$

We also define:

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \max\{\|\psi_0 - h_0\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \max_i \|\psi_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\}$$

and for $k \neq 0$:

$$\mathcal{W}_k = \max_{\alpha;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

and:

$$\mathcal{W}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{W}_k$$

Proof. By (10.22) (10.32) and (10.40), the lemma holds for $l = 0$. Then we can use the induction argument. □

Lemma 10.4 For every non-negative integer k we have:

$$R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}R_i y^j = {}^{(k)}\not{q}'_{ii_1...i_k} \cdot \not{d}x^j + {}^{(k)}\not{r}'_{ii_1...i_k}$$

Here,

$${}^{(k)}\not{q}'_{ii_1...i_k} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{q}'_i$$

and

$${}^{(k)}\not{r}^j_{ii_1...i_k} = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} R_{i_k}...R_{i_{k-m+1}}\{{}^{(k-m-1)}\not{q}'_{ii_1...i_{k-m-1}} \cdot \not{d}(R_{i_{k-m}}x^j)\}$$

Proof. Since

$${}^{(0)}\not{q}'_i = \not{q}'_i, \quad {}^{(0)}\not{r}^j_i = 0$$

the lemma reduces for $k = 0$ to equation (10.13). Then arguing by induction, and using Proposition 8.2, the lemma follows. □

Now it is evident from (10.15) and the formulas for the coefficients ${}^{(k)}\not{q}'_{ii_1...i_k}$ and ${}^{(k)}\not{r}^j_{ii_1...i_k}$ given in the statement of Lemma 10.4, that ${}^{(k)}\not{q}'_{ii_1...i_k}$ contains the angular derivatives of the R_i of order up to k and ${}^{(k)}\not{r}^j_{ii_1...i_k}$ contains the angular derivatives of the R_i of order up to $k-1$, where by "the angular derivatives of the R_i of order up to l" we mean the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}R_i$

for $m = 0, ..., l$. We shall thus derive the below expressions for these vectorfields. The basic formula is the formula for

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i} R_j = [R_i, R_j]$$

given by the following lemma. Let w_i be

$$w_i = (w_i)_b \cdot \not{g}^{-1} \tag{10.41}$$

where

$$(w_i)_b = \epsilon_{ijm} y^j \bar{g}_{mn} \not{d} x^n \tag{10.42}$$

Let us then define:

$$\tilde{\not{d}}'{}_i = \not{d}'_i - w_i \tag{10.43}$$

Lemma 10.5 We have:

$$[R_i, R_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} R_k + \lambda_i \tilde{\not{d}}'_j - \lambda_j \tilde{\not{d}}'_i$$

$Proof.$ We express:

$$R_i = (R_i)^m \partial_m$$

According to (10.23):

$$(R_i)^m = (\mathring{R}_i)^m - \lambda_i \hat{T}^m$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [R_i, R_j] &= \{R_i((R_j)^m) - R_j((R_i)^m)\}\partial_m \\ &= \{R_i((\mathring{R}_j)^m - \lambda_j \hat{T}^m) - R_j((\mathring{R}_i)^m - \lambda_i \hat{T}^m)\}\partial_m \end{aligned} \tag{10.44}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} R_i((\mathring{R}_j)^m) &= R_i(\epsilon_{jkm} x^k) = \epsilon_{jkm}(R_i)^k \\ &= -\epsilon_{jmk}((\mathring{R}_i)^k - \lambda_i \hat{T}^k) = -\epsilon_{jmk}\epsilon_{ilk} x^l + \epsilon_{jmk}\lambda_i \hat{T}^k \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\epsilon_{jmk}\epsilon_{ilk} + \epsilon_{mik}\epsilon_{jlk} + \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{mlk} = 0$$

we then obtain:

$$R_i((\mathring{R}_j)^m) - R_j((\mathring{R}_i)^m) = -\epsilon_{ijk}(\mathring{R}_k)^m + (\epsilon_{ikm}\lambda_j - \epsilon_{jkm}\lambda_i)\hat{T}^k \tag{10.45}$$

Substituting (10.45) in (10.44) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} [R_i, R_j] &= -\epsilon_{ijk}\mathring{R}_k - \lambda_i v_j + \lambda_j v_i \\ &-(R_i(\lambda_j) - R_j(\lambda_i))\hat{T} - (\lambda_j R_i(\hat{T}^m) - \lambda_i R_j(\hat{T}^m))\partial_m \end{aligned} \tag{10.46}$$

where

$$v_i = \epsilon_{ikm}\hat{T}^k \partial_m \tag{10.47}$$

By (10.12), (10.13),

$$R_i(\hat{T}^m)\partial_m = -(1-u+t)^{-1}R_i + \not{d}'_i \tag{10.48}$$

Substituting (10.48) in (10.46), the latter becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}[R_i, R_j] = &-\epsilon_{ijk}\mathring{R}_k \\ &-(R_i(\lambda_j) - R_j(\lambda_i))\hat{T} \\ &+\lambda_i(\not{d}'_j - v'_j) - \lambda_j(\not{d}'_i - v'_i)\end{aligned} \tag{10.49}$$

where:

$$v'_i = v_i + (1-u+t)^{-1}R_i \tag{10.50}$$

Since $[R_i, R_j]$ is $S_{t,u}$-tangential, we may apply the projection operator Π to the right of (10.49). This annihilates the second term, and since $\Pi\mathring{R}_i = R_i$, we obtain:

$$[R_i, R_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk}R_k + \lambda_i(\not{d}'_j - \Pi v'_j) - \lambda_j(\not{d}'_i - \Pi v'_i) \tag{10.51}$$

In view of (10.43), we must show that:

$$\Pi v'_i = w_i \tag{10.52}$$

We have, in view of (10.50),

$$\Pi v'_i = \Pi\tilde{v}_i \tag{10.53}$$

where

$$\tilde{v}_i = v_i + (1-u+t)^{-1}\mathring{R}_i \tag{10.54}$$

In view of (10.47), (10.12) and (10.21), we have:

$$\tilde{v}_i = \epsilon_{ikm}y^k\partial_m \tag{10.55}$$

We then have, in terms of the frame $(X_A : A = 1, 2)$,

$$\Pi\tilde{v}_i = \bar{g}(\tilde{v}_i, X_B)(\not{g}^{-1})^{BA}X_A \tag{10.56}$$

and:

$$\bar{g}(\tilde{v}_i, X_B) = \bar{g}_{mn}(\tilde{v}_i)^m X_B^n = \epsilon_{ikm}y^k\bar{g}_{mn}\not{d}_B x^n \tag{10.57}$$

Comparing (10.57) with (10.42) we see that:

$$\bar{g}(\tilde{v}_i, X_B) = (w_i)_b(X_B) \tag{10.58}$$

Substituting (10.58) in (10.56) we conclude that:

$$\Pi\tilde{v}_i = w_i \tag{10.59}$$

Therefore by (10.53), the lemma follows. $\square$

Since by (10.15),

$$\tilde{\not{d}}'_i = m'\cdot R_i - w_i \tag{10.60}$$

Thus, defining the $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields μ_i by:

$$\mu_i = \lambda_i m' \tag{10.61}$$

and the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields ν_{ij}:

$$\nu_{ij} = -\lambda_i w_j + \lambda_j w_i \tag{10.62}$$

the formula of Lemma 10.5 takes the form:

$$[R_i, R_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} R_k + \mu_i \cdot R_j - \mu_j \cdot R_i + \nu_{ij} \tag{10.63}$$

Lemma 10.6 For every non-negative integer k we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} R_j = {}^{(k)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1...i_k} R_m + {}^{(k)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_k} \cdot R_m + {}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k}$$

The coefficients ${}^{(k)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1...i_k}$ are constants, given by:

$${}^{(k)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1...i_k} = (-1)^k \epsilon_{i_k n_k m} \epsilon_{i_{k-1} n_{k-1} n_k} ... \epsilon_{i_1 n_1 n_2} \delta^{n_1}_j$$

The coefficients ${}^{(k)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_k} = ({}^{(k)}\beta^m_{i_1...i_k} \quad : \quad m = 1,2,3)$ are triplets of T^1_1-type $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields given by:

$${}^{(k)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_k} = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} A_{i_k} ... A_{i_{k-n+1}} {}^{(k-n)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_k}$$

Here A_i are the operators acting on triplets $z = (z^m \quad : \quad m = 1,2,3)$ of T^1_1-type $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields given by:

$$(A_i z)^m = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i} z^m - \sum_n \epsilon_{inm} z^n + z^m \cdot \mu_i - (\sum_n z^n \cdot \mu_n) \delta^m_i$$

and the ${}^{(k)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_k} = ({}^{(k)}\rho^m_{j;i_1...i_k} \quad : \quad m = 1,2,3)$ are the triplets of T^1_1-type $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields, given by:

$${}^{(k)}\rho^m_{j;i_1...i_k} = {}^{(k-1)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1...i_k} \mu_{i_k} - (\sum_n {}^{(k-1)}\alpha^n_{j;i_1...i_k} \mu_n) \delta^m_{i_k}$$

Finally, the coefficients ${}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k}$ are $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k} = & \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} {}^{(k-1-n)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1...i_{k-n-1}} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k-n+1}}} \nu_{i_{k-n} m} \\ & + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k-n+1}}} ({}^{(k-n-1)}\beta^m_{j;i_1...i_{k-n-1}} \cdot \nu_{i_{k-n} m}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With:

$${}^{(0)}\alpha^m_j = \delta^m_j, \quad {}^{(0)}\beta^m_j = 0, \quad {}^{(0)}\gamma_j = 0 \tag{10.64}$$

the lemma is trivial for $k = 0$. Moreover, one can easily check that the lemma reduces for $k = 1$ to (10.63). Then arguing by induction, using Proposition 8.2 and noting (10.64), the lemma follows. ☐

To analyze the operators A_i, we define the multiplication operators M_i. These are 3-dimensional matrices, the entries $(M_i)^m_n : m, n = 1,2,3$ of which are T^1_1-type of $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields given by:

$$(M_i)^m_n = -\epsilon_{inm} I + \delta^m_n \mu_i - \delta^m_i \mu_n \tag{10.65}$$

Here I is the T^1_1-type of $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield which is the identity as a transformation in the tangent space of $S_{t,u}$ at each point. These matrices act on triplets $z = (z^m : m = 1, 2, 3)$ of T^1_1-type of $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields on the right:

$$(z \cdot M_i)^m = \sum_n z^n \cdot (M_i)^m_n \tag{10.66}$$

so that with matrix multiplication defined according to:

$$(M_i \cdot M_j)^m_n = \sum_k (M_i)^k_n \cdot (M_j)^m_k \tag{10.67}$$

we have:

$$(z \cdot M_i) \cdot M_j = z \cdot (M_i \cdot M_j) \tag{10.68}$$

The operators A_i are defined in terms of the matrices M_i by:

$$A_i z = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i} z + z \cdot M_i \tag{10.69}$$

We may also define the action of the operators A_i on matrices K by:

$$A_i K = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i} K + K \cdot M_i \tag{10.70}$$

Note that if we also denote by I the identity matrix, then according to (10.70) we have:

$$A_i I = M_i \tag{10.71}$$

From (10.69) and (10.70), we readily deduce the following formula by induction (some product terms cancel.) on k:

$$A_{i_k} ... A_{i_1} z = \sum_{partition} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1} z \cdot (M)^{s_2} \tag{10.72}$$

Here the sum is over all ordered partitions $\{s_1, s_2\}$ of the set $\{1, ..., k\}$ into two ordered subsets s_1, s_2. Also, we denote:

$$(M)^{s_2} = A_{i_{n_p}} ... A_{i_{n_1}} I \quad \text{:if} \quad s_2 = \{n_1, ..., n_p\}, p = |s_2| \tag{10.73}$$

Introducing the matrices I_i and N_i by:

$$(I_i)^m_n = -\epsilon_{inm} I \tag{10.74}$$

$$(N_i)^m_n = \delta^m_n \mu_i - \delta^m_i \mu_n \tag{10.75}$$

we may write:

$$M_i = I_i + N_i \tag{10.76}$$

Let us introduce for any positive integer k and multi-index $(i_1, ..., i_k)$ the matrices ${}^{(k)}I_{i_1 ... i_k}$ and ${}^{(k)}B_{i_1 ... i_k}$ by:

$${}^{(k)}I_{i_1 ... i_k} = I_{i_1} ... I_{i_k} \tag{10.77}$$

and:

$${}^{(k)}B_{i_1 ... i_k} = A_{i_k} ... A_{i_1} I - {}^{(k)}I_{i_1 ... i_k} \tag{10.78}$$

We also set ${}^{(0)}I = I$, ${}^{(0)}B = 0$. It is then readily seen that:

$$({}^{(k)}I_{i_1 \dots i_k})^m_n = {}^{(k)}\alpha^m_{n;i_1 \dots i_k} I \tag{10.79}$$

Obviously, ${}^{(k)}B_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ contain terms of degree 1 up to k in N_i, and the terms of degree q with $k - q$ angular derivatives on it. Thus ${}^{(k)}B_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ contain the angular derivatives of the N_i of order up to $k-1$. Also, (10.73) can be written as:

$$(M)^{s_2} = {}^{(p)}I_{i_{n_1} \dots i_{n_p}} + {}^{(p)}B_{i_{n_1} \dots i_{n_p}} \tag{10.80}$$

Finally, by the way in which we derive (10.39), we have:

$$\max_{m,j;i_1 \dots i_k} |{}^{(k)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1 \dots i_k}| = \max_{m,j} |{}^{(0)}\alpha^m_j| = 1 \tag{10.81}$$

which implies:

$$\max_{n,m;i_1 \dots i_k} |({}^{(k)}I_{i_1 \dots i_k})^m_n| = 1 \tag{10.82}$$

10.2 Estimates for y^i

Given a non-negative integer l let us denote by $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_l$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t \in [0, s]$:

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_l \quad : \quad \max_{i_1 \dots i_l} \max_{\alpha} \|R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} Q \psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}$$

We then denote by $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l]}$ the bootstrap assumption:

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l]} \quad : \quad \not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_0 \quad \text{and...and} \quad \not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_l$$

Given a positive integer l, denote by $\not{\mathbf{X}}_l$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t \in [0, s]$:

$$\not{\mathbf{X}}_l \quad : \quad \max_{i_1 \dots i_l} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \chi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

Let us also denote by $\not{\mathbf{X}}_0$ the bootstrap assumption:

$$\not{\mathbf{X}}_0 \quad : \quad |\chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}| \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

This coincides with **F2** in chapter 6. We then denote:

$$\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l]} \quad : \quad \not{\mathbf{X}}_0 \quad \text{and....and} \quad \not{\mathbf{X}}_l$$

10.2.1 L^∞ Estimates for $R_{i_k} \dots . R_{i_1} y^j$

Proposition 10.1 Let hypothesis **H0** and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l-1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l-1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (may depend on l) we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1 \dots i_k} \|R_{i_k} \dots R_{i_1} y^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

for all $k = 0, \dots, l$.

Proof. We shall argue by induction. The proposition reduces for $l = 0$ to (6.192), which is assumed here. Let then the proposition hold with l replaced by $l - 1$. We then have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1} y^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{l-1}\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{10.83}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Here, we shall use the expressions in Lemma 10.4. First, note that by Lemma 10.2,

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\delta^j_{i_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{l-1}\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{10.84}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Then by (10.26) and (10.40) we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1} x^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t) \tag{10.85}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

So what we need to do is just estimting $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \not{\chi}'_i$ with $0 \leq k \leq l-1$. First, by $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}$ and $\mathbf{H0}$, we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}(\alpha^{-1})\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{10.86}$$

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \not{k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{10.87}$$

with $1 \leq k \leq l-1$.

Then by (6.62) and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l-1]}$, we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} {}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{10.88}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq l-1$. Here we also used the induction assumption so that we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\lambda_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{l-1}\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{10.89}$$

So by $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l-1]}$, and (10.16), (10.18), we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} m'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{10.90}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq l-1$.

Second, by Lemma 10.6, we can easily get:

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} R_j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t) \tag{10.91}$$

with $0 \leq k \leq l$.

In fact, from (10.89) and (10.90) we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \mu_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.92}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Then by (10.75):

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} N_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.93}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

By the discussion preceeding (10.80),

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}B_{i_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.94}$$

By (10.80), (10.82) and (10.94) we have:

$$\|(M)^{s_2}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C \quad : \text{for} |s_2| \leq l \tag{10.95}$$

(provided that δ_0 is suitably small depending on l). It then follows from (10.72):

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|A_{i_k}...A_{i_1}z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_k \sum_{m=0}^{k} \max_{i_1...i_m} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{10.96}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Then by Lemma 10.6 and (10.92):

$$\max_{i_1...i_{m+n}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+n}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+1}}}{}^{(m)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_m}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.97}$$

for all m and all $n \leq l-1$.

Then by (10.96) we get:

$$\|A_{i_{m+n}}...A_{i_{m+1}}{}^{(m)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_m}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.98}$$

for all m and all $n \leq l-1$.

So finally we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_{m+n}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+n}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+1}}}{}^{(m)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_m}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.99}$$

for all $m+n \leq l$.

We still have to consider ${}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k}$. By the expression in Lemma 10.6 and the induction assumptions, we easily get:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.100}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

So we get (10.91). Then from (10.91), (10.90) and (10.15) we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{d}'_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{10.101}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Then the proposition follows. $\square$

There are some immediate corollaries of this proposition. First by Lemma 10.2, we have:

Corollary 10.1.a Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\lambda_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$

and

$$\max_{j;ii_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k+1)}\delta_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

The second of above implies

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}x^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l+1$, if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

Next, from the proof of Proposition 10.1 we have:

Corollary 10.1.b Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\hat{T}^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l$$

and

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l$$

Moreover,

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l$$

Corollary 10.1.c Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l-1$$

Corollary 10.1.d Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l-1$$

Corollary 10.1.e Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have, if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l),

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}R_j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l$$

More precisely, we have:

$$\max_{m,j;i_1...i_k} |{}^{(k)}\alpha^m_{j;i_1...i_k}| = 1 \quad : \text{for all} \quad k$$

$$\max_{m,j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\beta^m_{j;i_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l$$
$$({}^{(0)}\beta^m_j = 0)$$

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l$$
$$({}^{(0)}\gamma_j = 0)$$

Finally, we have:

Corollary 10.1.f Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1, we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\not{q}'_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l-1$$

and

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\not{r}^j_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\text{for all} \quad k = 0, ..., l-1$$

10.2.2 L^2 Estimates for $R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j$

Define:

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \|\chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

and for $k \neq 0$:

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

and:

$$\mathcal{A}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{A}_k$$

Also, we define:

$$\mathcal{W}_k^Q = \max_{\alpha;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}Q\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{[l]}^Q = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{W}_k^Q$$

Proposition 10.2 Let the hypothesis **H0** and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let l be a positive integer and let the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*-1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*-1]}$ hold. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\mathcal{Y}_k \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Proof. The proof is still by induction. The proposition extends trivially to the case $l = 0$. Let then the proposition hold with l replaced by $1, ..., l-1$ and consider the case l. The assumptions of Proposition 10.2 coincide with those of Proposition 10.1 with l replaced by l_*. Therefore the conclusion of Proposition 10.1 holds with l replaced by l_*. Therefore, we have the L^∞-bounds for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{q}'_i$ where $k = 0, ..., l_*-1$ and for $\not{d}((R)^{s_2}x^j)$ where $|s_2| \leq l_*$. Also, all the corollaries of Proposition 10.1 hold with l replaced by l_*. As before, we shall use the expression in Lemma 10.4 and we must get a L^2 estimate for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{q}'_i$ where $k = 0, ..., l-1$ and for $\not{d}((R)^{s_2}x^j)$ where $|s_2| \leq l-1$. By (10.18), we have:

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^s m'_b\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}) + C_l(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} \tag{10.102}$$

for all $|s| \leq l-1$. Here we have used Lemma 10.3, which yields:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_1}...R_{i_k}\lambda_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{l-1}(1+t)\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} \tag{10.103}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

This is because the hypothesis of Lemma 10.3 with l replaced by $l-1$ are a fortiori satisfied.

Also we have:

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s(R)}\not{\pi}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\mathcal{Y}_{[l-2]} + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l-1]} + C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} \tag{10.104}$$

for all $s = 0, ..., l-2$. Here we also used the induction hypothesis for λ_i.

Finally, we have to deal with the case that more than half of the derivatives hit R_i in the expression (10.15). Here we must use Lemma 10.6. The first term on the right of this expression is obviously bounded in L^∞, as well as the second factor in the second term. So first we consider the term ${}^{(k)}\beta^m_{j;i_1...i_k}$ for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$. Let us now consider expression (10.72) for an arbitrary triplet z of T^1_1-type $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields and $k = 0, ..., l-1$. We have two cases to consider in regard to the terms in the sum in (10.72).

Case 1:$|s_2| \leq l_*$ and: Case 2: $|s_1| \leq l_* - 1$

In Case 1 by (10.95) with l_* in the role of l, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}z \cdot (M)^{s_2}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq \|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|(M)^{s_2}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\sum_{m=0}^{l-1}\max_{i_1...i_m}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\end{aligned} \tag{10.105}$$

In Case 2 we express $(M)^{s_2}$ as in (10.80). We estimate the contribution of the first term in (10.80) by placing this term in L^∞ using (10.82):

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}z \cdot {}^{(p)}I_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq \|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|{}^{(p)}I_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\sum_{m=0}^{l-1}\max_{i_1...i_m}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\end{aligned} \tag{10.106}$$

Concerning the contribution of the second term in (10.80), we need a L^2 estimate for this term. By the discussion above (10.80), we need an estimate for

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}N_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-2$.

Then by (10.75), we need an estimate for

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\mu_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-2$.

To get this estimate, we use the definition (10.61). By (10.103) (with l replaced by $l-1$), we have a L^2 estimate for λ_i. For a L^2 estimate of m', we use (10.104) and (10.102) as well as (10.88) and (10.90), then we get:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}m'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}) + C_l(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_{[l-2]} \tag{10.107}$$

for $k = 0, ..., l-2$.

Combining this and (10.103) with (10.90), (10.89) (of course with l replaced by l_*), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i;i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\mu_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}) + C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{Y}_{[l-2]}\end{aligned} \tag{10.108}$$

for $k = 0, ..., l-2$.

Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} N_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}) + C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{Y}_{[l-2]}\end{aligned} \tag{10.109}$$

So the contribution of the second term in (10.80) in Case 2 is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1} z \cdot {}^{(p)}B_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1} z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \|{}^{(p)}B_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \max_{m\leq l_*-1}\max_{i_1...i_m} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \cdot \{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-2]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.110}$$

So we conclude that for any triplet z of T_1^1-type of $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i_1...i_k} \|A_{i_k} ... A_{i_1} z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \max_{i_1...i_m} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \max_{m\leq l_*-1}\max_{i_1...i_m} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_m}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \cdot \{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-2]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.111}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

From the expression for the triplets ${}^{(k)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_k}$ of Lemma 10.6 and the bounds (10.81) and (10.108) with $l-1$ replaced by l, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i_1...i_{m+n}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+n}}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+1}}} {}^{(m)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.112}$$

for all m and all $n \leq l-1$.

We then apply (10.111) to the triplet ${}^{(m)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_m}$ using L^2 estimate (10.112) as well as L^∞ bound (10.97) with l_* in the role of l. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|A_{i_{m+n}} ... A_{i_{m+1}} {}^{(m)}\rho_{j;i_1...i_m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.113}$$

for all m and all $n \leq l-1$.

It then follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.114}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

More generally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{j;i_1...i_{m+n}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+n}}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m+1}}} {}^{(m)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.115}$$

for all m and all n such that $m+n \leq l$.

We turn to consider the expression for the coefficients ${}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1...i_k}$ given in the statement of Lemma 10.6. Since we already have (10.115), what we need is an estimate for $\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \nu_{ij}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$ for all

$k = 0, ..., l-2$. By (10.62), we shall get an estimate for $\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} w_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$, therefore an estimate for $\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(w_i)_b\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$. for all $k = 0, ..., l-2$. Concerning (10.42), the L^2 estimate for derivatives of y^i is just the induction hypothesis. While since we have:

$$R_q(R)^{s_2} x^j = {}^{(p+1)}x^j_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}q} - {}^{(p+1)}\delta^j_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}q} \tag{10.116}$$

where $s_2 = \{n_1, ..., n_p\}, \quad p = |s_2|$. So by **H0**,

$$|\not{d}(R)^{s_2}x^j| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sum_{q=1}^{3}\{|{}^{(p+1)}x^j_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}q}| + |{}^{(p+1)}\delta^j_{i_{n_1}...i_{n_p}q}|\} \tag{10.117}$$

By (10.40), we can estimate the first term on the right of (10.117) by L^∞ norm. By virtue of Proposition 10.1 with l replaced by l_*, the hypothesis of Lemma 10.3 are satisfied, and of course, the hypothesis of Lemma 10.3 with l replaced by $l-1$ are a fortiori satisfied. Therefore we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|{}^{(k+1)}\delta^j_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{l-1}(1+t)\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} \tag{10.118}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$. So we can estimate the second term in L^2 norm. Combining (10.118), (10.85) with induction hypothesis and the conclusion of Proposition 10.1, we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(w_i)_b\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} \tag{10.119}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$. (Actually here $l-2$ is enough.) Then combining this with (10.104), (10.88) and L^∞ bounds for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(w_i)_b$, which is the same as the conclusion of Proposition 10.1, we obtain:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} w_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2[(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{10.120}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$. Then we can easily obtain by (10.62):

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i,j;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \nu_{ij}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq\ & C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2[(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{10.121}$$

Combining this with (10.114), we obtain that the last two terms on the right of the expression in Lemma 10.6 is bounded in L^2 by:

$$C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\} \tag{10.122}$$

Remember we estimate the first term in L^∞ by $(1+t)$. Combining (10.122) with (10.107), Corollary 10.1.e and (10.90), we have:

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \not{d}'_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + C_l\delta_0[(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \tag{10.123}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Next, we should consider the L^2 estimate for $\not{d}((R)^{s_2}x^j)$ for $|s_2| \leq l-1$. Recall the discussion from (10.116) to (10.118), the L^2 contribution is bounded by (10.118). Combining this with Corollary 10.1.f and (10.85) as well as **H0**, we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(k)}\not{y}^j_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + C_l\delta_0[(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}] \tag{10.124}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

So finally we obtain:

$$\|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\mathcal{Y}_{[l-1]} + C_l\delta_0[(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \tag{10.125}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Recall the induction hypothesis:

$$\|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}y^j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\} \tag{10.126}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Substituting this in (10.125), the proposition is proved. $\square$

As before, we have a lot of Corollaries. First, in conjunction with Lemma 10.3 we have:

Corollary 10.2.a Under the assumption of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k}\|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\lambda_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\} \tag{10.127}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

and:

$$\max_{j;ii_1...i_k}\|{}^{(k+1)}\delta^j_{ii_1...i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\} \tag{10.128}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Next, it is not difficult to obtain from the proof of Proposition 10.2:

Corollary 10.2.b Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k}\|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

$$\max_{i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{\psi}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

and:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{\varphi}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Corollary 10.2.c Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Corollary 10.2.d Under the assumption of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$
$$\leq C_l\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Corollary 10.2.e Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2, the coefficients of the expression for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}R_j$ of Lemma 10.6 satisfy:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k}\|{}^{(k)}\beta_{j;i_1...i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}$$

for all $k = 1, ..., l$.

$$\max_{j;i_1\dots i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\gamma_{j;i_1\dots i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}$$

for all $k = 1, ..., l$.

Finally we have:

Corollary 10.2.f Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2, the coefficients of the expression of $R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}R_jy^j$ of Lemma 10.4 satisfy:

$$\max_{ii_1\dots i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\not{d}'_{ii_1\dots i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

and:

$$\max_{ii_1\dots i_k} \|{}^{(k)}\not{\gamma}^{j}_{ii_1\dots i_k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

We shall now estimate the angular derivatives of the functions L^i. After this we shall proceed with the estimates for the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $\kappa^{-1}\zeta$ and the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields ${}^{(R_i)}Z$. According to the equations (6.67) and (6.68):

$$L^j = \frac{x^j}{1-u+t} + z^j \tag{10.129}$$

where:

$$z^j = -\alpha y^j + \frac{(\alpha-1)x^j}{1-u+t} - \psi^j \tag{10.130}$$

Defining:

$$\not{\psi}_L = L^\mu \not{d}\psi_\mu \tag{10.131}$$

and

$$\omega_{L\hat{T}} = \hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) \tag{10.132}$$

As a corollary of Proposition 10.1 we then have, in connection with the first two statements of Corollary 10.1.b, the following.

Corollary 10.1.g Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1\dots i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}z^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

$$\max_{j;i_1\dots i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}L^j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$. Moreover,

$$\max_{i_1\dots i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\psi_L\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

for all $k = 1, ..., l$. and:

$$\max_{i_1\dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{\psi}_L\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Also, as a corollary of Proposition 10.2 we have, in connection with the first statement of Corollary 10.2.b:

Corollary 10.2.g Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\max_{j; i_1 \dots i_k} \|R_{i_k} ... R_{i_1} z^j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Moreover,

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|R_{i_k} ... R_{i_1} \psi_L\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\}$$

for all $k = 1, .., l$.

and:

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \not{\psi}_L\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l (1+t)^{-1} \{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_l} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l (1+t)^{-1} \{\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l-1]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{l-2]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

We turn to the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $\kappa^{-1}\zeta$. This is given by (3.54):

$$\kappa^{-1}\zeta = \alpha\varepsilon - \not{d}\alpha \tag{10.133}$$

We first consider, under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1, L^∞ estimates on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ of the angular derivatives of $\kappa^{-1}\zeta$ up to order $l-1$. Using Corollary 10.1.b, we readily deduce:

Corollary 10.1.h Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} (\kappa^{-1}\zeta)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

We consider next, under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2, L^2 estimates on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ of the angular derivatives of $\kappa^{-1}\zeta$ up to order $l-1$. By Corollary 10.2.g and (10.133), it is easy to see that

Corollary 10.2.h Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} (\kappa^{-1}\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l (1+t)^{-1} \{\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}]\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

We turn to the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields ${}^{(R_i)}Z$. These are related to the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L$ by:

$${}^{(R_i)}Z = {}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L \cdot \not{g}^{-1} \tag{10.134}$$

According to (6.70):

$${}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L = -(\chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}) \cdot R_i + \epsilon_{ijm} z^j \not{d}x^m + \lambda_i (\kappa^{-1}\zeta) \tag{10.135}$$

Using the corollaries of Proposition 10.1 we readily deduce:

Corollary 10.1.i Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi_L\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k=0,\dots,l-1$.
and:

$$\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k=0,\dots,l-1$.
Also, using the corollaries of Proposition 10.1 with l_* in the role of l, as well as the corollaries of Proposition 10.2 we can easily deduce:
Corollary 10.2.i Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi_L\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $k=0,\dots,l-1$.
and:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l-1]}\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $k=0,\dots,l-1$.

10.3 Bounds for the quantities Q_l and P_l

The object of this section is to obtain appropriate bounds for the quantities ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}Q_l$ and ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l$, which, through ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B_l$, enter the final estimates of Chapter 8 for the 1-forms ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}x_l$.

10.3.1 Estimates for Q_l

We begin with the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form i which is given by (8.100):

$$\begin{aligned}i=\beta_A^*-\alpha^{-1}[(\chi-\frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t})\cdot\not{g}^{-1}-(\mathrm{tr}\chi-\frac{2}{1-u+t})I]\cdot(\kappa^{-1}\zeta)\\ :=i_1+i_2\end{aligned}\tag{10.136}$$

By (4.39)-(4.40), the first term on the right of above is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}i_1=\beta_A^*=\eta^{-2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}[\frac{1}{2}X_A(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\not{k}(X_B,X_C)-\frac{1}{2}X_B(-\eta^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2)\not{k}(X_A,X_C)]\\ -\eta^{-2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}[\not{k}(X_A,X_C)L^jX_B(\psi_j)-L^jX_A(\psi_j)\not{k}(X_C,X_B)]\end{aligned}\tag{10.137}$$

It is obvious that, under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1, with l replaced by $l+1$, we have:

$$\max_{i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}i_1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}\tag{10.138}$$

for all $k=0,\dots,l$.
Also, it is easy to see that

$$\max_{i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}i_2\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]\tag{10.139}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Lemma 10.7 Let **H0** and (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^{Q}_{[l]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0^2 (1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

By direct calculation and the corollaries of Proposition 10.2, with l replaced by $l+1$, it is not difficult to see that

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} i_1\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-3}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{10.140}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Similarly, we have:

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} i_2\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0\} \tag{10.141}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

Lemma 10.8 Let **H0** and (6.192) hold. Let l be a positive integer and let the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{l_*+1}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^{Q}_{[l_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$ hold. Then we have:

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0\} \tag{10.142}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l$.

We proceed to estimate, in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$, the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l$ given by Proposition 8.4,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l &= (\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})...(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_1})}\not{\pi})i \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})...(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}\not{\pi})^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}q_{l-k} \end{aligned} \tag{10.143}$$

under the assumptions of Lemma 10.8.

We begin with the first term on the right of (10.143). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})...(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})i \\ &= \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} i + \sum_{j=1}^{l}\sum_{k_1<...<k_j=1}^{l} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\overset{>\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{kj}}}<}{(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{kj}})}\not{\pi})}...\overset{>\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k1}}}<}{(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{k1}})}\not{\pi})}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} i \end{aligned} \tag{10.144}$$

We have two cases to consider:

Case 1: At most l_* angular derivatives fall on one of the factors

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{k1}})}\not{\pi}, ..., \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_{kj}})}\not{\pi} \quad \text{and :}$$

Case 2: one of these factors receives more than l_* angular derivatives. Then all the other factors receive at most l_* angular derivatives.

In Case 1 we just apply Corollary 10.1.d with l_*+1 in the role of l, then we know that the second term on the right of (10.144) is bounded by:

$$\begin{gathered} C_l(\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)])^j\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{A}_{[l-j]} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{[l-j+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0]\} \end{gathered}$$

In Case 2 the number of angular derivatives falling on i is at most l_*. So by Lemma 10.7 and Corollary 10.2.d, we have an $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ bound for Case 2:

$$C_l(\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)])^{j-1}\cdot\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ \{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-j]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l-j+1]}\}$$

Here, we have used the following facts that under the assumptions of Proposition 10.2, we have, by Corollary 10.2.d,

$$\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l]}\} \tag{10.145}$$

Combining the results, we get the bounds for the second term on the right of (10.144):

$$C_l(\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)])^{j-1}\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{A}_{[l-j]} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{[l-j+1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0]\} \tag{10.146}$$

Next we consider the second term in (10.143). First, we define the operator $\check{D}$:

$$(\check{\not{D}}\vartheta)_{ABC}=\frac{1}{2}(\not{D}_A\vartheta_{BC}+\not{D}_B\vartheta_{AC}-\not{D}_C\vartheta_{AB}) \tag{10.147}$$

where ϑ is a symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield.

So the second term in the expression for ${}^{(i_1\dots i_j)}q_j$ is simply

$$(\check{\not{D}}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1})\cdot(\not{g}^{-1}\cdot{}^{(R_j)}\hat{\not\pi}\cdot\not{g}^{-1})$$

Also, the third term in the same expression is:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1}\cdot(\not{d}\mathrm{iv}{}^{(R_j)}\hat{\not\pi}\cdot\not{g}^{-1})$$

While by a direct calculation, we have:

$$\not{d}\mathrm{iv}{}^{(X)}\hat{\not\pi}\cdot\not{g}^{-1}=\mathrm{tr}{}^{(X)}\not\pi_1 \tag{10.148}$$

We conclude that

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_j)}q_j=\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_j)}\not\pi\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}\dots R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi)+(\check{\not{D}}{}^{(i_1\dots i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1})\cdot(\not{g}^{-1}\cdot{}^{(R_j)}\hat{\not\pi}\cdot\not{g}^{-1}) \\ +{}^{(i_1\dots i_{j-1})}\hat{\chi}_{j-1}\cdot\mathrm{tr}{}^{(R_{i_j})}\not\pi_1 \tag{10.149}$$

To estimate the contribution of the above terms, we need some lemmas, which can be proved straightforward.

Lemma 10.9 Let h be an arbitrary smooth function on $S_{t,u}$ and ϑ be an arbitrary symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield. The following commutation formulas hold for any non-negative integer k:

$${}^{(i_1\dots i_k)}c_k:=\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\not{D}^2h-\not{D}^2(R_{i_k}\dots R_{i_1}h) \\ =-\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k-m+1}}}({}^{(R_{i_{k-m}})}\not\pi_1\cdot\not{d}(R_{i_{k-m-1}}\dots R_{i_1}h))$$

and

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\check{\not{D}}\vartheta-\check{\not{D}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\vartheta \\ =-\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k-m+1}}}({}^{(R_{i_{k-m}})}\not\pi_1\cdot\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k-m-1}}}\dots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\vartheta)$$

The following assumption, which is another version of **H2**, shall be useful in the proof of the following lemmas.

H2$'$ There is a constant C (independent of s) such that for any 2-covariant symmetric $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ we have, pointwise:

$$|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\{\sum_j |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\vartheta|^2 + |\vartheta|^2\}$$

Lemma 10.10 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2$'$**, and (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Lemma 10.11 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2$'$**, and (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\max_{j;i_1...i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\}$$

for all $k = 0, ..., l-1$.

Using these lemmas, it is not difficult to obtain the L^2 estimate for the second term in (10.143):

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{i_1...i_l}\|\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_l})}\not{\pi})...(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}\not{\pi})^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}q_{l-k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.150}$$

Actually, since ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}q_l$ does not depend on i, we can predict that the above estimates will have the same decay rate as in relativistic case. Combining this with (10.145) and (10.142) we obtain:

Proposition 10.3 Under the assumptions of Lemma 10.14 we have:

$$\max_{i_1...i_l}\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}i_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

We turn to the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\dot{g}_l$:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\dot{g}_l = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}g_0 - {}^{(i_1...i_l)}w_l + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}y_{l-k} \tag{10.151}$$

where ${}^{(i_1...i_j)}y_j$ is given in Proposition 8.3, and ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}w_l$ is

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}w_l = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k-1})}x_{l-k-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots} i_l)}x_{l-1} \tag{10.152}$$

We now introduce bootstrap assumptions in regard to the angular derivatives of the function μ. Given a positive integer l let us denote by $\not{\mathbf{M}}_l$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t \in [0, s]$:

$$\not{\mathbf{M}}_l \quad : \quad \max_{i_1...i_l}\|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mu\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Let us also denote by $\not{\mathbf{M}}_0$ the bootstrap assumption:

$$\not{\mathbf{M}}_0 \quad : \quad |\mu - 1| \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

We then denote by $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l]}$ the bootstrap assumption

$$\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l]} \quad : \quad \not{\mathbf{M}}_0 ... \not{\mathbf{M}}_l$$

We also introduce the quantities:

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \|\mu - 1\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

and for $k \neq 0$:

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \max_{i_1...i_k} \|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \quad \text{and :} \quad \mathcal{B}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{B}_k$$

Then by the expression (4.25) and (8.29) obtain:

Lemma 10.12 Let hypothesis **H0** and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$, as well as $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i_1...i_l} \|\not{d} R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\check{g}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq\ & C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\{\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}] + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l). The factor $[1+\log(1+t)]$ comes from the product of μ and a regular term.

The bootstarp assumption $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l]}$ is defined as follows:

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_l \quad : \quad \not{\mathbf{E}}^T_0 ... \not{\mathbf{E}}^T_l$$

and

$$\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_l \quad : \quad \max_{i_1...i_l} \max_{\alpha} \|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

We now return to the equation (8.37) for the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form g_0, to estimate the remaining terms. By the equation

$$L\mu = m + \mu e$$

and (8.27), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i_1...i_l} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}[\mathrm{tr}\chi\not{d}(\check{f} + 2L\mu)]\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq\ & C_l(1+t)^{-3}\{[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})] \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{10.153}$$

under the assumptions of Lemma 10.12 except for the assumption $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*+1]}$, due to the cancellation between m and $\check{f}$. Also due to this cancellation, the coefficient of $\mathcal{A}_{[l]}$ has the decay factor $(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]$.

Next, we consider the last term in (8.37), which is, by (8.38),

$$(\not{d}\mu)(\mu^{-1}(L\mu)\mathrm{tr}\chi - \mathrm{tr}\alpha)$$

then under the assumptions of Lemma 10.12 except for $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*+1]}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i_1...i_l} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}[(\not{d}\mu)(\mu^{-1}(L\mu)\mathrm{tr}\chi - \mathrm{tr}\alpha)]\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq\ & C_l(1+t)^{-3}\{[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})] \\ & +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{10.154}$$

The calculation of this can be found in Chapter 12.

Combining the above results, we have:

Lemma 10.13 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2**$'$ and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$, $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i_1...i_l} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} g_0\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-3}\cdot \\ &\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}) + \delta_0\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}) + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned}$$

We turn to the third term in the formula (10.150) for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\dot{g}_l$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}y_{l-k} \tag{10.155}$$

where the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_j)}y_j$, $j = 1, ..., l$ is given in the statement of Proposition 8.3:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_j)}y_j &= (R_{i_j}\mu)^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1} \\ &+(\mu R_{i_j}(\mathrm{tr}\chi - e) - R_{i_j}m + {}^{(R_{i_j})}Z\mu - eR_{i_j}\mu)\cdot\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi)\not{d}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}\check{f}_{j-1} \end{aligned} \tag{10.156}$$

for $j = 1, ..., l$.

The $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$ is given by (8.78):

$${}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}a_{j-1} = \not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}f_0) + {}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b_{j-1} \tag{10.157}$$

where

$$f_0 = L\mathrm{tr}\chi + |\chi|^2$$

which we have dealt with in deriving (10.153), and:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{j-1})}b_{j-1} &= \sum_{m=0}^{j-2}\not{d}(R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_{j-m}}{}^{(R_{i_{j-m-1}})}ZR_{i_{j-m-2}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) \\ &+\sum_{m=1}^{j-1}\sum_{k_1<...<k_m=1}^{j-1}(R_{i_{k_m}}...R_{i_{k_1}}\mathrm{tr}\chi)(\not{d}R_{i_{j-1}}{}^{>i_{k_m}\underset{...}{...}i_{k_1}<}R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi) \end{aligned} \tag{10.158}$$

for $j \geq 2$; $b_0 = 0$.

To estimate the sum (10.154) in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$, we must estimate:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j+1}}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_j)}y_j\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \quad : \quad j+k=l \tag{10.159}$$

To estimate this requires estimating:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \quad : \quad j+k \leq l \tag{10.160}$$

as well as:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \quad : \quad j+k \leq l_* \tag{10.161}$$

Since we have dealt with the first term on the right in (10.156) in deriving (10.153), we just need to estimate:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}b_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \quad : \quad j+k \leq l \tag{10.162}$$

as well as:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}b_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \quad : \quad j+k \leq l_* \tag{10.163}$$

Since all these quantities have the same structure as in the relativistic case, they should enjoy the same bounds.

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}b_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0^2 (1+t)^{-5}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{10.164}$$

for $j+k \leq l_*$.

and

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}b_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)] \cdot \\ \{(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.165}$$

for $j+k \leq l$ under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 with l_*+1 in the role of l.

The above estimates together with those for f_0 yield the following lemma.

Lemma 10.14 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2**$'$, and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}$ satisfies the following estimates:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-4}$$

for $j+k \leq l_*$.

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{j-1+k}} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j-1+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_j}} {}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l (1+t)^{-3}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} \\ + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

for $j+k \leq l$.

Using Lemma 10.14 and the bootstrap assumption $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$ we readily obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j+k}}} \ldots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j+1}}} ((R_{i_j}\mu)^{(i_1 \ldots i_{j-1})}a_{j-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \cdot \\ \{\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} \\ + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} + C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-4}\mathcal{B}_{[l]}\end{aligned} \tag{10.166}$$

for $j+k=l$.

We consider next the second term on the right in (10.155). This term has the same structure as in the relativistic case, so it will enjoy the same bounds. Of course, we also need the assumption $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$.

We turn to the last term on the right in (10.155). Recall that:

$$\check{f} = \mu f = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\tau_{\underline{L}} \tag{10.167}$$

Then by (8.24) and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*]}$ we obtain:

$$\max_{i_1...i_k}\|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\check{f}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \quad : \quad k \leq l_* \tag{10.168}$$

and also:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i_1...i_k}\|R_{i_k}...R_{i_1}\check{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}^T_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l]}) + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.169}$$

for $k \leq l$.

So with the assumption $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j+k}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{j+1}}}((R_{i_j}\mathrm{tr}\chi)(\not{d}R_{i_{j-1}}...R_{i_1}\check{f}))\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]} \\ &+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^T_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned} \tag{10.170}$$

for $j+k=l$.

Combining the results we have the following lemma:

Lemma 10.15 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2$'$**, and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*]}$, $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i_1...i_l}\|\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}y_{l-k}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\{[1+\log(1+t)] \times (\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} \\ &+\mathcal{W}^T_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]}) + \mathcal{W}_{[l]} \\ &+\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\dot{g}_l$, given by (10.150), it remains for us to consider the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}w_l$, defined by (10.151). In our present case, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}w_l$ has the same structure as in the relativistic case, so it will enjoy the same bounds:

Lemma10.16 Let hypotheses **H0** and (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap asssumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then, provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{i_1...i_l}\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}w_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\cdot \\ &\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^T_{[l]} \\ &+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2(\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l]})\}\end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 10.13, Lemma 10.15 and Lemma 10.16, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 10.4 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2**$'$ and (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$,$\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*+1]}$, as well as $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\dot{g}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-3}\cdot$$
$$\{[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q + \delta_0(\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+2]})]$$
$$+\delta_0(\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]})\}$$

Let us now consider the additional terms

$$2\mu \not{D}\hat{\chi}\cdot {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l + \mu \not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\dot{h}_l \tag{10.171}$$

it also has the same structure as in the relativistic case, so as in the original book, we have:

Lemma 10.17 Let **H0** and (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+1]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ hold for some positive integer l. Then we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} \|\mu \not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\dot{h}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$
$$\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

Finally the first term in (10.170) can be estimated directly:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} \|\mu \not{D}\hat{\chi}\cdot {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\hat{\chi}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{10.172}$$
$$\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\}$$

Proposition 10.4 together with Lemma 10.17 and (10.170) gives us an appropriate bound for $\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\ddot{g}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$. To get the estimate for ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q_l(t)$, it remains for us to bound the quantities $\|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$ and $\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}z_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$. From (10.168) we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} \|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\check{f}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{10.173}$$
$$\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}] + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}\}$$

The non-negative function ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}z_l$ is given by (8.392), which has the same form as in the relativistic case. So we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}z_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \tag{10.174}$$
$$\{\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]})$$
$$+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}) + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}\}$$

Now we can write down the estimate for ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q_l$:

Proposition 10.5 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2**$'$ and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+2]}$, $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l_*+1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{E}}^T_{[l_*+1]}$, as well as $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then we have:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_l} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q_l \leq C_l(1+t)^{-4}\cdot$$
$$\{[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+2]})]$$
$$+\delta_0(\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]})\}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

10.3.2 Estimates for P_l

We now consider the principal term in the defining expression (8.403) for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}B_l$, namely, the term:

$$C(1+t)^{-2}\{{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}(t)+(1+t)^{-1/2}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)$$

Here, the quantities ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}^{(0)}_{l,a}$, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}^{(1)}_{l,a}$ are defined by (8.348) and (8.349). Recall the definition:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l(t)=(1+t)^2\|\not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{10.175}$$

Here we need a more precise estimate for $\|\not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$.

By (8.333) and **H0** we have:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l\leq C\sum_j\|R_j{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}=C\sum_j\|R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\check{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{10.176}$$

Here, we should recall the definition of $\check{f}$ in chapter 8:

$$\check{f}=\mu f=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\tau_{\underline{L}} \tag{10.177}$$

We can use the definition of the acoustical metric and (8.24) to write the above as follows:

$$\check{f}=-2m-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\kappa\frac{dH}{dh}Lh:=-2m-\mu\bar{e} \tag{10.178}$$

where we have used the fact that:

$$\alpha=\eta,\quad \partial_t-\psi_i\partial_i=B=L+\alpha\kappa^{-1}T$$

We can express:

$$m=m_T^\alpha(T\psi_\alpha) \tag{10.179}$$

where:

$$m_T^0=\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh},\quad m_T^i=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\psi_i \tag{10.180}$$

and

$$\bar{e}=\bar{e}_L^\alpha(L\psi_\alpha) \tag{10.181}$$

where

$$\bar{e}_L^0=\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh},\quad \bar{e}_L^i=-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}\frac{dH}{dh}\psi_i \tag{10.182}$$

Then

$$R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\check{f}=-2m_T^\alpha(R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}T\psi_\alpha)-\mu\bar{e}_L^\alpha(R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}L\psi_\alpha)+{}^{(i_1...i_lj)}n_{l+1} \tag{10.183}$$

Here, ${}^{(i_1...i_lj)}n_{l+1}$ is the lower order term:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_lj)}n_{l+1}=&-\sum_{|s_1|\geq1}((R)^{s_1}\mu)((R)^{s_2}\bar{e})\\&-2\sum_{|s_1|\geq1}((R)^{s_1}m_T^\alpha)((R)^{s_2}T\psi_\alpha)\\&-\sum_{|s_1|\geq1}\mu((R)^{s_1}\bar{e}_L^\alpha)((R)^{s_2}L\psi_\alpha)\end{aligned} \tag{10.184}$$

By **E** in Chapter 6

$$|m_T^0 - \frac{1}{2}\ell| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |m_T^i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{10.185}$$

and also

$$|\bar{e}_L^0| \sim |\ell| \tag{10.186}$$

From (10.182)-(10.184) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_j R_{i_l} ... R_{i_1} \check{f}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq (|\ell| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}) \sum_\alpha \|R_j R_{i_l} ... R_{i_1} T\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ + C\sum_\alpha \|\mu R_j R_{i_l} ... R_{i_1} L\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} + \|{}^{(i_1 ... i_l j)}n_{l+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \end{aligned} \tag{10.187}$$

where the first C is a constant which is *independent* of l. Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.5 we readily deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i_1 ... i_l j} \|{}^{(i_1 ... i_l j)}n_{l+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \{\mathcal{W}_{[l]}^T + [1 + \log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l]}^Q + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{10.188}$$

To get a good bound for the right hand side of (10.186), we need the following lemma, which can be proved in a similar way as Lemma 8.2.

Lemma 10.18 Let Y be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield on the spacetime domain $W_{\epsilon_0}^*$. We have:

$$[T, Y] = {}^{(Y)}\Theta$$

where ${}^{(Y)}\Theta$ is an $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield, associated to Y, and defined by the condition that for any vector $V \in TW_{\epsilon_0}^*$:

$$g({}^{(Y)}\Theta, V) = {}^{(Y)}\pi(T, \Pi V)$$

In terms of the (L, T, X_1, X_2) frame,

$${}^{(Y)}\Theta = {}^{(Y)}\Theta^A X_A, \quad {}^{(Y)}\Theta^A = {}^{(Y)}\pi_{TB}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}$$

We can express, in rectangular coordinates:

$$[T, R_i] = \{T(R_i)^j - R_i T^j\}\partial_j \tag{10.189}$$

Since $T = \kappa\hat{T}$, we have:

$$R_i T^j = (R_i\kappa)\hat{T}^j + \kappa R_i \hat{T}^j$$

Using (10.8) we have:

$$R_i T^j = (R_i\kappa)\hat{T}^j + \kappa \not{d}_i \cdot \not{d}x^j \tag{10.190}$$

Projecting to $S_{t,u}$:

$$\Pi(R_i \hat{T}^j \partial_j) = \kappa \not{d}_i \tag{10.191}$$

On the other hand, from (10.21), (10.23),

$$(R_i)^j = \epsilon_{imj} x^m - \lambda_i \hat{T}^j$$

hence:

$$T(R_i)^j = \epsilon_{imj} T^m - (T\lambda_i)\hat{T}^j - \lambda_i T(\hat{T}^j) \tag{10.192}$$

According to (3.192):

$$T(\hat{T}^j) = q_T^j \tag{10.193}$$

Here q_T is an $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield given by:

$$q_T = (q_T)_b \cdot \not{g}^{-1} \tag{10.194}$$

where

$$(q_T)_b = -\not{d}\kappa \tag{10.195}$$

Substituting (10.193) in (10.192) we obtain:

$$T(R_i)^j \partial_j = \kappa v_i - (T\lambda_i)\hat{T} - \lambda_i q_T \tag{10.196}$$

where v_i is defined by (10.47). Projecting to $S_{t,u}$:

$$\Pi(T(R_i)^j \partial_j) = \kappa \Pi v_i - \lambda_i q_T \tag{10.197}$$

So we obtain:

$$[T, R_i] = \kappa \Pi v_i - \lambda_i q_T - \kappa \not{d}_i \tag{10.198}$$

Defining the vectorfields v_i' as in (10.50), equation (10.52) holds, hence:

$$\Pi v_i = w_i - (1 - u + t)^{-1} R_i \tag{10.199}$$

Therefore by (10.43) and (10.14), we obtain:

$$[T, R_i] = -\kappa \tilde{\not{d}}' - \lambda_i q_T$$

Thus we have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 10.19 We have:

$$[T, R_i] = {}^{(R_i)}\boldsymbol{\Theta} = -\kappa \tilde{\not{d}}' - \lambda_i q_T$$

Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l augmented by assumption $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$, we have, by (10.194) and (10.195)

$$\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \| \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} q_T \|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{10.200}$$

for $k \leq l_*$.

and also by the estimate for ${}^{(R_i)}\pi$, which is Corollary 10.2.d

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{j; i_1 \dots i_k} \| \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} {}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi} \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l \{ \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} \} \end{aligned} \tag{10.201}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, l$.

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \| \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} q_T \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l \{ (1+t)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] [\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \} \end{aligned} \tag{10.202}$$

By (10.101) and (10.41)-(10.42), Proposition 10.1, with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \tilde{\not{q}}'_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{10.203}$$

for $k \leq l_*$.

and by (10.120) and (10.123) with $l + 1$ in the role of l:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \tilde{\not{q}}'_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{10.204}$$

for $k \leq l$.

The above estimates together with Corollary 10.1.a with l_* in the role of l and Corollary 10.2.a yield the following lemma.

Lemma 10.20 Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.1 with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l and $\not{\mathbf{M}}_{[l_*+1]}$, we have:

$$\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} {}^{(R_i)}\Theta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)]^2$$

for $k \leq l_*$.

and:

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{i;i_1\dots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} {}^{(R_i)}\Theta\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C_l[1 + \log(1+t)]&\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned}$$

for $k \leq l$.

Provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

Consider now the commutator

$$T R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} - R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} T = {}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}A_l^T \tag{10.205}$$

By Lemma 10.19,

$${}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}A_l^T = R_{i_l} {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-1})}A_{l-1}^T + {}^{(R_{i_l})}\Theta R_{i_{l-1}} \dots R_{i_1} \tag{10.206}$$

Applying Proposition 8.2 to this:

$${}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}A_l^T = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_{l-k+1}} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}\Theta R_{i_{l-k-1}} \dots R_{i_1} \tag{10.207}$$

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_{l+1}} R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} T \psi_\alpha &= T R_{i_{l+1}} R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{l} R_{i_{l+1}} \dots R_{i_{l-k+2}} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}\Theta R_{i_{l-k}} \dots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{10.208}$$

We shall now use the following lemma, which is proved in a similar way as Lemma 8.5

Lemma 10.21 For any $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield X and any function ϕ and positive integer l we have:

$$[X, R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1}]\phi = -\sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{k_1 < \dots < k_j = 1}^{l} {}^{(i_{k_1} \dots i_{k_j})}Y R_{i_l} {}^{> i_{k_j} \dots i_{k_1} <}_{\dots} R_{i_1} \phi$$

where ${}^{(i_{k_1}\dots i_{k_j})}Y$ is the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield:

$$
{}^{(i_{k_1}\dots i_{k_j})}Y = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k_j}}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{k_1}}} X
$$

Consider then an arbitrary term of the sum on the righ in (10.207), corresponding to some $k \in \{0, \dots, l\}$. Setting

$$
X = {}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}\Theta, \quad \phi = R_{i_{l-k}} \dots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha \tag{10.209}
$$

we write this term in the form, using Lemma 10.21,

$$
R_{i_{l+1}} \dots R_{i_{l-k+2}} X\phi = X \cdot \not{d}(R_{i_{l+1}} \dots R_{i_{l-k+2}} \phi) + \sum_{|s_1|>0} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1} X \cdot \not{d}(R)^{s_2} \phi \tag{10.210}
$$

By Lemma 10.20, the first term on the right in (10.209) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$
C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} [1 + \log(1+t)]^2 \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} \tag{10.211}
$$

A term in the sum in (10.209) is the product of an angular derivative of X of order $|s_1|$ with an angular derivative of ψ_α of order $|s_2| + l - k + 1$, and we have $|s_1| + |s_2| = k$. Thus the sum in (10.209) contains angular derivatives of X of order at most $k \leq l$ and angular derivatives of ψ_α of order at most l (for, $|s_1| \geq 1$).

We have the following two cases.

Case 1:$|s_1| \leq l_*$ and: Case 2:$|s_1| \geq l_* + 1$

In Case 1 we use the first part of Lemma 10.20 to place the first factor in L^∞, obtaining an L^2 bound by:

$$
C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} [1 + \log(1+t)]^2 \mathcal{W}_{[l]} \tag{10.212}
$$

In Case 2 we have $|s_2| \leq k - l_* - 1$, hence:

$$
|s_2| + l - k + 1 \leq l - l_* \leq l_* + 1
$$

and the bootstrap assumption $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*+1]}$ allows us to place the second factor in L^∞. Using also the second part of Lemma 10.20 in placing the first factor in L^2, we obtain an L^2 bound by:

$$
C_l (1+t)^{-2} [1 + \log(1+t)] \{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{[l+1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\} \tag{10.213}
$$

Combining the above results (10.210)-(10.212) we conclude that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\| \sum_{k=0}^{l} R_{i_{l+1}} \dots R_{i_{l-k+2}} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}\Theta R_{i_{l-k}} \dots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\
&\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} [1 + \log(1+t)] \{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{[l+1]} \\
&\qquad + [1 + \log(1+t)] \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{10.214}
$$

for $k = 0, \dots, l$.

A commutation relation similar to (10.207) with ${}^{(R_i)}Z$ in the role of ${}^{(R_i)}\Theta$ holds for L in the role of T, that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i_{l+1}} R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} L \psi_\alpha &= L R_{i_{l+1}} R_{i_l} \dots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha \\
&- \sum_{k=0}^{l} R_{i_{l+1}} \dots R_{i_{l-k+2}} {}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}Z R_{i_{l-k}} \dots R_{i_1} \psi_\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{10.215}
$$

Using Corollary 10.1.i with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l and Corollary 10.2.i with $l + 1$ in the role of l, in place of the estimates of Lemma 10.20, we deduce that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu\sum_{k=0}^{l} R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_{l-k+2}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k+1}})}ZR_{i_{l-k}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \qquad (10.216)\\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}+[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\}\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, ..., l$.

Now we have, from Chapter 5, the following facts that for any variation ψ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0[\psi]} \qquad (10.217)\\ \|\sqrt{\mu}(L\psi+\nu\psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_1[\psi]}\end{aligned}$$

while:

$$\|\mu\nu R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} \qquad (10.218)$$

Once we have the estimates for the commutator, we shall be able to bound the first term on the right in (10.186) by:

$$(|\ell| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1})\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]} \qquad (10.219)$$

and the second term on the right in (10.186) by:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]} + C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} \qquad (10.220)$$

plus a bound for the commutator. Actually, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 10.6 Under the assumptions of Proposition 10.5, we have:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l \leq {}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(0)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(1)}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(0)} = |\ell|\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(1)} = C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]}\\ +C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]}\\ +C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^T_{[l]} + [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]}\\ +(1+t)^{-1}[[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}) + \mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

Chapter 11

Control of the Spartial Derivatives of the First Derivatives of the x^i. Assumptions and Estimates in Regard to μ

11.1 Estimates for $\hat{T}^i$

11.1.1 Basic Lemmas

The first part of the present chapter is concerned with the derivation of estimates for the spartial derivatives of the $\hat{T}x^i = \hat{T}^i$, of which at least one is a T-derivative. By spartial derivatives we mean the derivatives with respect to T and the rotation fields $R_j : j = 1, 2, 3$. Combining with the estimates of Chapter 10 we then obtain estimates for all spartial derivatives of the first derivatives of the x^i with respect to all five commutation fields. The derivation of the estimates of this chapter is based on bootstrap assumptions in regard to μ, in addition to the bootstrap assumptions of Chapter 10 in regard to χ. The estimates are then used to obtain estimates for the spartial derivatives of the deformation tensors of the commutation fields.

We begin by defining certain norms. In the following ξ is an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield defined on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$. We first define L^∞ and L^2 norms which consider only angular derivatives. Given a non-negative integer l we define:

$$\|\xi\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} = \max_{0\leq n\leq l}\max_{i_1\ldots i_n}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.1}$$

$$\|\xi\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} = \sum_{n=0}^{l}\max_{i_1\ldots i_n}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\xi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.2}$$

We then define L^∞ and L^2 norms which take into considration all spartial derivatives.

Given a pair of non-negative integers k, l with $k \leq l$, we define:

$$\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} = \max_{0\leq m\leq k}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\xi\|_{\infty,[l-m],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.3}$$

$$\|\xi\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} = \sum_{m=0}^{k}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\xi\|_{2,[l-m],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.4}$$

Also, we shall denote:

$$\|\xi\|_{\infty,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}=\|\xi\|_{\infty,[l,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}},\quad \|\xi\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}=\|\xi\|_{2,[l,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.5}$$

According to the above definitions $\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ is the maximum of the quantities:

$$\max_{i_1\dots i_n}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\xi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.6}$$

over

$$\{(m,n)\quad:\quad 0\leq n\leq l-m, 0\leq m\leq k\} \tag{11.7}$$

It is similar for $\|\xi\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$.

Also, $\|\xi\|_{\infty,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ is the maximum of the quantities (11.6) over

$$\{(m,n)\quad:\quad m,n\geq 0, m+n\leq l\} \tag{11.8}$$

It is the same for $\|\xi\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$.

We now introduce some bootstrap assumptions. In the following, C is a constant independent of s, and $t\in[0,s]$.

$$\mathbf{E}_{m,n}\quad:\quad \max_{\alpha;i_1\dots i_n}\|R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

and:

$$\mathbf{E}_{0,0}\quad:\quad \|\psi_0-h_0\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})},\quad \max_i\|\psi_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{E}_{\{l\}}$ the conjunction of assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{m,n}$ corresponding to (11.8). The constant C depends on l only. Note that $\mathbf{E}_{0,n}$ coincide with $\not{\mathbf{E}}_n$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\{l\}}$ contain $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}$.

Given non-negative integers m,n not both 0, we denote by $\mathcal{W}_{m,n}$ the quantity:

$$\mathcal{W}_{m,n}=\max_{\alpha;i_1\dots i_n}\|R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.9}$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{W}_{0,0}$ the quantity:

$$\mathcal{W}_{0,0}=\max\{\|\psi_0-h_0\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})},\max_i\|\psi_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\} \tag{11.10}$$

We then denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}$ the sum of the quantities $\mathcal{W}_{m,n}$ corresponding to (11.8). Note that $\mathcal{W}_{0,n}$ coincide with $\mathcal{W}_n$, and $\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}$ dominate $\mathcal{W}_{[l]}$. Moreover, we have:

$$\max\{\|\psi_0-h_0\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}},\max_i\|\psi_i\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\}\leq\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}} \tag{11.11}$$

The following lemma, which can be proved by a direct calculation, extends Lemma 10.1 to all spartial derivatives.

Lemma11.1 Let G be a smooth function of the ($\psi_\alpha\quad:\quad\alpha=0,1,2,3$) defined in a neighborhood of $(h_0,0,0,0)$, and let G_0 be the constant:

$$G_0=G(h_0,0,0,0)$$

Suppose that the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*\}}$ holds for some positive integer l. Then, if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), we have:

$$\|G-G_0\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}$$

where C is a constant which is independent of l.

We continue with a product lemma for the norms (11.3) and (11.4), which shall be applied repeatedly in the sequel.

Lemma 11.2

a. Let $\xi_1, ..., \xi_N$ be arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields, defined on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ and let us denote by

$$\xi_1 ... \xi_N$$

an arbitrary tensor product with contractions. Let also k, l be non-negative integers, $k \leq l$. We then have:

$$\|\xi_1...\xi_N\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\prod_{j \neq i} \|\xi_j\|_{\infty,[k,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}) \|\xi_i\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

b. Let $\xi_1, ..., \xi_N$ be as above, and ϑ another arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield defined on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$. Let k, l be positive integers, $k \leq l$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\xi_1...\xi_N \cdot \vartheta\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ \leq C_l \|\vartheta\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\prod_{j \neq i} \|\xi_j\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}) \|\xi_i\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+ C_l (\prod_{i=1}^{N} \|\xi_i\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}) \|\vartheta\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is a direct calculation. ☐

Part **a** shall be applied to $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields ξ_1,...,ξ_N of order at most 1, and part **b** shall be applied when the ξ_1,...,ξ_N are of order at most 1, but the $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ is of order 2.

As in Chapter 10 a primary role was played by the estimates, given by Propositions 10.1 and 10.2, for the angular derivatives of the rectangular components $\hat{T}^i$, or equivalently of the functions y^i, a primary role shall be played in the present chapter by the estimates for the spartial derivatives of the $\hat{T}^i$ of which at least one is a T-derivative. From (10.193) we have:

$$T\hat{T}^i = q_T \cdot \not{d} x^i \tag{11.12}$$

where

$$q_T = (q_T)_b \cdot \not{g}^{-1} \tag{11.13}$$

and

$$(q_T)_b = -\not{d}\kappa \tag{11.14}$$

The higher order T-derivatives of the $\hat{T}^i$ shall be expressed recursively through the following lemma.

Lemma 11.3 For any non-negative integer m we have:

$$(T)^{m+1}\hat{T}^i = p_{T,m}\hat{T}^i + q_{T,m} \cdot \not{d} x^i + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} r_{T,m}^n \cdot \not{d}(T)^n \hat{T}^i$$

Here the $p_{T,m}$ are the functions and the $q_{T,m}$ are $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields determined by the recursion relations:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{T,m} &= T p_{T,m-1} + \not{d}\kappa \cdot q_{T,m-1} \\ q_{T,m} &= \not{\mathcal{L}}_T q_{T,m-1} + q_T p_{T,m-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the initial conditions:

$$p_{T,0} = 0, \quad q_{T,0} = q_T$$

Also, the $r^n_{T,m}$ are $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields determined by the recursion relations:

$$\begin{aligned} r^0_{T,m} &= \not{\mathcal{L}}_T r^0_{T,m-1} + \kappa q_{T,m-1} \\ r^n_{T,m} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T r^n_{T,m-1} + r^{n-1}_{T,m-1} \quad &: \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \{1, ..., m-2\} \\ r^{m-1}_{T,m} &= r^{m-2}_{T,m-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the initial condition:

$$r^0_{T,0} = 0$$

The proof is a direct calculation. $\square$

Let us now investigate the recursions of the above lemma. First we have the recursion satisfied by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{T,m} \\ q_{T,m} \end{bmatrix}$$

Here we considering columns

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ X \end{bmatrix}$$

where ϕ is a function and X is a $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield. We define the operator $\mathbf{A}$ acting on such columns by:

$$\mathbf{A} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T + \mathbf{B} \tag{11.15}$$

where, natually,

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T\phi \\ \not{\mathcal{L}}_T X \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\mathbf{B}$ is the multiplication operator:

$$\mathbf{B} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \not{d}\kappa \\ q_T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \not{d}\kappa \cdot X \\ q_T \phi \end{bmatrix} \tag{11.16}$$

In terms of the operator $\mathbf{A}$ the recursion in question takes the form, simply:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{T,m} \\ q_{T,m} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} p_{T,m-1} \\ q_{T,m-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{11.17}$$

and the solution satisfying the initial condition

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{T,0} \\ q_{T,0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ q_T \end{bmatrix} \tag{11.18}$$

is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{T,m} \\ q_{T,m} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}^m \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ q_T \end{bmatrix} \tag{11.19}$$

We turn to the 2-dimensional recursion satisfied by the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields $r^n_{T,m}$. The first recursion is

$$r^0_{T,m} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T r^0_{T,m-1} + \kappa q_{T,m-1} \tag{11.20}$$

by the initial condition

$$r^0_{T,0} = 0 \tag{11.21}$$

we obtain:

$$r^0_{T,m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^j (\kappa q_{T,m-1-j}) \tag{11.22}$$

Next, we consider the third recursion relation. This is:

$$r^{m-1}_{T,m} = r^{m-2}_{T,m-1} \tag{11.23}$$

It follows that:

$$r^{m-1}_{T,m} = r^0_{T,1} = \kappa q_T \tag{11.24}$$

Finally, we consider the second recursion relation, which takes the form (setting $k = m - n$):

$$r^{m-k}_{T,m} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T r^{m-k}_{T,m-1} + r^{m-1-k}_{T,m-1} \tag{11.25}$$

for $k = 2, ..., m-1$.

Given any positive integer k let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_k$ the set of positive integers:

$$\mathcal{N}_k = \{m \geq k\} \tag{11.26}$$

On $\mathcal{N}_k$ we define the function x_k with values in the space $\mathcal{X}$ of $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields by:

$$x_k(m) = r^{m-k}_{T,m} \tag{11.27}$$

Let $\mathbf{L}_k$ be the linear map taking $\mathcal{X}$-valued functions defined on $\mathcal{N}_{k-1}$ into χ-valued functions defined on $\mathcal{N}_k$ by:

$$(\mathbf{L}_k f)(m) = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T(f(m-1)) \quad : \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_k \tag{11.28}$$

Then (11.25) takes the form:

$$x_k(m) - x_k(m-1) = (\mathbf{L}_k x_{k-1})(m) \quad : \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_{k+1}, k \geq 2 \tag{11.29}$$

Setting:

$$y_k = \mathbf{L}_k x_{k-1} \tag{11.30}$$

then we can write (11.29) as:

$$x_k(m) - x_k(m-1) = y_k(m) \quad : \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_{k+1}, k \geq 2 \tag{11.31}$$

Let us sum (11.31) over $m \in \{k+1, ..., j\}$. We obtain:

$$\sum_{m=k+1}^{j} (x_k(m) - x_k(m-1)) = x_k(j) - x_k(k)$$

i.e.

$$x_k(m) = x_k(k) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} y_k(j) \quad : \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_k, k \geq 2 \tag{11.32}$$

Now, from (11.27) with $m = k$,

$$x_k(k) = c_k = r^0_{T,k} \tag{11.33}$$

is given by (11.22), while from (11.30) and (11.28) the sum on the right is:

$$\sum_{j=k+1}^{m} y_k(j) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} (\mathbf{L}_k x_{k-1})(j) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{m} \not{\mathcal{L}}_T(x_{k-1}(j-1)) \tag{11.34}$$

Let us define a linear operator $\mathbf{M}_k$ acting on $\mathcal{X}$-valued functions f defined on $\mathcal{N}_k$ by:

$$(\mathbf{M}_k f)(m) = \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T f)(j) \tag{11.35}$$

In terms of the operator $\mathbf{M}_k$ and the constants c_k, (11.32) becomes the following recursion in k for the χ-valued functions x_k:

$$x_k = c_k + \mathbf{M}_k x_{k-1} \quad : \quad k \geq 2 \tag{11.36}$$

Here we consider c_k as contant functions defined on $\mathcal{N}_k$. Now, by (11.27) and (11.24) for $k = 1$ we have:

$$x_1(m) = r^{m-1}_{T,m} = \kappa q_T \quad : \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_1 \tag{11.37}$$

hence, by (11.33) for $k = 1$,

$$x_1 = c_1 \tag{11.38}$$

Thus (11.36) holds also for $k = 1$ if we set $x_0 = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k = c_k + \mathbf{M}_k x_{k-1} \quad : \quad & k \geq 1 \\ & x_0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{11.39}$$

To this recursion Proposition 8.2 applies, yielding:

$$x_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{M}_k ... \mathbf{M}_{k-i+1} c_{k-i} \tag{11.40}$$

Now by (11.35),

$$(\mathbf{M}_k c)(m) = (m-k)\not{\mathcal{L}}_T c \quad : \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_k \tag{11.41}$$

Let us define, for each non-negative integer l, the function N_l on the set of non-negative integers, with the value in the same set, recursively by:

$$\begin{aligned} N_0(n) = 1 \quad &: \text{for all non-negative integers} \quad n \\ & N_l(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} N_{l-1}(m) \end{aligned} \tag{11.42}$$

for all non-negative integers n and all positive integers l.

In particular, we have:

$$N_l(0) = 0 \quad : \text{for all positive integers} \quad l \tag{11.43}$$

In fact, it is readily seen that:

$$N_l(n) = \frac{n...(n+l-1)}{l!} \quad : \text{for all non-negative integers} \quad n \quad \text{and all positive integers} \quad l \tag{11.44}$$

We can then show, by induction on j (step by step), that for all non-negative integers j we have:

$$(\mathbf{M}_{k-i+j}...\mathbf{M}_{k-i+1}c_{k-i})(m) = N_j(m-k+i-j)(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^j c_{k-i} \tag{11.45}$$

Setting $j = i$ in the above we obtain:

$$(\mathbf{M}_k...\mathbf{M}_{k-i+1}c_{k-i})(m) = N_i(m-k)(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^i c_{k-i} \quad : \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_k \tag{11.46}$$

Substituting in (11.40) then yields:

$$x_k(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} N_i(m-k)(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^i c_{k-i} \quad : \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_k, \forall k \geq 1 \tag{11.47}$$

We summarize the above results in the following lemma.

Lemma 11.4 Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the operator

$$\mathbf{A} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T + \mathbf{B} \quad \text{acting on columns} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ X \end{bmatrix}$$

where ϕ is a function and X a $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield, $\mathbf{B}$ being the multiplication operator:

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \not{d}\kappa \\ q_T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then functions $p_{T,m}$ and $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields $q_{T,m}$ are given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{T,m} \\ q_{T,m} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}^m \begin{bmatrix} p_T \\ q_T \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover, the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields $r^n_{T,m-1}$, $n \in \{0,...,m-1\}$, are given by:

$$r^n_{T,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1-n} N_i(n) \sum_{j=i}^{m-1-n} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^j (\kappa q_{T,m-1-n-j})$$

where $N_i(n)$ are the non-negative integers:

$$\begin{aligned} N_i(n) &= 1 \quad &&: \text{if} \quad i = 0 \\ &= \frac{n...(n+i-1)}{i!} \quad &&: \text{if} \quad i \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

The $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $(q_T)_b$ can be directly estimated, however to estimate the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield q_T, which enters all the above formulas, we must estimate the T-derivatives of $\not{g}^{-1}$. Now,

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \not{g}^{-1} = -\not{g}^{-1} \cdot {}^{(T)}\not{\pi} \cdot \not{g}^{-1}, \quad {}^{(T)}\not{\pi} = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T \not{g} \tag{11.48}$$

Thus estimating $(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m+1}\not{g}^{-1}$ reduces to estimating $(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m\,(T)}\not{\pi}$. Now from (3.27) we have:

$$^{(T)}\not{\pi} = 2\kappa\theta = -2\alpha^{-1}\kappa\chi + 2\kappa\not{k} \tag{11.49}$$

Let us define:

$$\chi' = \chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t} \tag{11.50}$$

and:

$$^{(T)}\not{\pi}' = -2\alpha^{-1}\kappa\chi' + 2\kappa\not{k} \tag{11.51}$$

We then have:

$$^{(T)}\not{\pi} = {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}' + \lambda(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g} \tag{11.52}$$

where λ is the function:

$$\lambda = -2(\alpha^{-1}\kappa) \tag{11.53}$$

We shall be able to estimate in a more direct manner the T-derivatives of ${}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'$. From these estimates for the T-derivatives of ${}^{(T)}\not{\pi}$, we shall use the auxiliary symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields ${}^{(m;T)}\not{\pi}$ defined below. Their definition requires the polynomials $p_m(x)$, which we shall presently define.

For each non-negative integer m we define:

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(x) &= 1 \quad &&: \text{for} \quad m = 0 \\ &= (m+x)...(1+x) \quad &&: \text{for} \quad m \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{11.54}$$

We can see inductively, that

$$m!\{1 + x\sum_{n=0}^{m-1}\frac{p_n(x)}{(n+1)!}\} = p_m(x) \tag{11.55}$$

We define, for any non-negative integer m:

$$^{(m;T)}\not{\pi} = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m\,(T)}\not{\pi} - \lambda p_m(\lambda)(1-u+t)^{-m-1}\not{g} \tag{11.56}$$

Note that:

$$^{(0;T)}\not{\pi} = {}^{(T)}\not{\pi}' \tag{11.57}$$

From (11.53), we define:

$$\lambda' = \lambda + 2 \tag{11.58}$$

Lemma 11.5 Let l be a positive integer and m a non-negative integer, $m \leq l$. Suppose that:

$$\max_i \|^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

and:

$$\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\max_{0\leq k\leq m}\|^{(k;T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\|^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Proof. We apply $(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^k$ to (11.52), for $k \in \{0, ..., m\}$. A direct calculation implies:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(k;T)}\not{\pi} &= (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi}' \\ &+ \sum_{k_1+k_3=k, k_3 \geq 1} \frac{k!}{k_3!}(1-u+t)^{-k_1-1}\lambda^{(k_3-1;T)}\not{\pi} \\ &+ \sum_{k_1+k_2=k, k_2 \geq 1} \frac{k!}{k_2!}(1-u+t)^{-k_1-1}((T)^{k_2}\lambda)\not{g} \\ &+ \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k, k_2, k_3 \geq 1} \frac{k!}{k_2!k_3!}(1-u+t)^{-k_1-k_3-1}((T)^{k_2}\lambda)\lambda p_{k_3-1}(\lambda)\not{g} \\ &+ \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k, k_2, k_3 \geq 1} \frac{k!}{k_2!k_3!}(1-u+t)^{-k_1-1}((T)^{k_2}\lambda)^{(k_3-1;T)}\not{\pi} \end{aligned} \tag{11.59}$$

We apply $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}$ to (11.59) with $n \in \{0, ..., l-k\}$. The first term on the right is bounded by:

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.60}$$

We decompose the first sum on the right according to:

$$\lambda = -2 + \lambda' \tag{11.61}$$

Setting:

$$k' = k_3 - 1 \tag{11.62}$$

the contribution of the term -2 is:

$$2\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{(k'+1)!}(1-u+t)^{k'-k}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.63}$$

While the term λ' is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{(k'+1)!}(1-u+t)^{k'-k}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.64}$$

The last sum is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \\ &\times \sum_{k'=0}^{k-2}\sum_{j=0}^{k-2-k'} \frac{k!}{(j+1)!(k'+1)!}(1-u+t)^{k'-k+1+j}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned} \tag{11.65}$$

where we have set:

$$k_2 = 1 + j \tag{11.66}$$

Nest, we consider the second sum, which is:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{k!}{(j+1)!}(1-u+t)^{j-k}((T)^{j+1}\lambda')\not{g} \tag{11.67}$$

By the first assumption,

$$\|((T)^{j+1}\lambda')\not{d}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\|(T)^{j+1}\lambda'\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.68}$$

for $k \geq 1$, provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l). Then by the second assumption we know that the second sum is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.69}$$

Finally, we have to deal with the third sum. We express:

$$\lambda p_{k'}(\lambda) = -2p_{k'}(-2) + q_{k'+1}(\lambda') \tag{11.70}$$

where $q_{k'+1}(\lambda')$ is a polynomial of degree $k'+1$ in λ' with vanishing constant term. By the second assumption of the lemma, the constant term is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.71}$$

while the contribution of the polynomial $q_{k'+1}(\lambda')$ is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\sum_{k'=0}^{k-2}\{\sum_{i=1}^{k'+1}(\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)])^i\}(1+t)^{-2-k'} \tag{11.72}$$

A simple calculation implies that this is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{11.73}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small.

Combining the results (11.60), (11.63), (11.64), (11.65), (11.69), (11.71) and (11.73), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(k;T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq \|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned} \tag{11.74}$$

Since:

$$\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-1-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}\|{}^{(k';T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.75}$$

(11.74) reduce to:

$$x_k \leq c_k + a\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}x_{k'} \quad : \text{for} \quad k = 0, ..., m \tag{11.76}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \|{}^{(k;T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ c_k &= \|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ a &= C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned} \tag{11.77}$$

It follows by direct calculation that

$$x_k \leq c_k + a\sum_{k'=0}^{k-1}(1+a)^{k-1-k'}c_{k'} \quad : \text{for} \quad k = 0,...,m \tag{11.78}$$

hence:

$$\max_{0\leq k\leq m} x_k \leq \max_{0\leq k\leq m} c_k\{1 + a\sum_{k'=0}^{m-1}(1+a)^{m-1-k'}\} = (1+a)^m \max_{0\leq k\leq m} c_k \tag{11.79}$$

Substituting (11.77) and recalling that $(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \leq 1$ we obtain:

$$\max_{0\leq k\leq m}\|{}^{(k;T)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\}$$

$\square$

Lemma 11.6 Let l be a positive integer and m a non-negative integer, $m \leq l$. Suppose that:

$$\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$

and:

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[m-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Then, if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\|{}^{(k;T)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$
$$+C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\|\lambda'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\}$$

Proof. We shall use (11.59). The proof is quite similar to that of Lemma 11.5. Like in Chapter 10, when we estimate a product in L^2, we put the lower order derivative term in L^∞, and the other term in L^2. $\square$

We use Lemmas 11.5 and 11.6 to derive L^∞ and L^2 estimates for the T-derivatives of ${}^{(T)}\not\pi$ in terms of estimates for the T-derivatives of ${}^{(T)}\not\pi'$.

Lemma 11.7 Under the assumptions of Lemma 11.5 we have:

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not g\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[0,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$
$$+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not\pi - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not g\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$
$$+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

and:

$$\max_{2\leq k\leq m}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$
$$+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Proof. We consider formula (11.56) with m replaced by $k \in \{0,...,m\}$:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not\pi = {}^{(k;T)}\not\pi + \lambda p_k(\lambda)(1-u+t)^{-k-1}\not g \tag{11.80}$$

We express $\lambda p_k(\lambda)$ as in (11.70) and we bring the contribution of the constant term $-2p_k(-2)$ on the left. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g} &= {}^{(0;T)}\not{\pi} + q_1(\lambda')(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g} \\ \not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not{g} &= {}^{(1;T)}\not{\pi} + q_2(\lambda')(1-u+t)^{-2}\not{g} \\ (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi} &= {}^{(k;T)}\not{\pi} + q_{k+1}(\lambda')(1-u+t)^{-k-1}\not{g} \end{aligned} \tag{11.81}$$

for $k \geq 2$

We first take the $\|\quad\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$-norm of each side of the first of (11.81). Since:

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_1(\lambda')\not{g}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +C_l\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \max_j \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned} \tag{11.82}$$

(provided that δ_0 is suitably small), the first statement of the lemma results by substituting the estimate for $\|{}^{(0;T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ of Lemma 11.5 with $m=0$. The next two cases in (11.81) can be dealt in a similar way, then the lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 11.8 Let the assumptions of Lemma 11.6 hold. Let us define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{[0,l]} &= \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ \mathcal{T}_{[1,l]} &= \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not{g}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned}$$

and for $m \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{[m,l]} &= \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not{g}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\sum_{k=2}^{m}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned}$$

We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{[m,l]} &\leq C_l\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\|\lambda'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &+ \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Again, the proof is similar to those of Lemma 11.7 and Lemma 11.6. $\square$

In establishing the primary propositions of the present chapter, which concern estimates for the spartial derivatives of the $\hat{T}^i$ of which at least one is a T-derivative, we shall have to estimate, for given $S_{t,u}$ 1-forms ξ, the commutators:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}c_{m,n}[\xi] = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\not{D}\xi - \not{D}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\xi \tag{11.83}$$

Lemma 11.9 The commutators ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}c_{m,n}[\xi]$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}c_{m,n}[\xi] &= \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}c_{m,0}[\xi] \\ -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{n-j+1}}}\{&{}^{(R_{i_{n-j}})}\not{\pi}_1\cdot\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{n-j-1}}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\xi\} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{m,0}[\xi]$ are given by:

$$c_{m,0}[\xi] = -\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^i \{ {}^{(T)}\not\pi_1 \cdot (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m-i-1}\xi \}$$

Here ${}^{(T)}\not\pi_1$ is defined by (9.161) and (9.162).

Proof. The proof is a direct calculation which relies on Proposition 8.2. $\square$

We must now obtain estimates for ${}^{(T)}\not\pi_1$. In terms of the operator $\check{\not{D}}$ defined by (10.147), we can express:

$$ {}^{(T)}\not\pi_1 = {}^{(T)}(\not\pi_1)_b \cdot \not g^{-1}, \quad {}^{(T)}(\not\pi_1)_b = \check{\not{D}}\,{}^{(T)}\not\pi \tag{11.84}$$

We shall need the following analogue of Lemma 10.9, which can be also proved straightforwardly.

Lemma 11.10 Let ϑ be an arbitrary symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield. The following commutation formula holds for any non-negative integer m:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m \check{\not{D}}\vartheta - \check{\not{D}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m \vartheta = -\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^k ({}^{(T)}\not\pi_1 \cdot (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m-k-1}\vartheta)$$

We proceed to:

Lemma 11.11 Let l be a positive integer and m a non-negative integer $m \leq l-1$. Let also hypothesis $\mathbf{H2'}$ hold. Suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l \delta_0 [1+\log(1+t)] \\
\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\
\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
\end{aligned}$$

and, if $l \geq 2$,

$$\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Then, if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[m,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Proof. To prove the lemma, we just need to estimate

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[l-1-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.85}$$

To do this, we proceed inductively on k. Since under the assumptions of the present lemma, Lemma 11.7 holds, Substituting the bound for

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not g\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \qquad (11.86)\\
\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\,{}^{(T)}\not\pi - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not g\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\
\max_{2\leq k\leq m} \|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
\end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$^{(T)}(\not\pi_1)_b = \check{\not D}(^{(T)}\not\pi + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not g) \tag{11.87}$$

Then by Lemma 10.9 and a direct calculation, we obtain the conclusion when $k = 0$.

Next, we apply Lemma 11.9 to obtain:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k(T)}(\not\pi_1)_b = \check{\not D}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k(T)}\not\pi + d'_k \tag{11.88}$$

Estimate for $k = 1$ is also straightforward, we just use Lemma 11.9 and obtain an estimate for d'_1.

Then we assume, for $k \in \{2, ..., m\}$,

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k'(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[l-1-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.89}$$

hold for all $k' \leq k-1$.

To estimate the case $k' = k$, we again use Lemma 11.9, and then use the induction hypothesis to estimate d'_k. Then the lemma follows. $\square$

To proceed with L^2 estimates for $^{(T)}\not\pi_1$, we shall need the following lemma. This lemma shall also be used in the sequel.

Lemma 11.12 Let k, l be positive integers $k \leq l$ and let:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\\
\max_i \|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\
\|^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
\end{aligned}$$

Then provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), we have, for any $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ξ:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\xi\cdot\not g\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \|\xi\cdot\not g^{-1}\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\
\leq\, & C_l\{\|\xi\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \|\xi\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \mathcal{T}_{[k-2,l-2]})\}
\end{aligned}$$

where the $\mathcal{T}_{[k,l]}$ are the quantities defined in the statement of Lemma 11.8 (the term $\mathcal{T}_{[k-2,l-2]}$ is present only for $k \geq 2$).

Proof.Under the assumptions of the present lemma, the conclusions of Lemma 11.7 hold with $(k-1, l_*-1)$ in the role of (m, l). Then substituting in these the bound for

$$\|^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|^{(T)}\not\pi + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not g\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \qquad (11.90)\\
\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not\pi - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not g\|_{\infty,[l_*-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\
\max_{2\leq i\leq k-1}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{i(T)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l_*-1-i],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]
\end{aligned}$$

We shall estimate:

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-1}(\xi\cdot\not g)\|_{2,[l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \quad : \text{for} j = 1, ..., k \tag{11.91}$$

The case $j = 1$ is easy:

$$\|\xi\cdot\not g\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\|\xi\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \|\xi\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\} \tag{11.92}$$

For $j \geq 2$ we express:

$$\begin{aligned}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-1}(\xi \cdot \not{g}) &= \xi_{j-1} \cdot \not{g} \\ &+(j-1)((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-2}\xi) \cdot ({}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}) \\ &+\frac{(j-1)(j-2)}{2}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-3}\xi) \cdot (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not{g}) \\ &+\sum_{i=2}^{j-2} \frac{(j-1)!}{(i+1)!(j-2-i)!}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-2-i}\xi) \cdot ((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{i\,(T)}\not{\pi})\end{aligned} \tag{11.93}$$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{j-1} = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-1}\xi &- 2(j-1)(1-u+t)^{-1}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-2}\xi \\ &+(j-1)(j-2)(1-u+t)^{-2}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{j-3}\xi\end{aligned} \tag{11.94}$$

Only the first two terms in (11.93) and (11.94) are present for $j = 2$. Also, the sum in (11.93) is present only for $j \geq 4$. What we should do next is just a direct calculation. The estimate for $\|\xi \cdot \not{g}^{-1}\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ follows in a similar manner. $\square$

We proceed with L^2 estimates for ${}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_1$.

Lemma 11.13 Let l be a positive integer and m a non-negative integer $m \leq l-1$. Let also hypothesis **H2′** hold. Suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned}$$

and (if $l_* \geq 2$):

$$\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{\infty,[l_*-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{2,[m,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{T}_{[m,l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ &(\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + (1+t)\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}})\}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is quite similar to that of Lemma 11.11. The only difference is that here we must use Lemma 11.12 to connect the L^2 estimates of ${}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_1$ and ${}^{(T)}(\not{\pi}_1)_b$. $\square$

We now apply Lemma 11.11 and 11.13 to obtain estimates for the commutators ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_n)}c_{m,n}[\xi]$, defined by (11.83).

Lemma 11.14 Let k, l be positive integers, $k \leq l$. Let also hypothesis **H2′** hold. Suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Then for any $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ we have:

$$\max_{m\leq k, n\leq l-m}\max_{i_1\dots i_n}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}c_{m,n}[\xi]\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

Proof. The assumptions of the present lemma include those of Lemma 11.11 with $k-1$ in the role of m. Therefore the conclusion of Lemma 11.11 holds with m replaced by $k-1$, that is, we have:

$$\|^{(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.95}$$

Then we just use the expressions given by Lemma 11.9. After a direct calculation, the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 11.15 Let k,l be positive integers, $k\leq l$. Let also hypothesis $\mathbf{H2'}$ hold. Suppose that:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}&\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\\ \max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}&\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\ \|^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}&\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Then for any $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{m\leq k,n\leq l-m}\max_{i_1\dots i_n}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}c_{m,n}[\xi]\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\xi\|_{2,[k,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\ &+C_l(1+t)^{-1}\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\{\mathcal{T}_{[k-1,l]}+(1+t)\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi_1\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\}\end{aligned}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

Proof. The assumptions of the present lemma include those of Lemma 11.11 with $(k-1,l_*)$ in the role of (m,l). Therefore we have:

$$\|^{(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{\infty,[k-1,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.96}$$

Also, the assumptions of the present lemma include those of Lemma 11.13 with $k-1$ in role of m. Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|^{(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{T}_{[k-1,l]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot\\ &(\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}+(1+t)\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not\pi_1\|_{2,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}})\}\end{aligned} \tag{11.97}$$

Then, as proving Lemma 11.14, we just use the expressions given by Lemma 11.9 to do a direct calculation. Then the lemma follows. $\square$

11.1.2 L^∞ Estimates for $\hat{T}$

Given non-negative integers m,n, we denote by $\mathbf{E}^Q_{m,n}$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t\in[0,s]$:

$$\mathbf{E}^Q_{m,n}\quad:\quad\max_{\alpha;i_1\dots i_n}\|R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^mQ\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

We then denote by $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l\}}$ the conjunction of the assumptions $\mathbf{E}^Q_{m,n}$ corresponding to the triangle (11.8). The constant C then depends on l only and shall be denoted by C_l. Note that the assumptions $\mathbf{E}^Q_{0,n}$ coincide with the assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_n$, and $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l\}}$ contain $\not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l]}$.

Given non-negative intagers m, l, $m \leq l$, we denote by $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l]}$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C_l independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$\mathbf{M}_{[m,l]} \quad : \quad \|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 [1 + \log(1+t)]$$

This is equivalent, modulo $\mathbf{E}_{\{l\}}$, to the assumption that there is a constant C_l independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$\|\kappa - 1\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 [1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{11.98}$$

Proposition 11.1 Let hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2′** and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+1\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l\}}$, and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l]}$, hold for some non-negative integer l. Moreover, let the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l+1]}$ hold for some non-negative integer $m \leq l$. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\max_i \|(T)^{k+1} \hat{T}^i\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)]$$

for all $k = 0, ..., m$.

Proof. The assumptions of the present proposition include those of Proposition 10.1 with $l+1$ in the role of l. Therefore by the corollaries of Proposition 10.1, we have, with $l+1$ in the role of l:

$$\|\psi_L - h_0\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.99}$$

$$\|\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.100}$$

$$\|\not\psi\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.101}$$

and:

$$\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.102}$$

$$\|\not\psi_L\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.103}$$

$$\|\not\psi\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.104}$$

Moreover, from the definition:

$$\not\psi_{\hat{T}} = \hat{T}^i \not d \psi_i$$

we readily obtain:

$$\|\not\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.105}$$

$$\|\omega_{T\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.106}$$

By the assumption $\mathbf{M}_{[0,l+1]}$, we have:

$$\|(q_T)_b\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{11.107}$$

(provided that δ_0 is suitably small depending on l). This together with Corollary 10.1.d implies:

$$\|q_T\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{11.108}$$

In view of (11.12), the statement of the proposition for $k = 0$ follows.

We proceed by induction on k for fixed m and l. Let $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and let:

$$\max_i \|(T)^{k'+1} \hat{T}^i\|_{\infty,[l-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.109}$$

hold for all $k' = 0, ..., k-1$.

By this induction hypothesis and $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+1\}}$ we can easily obtain:

$$\|(T)^{k'} \psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[l+1-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.110}$$

for all $k' \in \{1, ..., k\}$.

This together with (11.100) implies:

$$\|\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.111}$$

Since

$$\omega_{T\hat{T}} = \hat{T}^i (T\psi_i), \quad \omega_{L\hat{T}} = \hat{T}^i (L\psi_i), \quad \not{\psi}_{\hat{T}} = \hat{T}^i (\not{d}\psi_i)$$

these are analogous to $\psi_{\hat{T}}$ with $T\psi_i$, $L\psi_i$, $\not{d}\psi_i$, in the role of ψ_i, respectively. We thus obtain:

$$\|\omega_{T\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.112}$$

$$\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.113}$$

$$\|\not{\psi}_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.114}$$

Similarly, by the relation:

$$L^i = -\alpha \hat{T}^i - \psi_i \tag{11.115}$$

and $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+1\}}$, we have:

$$\max_i \|(T)^{k'+1} L^i\|_{\infty,[l-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.116}$$

for all $k' = 0, ..., k-1$.

Then we have:

$$\|(T)^{k'} \psi_L\|_{\infty,[l+1-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.117}$$

for all $k' = 0, ..., k$.

which, together with (11.99), yields:

$$\|\psi_L - h_0\|_{\infty,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.118}$$

and then:

$$\|\not{\psi}_L\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.119}$$

Also we have:

$$\|\not{\psi}\|_{\infty,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.120}$$

$$\|\not{\psi}\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.121}$$

Then we have:

$$\|\not{k}\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.122}$$

$$\|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \tag{11.123}$$

Therefore

$$\|\kappa\not{k}\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.124}$$

$$\|\zeta\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.125}$$

By $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+1\}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{[k,l+1]}$ we obtain:

$$\|(q_T)_b\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.126}$$

To complete the inductive step we need a similar estimate for q_T. This estimate would follow from Lemma 11.7 if we can show that:

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.127}$$

Because the assumptions of Lemma 11.7, which are those of Lemma 11.5, hold by virtue of Corollary 10.1.d and $\mathbf{M}_{[k,l+1]}$. From the definition (11.51) and the estimate (11.124) we see that (11.127) would in turn follow if we can show that:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{11.128}$$

A stronger estimate is in fact provided by the following lemma:

Lemma 11.16 Let the assumptions of Proposition 11.1 hold. Let also the inductive hypothesis (11.109) hold, for some $k \in \{1,...,m\}$. Then, provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), the following estimate holds:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Proof. As remarked above, under the present assumptions Proposition 10.1 and all its corollaries hold with $l+1$ in the role of l. From the definition (11.50) and Corollary 10.1.d we obtain:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.129}$$

We proceed, by induction on k', to show that:

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k'}\chi'\|_{\infty,[l-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.130}$$

for all $k' \in \{1,...,k\}$.

which, together with (11.129), yields the conclusion of the lemma. In fact we shall show that (11.109) together with the estimate:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.131}$$

implies

$$\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k}\chi'\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.132}$$

From the definition (11.50) we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi' = \not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi - \frac{{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}}{1-u+t} - \frac{\not{g}}{(1-u+t)^2} \tag{11.133}$$

Now, $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi$ is given by the formula (3.125)-(3.126). Substituting in (11.133) this formula, and also substituting for ${}^{(T)}\not{\pi}$ in terms of χ' from (11.51)-(11.52), we obtain a formula for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi'$. We apply $(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k-1}$ to this formula and then take the $\| \quad \|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm. The contribution of any given term on the right-hand side, will then be bounded by the $\| \quad \|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm of that term. Now from (11.51), (11.131) together with (11.124) and $\mathbf{M}_{[k,l+1]}$ imply

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{11.134}$$

By Lemma 11.7 with $k-1$ in the role of m we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} - 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \max_{2\leq k'\leq k-1}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k'\,(T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned} \tag{11.135}$$

These estimates, together with Corollary 10.1.d, imply that for any $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ξ we have:

$$\|\xi\cdot\not{g}\|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \|\xi\cdot\not{g}^{-1}\|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.136}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l).

This together with the estimates from (11.99) to (11.125) as well as Lemma 11.14 implies (11.132). So the lemma follows. $\square$

We now resume the proof of Proposition 11.1. Now we have the estimate:

$$\|q_T\|_{\infty,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.137}$$

Using this and $\mathbf{M}_{[k,l+1]}$ we deduce, from the first statement of Lemma 11.4, the estimates:

$$\|p_{T,j}\|_{\infty,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{11.138}$$

for all $j \in \{0,...,k\}$

$$\|q_{T,j}\|_{\infty,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.139}$$

for all $j \in \{0,...,k\}$

We write the second statement of Lemma 11.4 in the form:

$$r^n_{T,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1-n} N_i(n)\sum_{j'=0}^{k-1-n-i}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k-1-n-j'}(\kappa q_{T,j'}) \tag{11.140}$$

Here $n \in \{0,...,k-1\}$. Taking the $\| \quad \|_{\infty,[l-k+n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm of this we obtain, using (11.139) and $\mathbf{M}_{[k,l+1]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|r^n_{T,k}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1-n} N_i(n)\sum_{j'=0}^{k-1-n-i}\|\kappa q_{T,j'}\|_{\infty,[k-1-n-j',l-1-j'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\max_{j'\in\{0,...,k-1-n\}}\|\kappa q_{T,j'}\|_{\infty,[k-1-n-j',l-1-j'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \end{aligned} \tag{11.141}$$

for all $n \in \{0,...,k-1\}$.

Now according to Lemma 11.3:

$$(T)^{k+1}\hat{T}^i = p_{T,k}\hat{T}^i + q_{T,k}\cdot\not{d}x^i + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} r^n_{T,k}\cdot\not{d}(T)^n\hat{T}^i \tag{11.142}$$

We take the $\| \quad \|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm of this. From the above estimates, we have:

$$\max_i \|p_{T,k}\hat{T}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{11.143}$$

$$\max_i \|q_{T,k}\cdot \not{d}x^i\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.144}$$

$$\max_i \|\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} r_{T,k}^n \cdot \not{d}(T)^n\hat{T}^i\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^3 \tag{11.145}$$

These imply:

$$\max_i \|(T)^{k+1}\hat{T}^i\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.146}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 11.1. $\square$

The foregoing proposition has the following corollaries.

Corollary 11.1.a Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.1 the coefficients of the expression for $(T)^{k+1}\hat{T}^i$, $k=0,...,m$, of Lemma 11.3 satisfy:

$$\|p_{T,k}\|_{\infty,[m-k,l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$$

for all $k\in\{0,...,m\}$

$$\|q_{T,k}\|_{\infty,[m-k,l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k\in\{0,...,m\}$

and:

$$\|r_{T,k}^n\|_{\infty,[l-k+n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$$

for all $k\in\{0,...,m\}$, $n\in\{0,...,k-1\}$.

Also,

$$\max_i \|(T)^{k+1}L^i\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

for all $k=0,...,m$.

Corollary 11.1.b Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.1 the following estimates hold:

$$\|\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$
$$\|\psi_L - h_0\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$
$$\|\not{\psi}\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

and:

$$\|\omega_{T\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$
$$\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\|\not{\psi}_{\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\|\not{\psi}_L\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\|\not{\psi}\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$

and:

$$\|\not{k}\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$
$$\|\kappa\not{k}\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\|\zeta\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

The next corollary is obtained from Lemma 11.16 by substituting Proposition 11.1 itself in place of the inductive hypothesis (11.109), and then substituting the result in Lemma 11.7 and Lemma 11.11.

Corollary 11.1.c Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.1 we have:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not{g}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\ \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(T)}\not{\pi} - 2(1-u+t)^{-2}\not{g}\|_{\infty,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\\ \max_{2\leq k\leq m}\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{k\,(T)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned}$$

Also:

$$\|\Lambda\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

and:

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}_1\|_{\infty,[m,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

11.1.3 L^2 Estimates for $\hat{T}^i$

We proceed with the L^2 estimates. Given non-negative integers m, n, we denote by $\mathcal{W}^Q_{m,n}$ the quantity:

$$\mathcal{W}^Q_{m,n} = \max_{\alpha;i_1\ldots i_n}\|R_{i_n}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.147}$$

We then denote by $\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}$ the sum of the quantities $\mathcal{W}^Q_{m,n}$ corresponding to the triangle (11.8). Note that the quantities $\mathcal{W}^Q_{0,n}$ coincide with the quantities $\mathcal{W}^Q_n$, and $\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}$ dominate $\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]}$. Moreover, we have:

$$\max_\alpha\|L\psi_\alpha\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} \tag{11.148}$$

Given non-negative integers m, l, $m\leq l$ we denote:

$$\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} = \|\mu-1\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.149}$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}_{[0,l]}$ coincide with $\mathcal{B}_{[l]}$. Since $\mu = \alpha\kappa$, it follows from Lemma 11.1 that under the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*\}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*]}$ we have:

$$\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} + [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}\} \tag{11.150}$$

Proposition 11.2 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2$'$** and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+1\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l_*\}}$, and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$, hold for some non-negative integer l. Moreover, let the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ hold for some non-negative integer $m\leq l$ (where by definition $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ coincides with $\mathbf{M}_{\{l_*+1\}} = \mathbf{M}_{[l_*+1,l_*+1]}$ for $m\geq l_*+1$). Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=0}^m\max_i\|(T)^{k+1}\hat{T}^i\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\\ &+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The assumptions of the present proposition coincide with those of Proposition 11.1 with (m, l_*) in the role of (m, l). Therefore under the present assumptions Proposition 11.1 and its corollaries hold with (m, l_*) in the role of (m, l). Moreover, the present assumptions include those of Proposition 10.1 with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l, as well as those of Proposition 10.2 with $l + 1$ in the role of l. Therefore under the present assumptions Proposition 10.1 and its all corollaries follow with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l, and Proposition 10.2 and its all corollaries follow with $l + 1$ in the role of l. In particular, we have (Corollaries 10.2.b and 10.2.g with l replaced by $l + 1$):

$$\|\psi_L - h_0\|_{2,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \tag{11.151}$$

$$\|\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{2,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \tag{11.152}$$

$$\|\not\psi\|_{2,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \tag{11.153}$$

and:

$$\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}]\} \tag{11.154}$$

$$\|\not\psi_L\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.155}$$

$$\|\not\psi\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.156}$$

Moreover, we readily obtain:

$$\|\not\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.157}$$

$$\|\omega_{T\hat{T}}\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.158}$$

Let us define:

$$\mathcal{U}_{k,l} = \sum_{k'=0}^{k} \max_i \|(T)^{k'+1}\hat{T}^i\|_{2,[l-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.159}$$

By the definition (11.14),

$$\|(q_T)_b\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.160}$$

This together with (10.145) as well as the L^∞ estimates (11.126) and Corollary 10.1.d, in turn yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_T\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)][&\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.161}$$

From this and Corollary 10.2.a,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{0,l} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)][&\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.162}$$

We shall prove the proposition by deriving a recursive, in k, inequality, for the quantities $\mathcal{U}_{k,l}$, for fixed l. We first establish L^2 estimates for the T-derivatives of up to the kth order of the ψ and ω components, in terms of $\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l}$. As we did in proving Proposition 11.1, we make use of the following expressions:

$$(T)^{k'}\psi_{\hat{T}} = \hat{T}^i(T)^{k'}\psi_i + \sum_{j=0}^{k'-1} \frac{k'!}{(j+1)!(k'-1-j)!}((T)^{j+1}\hat{T}^i)((T)^{k'-1-j}\psi_i) \tag{11.163}$$

$$(T)^{k'}\psi_L = (T)^{k'}\psi_0 + L^i(T)^{k'}\psi_i + \sum_{j=0}^{k'-1} \frac{k'!}{(j+1)!(k'-1-j)!}((T)^{j+1}L^i)((T)^{k'-1-j}\psi_i) \tag{11.164}$$

$$(\not\mathcal{L}_T)^{k'}\not\psi = (\not d x^i)(T)^{k'}\psi_i + \sum_{j=0}^{k'-1} \frac{k'!}{(j+1)!(k'-1-j)!}(\not d(T)^{j+1}x^i)(T)^{k'-1-j}\psi_i \tag{11.165}$$

We now take the $\| \quad \|_{2,[l+1-k'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm of the above. This together with (11.151)-(11.158), Proposition 10.2 as well as its corollaries and Proposition 11.1 implies:

$$\|\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \tag{11.166}$$

and:

$$\|\omega_{T\hat{T}}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.167}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] + \mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.168}$$

$$\|\not\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.169}$$

Also:

$$\|\psi_L - h_0\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \tag{11.170}$$

$$\|\not\psi_L\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \tag{11.171}$$

and finally:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\not\psi\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{&\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k-1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.172}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\not\psi\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.173}$$

(11.173) together with Lemma 11.2.a implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\not k\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.174}$$

Similarly, (11.169) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] + \mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.175}$$

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa\not k\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{U}_{[k-2,l-1]} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] &+ [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.176}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] &+ [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.177}$$

From the definition, we have:

$$\|(q_T)_b\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.178}$$

To derive a recursive inequality for the quantities $\mathcal{U}_{[k,l]}$, we need to derive a similar estimate for q_T in terms of $\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]}$. This requires an appropriate estimate for the quantity $\mathcal{T}_{[k-1,l-1]}$ defined in the statement of Lemma 11.8. Now, the assumptions of Lemma 11.8, which are those of Lemma 11.6, all follow under the assumptions of the present proposition, by Corollary 10.1.d, with l_* in the role of l, assumption $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*]}$, and Corollary 11.1.c with l_* in the role of l. Lemma 11.8 gives a bound for the quantity $\mathcal{T}_{[k,l]}$ in terms of $\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$, $\|\lambda'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ and $\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$. From (11.51) we obtain, using the estimate (11.176) and Corollary 11.1.c with l_* in the role of l,

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]\|\chi'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]&\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}&+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\}\end{aligned} \tag{11.179}$$

Also, by Lemma 11.1 and Lemma 11.2.a,

$$\|\lambda'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}\} \tag{11.180}$$

Also, by (10.145) we have:

$$\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}\} \tag{11.181}$$

Substituting in the conclusion of Lemma 11.8 the estimates (11.179)-(11.181) yields the following bound:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{[k,l]} \leq C_l\{&[1+\log(1+t)]\|\chi'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\ +(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &+ \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\ &+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\}\end{aligned} \tag{11.182}$$

So now we need an estimate for $\|\chi'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$, which can be proved similarly as Lemma 11.16:

Lemma 11.17 Let the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 hold. Then, provided that δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l), the following estimate holds, for each $k \in \{0,...,m\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi'\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{[k-2,l-1]} \\ &+(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k-1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + [\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}] \\ &+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}\}\end{aligned} \tag{11.183}$$

We now resume the proof of Proposition 11.2. Substituting the result of Lemma 11.17, yields the following estimate for $\mathcal{T}_{[k,l]}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{[k,l]} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\ &+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + [1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}] \\ &+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned} \tag{11.184}$$

Consider now Lemma 11.12 with $(k+1,l+1)$ in the role of (k,l). Since $(l+1)_* \leq l_*+1$, the assumptions hold if:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[k,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \|{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}'\|_{\infty,[k,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned} \tag{11.185}$$

These will follow from the assumptions of Proposition 11.2. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\xi\cdot\not{g}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}},\|\xi\cdot\not{g}^{-1}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\{\|\xi\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}+\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\max_i\|^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}+\mathcal{T}_{[k-1,l-1]})\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.186}$$

Substituting from (10.145) and the bound (11.184) this becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\cdot\not{g}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}},\|\xi\cdot\not{g}^{-1}\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l\|\xi\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +C_l\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\cdot \\ \cdot\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{U}_{k-3,l-2} \\ +(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}+[\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\ +\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.187}$$

Applying this to $\xi=(q_T)_b$, we obtain, by (11.178) and (11.107),

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_T\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1}+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\ +\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.188}$$

Remark: Here actually we have better bound about $\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1}$ than the relativistic case. But we keep it like this.

Consider now the first two recursion relations of Lemma 11.3, replacing m by $j\in\{0,...,k\}$. Taking the $\|\quad\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{T,j}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq\|p_{T,j-1}\|_{2,[k+1-j,l+1-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +C_l\{\|\not{d}\kappa\|_{\infty,[k-j,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\|q_{T,j-1}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\|\not{d}\kappa\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\|q_{T,j-1}\|_{\infty,[k-j,l_*-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned} \tag{11.189}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_{T,j}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq\|q_{T,j-1}\|_{2,[k+1-j,l+1-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +C_l\{\|q_T\|_{\infty,[k-j,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\|p_{T,j-1}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\|q_T\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\|p_{T,j-1}\|_{\infty,[k-j,l_*-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.190}$$

Setting, for fixed (k,l) and with $j\in\{0,...,k\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_j&=\|p_{T,j}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ y_j&=\|q_{T,j}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned} \tag{11.191}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} a&=x_0=0 \\ b&=\|q_T\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}=y_0 \\ c&=\|\not{d}\kappa\|_{2,[k,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \end{aligned} \tag{11.192}$$

(11.189)-(11.190) imply by assumptions $\mathbf{M}_{[k,l_*+1]}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+1\}}$ and Corollary 11.1.a with l_* in the role of l,

$$\begin{aligned} x_j&\leq x_{j-1}+\epsilon(y_{j-1}+c) \\ y_j&\leq y_{j-1}+\epsilon(x_{j-1}+\epsilon b) \end{aligned} \tag{11.193}$$

where:

$$\epsilon = C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.194}$$

The inequalities (11.193) can be written in the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{bmatrix} \leq (\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{E}) \begin{bmatrix} x_{j-1} \\ y_{j-1} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{a} \tag{11.195}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon c \\ \epsilon^2 b \end{bmatrix} \tag{11.196}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{bmatrix} \leq (\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{E})^j \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} + (\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{E})^i)\mathbf{a} \tag{11.197}$$

Now, if ϵ is suitably small we have:

$$|\mathbf{E}| \leq C\epsilon$$

and (11.197) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &\leq x_0 + C_j \epsilon (x_0 + y_0) + C_j(\epsilon c + \epsilon^2 b) \\ y_j &\leq y_0 + C_j \epsilon (x_0 + y_0) + C_j(\epsilon c + \epsilon^2 b) \end{aligned} \tag{11.198}$$

In view of (11.191) and (11.192), substituting for b the bounds (11.188), and noting that:

$$c \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

yields the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|p_{T,j}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]} \\ &+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\ &+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}} + (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.199}$$

for all $j \in \{0, ..., k\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\|q_{T,j}\|_{2,[k-j,l-j],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l (1+t)^{-1}\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]} \\ &+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\ &+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}} + (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.200}$$

for all $j \in \{0, ..., k\}$

Next, we consider the formula (11.140). Taking the $\| \quad \|_{2,[l-k+n],\Sigma_t}$ norm we obtain, using (11.200),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^n_{T,k}\|_{2,[l-k+n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1-n} N_i(n) \sum_{j'=0}^{k-n-1-i} \|\kappa q_{T,j'}\|_{2,[k-1-n-j',l-1-n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l \max_{j' \in \{0,...,k-1-n\}} \|\kappa q_{T,j'}\|_{2,[k-1-j',l-1-j'],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{[k-2,l-2]} \\
&+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}] \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l-1\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-2\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.201}$$

for all $n \in \{0, ..., k-1\}$.

Finally, we take the $\| \quad \|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm of (11.142). We then obtain on the left:

$$\|(T)^{k+1}\hat{T}^i\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} = \mathcal{U}_{k,l} - \mathcal{U}_{k-1,l}$$

By (11.199) and (11.200) with $j = k$ and Proposition 10.2 together with Proposition 10.1 and Corollary 11.1.a with l_* in the role of l:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_i \|p_{T,k}\hat{T}^i\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]} \\
&+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.202}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_i \|q_{T,k} \cdot \not{d}x^i\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{[k-1,l-1]} \\
&+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.203}$$

By (11.201) with $n = 0$ and Proposition 10.2 ($k \geq 1$) together with Proposition 10.1 with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l and Corollary 11.1.a with l_* in the role of l:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^0_{T,k} \cdot \not{d}\hat{T}^i\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^2[1+\log(1+t)] \cdot \{\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-2} + \|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.204}$$

Also by (11.201) together with Proposition 11.1 and Corollary 11.1.a with l_* in the role of l:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_i \| \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} r^n_{T,k} \cdot \not{d}(T)^n \hat{T}^i \|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\max_{n\in\{1,\dots,k-1\}} \max_i \|r^n_{T,k}\|_{\infty,[l_*-k+n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\
&+(\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \|r^n_{T,k}\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}) \max_{n\in\{1,\dots,k-1\}} \|(T)^n\hat{T}^i\|_{\infty,[l_*+1-n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\} \\
&\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1} \\
&+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}] \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l-1\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-2\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.205}$$

Combining (11.204) and (11.205) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_i \| \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} r^n_{T,k} \cdot \not{d}(T)^n \hat{T}^i \|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\
&\{\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{U}_{k-2,l-1}+\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.206}$$

In view of (11.202), (11.203) and (11.206), we arrive at the following recursive inequality:

$$\mathcal{U}_{k,l}-\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l-1}+b_{k,l} \tag{11.207}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{k,l} = &\, C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} \\
&+\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.208}$$

(11.207) implies:

$$\mathcal{U}_{k,l} \leq (1+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2)\mathcal{U}_{k-1,l}+b_{k,l} \tag{11.209}$$

which yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{k,l} \leq &\, (1+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2)^k\mathcal{U}_{0,l} \\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{k}(1+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2)^{k-j}b_{j,l}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.210}$$

Since $b_{k,l}$ are non-decreasing in k, this in turn implies:

$$\mathcal{U}_{k,l} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{U}_{0,l}+b_{k,l}\} \tag{11.211}$$

Substituting finally in (11.211) the estimate (11.162) and the definition (11.208) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{k,l} \leq &\, C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\|\kappa-1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} \\
&+\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.212}$$

Since we have:

$$\|\kappa - 1\|_{2,[k,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{B}_{[k,l+1]} + [1 + \log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\} \tag{11.213}$$

The proposition follows. $\square$

As before, we have a lot of corollaries.

Corollary 11.2.a Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 the coefficients of the expression for $(T)^{k+1}\hat{T}^i$, $k = 0, ..., m$, of Lemma 11.3 satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|p_{T,k}\|_{2,[m-k,l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}) \\
&+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{0, ..., m\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|q_{T,k}\|_{2,[m-k,l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \\
&+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}) \\
&+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{0, ..., m\}$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|r^n_{T,k}\|_{2,[l-k+n],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\
&\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-2]}) \\
&+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-2\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{0, ..., m\}$, $n \in \{0, ..., k-1\}$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{m} \max_i \|(T)^{k+1}L^i\|_{2,[l-k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}] \\
&+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 11.2.b Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{2,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \\
&+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\psi_L - h_0\|_{2,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \\
&+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\psi\|_{2,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \\
&+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}
\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_{T\hat{T}}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_T^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+ \\ \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}+ \\ \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not\psi_{\hat{T}}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+ \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not\psi_L\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+ \\ \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not\psi\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+ \\ \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{k}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\kappa\not{k}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}] \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]}+[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\zeta\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}] \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]}+[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})]\}\end{aligned}$$

The next Corollary is obtained from Lemma 11.17 by substituting Proposition 11.2.
Corollary 11.2.c Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} \\ +\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}\}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover by (11.184) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{[m,l]} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} \\ +\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

and obviously,

$$\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi + 2(1-u+t)^{-1}\not g\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \mathcal{T}_{[m,l]}$$

Also from the estimate for ζ and (11.187),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{&\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}) \\ &+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 11.13 and Lemma 10.11,

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(T)}\not\pi_1\|_{2,[m,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{&\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + [1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} \\ &+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

We now revisit Lemma 11.12. Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 the following bounds hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \qquad (11.214)\\ \max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \|{}^{(T)}\not\pi'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned}$$

These bounds imply the assumptions of Lemma 11.12 with (m,l) in the role of $(k-1,l-1)$. Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\xi\cdot\not g\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \|\xi\cdot\not g^{-1}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \qquad (11.215)\\ &\leq C_l\{\|\xi\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \|\xi\|_{\infty,[m,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} + \mathcal{T}_{[m-1,l-1]})\}\end{aligned}$$

So by Corollary 10.1.d and Corollary 11.2.c, we obtain:

Corollary 11.2.d Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi\cdot\not g\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, &\|\xi\cdot\not g^{-1}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\{\|\xi\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\|\xi\|_{\infty,[m,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}[\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}} + (1+t)^{-2}&[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}} \\ +(1+t)^{-1}&\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

11.2 Bounds for Quantities $Q'_{m,l}$ and $P'_{m,l}$

The object of this section is to obtain appropriate bounds for the quantities ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q'_{m,l}$ and ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}P'_{m,l}$, which through ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}B'_{m,l}$, enter the final estimates for the functions ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}x'_{m,l}$.

11.2.1 Bounds for $Q'_{m,l}$

The quantities ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}Q'_{m,l}(t)$ are defined by (9.266). We first estimate the last term:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \qquad (11.216)$$

${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\dot{g}'_{m,l}$ are defined in terms of ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}g'_{m,l}$ by (9.252) and (9.253). ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}g'_{m,l}$ are in turn defined in Proposition 9.1 and Proposition 9.2. The first term in the expression for ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}g'_{m,l}$ is:

$$R_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\breve{g}'$$

where $\check{g}'$ is defined by (9.63). Note that for $m = l = 0$ we have:

$$g'_{0,0} = \check{g}' \tag{11.217}$$

while according to (9.252),

$$\dot{g}'_{0,0} = g'_{0,0} - \xi \cdot x_0 \tag{11.218}$$

where ξ is defined by (9.70). Defining:

$$\check{\dot{g}}' := \dot{g}'_{0,0} \tag{11.219}$$

Our object in the following is to estimate:

$$\|\check{\dot{g}}'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

Recalling from Chapter 8 that:

$$x_0 = \mu \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \not{d}\check{f}$$

we can write:

$$\check{\dot{g}}' = \check{g}' - \xi \cdot (\mu \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \not{d}\check{f}) \tag{11.220}$$

Substituting the expression (9.63) for $\check{g}'$ in (11.220):

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\dot{g}}' = -\xi \cdot \not{d}\check{f} - 2\mu(\not{d}\mu) \cdot (i - \not{d}e) \\ +\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\log\Omega}{dh}(Lh)f'_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\mu\mathrm{tr}\chi + 2m + 2\mu e)\mu f'_1 \\ +\dot{n}''_0 + \mu(\dot{n}'_1 + \dot{n}'_2) \end{aligned} \tag{11.221}$$

where

$$\dot{n}''_0 = -\frac{1}{2}L(\frac{dH}{dh})(\underline{L}Th) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\ddot{\tau} + \underline{\nu}(LTh) + 2\zeta \cdot \not{d}Th) \tag{11.222}$$

$\ddot{\tau}$ is defined by a formula similar to (9.38) but with

$$\dot{v}' = v' + \Omega\kappa^2(\not{d}h) \cdot \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{11.223}$$

in the role of v', that is:

$$\ddot{\tau} = \Omega^{-1}(\dot{v}' + T\tilde{\tau} + {}^{(T)}\delta\tilde{\tau}) \tag{11.224}$$

By (9.35) we know that there is no acoustical principle term in $\dot{n}''_0$. $\dot{n}'_1$ is just n'_1. While $\dot{n}'_2$ is defined by:

$$\dot{n}'_2 = \mu\dot{n}_2 - L(\frac{\mu}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})')\not{\Delta}h - L(\mu\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i)\not{\Delta}\psi_i \tag{11.225}$$

$\dot{n}_2$ is defined by:

$$\dot{n}_2 = n_2 - (\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'\not{d}h + \alpha^{-1}\not{d}\psi_{\hat{T}} - \alpha^{-2}(L\psi_i)\not{d}x^i) \cdot \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{11.226}$$

By (9.68), $\dot{n}_2$ does not contain principle acoustical terms.

Now v' is defined by (9.34):

$$v' = v - \Omega(Th)\not{\Delta}\mu \tag{11.227}$$

We thus have:

$$\dot{v}' = v - \Omega(Th)\not{\Delta}\mu + \Omega\kappa^2(\not{d}h) \cdot \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{11.228}$$

v is given by (9.18)-(9.24):

$$v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \tag{11.229}$$

Defining:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_1 &= \Omega^{-1}v_1 \\ \dot{v}_2 &= \Omega^{-1}v_2 - (Th)\not{\Delta}\mu + \kappa^2(\not{d}h) \cdot \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \\ \dot{v}_3 &= \Omega^{-1}v_3 \end{aligned} \tag{11.230}$$

and:

$$\dot{v} = \dot{v}_1 + \dot{v}_2 + \dot{v}_3 \tag{11.231}$$

Then according to (9.33), $\dot{v}_1$, $\dot{v}_2$ and $\dot{v}_3$ do not contain the principle acoustical part, and we have:

$$\dot{v}' = \Omega\dot{v} \tag{11.232}$$

Our first object is to estimate:

$$\|\dot{v}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.233}$$

Here we just state the results:

Lemma 11.18 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 augmented by the assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+2\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l_*+1\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l_*\}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,l_*+1]}$ we have the L^2 estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\dot{v}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ \leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{&[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}&[\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]} + [1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\} \end{aligned}$$

as well as L^∞ estimate:

$$\|\dot{v}\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

where we denote by $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{m,n}$ the bootstrap assumption that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{m,n} \quad : \quad \max_{\alpha;i_1\ldots i_n} \|R_{i_n}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m(Q)^2\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

We then denote by $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}$ the conjunction of $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{m,n}$ corresponding to (11.8). The constant C then depends on l only. Here we also have introduced the quantities $\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{m,n}$:

$$\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{m,n} = \max_{\alpha;i_1\ldots i_n} \|R_{i_n}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m(Q)^2\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.234}$$

We then denote by $\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}$ the sum of $\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{m,n}$ corresponding to (11.8). Moreover, we have:

$$\max_\alpha \|(L)^2\psi_\alpha\|_{2,\{l\},\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq (1+t)^{-2}(\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}) \tag{11.235}$$

We now consider $\ddot{\tau}$, defined by (11.224). Setting:

$$\dot{\tau} = \Omega^{-1}\tilde{\tau} \tag{11.236}$$

(11.224) becomes, in view of (11.232):

$$\ddot{\tau} = \dot{v} + T\dot{\tau} + (T\log\Omega + {}^{(T)}\delta)\dot{\tau} \tag{11.237}$$

By (9.37) we have:

$$\dot{\tau} = -\sum_i \{-(L\psi_i)(\underline{L}\psi_i) + \mu \not{d}\psi_i \cdot \not{d}\psi_i\} \tag{11.238}$$

Here we must use Lemma 11.12 with (k,l) replaced by $(m+2, l+2)$ to estimate the second term on the right of (11.237):

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\dot{\tau}\|_{2,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]} + [1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.239}$$

Also, we have:

$$\|\dot{\tau}\|_{\infty,[m+1,l_*+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-3} \tag{11.240}$$

Then combining with Lemma 11.18, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\ddot{\tau}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]} + [1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.241}$$

as well as

$$\|\ddot{\tau}\|_{2,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{11.242}$$

By the above results and a direct calculation, we get an estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\dot{n}_0''\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]} + [1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.243}$$

We proceed to estimate $\dot{n}_1'$ and $\dot{n}_2'$, given by (9.52) and (11.225). The estimate for $\dot{n}_1'$ is straightforward. We use Lemma 11.12 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{n}_1'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\cdot \\ [(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 &+ (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.244}$$

and also, obviously,

$$\|\dot{n}_1'\|_{2,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4} \tag{11.245}$$

While the case for $\dot{n}_2'$ is a bit more complicate, because we have the term $\not{\Delta}\hat{T}^i$ in the expression (9.55) for n_2. We must give an estimate for this at first. Recall (9.66) and (9.67), we consider:

$$U^i = \not{\Delta}\hat{T}^i + \alpha^{-1}\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \cdot \not{d}x^i + (1-u+t)^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\not{\Delta}x^i \tag{11.246}$$

The last term in the above comes from the term $\not{q} \cdot \not{D}^2 x^i$. We can write:

$$U^i = b_1 \cdot \not{d}x^i + b_2 \cdot \not{D}^2 x^i \tag{11.247}$$

where by (9.66),

$$b_1 = \not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}\not{k} - \alpha^{-1} i + \alpha^{-2}\chi \cdot (\not{d}\alpha) \tag{11.248}$$

and

$$b_2 = \not{k} - \alpha^{-1}\chi' \tag{11.249}$$

To estimate

$$\|U^i\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$$

we need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 11.19 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2, for an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ξ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi \cdot \not{d}x^i\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\{\|\xi\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\|\xi\|_{\infty,[m,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}&[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} \\ &+\mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11.20 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2, for an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ξ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi \cdot \not{D}^2 x^i\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\|\xi\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\|\xi\|_{\infty,[k,l_*-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}&\{(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} \\ &+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 10.1/11.1, 10.2/11.2 as well as their corollaries, the proof of these two lemmas is almost a direct calculation.

By these two lemmas and (11.247)-(11.249), we obtain:

Lemma 11.21 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 augmented by the assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+2\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l_*+1\}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|U^i\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\cdot \\ \{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} &+ (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} \\ &+(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\|U^i\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Next we consider the function n_2' given by (11.226). In this equation the function n_2 is given by (9.55)-(9.57). Substituting in (11.226) we obtain the following expression for $\dot{n}_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{n}_2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'&[\mathrm{tr}\chi\not{\Delta}h + 2\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{\not{D}}^2 h + 2i\cdot\not{d}h] \\ +\alpha^{-1}&[\mathrm{tr}\chi\hat{T}^i\not{\Delta}\psi_i + 2\hat{\chi}\cdot\hat{T}^i\hat{\not{D}}^2\psi_i + 2i\cdot\hat{T}^i\not{d}\psi_i] \\ &+\not{d}(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})')\cdot\not{d}Lh + 2\not{d}(\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i)\cdot\not{d}(L\psi_i) \\ &+\not{\Delta}(\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})')Lh + \not{\Delta}(\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i)(L\psi_i) \\ &+\alpha^{-2}(L\psi_i)\not{d}x^i\cdot\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi\end{aligned} \tag{11.250}$$

By Lemma 11.21 and (11.250), we obtain the following estimates for $\dot{n}_2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\dot{n}_2\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-3}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.251}$$

and:

$$\|\dot{n}_2\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-4}\tag{11.252}$$

We turn to the function $\dot{n}_2'$ given in terms of $\dot{n}_2$ by (11.225). We just use Corollary 11.1.b and Corollary 11.2.b as well as a direct calculation to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\dot{n}_2'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-3}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}})\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]}+[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.253}$$

and:

$$\|\dot{n}_2'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]\tag{11.254}$$

We now turn to the remaining terms in the expression (11.221) for $\check{g}'$. We first consider the functions f_0' and f_1', given by (9.48) and (9.59) respectively:

$$f_0' = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\underline{L}Th)\tag{11.255}$$

$$f_1' = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'(\not{\Delta}h)+\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i(\not{\Delta}\psi_i)\tag{11.256}$$

Obviously, by the expression:

$$\underline{L}Th = 2(T)^2h+\alpha^{-1}\kappa TLh+\alpha^{-1}\kappa\Lambda\cdot\not{d}h$$

we have:

$$\|f_0'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\tag{11.257}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_0'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\delta_0(\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.258}$$

Using Proposition 11.2 we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_1'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.259}$$

and also:

$$\|f_1'\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\tag{11.260}$$

With the help of (11.257)-(11.260) we can estimate, in $\|\quad\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$ norm, the third and fourth terms on the right in (11.221) in a straightforward manner. Concerning the second term, we just use the estimates for e and i which we can obtain by using Proposition 11.1 and 11.2:

$$\begin{aligned}\|e\|_{2,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.261}$$

$$\|e\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\tag{11.262}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|i\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \qquad (11.263)\\ \leq\ & C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}\\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\|i\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4} \qquad (11.264)$$

Finally, we have:

$$-\xi\cdot\not{d}\check{f}$$

the first term on the right in (11.221). Here ξ is given by (9.70):

$$\xi=-\not{d}\mu+(\frac{\mu}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'-\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\alpha^{-1}\kappa)\not{d}h+T^i\not{d}\psi_i-\alpha^{-1}\kappa(L\psi_i)\not{d}x^i \qquad (11.265)$$

and $\check{f}$ is the function defiend by (8.27):

$$\check{f}=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\tau_{\underline{L}} \qquad (11.266)$$

So we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\not{d}\check{f}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \qquad (11.267)\\ \leq\ & C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}\}\end{aligned}$$

and also:

$$\|\not{d}\check{f}\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \qquad (11.268)$$

Next, using Corollary 11.1.b with l_* in the role of l and Corollary 11.2.b we deduce:

$$\|\xi\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \qquad (11.269)$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\xi\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq\ & C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}})\\ &+\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})\}\end{aligned} \qquad (11.270)$$

To get the final estimate, we need Corollary 11.2.d to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\xi\cdot\not{g}^{-1}\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\cdot \qquad (11.271)\\ &\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}})\\ &+\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})\}\end{aligned}$$

and also:

$$\|\xi\cdot\not{g}^{-1}\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \qquad (11.272)$$

Then the first term on the right of (11.221) can be estimated by applying (11.267), (11.268), (11.271) and (11.272).

Combining finally the above results with the earlier results (11.243), (11.244),(11.245), (11.251), (11.252) and also (11.257)-(11.260), yields, through (11.221), the following proposition.

Proposition 11.3 Let the hypotheses **H0**, **H1**, **H2′** and the estimate (6.192) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+2\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l_*+1\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l_*\}}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$, hold for some non-negative integer l. Moreover, let the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,l_*+1]}$ hold for some non-negative integer $m \leq l$. Then if δ_0 is suitably small (depending on l) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\check{\dot{g}}'\|_{2,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}+\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]}+[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\}\end{aligned} \tag{11.273}$$

We proceed to estimate in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ the functions ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}\hat{g}'_{m,n}$, defined by (9.252)-(9.253), for $n = l-m$. Note that l have different meanings here and Chapter 9. We shall now re-express the functions ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}\dot{g}'_{m,n}$ in terms of the function $\check{\dot{g}}'$, which has been estimated by Proposition 11.3. Let us define the functions:

$${}^{(i_1...i_n)}w'_{m,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^k\Lambda x'_{m-k-1,0} - m\Lambda^{(i_1...i_n)}x'_{m-1,n} \tag{11.274}$$

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_n)}w''_{m,n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z^{(i_1...i_{n-k-1})}x'_{m,n-k-1} \\ &-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{n-k}<}{\dots} i_n)}x'_{m,n-1}\end{aligned} \tag{11.275}$$

According to (9.123), (9.131) and (9.134), (9.137), the functions ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}w'_{m,n}$, ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}w''_{m,n}$ do not contain terms of the top order $l+2$. From Proposition 9.1 and 9.2 we then have:

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1...i_n)}g'_{m,n} - m\Lambda^{(i_1...i_n)}x'_{m-1,n} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{n-k}<}{\dots} i_n)}x'_{m,n-1} \\ &= R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\check{g}' + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}w'_{m,n} + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}w''_{m,n} \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^k y'_{m-k,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(i_1...i_{n-k})}y'_{m,n-k}\end{aligned} \tag{11.276}$$

We now substitute for $\check{g}'$ in terms of $\check{\dot{g}}'$ from (11.220). Consider first the case $m = 0$. We have:

$$R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}\check{g}' = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}\check{\dot{g}}' + \xi\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_n)}x_n + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}u_n \tag{11.277}$$

where

$${}^{(i_1...i_n)}u_n = \xi\cdot\sum_{s_1\neq\emptyset}((R)^{s_1}\mu)\not{d}(R)^{s_2}\mathrm{tr}\chi + \sum_{s_1\neq\emptyset}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}\xi\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_2}x_0 \tag{11.278}$$

Then comparing with (9.252) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_n)}\dot{g}'_{0,n} &= R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}\check{\dot{g}}' + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}u_n + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}w''_{0,n} \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(i_1...i_{n-k})}y'_{0,n-k}\end{aligned} \tag{11.279}$$

Also, a similar calculation yields:

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1...i_n)}\dot{g}'_{m,n} = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\check{\dot{g}}' \\ &+{}^{(i_1...i_n)}u'_{m-1,n} + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}w'_{m,n} + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}w''_{m,n} \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^k y'_{m-k,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(i_1...i_{n-k})}y'_{m,n-k}\end{aligned} \tag{11.280}$$

where

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_n)}u'_{m-1,n} = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m-1}u'_{0,0} + {}^{(i_1...i_n)}u''_{m-1,n} \tag{11.281} $$

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_n)}u''_{m-1,n} = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}u''_{m-1,0} \\ +\xi \cdot \sum_{s_1 \neq \emptyset} \not{d}(((R)^{s_1}\mu)(R)^{s_2}(T)^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu) \\ + \sum_{s_1 \neq \emptyset} (\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}\xi \cdot \not{d}(R)^{s_2}x'_{m-1,0} \end{aligned} \tag{11.282} $$

$$ \begin{aligned} u''_{m-1,0} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(m-1)!}{k!(m-1-k)!}\{\xi \cdot \not{d}((T)^k\mu)(T)^{m-1-k}\not{\Delta}\mu) \\ +((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^k\xi) \cdot \not{d}(T)^{m-1-k}x'_{0,0}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.283} $$

$$ u'_{0,0} = \xi \cdot \dot{x}_0 + (\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\xi) \cdot x_0 \tag{11.284} $$

where

$$ \dot{x}_0 = \mu\not{d}(T\mathrm{tr}\chi' - \not{\Delta}\mu) + (T\mu)\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi' - (\not{d}\mu)\not{\Delta}\mu + \not{d}(T\check{f} + \check{f}') \tag{11.285} $$

Then by the earlier results in this chapter, we finally get:

proposition 11.4 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.3, augmented by the assumptions $\not{\mathcal{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{[m,(l+1)_*+1]}$ we have:

$$ \begin{aligned} \max_{i_1...i_{l-m}} \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\dot{g}'_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \\ C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \\ +\delta_0[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.286} $$

When we are deriving this proposition, we must use the following lemma:

Lemma 11.22 Let A_1,...,A_n and B be linear operators acting on some space X. We then have:

$$ [A_n...A_1, B] = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} A_n...A_{n-m+1}[A_{n-m}, B]A_{n-m-1}...A_1 $$

Proof. We just applying induction on m, and then use Proposition 8.2. □

Now we can give an estimate for ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Q'_{m,l-m}$ defined by (9.266). Here we just need to estimate the third and the fourth term on the right of (9.266). This is straightforward. So we obtain:

Proposition 11.5 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.3, augmented by the assumptions $\not{\mathcal{X}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{[m,(l+1)_*+1]}$, we have:

$$ \begin{aligned} \max_{(i_1...i_{l-m})} Q'_{m,l-m}(t) \\ \leq C_l(1+t)^{-3}\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \\ +\delta_0[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\} \end{aligned} $$

11.2.2 Bounds for $P'_{m,l}$

We now consider the principal term in the defining expression (9.269) for the quantity ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}B'_{m,n}$, namely, the term:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}\{{}^{(i_1...i_n)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,n,a}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2\,(i_1...i_n)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,n,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)$$

Here, the quantities ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,n,a}$, ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,n,a}$ are defined by (9.225) and (9.226),

in terms of ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}P'^{(0)}_{m,n}$ and ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}P'^{(1)}_{m,n}$, whose sum bounds the quantity ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}P'_{m,n}$ itself defined by (9.223):

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_n)}P'_{m,n}(t) = (1+t)\|{}^{(i_1...i_n)}\check{f}'_{m,n}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{11.287}$$

We shall derive a bound for ${}^{(i_1...i_n)}P'_{m,n}(t)$, with $n = l-m$. Since

$${}^{(i_1...i_n)}\check{f}'_{m,n} = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\check{f}'$$

By (8.333) we have:

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'_{m,l-m} \leq C\|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\check{f}'_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} = C\|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\check{f}'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{11.288}$$

Recall (9.62):

$$\check{f}' = f'_0 + \mu^2 f'_1$$

with

$$f'_0 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\underline{L}Th)$$
$$f'_1 = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'(\not{\Delta}h) + \alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i(\not{\Delta}\psi_i)$$

First, we consider the contribution from f'_0. Since:

$$Th = T\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i T\psi_i$$
$$\underline{L}Th = \underline{L}T\psi_0 - \sum_i \underline{L}\psi_i T\psi_i - \sum_i \psi_i \underline{L}T\psi_i$$

As in Chapter 10, defining

$$m_T^0 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}, \quad m_T^i = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\psi_i \tag{11.289}$$

then we have:

$$m = m_T^\alpha(T\psi_\alpha) \tag{11.290}$$

We decompose:

$$f'_0 = f'_{0,P} + f'_{0,N} \tag{11.291}$$

where $f'_{0,P}$ is the principal part of f'_0:

$$f'_{0,P} = m_T^\alpha(\alpha^{-1}\kappa LT\psi_\alpha + 2T^2\psi_\alpha) \tag{11.292}$$

and the lower order part:

$$f'_{0,N} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\sum_i \underline{L}\psi_i T\psi_i \tag{11.293}$$

then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m f_0' = 2m_T^\alpha R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+2}\psi_\alpha \\ +\alpha^{-1}\kappa m_T^\alpha R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m LT\psi_\alpha + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n'_{m,l-m} \end{aligned} \tag{11.294}$$

where ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n'_{m,l-m}$, of order $l+1$, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n'_{m,l-m} = 2R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}\{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((T)^k m_T^\alpha)((T)^{m-k+2}\psi_\alpha)\} \\ +2\sum_{s_1\neq\emptyset}((R)^{s_1}m_T^\alpha)((R)^{s_2}(T)^{m+2}\psi_\alpha) \\ +R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}\{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((T)^k(\alpha^{-1}\kappa m_T^\alpha))((T)^{m-k}LT\psi_\alpha)\} \\ +\sum_{s_1\neq\emptyset}((R)^{s_1}(\alpha^{-1}\kappa m_T^\alpha))((R)^{s_2}(T)^m LT\psi_\alpha) \\ +R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m f'_{0,N} \end{aligned} \tag{11.295}$$

From (11.294), taking into account (10.184), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m f_0'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq (|\ell| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1})\sum_\alpha \|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+2}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ +C\sum_\alpha \|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m LT\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} + \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n'_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \end{aligned} \tag{11.296}$$

Here, the first constant C does not depend on ℓ. Moreover, using the estimate for Λ in Corollary 11.2.c, we readily deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i_1...i_{l-m}} \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n'_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + [1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q \\ +(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} + \delta_0(\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.297}$$

Consider next the contribution of the term $\mu^2 f_1'$ in $\check{f}'$ to $R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\check{f}'$. Also, we only consider the principal part. Since:

$$\not{d}h = \not{d}\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i \not{d}\psi_i$$

$$\not{\Delta}h = \not{\Delta}\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i\not{\Delta}\psi_i - \sum_i \not{d}\psi_i \cdot \not{d}\psi_i$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 f_1' = \frac{\mu^2}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'(\not{\Delta}\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i\not{\Delta}\psi_i - \sum_i \not{d}\psi_i\cdot\not{d}\psi_i) \\ +\alpha^{-1}\mu^2\hat{T}^i(\not{\Delta}\psi_i) \end{aligned} \tag{11.298}$$

If we define:

$$\tilde{m}^0 = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})', \quad \tilde{m}^i = -\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})'\psi_i + \alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i \tag{11.299}$$

We have the decomposition:

$$f'_1 = f'_{1,P} + f'_{1,N} \tag{11.300}$$

The principal part of f'_1 as follows:

$$f'_{1,P} = \tilde{m}^\alpha \not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha \tag{11.301}$$

and $f'_{1,N}$ is the lower order term:

$$f'_{1,N} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}(\frac{\rho}{\rho'})' \sum_i \not{d}\psi_i \cdot \not{d}\psi_i \tag{11.302}$$

We then obtain:

$$R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(\mu^2 f'_1) = \mu^2 \tilde{m}^\alpha R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m \not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n''_{m,l-m} \tag{11.303}$$

where ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n''_{m,l-m}$ is of order $l+1$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n''_{m,l-m} = R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}\{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((T)^k(\mu^2\tilde{m}^\alpha))((T)^{m-k}\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha)\} \\ + \sum_{s_1 \neq \emptyset}((R)^{s_1}(\mu^2\tilde{m}^\alpha))((R)^{s_2}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha) \\ + R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(\mu^2 f'_{1,N}) \end{aligned} \tag{11.304}$$

In view of (10.303) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(\mu^2 f'_1)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]\sum_\alpha \|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} + \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n''_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \end{aligned} \tag{11.305}$$

where C is independent of ℓ.

The lower order term ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n''_{m,l-m}$ can be estimated straightforwardly, by using Proposition 11.1 and Proposition 11.2, as well as their corollaries.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{i_1...i_{l-m}} \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}n''_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ \{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}) \\ + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} + [1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.306}$$

We now return to (11.296). Our object is to express

$$R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+2}\psi_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m LT\psi_\alpha$$

as

$$TR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad LR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha$$

respectively, plus lower order terms. Then by (10.217), we can bound the first two terms on the right in (10.296) by:

$$\begin{aligned} (|\ell| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1})\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} \\ + C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} \\ + C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} \end{aligned} \tag{11.307}$$

up to a bound for the lower order terms of a form similar to (11.297).

In view of (10.204) and (10.206):

$$\begin{aligned} & TR_{i_n}...R_{i_1}-R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}T \\ & =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}\Theta R_{i_{n-k-1}}...R_{i_1} \end{aligned} \tag{11.308}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+2}\psi_\alpha=TR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \\ & -\sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_{l-m-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-m-k}})}\Theta R_{i_{l-m-k-1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{11.309}$$

To estimate in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ the sum on the right, we observe that it is given by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1}\sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=k}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}{}^{(R_{i_{l-m-k}})}\Theta\cdot\not{d}(R)^{s_2'}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \tag{11.310}$$

Here, in the inner sum, we are considering all ordered partitions $\{s_1,s_2\}$ of the set $\{l-m-k+1,...,l-m\}$ into two ordered subsets s_1, s_2. Also,

$$s_2'=s_2\bigcup\{1,...,l-m-k-1\}$$

To estimate in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ a term in the inner sum in (11.310), we use Lemma 10.20. So we obtain that (11.310) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} & C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}\Theta\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\} \\ & \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ & \{[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[0,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.311}$$

Then it follows through (11.309) that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\alpha\|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+2}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ & \leq\sum_\alpha\|TR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ & +C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ & \{[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[0,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.312}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$LR_{i_n}...R_{i_1}-R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}L=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}ZR_{i_{n-k-1}}...R_{i_1} \tag{11.313}$$

It follows that, taking $n=l-m$,

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}L(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha=LR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \\ & -\sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_{l-m-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-m-k}})}ZR_{i_{l-m-k-1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{11.314}$$

By Lemma 11.22 we have:

$$[(T)^m, L] = -\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (T)^k \Lambda (T)^{m-k-1} \tag{11.315}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^m L T \psi_\alpha = R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} L (T)^{m+1} \psi_\alpha \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^k \Lambda (T)^{m-k} \psi_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{11.316}$$

Now, μ times the sum on the right in (11.314) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C[1+\log(1+t)] \sum_{k=0}^{l-m-1} \|{}^{(R_{i_{l-m-k}})}Z \cdot \not{d} R_{i_{l-m-k-1}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^{m+1} \psi_\alpha \|_{2,[k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.317}$$

Using Corollary 10.1.i and Corollary 10.2.i we obtain that this is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} [1+\log(1+t)] \{ [1+\log(1+t)] \mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} \\ + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t) \mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} \} \end{aligned} \tag{11.318}$$

Also, μ times the sum on the right in (11.316) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C[1+\log(1+t)] \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \| \Lambda \cdot \not{d} (T)^{m-k} \psi_\alpha \|_{2,[k,l-m+k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{11.319}$$

Using Corollary 11.1.c and Corollary 11.2.c we obtain that the above is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} [1+\log(1+t)] \cdot \\ \{ [1+\log(1+t)] (\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-3} [1+\log(1+t)] \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}}) \\ + (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]} + \delta_0 [1+\log(1+t)] (\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t) \mathcal{A}_{[l-2]})] \} \end{aligned} \tag{11.320}$$

In view of the estimates (11.318) and (11.320), it follows through (11.314), (11.319) that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha \| \mu R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^m L T \psi_\alpha \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq \sum_\alpha \| \mu L R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^{m+1} \psi_\alpha \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ + C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} [1+\log(1+t)] \cdot \\ \{ [1+\log(1+t)] \mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-3} [1+\log(1+t)]^2 \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}} \\ + (1+t)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t) \mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} \} \end{aligned} \tag{11.321}$$

In view of (11.307), inequalities (11.312), (11.321), together with the estimate (11.297) imply through (11.296) that:

$$\begin{aligned} \| R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^m f_0' \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq (|\ell| + \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}) \sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0 [R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^{m+1} \psi_\alpha]} \\ + C (1+t)^{-1} [1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2} \sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_1' [R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^{m+1} \psi_\alpha]} \\ + C (1+t)^{-1} [1+\log(1+t)] \mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \{ [1+\log(1+t)] \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} \\ + (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} + [1+\log(1+t)] (\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t) \mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})] \} \end{aligned} \tag{11.322}$$

We finally turn to (11.305). Our object here is to express $R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha$ as $\not{\Delta}(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha)$ plus lower order terms. Since:

$$\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha = \mathrm{tr}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\cdot(\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha)_{AB}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha = R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha)_{AB} \\ = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-m}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m(\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha)_{AB}) \\ +\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-m}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^k(\not{g}^{-1}))^{AB}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m-k}(\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha)_{AB}\} \\ \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l-m,s_1\neq\emptyset}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}(\not{g}^{-1}))^{AB}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_2}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m(\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha)_{AB}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.323}$$

Applying formula (11.83) to the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $\not{d}\psi_\alpha$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-m}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha \\ = \not{D}^2(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha) + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}c_{m,l-m}[\not{d}\psi_\alpha] \end{aligned} \tag{11.324}$$

Hence the first term on the right in (11.323) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-m}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m(\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha)_{AB}) \\ = \not{\Delta}(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha) + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}({}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}c_{m,l-m}[\not{d}\psi_\alpha])_{AB} \end{aligned} \tag{11.325}$$

Taking into account of the fact that:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T(\not{g}^{-1}) = -\not{g}^{-1}\cdot{}^{(T)}\not{\pi}\cdot\not{g}^{-1}$$

and using Corollary 10.2.d, Corollary 11.1.c, Corollary 11.2.c and Corollary 11.2.d, we deduce that μ times the first sum on the right in (11.323) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_l[1+\log(1+t)]\{(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha\|_{2,[m-1,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}(\mathcal{T}_{[m,l]}+(1+t)^{-2}\|{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\} \\ \leq C_l(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ \{[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.326}$$

Similarly, taking into account of the fact that:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}(\not{g}^{-1}) = -\not{g}^{-1}\cdot{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\cdot\not{g}^{-1}$$

and using Corollary 10.1.d, Corollary 10.2.d and Corollary 11.2.d, we deduce that μ times the second sum on the right in (11.323) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_l[1+\log(1+t)]\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\not{D}^2\psi_\alpha\|_{2,[m,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\{[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l-1\}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{11.327}$$

Finally, to estimate in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ μ times the second term on the right in (11.325), we use the expression in Lemma 11.9 as well as Lemma 10.10, Lemma 10.11, Corollary 11.1.c and Corollary 11.2.c, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_{k\leq m,n\leq l-k}\max_{i_1...i_n}\|{}^{(i_1...i_n)}c_{k,n}[\not{d}\psi_\alpha]\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\not{d}\psi_\alpha\|_{2,[m,l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\\&+\|\not{d}\psi_\alpha\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}[\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}\\&+(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned}$$

So we get the bound:

$$\begin{aligned}&C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot\\&\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}})\\&+(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.328}$$

In view of (11.326)-(11.328), it follows through (11.323), (11.325) that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_\alpha\|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\&\leq\sum_\alpha\|\mu\not{\Delta}(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\&+C_l(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot\\&\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}})\\&+\delta_0[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.329}$$

Moreover, by **H1** the first term on the right in (11.329) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_\alpha\|\mu\not{\Delta}(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\&\leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sum_{\alpha,j}\|\mu\not{d}(R_jR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\&\leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha,j}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha]}\end{aligned}\tag{11.330}$$

The bounds (11.329)-(11.330) together with (11.306) imply through (11.303) that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(\mu^2f'_1)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\&\leq C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{3/2}\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha,j}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha]}\\&+C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot\\&\{[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}})\\&+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\}\end{aligned}\tag{11.331}$$

The bounds (11.322) and (11.331) yield finally the following proposition:

Proposition 11.6 Under the assumptions of Proposition 11.5 we have:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'_{m,l-m}\leq{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}+{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}$$

where:

$$ {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m})}P'^{(0)}_{m,l-m} = |\ell| \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} $$

and:

$$ \begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m})}P'^{(1)}_{m,l-m} = C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} \\ +C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} \\ +C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{3/2} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha,j} \mathcal{E}'_1[R_j R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m}\psi_\alpha]} \\ +(C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] + C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2)\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} \\ +C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\{[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + (1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \\ +[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]})]\} \end{aligned} $$

Chapter 12

Recovery of the Acoustical Assumptions. Estimates for Up to the Next to the Top Order Angular Derivatives of χ and Spatial Derivatives of μ

In the first part of the present chapter we shall show that assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{0\}}$ on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, together with certain primitive assumptions on the initial conditions on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$, imply pointwise estimates for κ, the functions λ_i, y'_i, y_i, as well as sharp upper and lower bounds for r. Moreover, we shall show that the same assumptions imply hypothesis **H0** on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

In the second part of the present chapter we shall show that the assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+2\}}, \mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{l+1\}}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}$, on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, together with appropriate assumptions on the initial conditions on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$, imply the acoustical assumptions $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l]}$, as well as $\mathbf{H1}, \mathbf{H2}$ and $\mathbf{H2}'$. Moreover, we shall show that under some appropriate assumptions on the initial conditions, the acoustical assumptions $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l+1]}$, for $m = 0, ..., l+1$, also follow.

Finally, in the third part of this chapter we shall derive estimates for the quantities $\mathcal{A}_{[l]}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{[m+1,l+1]}$, for $m = 0, ..., l$, under the assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+2\}}, \mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{l_*+1\}}, \mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l_*\}}$, on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, together with appropriate assumptions on the initial conditions on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$.

12.1 Estimates for λ_i, y'_i, y_i and r. Establishing the Hypothesis H0

Proposition 12.1 Let assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}$ and $\mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{0\}}$ hold on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Then provided that δ_0 is suitably small, for all $t \in [0, s]$ we have:

$$\|\kappa - 1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

where the constant C is independent of s.

Proof. Recall from Chapter 3, we have:

$$L\kappa = \eta^{-1}m + \kappa e' \tag{12.1}$$

where:

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}T(h) \tag{12.2}$$

and

$$e' = \frac{1}{\eta}\frac{d\eta}{dh}Lh - \alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i) - \alpha^{-1}L\alpha \tag{12.3}$$

Assumption $\mathbf{E}_{\{0\}}$ implies:

$$\|h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.4}$$

and:

$$\|\eta^{-1} - 1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.5}$$

From Chapter 6, we have:

$$|\hat{T}^i| \leq 1, \quad |L^i| \leq C \tag{12.6}$$

So $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{0\}}$ implies:

$$\|\hat{T}^i(L\psi_i)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{12.7}$$

Also from $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}$ and $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{0\}}$ we have:

$$\|Th\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad \|Lh\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{12.8}$$

It then follows that:

$$\alpha^{-1}|m| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |e'| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{12.9}$$

on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

Writing:

$$L(\kappa - 1) = e'(\kappa - 1) + \alpha^{-1}m + e' \tag{12.10}$$

and noting that for any function f:

$$L|f| \leq |Lf|$$

we obtain from (12.9):

$$L|\kappa - 1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}|\kappa - 1| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.11}$$

Integrating this along the integral curves of L and recalling that on Σ_0,

$$\kappa = 1$$

the proposition follows. $\square$

Recall from Chapter 6:

$$\lambda_i = \bar{g}(\mathring{R}_i, \hat{T}) \tag{12.12}$$

Lemma 12.1 Let assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}, \mathbf{E}_{\{0\}}$ hold on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Moreover, let hypothesis **H0** hold on $W^{s_0}_{\epsilon_0}$ for some $s_0 \in (0, s]$. Then, provided that δ_0 is suitably small, we have, for all $t \in [0, s_0]$:

$$\max_i \|\lambda_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

Proof. The result follows in the exactly the same way which we used to derive (6.143). Note that to derive an estimate for $\kappa^{-1}\zeta$, we must appeal to the hypothesis **H0**. $\square$

Since the result of Proposition 12.1 coincides with (6.97), so we conclude that under the assumptions of Proposition 12.1, the lower bounds (6.128) and (6.129) holds:

$$r \geq 1 - u + t - C\delta_0 u[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{12.13}$$

and

$$r \geq C^{-1}(1+t) \tag{12.14}$$

if δ_0 is suitably small.

Recall from Chapter 6 the projection operator Σ:

$$\Sigma^i_j = \delta^i_j - r^{-2}x^i x^j \tag{12.15}$$

and the fact that

$$|\Sigma\hat{T}|^2 = r^{-2}\sum_i (\lambda_i)^2 \tag{12.16}$$

Then Lemma 12.1 together with (12.14) implies:

$$|\Sigma\hat{T}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.17}$$

in $W^{s_0}_{\epsilon_0}$.

Recall also from Chapter 6:

$$N = \frac{x^i}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{12.18}$$

and the fact that:

$$0 \leq 1 + \bar{g}(N,\hat{T}) \leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.19}$$

Moreover, recall from Chapter 6 that

$$y' = \Sigma\hat{T} + (1+\bar{g}(N,\hat{T}))N$$

Following the argument in Chapter 6 ((6.169)-(6.177)) we deduce:

$$|r - 1 - t + u| \leq C\delta_0 u[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.20}$$

$$|\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{1-u+t}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.21}$$

and:

$$|y'| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.22}$$

$$\max_i \|y^i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.23}$$

Note that we have only used the assumptions in Proposition 12.1 and Lemma 12.1 (valid for $t \in [0,s_0]$) to get these results.

Now we begin the argument which leads to our establishing hypothesis **H0**.

Proposition 12.2 Consider a surface $S_{t,u}$ for which the following hold:

$$\inf_{S_{t,u}} r > 0, \quad \sup_{S_{t,u}} |y'| < 1$$

Then for every $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ we have:

$$|\xi|^2 \leq (1 - \sup_{S_{t,u}}|y'|^2)^{-1}(\inf_{S_{t,u}} r)^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\xi(R_i))^2$$

Proof. Since $R_i = \Pi\overset{\circ}{R}_i$, we have:

$$(R_i)^a = \Pi^a_b(\overset{\circ}{R}_i)^b = \Pi^a_b\epsilon_{ikb}x^k \tag{12.24}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{3}(R_i)^a(R_i)^c &= \sum_{i=1}^{3}\Pi^a_b\epsilon_{ikb}x^k\Pi^c_d\epsilon_{ild}x^l \\ &= (\delta_{kl}\delta_{bd}-\delta_{kd}\delta_{bl})x^kx^l\Pi^a_b\Pi^c_d \\ &= (r^2\delta_{bd}-x^bx^d)\Pi^a_b\Pi^c_d\end{aligned} \tag{12.25}$$

where we have used the fact that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ikb}\epsilon_{ild} = \delta_{kl}\delta_{bd}-\delta_{kd}\delta_{bl} \tag{12.26}$$

It follows that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\xi(R_i))^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{3}(R_i)^a(R_i)^c)\xi_a\xi_c = (r^2\delta_{bd}-x^bx^d)(\Pi^a_b\xi_a)(\Pi^c_d\xi_c) \tag{12.27}$$

Since ξ is an $S_{t,u}$ 1-form, we have:

$$\Pi^a_b\xi_a = \xi_b$$

We thus obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\xi(R_i))^2 = (r^2\delta_{bd}-x^bx^d)\xi_b\xi_d = r^2(|\xi|^2-(\xi(N))^2) \tag{12.28}$$

Recall (6.174):

$$y' = \hat{T}+N \tag{12.29}$$

In view of this and the fact that $\xi(\hat{T})=0$, we have:

$$\xi(N) = \xi(y') = y'^a\xi_a \tag{12.30}$$

hence:

$$|\xi(N)| = |\xi(y')| \leq |y'||\xi| \tag{12.31}$$

and:

$$|\xi|^2-(\xi(N))^2 \geq (1-\sup_{S_{t,u}}|y'|^2)|\xi|^2 \tag{12.32}$$

Substituting in (12.28) yields:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}(\xi(R_i))^2 \geq (\inf_{S_{t,u}} r)^2(1-\sup_{S_{t,u}}|y'|^2)|\xi|^2 \tag{12.33}$$

The proposition follows. $\square$

Corollary 12.2.a Suppose that there are positive constants ϵ_1 and $\epsilon_2 < 1$ such that:

$$\inf_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} r \geq \epsilon_1(1+t), \quad \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|y'|^2 \leq 1-\epsilon_2$$

Then **H0** holds on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$. In fact, for any differentiable function f on $S_{t,u}$, we have, pointwise on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$:

$$|\not{d}f|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i(R_if)^2$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1^2}$$

Proof. This follows by applying Proposition 12.2 to the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form $\xi = \not{d} f$. $\square$

Since

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} u = \epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

fixing any

$$\epsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}$$

then (12.13) implies

$$\inf_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} r > \epsilon_1 (1+t) \tag{12.34}$$

for all $t \in [0, s_0]$, provided that δ_0 is suitably small. Also, fixing any $\epsilon_2 < 1$, (12.22) implies

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} |y'|^2 < 1 - \epsilon_2 \tag{12.35}$$

for all $t \in [0, s_0]$, provided that δ_0 is suitably small.

Here we have used Lemma 12.1, which is based on **H0** to derive (12.34)-(12.35). We shall show that **H0** actually holds on $[0, s]$. Let $\bar{s}_0$ be the maximal value of $s_0 \in [0, s]$ such that **H0** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s_0}$. We show that in fact $\bar{s}_0 = s$. For, suppose that $\bar{s}_0 < s$. Since (12.17) (12.19) and (12.22) hold on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{\bar{s}_0}$, hence also (12.34) and (12.35) hold for all $t \in [0, \bar{s}_0]$, in particular at $t = \bar{s}_0$. However, (12.34) and (12.35) are strict inequalities, by continuity they will hold on some small interval $[\bar{s}_0, s_*) \subset [\bar{s}_0, s]$. This contradicts the maximality of $\bar{s}_0$. So **H0** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. From Lemma 12.1 with $s_0 = s$, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 12.3 Let $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}, \mathbf{E}_{\{0\}}^{Q}$, hold on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. Then if δ_0 is suitably small, **H0** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. Moreover, we have, on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + t - u - C\delta_0 u[1 + \log(1+t)] \leq r \leq 1 + t + \min\{0, -u + C\delta_0 u[1 + \log(1+t)]\} \\ |y'| \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \end{aligned}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, s]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa - 1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C\delta_0 [1 + \log(1+t)] \\ \max_i \|\lambda_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C\delta_0 [1 + \log(1+t)] \\ \max_i \|y^i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} [1 + \log(1+t)] \end{aligned}$$

12.2 The Coercivity Hypothesis H1, H2 and H2$'$. Estimates for χ'

We start this section with a proposition analogous to Proposition 12.2.

Proposition 12.4 Consider a surface $S_{t,u}$ for which the following hold:

$$\inf_{S_{t,u}} r > 0, \quad \sup_{S_{t,u}} |y'| < 1$$

Then for every symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ we have:

$$|\vartheta|^2 \leq (1 - \sup_{S_{t,u}} |y'|^2)^{-2} (\inf_{S_{t,u}} r)^{-4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (\vartheta(R_i, R_j))^2$$

pointwise on $S_{t,u}$

Proof. We have:

$$\sum_{i,j} (\vartheta(R_i, R_j))^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{3} (R_i)^a (R_i)^c)(\sum_{j=1}^{3} (R_j)^b (R_j)^d) \vartheta_{ab} \vartheta_{cd} \tag{12.36}$$

We substitute (12.25) in the first two factors on the right. Since $x^b = rN^b = r(y'^b - \hat{T}^b)$, and $\hat{T}^b \Pi_b^a = 0$, we have:

$$x^b \Pi_b^a = r y'^b \Pi_b^a \tag{12.37}$$

(12.25) becomes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (R_i)^a (R_i)^c = r^2 (\delta_{bd} - y'^b y'^d) \Pi_b^a \Pi_d^c \tag{12.38}$$

Substituting (12.38) in (12.36) we get:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (\vartheta(R_i, R_j))^2 = r^4 (\delta_{ac} - y'^a y'^c)(\delta_{bd} - y'^b y'^d) \vartheta_{ab} \vartheta_{cd} = r^4 (|\vartheta|^2 - 2|i_{y'}\vartheta|^2 + (\vartheta(y', y'))^2) \tag{12.39}$$

where

$$(i_{y'}\vartheta)_b = y'^a \vartheta_{ab} \tag{12.40}$$

Consider the expression:

$$|\vartheta|^2 - 2|i_{y'}\vartheta|^2 + (\vartheta(y', y'))^2$$

At a given point, by a suitable rotation of the rectangular coordinates we can arrange that $y'^2 = y'^3 = 0$. Then this expression becomes:

$$\begin{gathered} (1 - (y'^1)^2)^2 (\vartheta_{11})^2 + (\vartheta_{22})^2 + (\vartheta_{33})^2 + 2(1 - (y'^1)^2)((\vartheta_{12})^2 + (\vartheta_{13})^2) + 2(\vartheta_{23})^2 \\ \geq (1 - (y'^1)^2)^2 ((\vartheta_{11})^2 + (\vartheta_{22})^2 + (\vartheta_{33})^2 + 2(\vartheta_{12})^2 + 2(\vartheta_{13})^2 + 2(\vartheta_{23})^2) = (1 - |y'|^2)^2 |\vartheta|^2 \end{gathered}$$

We conclude that:

$$|\vartheta|^2 - 2|i_{y'}\vartheta|^2 + (\vartheta(y', y'))^2 \geq (1 - |y'|^2)^2 |\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.41}$$

So (12.39) implies:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (\vartheta(R_i, R_j))^2 \geq r^4 (1 - |y'|^2)^2 |\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.42}$$

The proposition follows. ☐

We now return to the propagation equation for χ in Chapter 3:

$$L\chi_{AB} = e\chi_{AB} + \chi_A^C \chi_{BC} - \alpha'_{AB} \tag{12.43}$$

by direct calculation (see Chapter 4):

$$\alpha'_{AB} = \alpha'^{[P]}_{AB} + \alpha^{[N]}_{AB} \tag{12.44}$$

where

$$\alpha'^{[P]}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\not{D}^2 h(X_A, X_B) \tag{12.45}$$

and $\alpha^{[N]}_{AB}$ is given by (4.25) and (4.26).

Taking into account of the fact that

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{g} = 2\chi \tag{12.46}$$

$$\chi' = \chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}$$

we obtain the following propagation equation for χ':

$$L\chi'_{AB} = e\chi'_{AB} + (\not{g}^{-1})^{CD}\chi'_{AD}\chi'_{BC} + \frac{e\not{g}_{AB}}{1-u+t} - \alpha'_{AB} \tag{12.47}$$

Lemma12.2 Let assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{2\}}, \mathbf{E}^Q_{\{1\}}, \mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{0\}}$, hold on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, and let the initial data satisfy:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_0)} \leq C\delta_0$$

Moreover, let **H1** hold on $W^{s_0}_{\epsilon_0}$ for some $s_0 \in (0, s]$. Then, provided δ_0 is suitably small, we have, for all $t \in [0, s_0]$:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

Proof. From the assumptions and the expression for e, we deduce:

$$\|e\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{12.48}$$

and from the hypotheses **H0** and **H1** and the expression for α'_{AB}, we deduce:

$$\|\alpha'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{12.49}$$

Here we have used the fact that the magnitude of the symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield $\not{\psi}$ is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$ In fact, since

$$\not{\psi}_{AB} = X^i_A(X_B\psi_i)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\psi}|^2 &= (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}X^i_A(X_B\psi_i)X^i_C(X_D\psi_i) \\ &= (g^{-1})^{ij}(X_B\psi_i)(X_D\psi_j)(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD} - \hat{T}^i\hat{T}^j(X_B\psi_i)(X_D\psi_j)(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD} \\ &= \sum_i |\not{d}\psi_i|^2 - |\not{\psi}_{\hat{T}}|^2 \leq \sum_i |\not{d}\psi_i|^2 \leq C\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4} \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have used the fact that by **H1**,

$$|\not{D}^2 h| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\max_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\not{d}h| \quad : \text{on} \quad W^{s_0}_{\epsilon_0}$$

hence since $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\not{d}h = \not{d}R_i h$, we have, by **H0**,

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\not{d}h| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\max_j |R_j R_i h| \quad : \text{on} \quad W^s_{\epsilon_0}$$

Thus,

$$|\not{D}^2 h| \leq C(1+t)^{-2} \max_{i,j} |R_i R_j h| \quad : \text{on} \quad W_{\epsilon_0}^{s_0}$$

Therefore, by $\mathbf{E}_{\{2\}}$:

$$\|\not{D}^2 h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, s_0]$$

We can write the equation (12.47) in the form:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \chi' = \chi' \cdot \chi' + e\chi' + \frac{\not{g} e}{1-u+t} - \alpha' \tag{12.50}$$

where

$$(\chi' \cdot \chi')_{AB} = \chi'_{AC}\chi'_{BD}(\not{g}^{-1})^{CD}$$

Let now ϑ, ϑ', be a pair of symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields. Their pointwise inner product, with respect to the induced acoustical metric $\not{g}$, is given by:

$$(\vartheta, \vartheta') = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AC}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BD}\vartheta_{AB}\vartheta'_{CD} \tag{12.51}$$

Since

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} = -2\chi^{AB}$$

we have:

$$L(\vartheta, \vartheta') = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta, \vartheta') + (\vartheta, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta') - 4\text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi \cdot \vartheta') \tag{12.52}$$

Taking in particular $\vartheta' = \vartheta$ we obtain:

$$|\vartheta| L |\vartheta| = \frac{1}{2} L(\vartheta, \vartheta) = (\vartheta, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta) - 2\text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi \cdot \vartheta) \tag{12.53}$$

Writing

$$\chi = \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t} + \chi'$$

we have:

$$\text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi \cdot \vartheta) = \frac{|\vartheta|^2}{1-u+t} + \text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi' \cdot \vartheta)$$

and (12.53) can be written as:

$$|\vartheta| L |\vartheta| = (\vartheta, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta) - \frac{2|\vartheta|^2}{1-u+t} - 2\text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi' \cdot \vartheta) \tag{12.54}$$

Now, the following inequality holds:

$$|\text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi' \cdot \vartheta)| \leq |\chi'||\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.55}$$

To see this, we can work in an orthonormal frame on $S_{t,u}$ relative to $\not{g}$ which is a frame of eigenvectors of χ'. Let λ_1, λ_2 be the eigenvalues of χ', then at a given point,

$$\text{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi' \cdot \vartheta) = \lambda_1(\vartheta_{11})^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\vartheta_{12})^2 + \lambda_2(\vartheta_{22})^2$$

Since

$$|\vartheta|^2 = (\vartheta_{11})^2 + 2(\vartheta_{12})^2 + (\vartheta_{22})^2$$

It follows that:

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\vartheta \cdot \chi' \cdot \vartheta)| \leq \max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|\}|\vartheta|^2$$

In view of the fact that:

$$\max\{|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|\} \leq \sqrt{(\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2} = |\chi'|$$

Then (12.55) follows.

Taking into account (12.55) as well as the fact that:

$$|(\vartheta, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta)| \leq |\vartheta||\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta|$$

we deduce from (12.54) the inequality:

$$L((1-u+t)^2|\vartheta|) \leq (1-u+t)^2(2|\chi'||\vartheta| + |\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta|) \tag{12.56}$$

We apply this to the case $\vartheta = \chi'$. In view of the fact that:

$$|\chi' \cdot \chi'| = \sqrt{(\lambda_1)^4 + (\lambda_2)^4} \leq (\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2 = |\chi'|^2$$

we obtain, substituting for $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \chi'$ from (12.50),

$$L((1-u+t)^2|\chi'|) \leq (1-u+t)^2((3|\chi'| + |e|)|\chi'| + |b|) \tag{12.57}$$

where

$$b = \frac{\not{g} e}{1-u+t} - \alpha'$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(t)$ be the property:

$$\mathcal{P}(t) : \|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_0 \delta_0 (1+t')^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t')]$$

for all $t' \in [0, t]$.

Choosing C_0 suitably large, we have, by the assumption on the initial data,

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} < C_0 \delta_0 \tag{12.58}$$

It follows by continuity that $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is true for sufficiently small positive t. Let t_0 be the least upper bound of the set of values of $t \in [0, s_0]$ for which $\mathcal{P}(t)$ holds. Then by continuity $\mathcal{P}(t_0)$ is true. Hence, in $W_{\epsilon_0}^{t_0}$, we have, in view of (12.48):

$$3|\chi'| + |e| \leq (3C_0 + C)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{12.59}$$

in $W_{\epsilon_0}^{t_0}$.

Substituting in (12.57) and taking into account the estimates (12.48) and (12.49) we obtain:

$$L((1-u+t)^2|\chi'|) \leq (3C_0 + C)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]((1-u+t)^2|\chi'|) + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.60}$$

Setting along an integral curve of L:

$$x(t) = (1-u+t)^2|\chi'| \tag{12.61}$$

then (12.60) takes the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \leq fx + g \tag{12.62}$$

where

$$f(t) = (3C_0 + C)\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)], \quad g(t) = C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.63}$$

Integrating from $t = 0$ yields:

$$x(t) \leq e^{\int_0^t f(t')dt'}\{x(0) + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^{t'} f(t'')dt''} g(t')dt'\} \tag{12.64}$$

Since

$$x(0) \leq \|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}, \tag{12.65}$$

while

$$x(t) \geq \frac{1}{4}(1+t)^2|\chi'|, \tag{12.66}$$

taking into account the facts that:

$$\int_0^t f(t')dt' \leq \int_0^\infty f(t')dt' \leq 2(3C_0 + C)\delta_0, \quad \int_0^t g(t')dt' = C\delta_0 \log(1+t) \tag{12.67}$$

(12.64) yields the bound:

$$\frac{1}{4}(1+t)^2|\chi'| \leq e^{2(3C_0+C)\delta_0}\{\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} + C\delta_0\log(1+t)\} \tag{12.68}$$

So we get on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$.

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq 4e^{2(3C_0+C)\delta_0}\{\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} + C\delta_0\log(1+t)\}(1+t)^{-2} \tag{12.69}$$

This holds for all $t \in [0, t_0]$. Let us now fix C_0 large enough so that:

$$C_0\delta_0 \geq 8\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad C_0 > 8C \tag{12.70}$$

Then provided δ_0 satisfies:

$$\delta_0 \leq \frac{\log 2}{2(3C_0 + C)} \tag{12.71}$$

(12.69) implies:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} < C_0\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{12.72}$$

for all $t \in [0, t_0]$.

It follows by continuity that $\mathcal{P}(t)$ is true for some $t > t_0$. So we must have $t_0 = s_0$, and the lemma follows. $\square$

Next, we investigate **H1**. Consider $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi$ for an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ. We have, in rectangular coordinates:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi)_a = (\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)_a + \xi_m(\not{D}R_i)_a^m, \quad (\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)_a = (R_i)^m(\not{D}\xi)_{ma} \tag{12.73}$$

where we have used that for any $S_{t,u}$ vectorfield X:

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi)(X) = (\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)(X) + \xi(\not{D}_X R_i)$$

Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi|^2 = \sum_i |\not{D}_{R_i}\xi|^2 \\ +2\sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)_a\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)_b^n \\ +\sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\xi_m\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)_a^m(\not{D}R_i)_b^n \end{aligned} \tag{12.74}$$

Consider the first term on the right of (12.74). We have:

$$\sum_i |\not{D}_{R_i}\xi|^2 = \sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)_a(\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)_b = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\sum_i (R_i)^m (R_i)^n)(\not{D}\xi)_{ma}(\not{D}\xi)_{nb} \tag{12.75}$$

Substituting from (12.38) and noting that:

$$\Pi_c^m \Pi_d^n (\not{D}\xi)_{ma}(\not{D}\xi)_{nb} = (\not{D}\xi)_{ca}(\not{D}\xi)_{db}$$

we obtain:

$$\sum_i |\not{D}_{R_i}\xi|^2 = r^2(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\delta_{cd} - y'^c y'^d)(\not{D}\xi)_{ca}(\not{D}\xi)_{db} \tag{12.76}$$

Consider the symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ:

$$\vartheta_{cd} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\not{D}\xi)_{ca}(\not{D}\xi)_{db} \tag{12.77}$$

Let μ_1, μ_2, μ_3, be the eigenvalues of ϑ relative to $\bar{g}$. Since ϑ is positive semi-definite, we have:

$$\mu_i \geq 0 : i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{12.78}$$

It follows that:

$$\max_i \mu_i \leq \sum_i \mu_i = \mathrm{tr}\vartheta \tag{12.79}$$

where

$$\mathrm{tr}\vartheta = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{cd}\vartheta_{cd} = \delta_{cd}\vartheta_{cd} \tag{12.80}$$

Then (12.79) implies:

$$y'^c y'^d \vartheta_{cd} \leq |y'|^2 \mathrm{tr}\vartheta \tag{12.81}$$

We then have:

$$(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\delta_{cd} - y'^c y'^d)(\not{D}\xi)_{ca}(\not{D}\xi)_{db} = (\delta_{cd} - y'^c y'^d)\vartheta_{cd} \geq (1 - |y'|^2)\mathrm{tr}\vartheta \tag{12.82}$$

Thus, since

$$\mathrm{tr}\vartheta = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{cd}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\not{D}\xi)_{ca}(\not{D}\xi)_{db} = |\not{D}\xi|^2 \tag{12.83}$$

(12.76) implies:

$$\sum_i |\not{D}_{R_i}\xi|^2 \geq r^2(1 - |y'|^2)|\not{D}\xi|^2 \tag{12.84}$$

Consider next the third term on the right of (12.74). We should first obtain a suitable expression for $\not{D}R_i$. Recall from (6.45) and (6.56), we have:

$$g(\not{D}_{X_A}R_i, X_B) = X_A^l \epsilon_{ilm} X_B^m + \lambda_i(\eta^{-1}\chi_{AB} - \not{k}_{AB}) \tag{12.85}$$

Let us denote:

$$(\nu_i)_{AB} = X_A^l \epsilon_{ilm} X_B^m \tag{12.86}$$

The rectangular components of ν_i are given by:

$$(\nu_i)_{lm} = \Pi_l^{l'} \Pi_m^{m'} \epsilon_{il'm'} \tag{12.87}$$

Let us also denote:

$$\tau_i = \lambda_i(\eta^{-1}\chi - \not{k}) \tag{12.88}$$

Then by (12.85) the rectangualr components of $\not{D}R_i$ are given by:

$$(\not{D}R_i)_l^k = \Pi_m^k \Pi_l^{l'} \epsilon_{il'm} + (\tau_i)_l^k \tag{12.89}$$

Using (12.89) we obtain, in view of (12.26):

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i(\not{D}R_i)_a^m(\not{D}R_i)_b^n &= \Pi_{m'}^m\Pi_{n'}^n\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}(\delta_{a'b'}\delta_{m'n'}-\delta_{a'n'}\delta_{b'm'})\\ &\quad+\sum_i(\tau_i)_a^m\Pi_{n'}^n\Pi_b^{b'}\epsilon_{ib'n'}+\sum_i(\tau_i)_b^n\Pi_{m'}^m\Pi_a^{a'}\epsilon_{ia'm'}\\ &\quad+\sum_i(\tau_i)_a^m(\tau_i)_b^n\end{aligned} \tag{12.90}$$

Substituting this in the third term on the right in (12.74) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\xi_m\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)_a^m(\not{D}R_i)_b^n &= \delta_{a'b'}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}|\xi|^2-|\xi|^2\\ &\quad+2\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\tau_i\cdot\xi)_a(v_i)_b+\sum_i|\tau_i\cdot\xi|^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.91}$$

where v_i is the $S_{t,u}$ 1-form:

$$(v_i)_b=\Pi_b^{b'}\epsilon_{ib'n'}\xi_{n'} \tag{12.92}$$

Using (12.26) and (12.92) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i|v_i|^2 &= \sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}\epsilon_{ia'm'}\epsilon_{ib'n'}\xi_{m'}\xi_{n'}\\ &= (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}(\delta_{a'b'}\delta_{m'n'}-\delta_{a'n'}\delta_{b'm'})\xi_{m'}\xi_{n'}\\ &= \delta_{a'b'}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}|\xi|^2-|\xi|^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.93}$$

Since

$$(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}=(\bar{g}^{-1})^{a'b'}-\hat{T}^{a'}\hat{T}^{b'} \tag{12.94}$$

we have:

$$\delta_{a'b'}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_b^{b'}=2 \tag{12.95}$$

Hence (12.93) reduces to

$$\sum_i|v_i|^2=|\xi|^2$$

while

$$2\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\tau_i\cdot\xi)_a(v_i)_b\geq-2\sum_i|\tau_i\cdot\xi||v_i|\geq-2|\xi|\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i|^2}\sqrt{\sum_i|v_i|^2}$$

So from (12.91) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\xi_m\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)_a^m(\not{D}R_i)_b^n &= \sum_i|v_i|^2+2\sum_i(\tau_i\cdot\xi)\cdot v_i+\sum_i|\tau_i\cdot\xi|^2\\ &\geq\sum_i|v_i|^2-2\sum_i|\tau_i\cdot\xi||v_i|\\ &\geq\sum_i|v_i|^2-2\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i\cdot\xi|^2}\sqrt{\sum_i|v_i|^2}\end{aligned} \tag{12.96}$$

i.e.

$$\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}\xi_m\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)_a^m(\not{D}R_i)_b^n\geq|\xi|^2(1-2\sqrt{\sum_i(\tau_i)^2}) \tag{12.97}$$

Finally, we consider the second term on the right of (12.74):

$$2\sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\not{D}_{R_i}\xi)_a\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)^n_b = 2\sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(R_i)^m(\not{D}\xi)_{ma}\xi_n(\not{D}R_i)^n_b \tag{12.98}$$

From (12.24), (12.26) and (12.89) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i (R_i)^m(\not{D}R_i)^n_b &= \sum_i \Pi^m_{m'}\epsilon_{ikm'}x^k(\Pi^n_{n'}\Pi^{b'}_b\epsilon_{ib'n'} + (\tau_i)^n_b)\\ = (\delta_{kb'}\delta_{m'n'} - \delta_{kn'}\delta_{m'b'})&\Pi^m_{m'}\Pi^n_{n'}\Pi^{b'}_b x^k + \Pi^m_{m'}x^k\sum_i \epsilon_{ikm'}(\tau_i)^n_b\\ = \Pi^m_{n'}\Pi^n_{n'}(\Pi^{b'}_b x^{b'}) &- \Pi^m_b(\Pi^n_{n'}x^{n'}) + \Pi^m_{m'}x^k\sum_i \epsilon_{ikm'}(\tau_i)^n_b\end{aligned} \tag{12.99}$$

Consider the first term on the right of (12.99). We have:

$$\Pi^{b'}_b x^{b'} = r\Pi^{b'}_b N^{b'} = r\bar{g}_{b'c}\Pi^{b'}_b N^c$$

By (12.29) and the fact that $\bar{g}_{b'c}\Pi^{b'}_b\hat{T}^c = 0$, we have:

$$\bar{g}_{b'c}\Pi^{b'}_b N^c = \bar{g}_{b'c}\Pi^{b'}_b y'^c$$

Hence, we obtain:

$$\Pi^{b'}_b x^{b'} = r\Pi^{b'}_b(\bar{g}_{b'c}y'^c) \tag{12.100}$$

Introducing the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield:

$$\not{y}' = \Pi\cdot y' \tag{12.101}$$

then (12.100) takes the form:

$$\Pi^{b'}_b x^{b'} = r\not{y}'^b \tag{12.102}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\Pi^n_{n'}x^{n'} = r\not{y}'^n \tag{12.103}$$

In the above, we have used the fact that $\bar{g}_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$.

In view of (12.102), (12.103), (12.99) reduces to:

$$\sum_i (R_i)^m(\not{D}R_i)^n_b = r\{\Pi^m_{n'}\Pi^n_{n'}\not{y}'^b - \Pi^m_b\not{y}'^n + \Pi^m_{m'}N^k\sum_i \epsilon_{ikm'}(\tau_i)^n_b\} \tag{12.104}$$

Hence, from (12.98), the second term on the right of (12.74) is given by:

$$2r\{\zeta_{n'}\xi_{n'} - \mathrm{tr}(\not{D}\xi)(\xi\cdot\not{y}') + \sum_i \eta_i\cdot(\tau_i\cdot\xi)\} \tag{12.105}$$

where

$$\zeta_{n'} = (\not{D}\xi)_{n'a}\not{y}'^a \tag{12.106}$$

and

$$(\eta_i)_a = N^k\epsilon_{ikm'}(\not{D}\xi)_{m'a} \tag{12.107}$$

Now we have:

$$|\zeta_{n'}\xi_{n'}| \leq |\zeta||\xi| \leq |\not{D}\xi||\xi||\not{y}'| \tag{12.108}$$

Since for any 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield M we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}M)^2 \leq |M|^2$$

we have:

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\not{D}\xi)(\xi\cdot\not{y}')|\leq\sqrt{2}|\not{D}\xi||\xi||\not{y}'| \tag{12.109}$$

Finally,

$$|\sum_i\eta_i\cdot(\tau_i\cdot\xi)|\leq\sum_i|\eta_i||\tau_i||\xi|\leq|\xi|\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i|^2}\sqrt{\sum_i|\eta_i|^2} \tag{12.110}$$

Using (12.26) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i|\eta_i|^2&=\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}N^kN^l\epsilon_{ikm'}\epsilon_{iln'}(\not{D}\xi)_{m'a}(\not{D}\xi)_{n'b} \\ &=(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}N^kN^l(\delta_{kl}\delta_{m'n'}-\delta_{kn'}\delta_{lm'})(\not{D}\xi)_{m'a}(\not{D}\xi)_{n'b} \\ &=(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}((\not{D}\xi)_{n'a}(\not{D}\xi)_{n'b}-N^{m'}N^{n'}(\not{D}\xi)_{m'a}(\not{D}\xi)_{n'b}) \\ &\leq(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ab}(\not{D}\xi)_{n'a}(\not{D}\xi)_{n'b}=|\not{D}\xi|^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.111}$$

Combining (12.108), (12.109) and (12.111) we conclude that the second term on the right of (12.74) is bounded by:

$$2r\{(1+\sqrt{2})|\not{y}'|+\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i|^2}\}|\xi||\not{D}\xi| \tag{12.112}$$

Combining (12.84), (12.97) and (12.112) results in the following proposition:

Proposition 12.5 Consider a surface $S_{t,u}$ for which the following hold:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}}|y'|\leq 1$$

Then for every $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ we have:

$$\sum_i|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi|^2\geq r^2(1-|y'|^2)|\not{D}\xi|^2+(1-2\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i|^2})|\xi|^2-2r\{(1+\sqrt{2})|\not{y}'|+\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i|^2}\}|\xi||\not{D}\xi| \tag{12.113}$$

pointwise on $S_{t,u}$.

Corollary 12.5.a Suppose that:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|y'|\leq C\delta_0,\quad\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\sqrt{\sum_i|\tau_i|^2}\leq C\delta_0$$

for some fixed positive constant C. Then if δ_0 is suitably small, for any $S_{t,u}$ 1-form ξ, differentiable on $S_{t,u}$, we have, pointwise on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\sum_i|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi|^2\geq\frac{1}{2}\{r^2|\not{D}\xi|^2+|\xi|^2\}$$

If also:

$$\inf_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}r\geq\epsilon_1(1+t)$$

then **H1** holds on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$. In fact, we have:

$$(1+t)^{-2}|\xi|^2+|\not{D}\xi|^2\leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi|^2$$

where

$$C = \frac{2}{\epsilon_1^2}$$

Proof. From the assumptions, we can choose δ_0 small enough such that

$$\{(1+\sqrt{2})|\not{y}'| + \sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2}\} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$(1 - |y'|^2) \geq \frac{3}{4}, \quad (1 - 2\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|})^2 \geq \frac{3}{4}$$

then the corollary follows. $\square$

Let us now return to Lemma 12.2 and let $\bar{s}_0$ be the maximal value of $s_0 \in [0, s]$ such that **H1** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s_0}$. We shall show that in fact $\bar{s}_0 = s$. Suppose that $\bar{s}_0 < s$. By Proposition 12.3, all the assumptions of Corollary 12.5.a except the one about τ_i hold on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. So if we can prove that the assumption about τ_i holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$, then **H1** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. To do this, we use a continuity argument. From (12.88), **H0**, $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}$ and Proposition 12.3, we have:

$$\max_i \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} |\tau_i - \lambda_i \eta^{-1} \chi| \leq C\delta_0^2 (1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{12.114}$$

for all $t \in [0, s]$.

Thus, if for some $t \in [0, s]$, χ' satisfies on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ the estimate:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.115}$$

for some fixed positive constant C_0, then we have:

$$\max_i \|\tau_i\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{12.116}$$

so the assumptions about τ_i holds as well. On the other hand, taking $s_0 = \bar{s}_0$ in Lemma 12.2, yields the estimate:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{12.117}$$

for all $t \in [0, \bar{s}_0]$. If δ_0 is suitably small this implies that:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \frac{1}{2} C_0 (1+t)^{-1}$$

at $t = \bar{s}_0$.

Therefore by continuity (12.115) holds in a suitably small interval $[\bar{s}_0, s_*] \subset [\bar{s}_0, s]$. So all the assumptions of Corollary 12.5.a hold for $t \in [0, s_*]$, hence **H1** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s_*}$, contradicting the maximality of $\bar{s}_0$. We conclude that **H1** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. So from Lemma 12.2 with $s_0 = s$, we have:

Proposition 12.6 Let assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{2\}}$, $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}^{Q}$, $\mathbf{E}_{\{0\}}^{QQ}$, hold on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$, and let the initial data satisfy:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0$$

Then, if δ_0 is suitably small, **H1** holds on $W_{\epsilon_0}^{s}$. Moreover, for all $t \in [0, s]$:

$$\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1 + \log(1+t)]$$

Next, we shall investigate **H2** and **H2$'$**. We consider $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta$ for any symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta)_{ab} &= (\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta)_{ab} + \vartheta_{mb}(\not{D}R_i)^m_a + \vartheta_{am}(\not{D}R_i)^m_b \\ (\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta)_{ab} &= (R_i)^m(\not{D}\vartheta)_{mab}\end{aligned} \tag{12.118}$$

Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 &= \sum_i|\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 \\ &+2\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\vartheta_{mb}(\not{D}R_i)^m_a + \vartheta_{am}(\not{D}R_i)^m_b)(\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta)_{cd} \\ &+\sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\vartheta_{mb}(\not{D}R_i)^m_a + \vartheta_{am}(\not{D}R_i)^m_b)(\vartheta_{nd}(\not{D}R_i)^n_c + \vartheta_{cn}(\not{D}R_i)^n_d)\end{aligned} \tag{12.119}$$

Consider the first term on the right of (12.119). We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i|\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 &= \sum_i(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta)_{ab}(\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta)_{cd} \\ &= (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\sum_i(R_i)^m(R_i)^n)(\not{D}\vartheta)_{mab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{ncd}\end{aligned} \tag{12.120}$$

Substituting from (12.38) and noting that:

$$\Pi^m_k\Pi^n_l(\not{D}\vartheta)_{mab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{ncd} = (\not{D}\vartheta)_{kab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{lcd}$$

we obtain:

$$\sum_i|\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 = r^2(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\delta_{kl} - y'^k y'^l)(\not{D}\vartheta)_{kab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{lcd} \tag{12.121}$$

Consider the symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield φ:

$$\varphi_{kl} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{kab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{lcd} \tag{12.122}$$

Since φ is positive semi definite, we have as in (12.81):

$$y'^k y'^l\varphi_{kl} \leq |y'|^2\mathrm{tr}\varphi \tag{12.123}$$

Assuming that $|y'| \leq 1$ and noting that:

$$\mathrm{tr}\varphi = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{kl}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{kab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{lcd} = |\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.124}$$

(12.121) implies

$$\sum_i|\not{D}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 \geq r^2(1 - |y'|^2)|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.125}$$

Consider next the third term on the right of (12.119). This is:

$$2A_1 + 2A_2$$

where:

$$A_1 = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{mb}\vartheta_{nd}(\sum_i(\not{D}R_i)^m_a(\not{D}R_i)^n_c) \tag{12.126}$$

$$A_2 = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{am}\vartheta_{nd}(\sum_i(\not{D}R_i)^m_b(\not{D}R_i)^n_c) \tag{12.127}$$

Substituting (12.90) in (12.126) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = {} & (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{m'b}\vartheta_{n'd}(\delta_{a'c'}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_c^{c'}\delta_{m'n'} - \Pi_a^{n'}\Pi_c^{m'}) \\ & +2\sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(w_i)_{ab}(\tau_i \cdot \vartheta)_{cd} + \sum_i |\tau_i \cdot \vartheta|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{12.128}$$

where w_i are the 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields:

$$(w_i)_{ab} = \Pi_a^{a'}\epsilon_{ia'm'}\vartheta_{m'b} \tag{12.129}$$

and:

$$(\tau_i \cdot \vartheta)_{ab} = (\tau_i)_a^m \vartheta_{mb} \tag{12.130}$$

Substituting (12.96) in the first term on the right of (12.128) this term becomes:

$$2\delta_{m'n'}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{m'b}\vartheta_{n'd} - |\vartheta|^2 = 2|\vartheta|^2 - |\vartheta|^2 = |\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.131}$$

The second term on the right of (12.128) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$2\sum_i |w_i||\tau_i \cdot \vartheta| \leq 2|\vartheta|\sqrt{\sum_i |w_i|^2}\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2} \tag{12.132}$$

Using (12.26) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i |w_i|^2 &= \sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_c^{c'}\epsilon_{ia'm'}\epsilon_{ic'n'}\vartheta_{m'b}\vartheta_{n'd} \\ &= (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_c^{c'}(\delta_{a'c'}\delta_{m'n'} - \delta_{a'n'}\delta_{c'm'})\vartheta_{m'b}\vartheta_{n'd} \\ &= (\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\delta_{a'c'}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}\Pi_a^{a'}\Pi_c^{c'}\delta_{m'n'}\vartheta_{m'b}\vartheta_{n'd} - |\vartheta|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{12.133}$$

hence, by (12.96),

$$\sum_i |w_i|^2 = 2\delta_{m'n'}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{m'b}\vartheta_{n'd} - |\vartheta|^2 = |\vartheta|^2 \tag{12.134}$$

So we conclude that:

$$A_1 \geq (1 - 2\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2})|\vartheta|^2 + \sum_i |\tau_i \cdot \vartheta|^2 \tag{12.135}$$

Substituting (12.90) in (12.127) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = {} & (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{am'}\vartheta_{n'd}(\delta_{b'c'}\delta_{m'n'}\Pi_b^{b'}\Pi_c^{c'} - \Pi_b^{n'}\Pi_c^{m'}) \\ & +2\sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\tau_i \tilde{\cdot} \vartheta)_{ab}(w_i)_{cd} + \sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\tau_i \tilde{\cdot} \vartheta)_{ab}(\tau_i \cdot \vartheta)_{cd} \end{aligned} \tag{12.136}$$

where:

$$(\tau_i \tilde{\cdot} \vartheta)_{ab} = (\tau_i \cdot \vartheta)_{ba}$$

The first term on the right of (12.136) is given by:

$$(\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{am'}\vartheta_{n'd}(\Pi_c^b\delta_{m'n'} - \Pi_b^{n'}\Pi_c^{m'}) = |\vartheta|^2 - (\mathrm{tr}\vartheta)^2$$

Here, we have used the fact that

$$\delta_{b'c'}\Pi_b^{b'}\Pi_c^{c'} = \Pi_c^b$$

Since:

$$|\tau_i \overset{\sim}{\cdot} \vartheta| = |\tau_i \cdot \vartheta|$$

the second term on the right of (12.136) is bounded in absolute value in the same way as (12.132). The third term is bounded in absolute value by

$$\sum_i |\tau_i \cdot \vartheta|^2 \tag{12.137}$$

We conclude that

$$A_2 \geq (1 - 2\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2})|\vartheta|^2 - (\mathrm{tr}\vartheta)^2 - \sum_i |\tau_i \cdot \vartheta|^2 \tag{12.138}$$

Combining (12.135) and (12.138) we get:

$$A_1 + A_2 \geq 2(1 - 2\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2})|\vartheta|^2 - (\mathrm{tr}\vartheta)^2 \tag{12.139}$$

Consider finally the second term on the right of (12.119). This is:

$$4B$$

where:

$$B = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{mb}(\sum_i (\not{D} R_i)_a^m (R_i)^k)(\not{D}\vartheta)_{kcd} \tag{12.140}$$

Substituting (12.104), by direct calculation we get:

$$\begin{aligned} B = r\{&(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{m'b}\iota_{m'd} - (\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\vartheta \cdot \not{y}')_b(\mathrm{tr}\not{D}\vartheta)_d \\ &+ \sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\tau_i \cdot \vartheta)_{ab}(\varpi_i)_{cd}\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.141}$$

where

$$\iota_{m'd} = (\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'cd}\not{y}'^c \tag{12.142}$$

$$(\varpi_i)_{cd} = N^l \epsilon_{ilk'}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{k'cd} \tag{12.143}$$

Also:

$$(\mathrm{tr}\not{D}\vartheta)_d = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{acd}, \quad (\vartheta \cdot \not{y}')_b = \vartheta_{bm}\not{y}'^m$$

Since

$$(\bar{g}^{-1})^{m'n'} = \delta_{m'n'}$$

we have:

$$|(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}\vartheta_{m'b}\iota_{m'd}| \leq |\vartheta||\iota| \tag{12.144}$$

Since

$$|\iota| \leq |\not{D}\vartheta||\not{y}'| \tag{12.145}$$

the first term in parenthesis in (12.141) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$|\vartheta||\not{D}\vartheta||\not{y}'| \tag{12.146}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2}|\mathrm{tr}\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \leq |\not{D}\vartheta|^2$$

the second term in parenthesis in (12.141) is bounded in absolute value by

$$\sqrt{2}|\not{y}'||\vartheta||\not{D}\vartheta| \tag{12.147}$$

Finally the third term in parenthesis in (12.141) is bounded in absolute valueby:

$$\sum_i |\vartheta||\tau_i||\varpi_i| \leq |\vartheta|\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2}\sqrt{\sum_i |\varpi_i|^2} \tag{12.148}$$

Moreover, using (12.26) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i |\varpi_i|^2 &= \sum_i (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd} N^k N^l \epsilon_{ikm'}\epsilon_{iln'}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'ab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{n'cd} \\ &= (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd} N^k N^l (\delta_{kl}\delta_{m'n'} - \delta_{kn'}\delta_{lm'})(\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'ab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{n'cd} \\ &= (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}((\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'ab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'cd} - N^{m'}N^{n'}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'ab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{n'cd}) \\ &\leq (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ac}(\bar{g}^{-1})^{bd}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'ab}(\not{D}\vartheta)_{m'cd} = |\not{D}\vartheta|^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.149}$$

So we conclude that

$$|B| \leq r\{(1+\sqrt{2})|\not{y}'| + \sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2}\}|\not{D}\vartheta||\vartheta| \tag{12.150}$$

Combining (12.125), (12.139) and (12.150) we get the following proposition:

Proposition 12.7 Consider a surface $S_{t,u}$ for which the following hold:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}} |y'| \leq 1$$

Then for every symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ we have:

$$\begin{gathered}\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 \geq r^2(1-|y'|^2)|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \\ +4(1-2\sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2})|\vartheta|^2 - 2(\mathrm{tr}\vartheta)^2 \\ -4r\{(1+\sqrt{2})|\not{y}'| + \sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2}\}|\not{D}\vartheta||\vartheta|\end{gathered}$$

pointwise on $S_{t,u}$.

Corollary 12.7.a Suppose that:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} |y'| \leq C\delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \sqrt{\sum_i |\tau_i|^2} \leq C\delta_0$$

for some fixed constant C. Then if δ_0 is suitably small, for any symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ, differentiable on $S_{t,u}$, we have:

$$\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}r^2|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 + 2|\vartheta|^2 - 2(\mathrm{tr}\vartheta)^2$$

If also:

$$\inf_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} r \geq \epsilon_1(1+t)$$

then **H2** and **H2**$'$ hold on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$. In fact, we have, pointwise on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$:

$$|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\{\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2 + 2|\vartheta|^2\}$$

where

$$C = \frac{2}{\epsilon_1^2}$$

Moreover, if ϑ is trace-free, we have:

$$|\not{D}\vartheta|^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta|^2$$

Proof. Choosing δ_0 sufficiently small, the second result is straightforward. Concerning the first result, we just use the fact that:

$$(\mathrm{tr}\vartheta)^2 \leq 2|\vartheta|^2$$

□

We end this part with coercivity inequalities for Lie derivatives.

Proposition 12.8 Let the assumptions of Proposition 12.6 hold and let X be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ -tangential vectorfield defined on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ and differentiable on $S_{t,u}$. Then, for any 1-form ξ we have, pointwise:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|X|(\max_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\xi| + |\xi|) + |\xi|\max_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}X|\}$$

Also, for any symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ we have, pointwise:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\vartheta| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|X|(\max_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}\vartheta| + |\vartheta|) + |\vartheta|\max_i |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}X|\}$$

Proof. Consider first the case of ξ. By Proposition 12.2, we have:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sum_i |(\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi)(R_i)| \tag{12.151}$$

Since

$$(\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi)(R_i) = X(\xi(R_i)) - \xi([X,R_i]) = X\cdot\not{d}(\xi(R_i)) + \xi(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}X) \tag{12.152}$$

we have:

$$|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\xi)(R_i)| \leq |X||\not{d}(\xi(R_i))| + |\xi||\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}X| \tag{12.153}$$

By **H0**:

$$|\not{d}(\xi(R_i))| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sum_j |R_j(\xi(R_i))| \tag{12.154}$$

and we have:

$$R_j(\xi(R_i)) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\xi)(R_i) + \xi([R_i,R_j]) \tag{12.155}$$

hence:

$$|R_j(\xi(R_i))| \leq |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\xi||R_i| + |\xi||[R_i,R_j]| \tag{12.156}$$

By Corollary 10.1.e:

$$|R_i|, \quad |[R_i, R_j]| \leq C(1+t) \tag{12.157}$$

It follows that:

$$|R_j(\xi(R_i))| \leq C(1+t)(|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\xi| + |\xi|) \tag{12.158}$$

Substituting this in (12.154) we obtain:

$$|\not{d}(\xi(R_i))| \leq C(\max_j |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\xi| + |\xi|) \tag{12.159}$$

Substituting this in (12.153), the result for ξ follows through (12.151).

Consider next the case of a symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield ϑ. By Proposition 12.4:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\vartheta| \leq C(1+t)^{-2}\sum_{i,j}|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\vartheta)(R_i, R_j)| \tag{12.160}$$

Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\vartheta)(R_i, R_j) &= X(\vartheta(R_i, R_j)) - \vartheta([X, R_i], R_j) - \vartheta(R_i, [X, R_j]) \\ &= X \cdot \not{d}(\vartheta(R_i, R_j)) + \vartheta(\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}X, R_j) + \vartheta(R_i, \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}X)\end{aligned} \tag{12.161}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned}|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_X\vartheta)(R_i, R_j)| &\leq |X||\not{d}(\vartheta(R_i, R_j))| + |\vartheta|(|R_i||\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}X| + |R_j||\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_i}X|) \\ &\leq |X||\not{d}(\vartheta(R_i, R_j))| + C(1+t)|\vartheta|\max_k|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_k}X|\end{aligned} \tag{12.162}$$

in view of the first of (12.157). By **H0**:

$$|\not{d}(\vartheta(R_i, R_j))| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sum_k |R_k(\vartheta(R_i, R_j))| \tag{12.163}$$

and from

$$R_k(\vartheta(R_i, R_j)) = (\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_k}\vartheta)(R_i, R_j) + \vartheta([R_k, R_i], R_j) + \vartheta(R_i, [R_k, R_j]) \tag{12.164}$$

as well as (12.157), we have:

$$|R_k(\vartheta(R_i, R_j))| \leq C(1+t)^2(|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_k}\vartheta| + |\vartheta|) \tag{12.165}$$

Substituting this in (12.163) we have:

$$|\not{d}(\vartheta(R_i, R_j))| \leq C(1+t)(\max_k|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_k}\vartheta| + |\vartheta|) \tag{12.166}$$

Substituting this in (12.162), the result for ϑ follows through (12.160). ☐

12.3 Estimates for Higher Order Derivatives of χ' and μ

Lemma 12.3 Let Y be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield and ϑ an arbitrary 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield, defined on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Then we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\vartheta - \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\vartheta = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(Y)}Z}\vartheta$$

Proof. We can restrict ourselves on C_u. We extend ϑ to TC_u by the condition

$$\vartheta(L, W) = \vartheta(W, L) = 0$$

for any $W \in TC_u$. Thus, for arbitrary $W \in TC_u$ we have:

$$(\mathcal{L}_L \vartheta)(L, W) = L(\vartheta(L, W)) - \vartheta([L, L], W) - \vartheta(L, [L, W]) = 0$$

(Note that $[L, W]$ is tangential to C_u). Similarly, $(\mathcal{L}_L \vartheta)(W, L) = 0$. It follows that:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta = \mathcal{L}_L \vartheta \tag{12.167}$$

On the other hand, for any $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield X, by Lemma 8.2 we have:

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta)(L, X) = Y(\vartheta(L, X)) - \vartheta([Y, L], X) - \vartheta(L, [Y, X]) = \vartheta([L, Y], X) = \vartheta({}^{(Y)}Z, X)$$

Similarly, $(\mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta)(X, L) = \vartheta(X, {}^{(Y)}Z)$. Also,

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta)(L, L) = Y(\vartheta(L, L)) - \vartheta([Y, L], L) - \vartheta(L, [Y, L]) = 0$$

It follows that, on the manifold C_u:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y \vartheta = \mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta - (\vartheta \cdot {}^{(Y)}Z) \otimes dt - dt \otimes ({}^{(Y)}Z \cdot \vartheta) \tag{12.168}$$

where, by definition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\vartheta \cdot {}^{(Y)}Z)(X) &= \vartheta(X, {}^{(Y)}Z), \\ ({}^{(Y)}Z \cdot \vartheta)(X) &= \vartheta({}^{(Y)}Z, X) : \quad \text{for all} \quad X \in TS_{t,u} \end{aligned} \tag{12.169}$$

Consider now the evaluation of

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y \vartheta - \not{\mathcal{L}}_Y \not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta$$

on the $S_{t,u}$ frame vectorfields X_A. This evaluation is

$$(\mathcal{L}_L(\not{\mathcal{L}}_Y \vartheta) - \mathcal{L}_Y(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L \vartheta))(X_A, X_B) \tag{12.170}$$

Substituting (12.167) and (12.168) this becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_L \mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta - \mathcal{L}_L(\vartheta \cdot {}^{(Y)}Z) \otimes dt - dt \otimes \mathcal{L}_L({}^{(Y)}Z \cdot \vartheta) - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_L \vartheta)(X_A, X_B) \\ = (\mathcal{L}_L \mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_L \vartheta)(X_A, X_B) \end{aligned} \tag{12.171}$$

where we have used the facts that $\mathcal{L}_L dt = d(Lt) = 0$ and $dt(X_A) = dt(X_B) = 0$. Therefore (12.170) reduces to

$$(\mathcal{L}_L \mathcal{L}_Y \vartheta - \mathcal{L}_Y \mathcal{L}_L \vartheta)(X_A, X_B) = (\mathcal{L}_{[L,Y]} \vartheta)(X_A, X_B) = (\mathcal{L}_{{}^{(Y)}Z} \vartheta)(X_A, X_B) \tag{12.172}$$

Thus, the lemma follows. $\square$

Given a positive integer l and a multi-index $(i_1 ... i_l)$, we define the symmetric 2-covariant $S_{t,u}$ tensorfield:

$${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}\chi'_l = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \chi' \tag{12.173}$$

We shall derive from (12.47), a propagation equation for ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_l)}\chi'$. Using Lemma 11.22, we obtain:

$$[\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L]\chi' = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}} [\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k}}}, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L] \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}} ... \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}} \chi' \tag{12.174}$$

By Lemma 12.3 applied to the case $Y = R_{i_{l-k}}, \vartheta = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'$, we obtain:

$$[\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k}}}, \not{\mathcal{L}}_L]\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi' = -\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi' \tag{12.175}$$

Substituting in (12.174) then yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l = \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi' \\ +\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\chi')\end{aligned} \tag{12.176}$$

We shall express the last term using (12.47). First, we express:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\chi'\cdot\chi') = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l\cdot\chi' + \chi'\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}r_l \tag{12.177}$$

where

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}r_l = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|+|s_3|=l;|s_1|,|s_3|<l}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}\chi'\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_2}\not{g}^{-1}\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_3}\chi' \tag{12.178}$$

Next, we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(e\chi') = e^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}s_l \tag{12.179}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}s_l = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l,|s_2|<l}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}e(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_2}\chi' \tag{12.180}$$

We then obtain from (12.47) we get:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\chi') = e^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l\cdot\chi' + \chi'\cdot{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}b_l \tag{12.181}$$

where

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}b_l = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}s_l + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}r_l + \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}b \tag{12.182}$$

with

$$b = \frac{e\not{g}}{1-u+t} - \alpha' \tag{12.183}$$

Given a non-negative integer l let us denote by $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_l$ the statement that there is a constant C independent of s such that for all $t \in [0, s]$:

$$\not{\mathbf{X}}'_l: \quad \max_{i_1...i_l}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

We then denote by $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l]}$ the statement:

$$\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l]} \quad : \quad \not{\mathbf{X}}'_0 \quad \text{and...and} \quad \not{\mathbf{X}}'_l$$

Lemma 12.4 Let **H0** and (12.23) hold. Let also the bootstrap assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l]}, \not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l-1]}$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l-1]}$ hold, for some positive integer l. Then the assumption $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l-1]}$ (assumption of Proposition 10.1) also holds.

Proof. The lemma is trivial for $l = 1$. Let the lemma hold with l replaced by $l-1$, for some $l \geq 2$. That is, let $\not{\mathbf{X}}'{}_{[l-2]}$ together with $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l-1]}, \not{\mathbf{E}}^Q_{[l-2]}$, **H0** and (12.23), imply $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l-2]}$. Then by Corollary 10.1.d with l replaced by $l-1$, we have:

$$\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[l-2],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] : \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.184}$$

Then for any positive integer n we have:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi' + \frac{1}{1-u+t}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_2}}{}^{(R_{i_1})}\not{\pi} \tag{12.185}$$

hence:

$$\max_{i_1...i_n}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_n}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} + C(1+t)^{-1}\max_j\|{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}\|_{\infty,[n-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{12.186}$$

Taking $n = l-1$, the lemma follows. $\square$

Proposition 12.9 Let assumptions of Proposition 12.6 hold. Let also $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+2\}}, \mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l+1\}}, \mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}$ hold in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ for some non-negative integer l, and let the initial data satisfy:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0$$

Then there is a constant C_l independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$ we have:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

that is, $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l]}$ holds in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

Proof. For $l = 0$ the proposition reduces to Proposition 12.6. We proceed by induction. Let the proposition hold with l replaced by $l-1$, for some $l \geq 1$. Then $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l-1]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$, hence by Lemma 12.4, $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l-1]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ as well. Then the Proposition 10.1 and all its corollaries hold.

By Proposition 12.6 and the estimate (12.48) we have:

$$\|e + 2\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.187}$$

We shall estimate ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}b_l$ using the corollaries of Proposition 10.1. From the expression of $e, \kappa^{-1}\zeta$ and the assumptions of the present proposition, we have:

$$\|e\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \|\not{k}\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \quad : \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.188}$$

Here, we have used Corollary 10.1.a, and Corollary 10.1.b.

Similarly, from the expression of α', we have:

$$\|\alpha'\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_j|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_2}}\chi'| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{12.189}$$

For all $t \in [0,s]$.

To see how the principal term in α', namely $\not{D}^2 h$, is estimated in the $\| \quad \|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}$, we appeal to Lemma 10.9, **H0**, **H1**, **H2** and the fact that:

$${}^{(R_i)}\pi_{AB} = -2\lambda_i(-\eta^{-1}\chi_{AB} + \not{k}_{AB})$$

(see (3.27) and (6.59)) So we get:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}b| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_j|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_2}}\chi'|C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{12.190}$$
$$: \quad \text{pointwise on} \quad W^s_{\epsilon_0}$$

From the inductive hypothesis and Corollary 10.1.d:

$$\max_{i;i_1...i_k}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \quad \text{for all} \quad k = 0,1,...,l-1$$

which is needed to estimate ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}r_l$ given by (12.178). We then deduce:

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}s_l\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.191}$$

and:

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}r_l\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \quad : \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.192}$$

It follows that:

$$|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}b_l| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_j|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_2}}\chi'| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{12.193}$$
$$: \quad \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s]$$

From (12.181), (12.187) and (12.193) we then conclude that:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\chi')| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1...j_l}|{}^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l| \tag{12.194}$$
$$+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \quad : \quad \text{pointwise on} \quad W_{\epsilon_0}^s$$

What remains to be estimated is the sum on the right of (12.176). Consider a given term in this sum, corresponding to $k\in\{0,...,l-1\}$. We use Lemma 8.5, by taking $\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'$ in the role of ξ, and the index set $\{l-k+1,...,l\}$ in the role of the index set $\{1,...,l\}$; to express the term in question in the form:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi' = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1} \tag{12.195}$$
$$+\sum_{p=1}^{k}\sum_{m_1<...<m_p=l-k+1}^{l}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(i_{m_1}...i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z}{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{m_1}...i_{m_p}i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}$$

where

$${}^{(i_{m_1}...i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z = \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m_p}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{m_1}}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z \tag{12.196}$$

(noting that Lemma 8.5 holds for an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$ tensorfields ξ)

To estimate the terms on the right of (12.195), we apply the second statement of Proposition 12.8. We then obtain, for the first term:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1}| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\{|{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z|(\max_j|{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_lj)}\chi'_l| + |{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1}|) \tag{12.197}$$
$$+|{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1}|\max_j|{}^{(j;i_{l-k})}Z|\}$$

In the case of $l\geq 2$, Corollary 10.1.i together with the inductive hypothesis $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l-1]}$ implies that the right hand side of (12.197) is bounded pointwise by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1...j_l}|{}^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.198}$$

In the case of $l=1$, Corollary 10.1.i can only give the estimate for ${}^{(R_i)}Z$, so the term ${}^{(j;i_{l-k})}Z$ can not be estimated in this way. Recalling that ${}^{(R_i)}Z = {}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L\cdot\not{g}^{-1}$, we use (6.70) to express:

$${}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L + \chi'\cdot R_i = \epsilon_{ijm}z^j\not{d}x^m + \lambda_i(\kappa^{-1}\zeta) \tag{12.199}$$

By Corollary 10.1.g, Corollary 10.1.a for $(R)^sx^j$ and λ_i, we have the following estimates:

$$\max_i\|\lambda_i\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)], \quad \max_i\|\not{d}x^i\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C,$$
$$\max_j\|z^j\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s]$$

In view also of the second of (12.188), we then obtain:

$$\|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L + \chi' \cdot R_i\|_{\infty,[1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.200}$$

Moreover, we have, by Corollary 10.1.e with $l = 1$ and $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_0$:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}(\chi' \cdot R_i)| \leq |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\chi'||R_i| + |\chi'||\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}R_i| \leq C(1+t)|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\chi'| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.201}$$

Hence

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L| \leq C(1+t)|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\chi'| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.202}$$

therefore by Corollary 10.1.d, we have:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}{}^{(R_i)}Z| \leq C(1+t)|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}\chi'| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.203}$$

pointwise on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Using this, we can estimate the last term on the right of (12.197). Thus, also in the case $l = 1$, an estimate of the form (12.198) holds.

Consider next a term in the double sum in (12.195), corresponding to an ordered subset $\{m_1, ..., m_p\} \subset \{l-k+1, ..., l\}, \quad p \in \{1, ..., k\}$. This double sum is present only for $l \geq 2$. Applying the second statement of Proposition 12.8 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z}{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}| &\leq C(1+t)^{-1} \cdot \\ \{|{}^{(i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z|(\max_j |{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l j)}\chi'_{l-p}| &+ |{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}|) \\ +|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}| &\max_j |{}^{(i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} j;i_{l-k})}Z|\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.204}$$

Since $p \geq 1$, by the inductive hypothesis $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l-1]}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \max_j \|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l j)}\chi'_{l-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \end{aligned} \tag{12.205}$$

Also, since $p \leq k \leq l-1$, we have, by Corollary 10.1.i:

$$\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.206}$$

But we can not estimate ${}^{(i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} j;i_{l-k})}Z$ when $p = k = l-1$ in this way for the same reason as above. However, using (12.199) and the estimates below (12.199), as well as the second of (12.188) and results in Chapter 10 we obtain:

$$\|{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L + \chi' \cdot R_i\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.207}$$

Moreover, by Corollary 10.1.e and $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l-1]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_1}}(\chi' \cdot R_i)| &\leq |\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_1}}\chi'||R_i| + \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l, s_2 \geq 1} |(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}\chi'||(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_2}R_i| \\ &\leq C(1+t)|{}^{(j_1\cdots j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \end{aligned} \tag{12.208}$$

Combining (12.207) and (12.208) yields:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_1}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_1}}{}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi}_L| \leq C(1+t)|{}^{(j_1\cdots j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.209}$$

hence, by Corollary 10.1.d, also:

$$|^{(j_1...j_l;i)}Z| \leq C(1+t)|^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.210}$$

Combining the above results, we obtain:

For $p = k = l-1$:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{(i_{m_1}...i_{m_p};i_{l-k})_Z}{}^{(i_1{}^{>i_{m_1}\underset{\cdots}{\cdots}i_{m_p}i_{l-k}<}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}| \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1...j_l}|^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.211}$$

Otherwise:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{(i_{m_1}...i_{m_p};i_{l-k})_Z}{}^{(i_1{}^{>i_{m_1}\underset{\cdots}{\cdots}i_{m_p}i_{l-k}<}i_l)}\chi'_{l-k-p}| \leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.212}$$

Combining these with the estimate (12.198) for the first term on the right in (12.195) we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{(R_{i_{l-k}})_Z}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'| \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1...j_l}|^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.213}$$

This together with (12.194) yields:

$$|\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l| \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1...j_l}|^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3} \tag{12.214}$$

Applying then (12.56), taking $\vartheta = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l$, and using $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_0$ we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}L((1-u+t)^2|^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l|) \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]((1-u+t)^2\max_{j_1...j_l}|^{(j_1...j_l)}\chi'_l|) \\ +C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{12.215}$$

Setting along a given integral curve of L,

$$^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(t) = (1-u+t)^2|^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l| \tag{12.216}$$

(12.215) becomes:

$$\frac{d^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l}{dt} \leq f_l\max_{j_1...j_l}{}^{(j_1...j_l)}x_l + g_l \tag{12.217}$$

where:

$$f_l(t) = C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)], \quad g_l(t) = C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.218}$$

Integrating from $t = 0$ yields:

$$^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(t) \leq {}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0) + \int_0^t(f_l(t')\max_{j_1...j_l}{}^{(j_1...j_l)}x_l(t') + g_l(t'))dt' \tag{12.219}$$

Taking the maximum over $i_1...i_l$, on both sides, and setting:

$$\bar{x}_l(t) = \max_{i_1...i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(t) \tag{12.220}$$

then we obtain

$$\bar{x}_l(t) \leq \bar{x}_l(0) + \int_0^t(f_l(t')\bar{x}_l(t') + g_l(t'))dt' \tag{12.221}$$

which implies:

$$\bar{x}_l(t) \leq e^{\int_0^t f_l(t')dt'}\{\bar{x}_l(0) + \int_0^t g_l(t')dt'\} \tag{12.222}$$

Since

$$\bar{x}_l(0) \leq \max_{i_1...i_l} \|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0 \tag{12.223}$$

while

$$\bar{x}_l(t) \geq \frac{1}{4}(1+t)^2 \max_{i_1...i_l} |{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l| \quad (u \leq \epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}) \tag{12.224}$$

taking into account the facts that:

$$\int_0^t f_l(t')dt' \leq \int_0^\infty f_l(t')dt' = 2C_l, \qquad \int_0^t g_l(t')dt' = C_l\delta_0 \log(1+t)$$

then taking the supremum on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$, we conclude that:

$$\max_{i_1...i_l} \|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad \text{: for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.225}$$

This, together with the inductive hypothesis $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l-1]}$ implies $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l]}$, completing the inductive step and therefore the proof of the proposition. $\square$

From Lemma 12.4 with $l+1$ in the role of l we conclude that under the assumptions of Proposition 12.9, $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. So Proposition 10.1 and all its Corollaries hold with $l+1$ in the role of l.

Proposition 12.10 Let the assumptions of Proposition 12.9 hold for some non-negative integer l. Let, in addition the initial data satisfy:

$$\|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m,l+1],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0$$

for some $m \in \{0,...,l+1\}$. Then there is a constant C_l independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$ we have:

$$\|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$

that is, $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l+1]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

Proof : We consider first the case $m = 0$. Since $M_{[0,0]}$ follows directly from Proposition 12.1, we apply induction on l. Assuming then $\mathbf{M}_{[0,l]}$ we are to establish $\mathbf{M}_{[0,l+1]}$ under the assumptions of the proposition for $m = 0$.

We consider the equation:

$$L\mu = m + \mu e \tag{12.226}$$

We apply $R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}$ to this equation. By Lemma 11.22, we obtain:

$$[R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}, L] = -\sum_{k=0}^{l} R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_{l+2-k}}{}^{(R_{i_{l+1-k}})}Z R_{i_{l-k}}...R_{i_1} \tag{12.227}$$

Defining:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1} = R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mu \tag{12.228}$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(i_1...i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1} = &\sum_{k=0}^{l} R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_{i+2-k}}({}^{(R_{i_{l+1-k}})}Z \cdot \not{d}^{(i_1...i_{l-k})}\mu_{0,l-k}) \\ &+e^{(i_1...i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1} + R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}m + {}^{(i_1...i_{l+1})}r'_{0,l+1} \end{aligned} \tag{12.229}$$

where:

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_{l+1})}r'_{0,l+1} = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l+1, s_1\geq 1} ((R)^{s_1}e)((R)^{s_2}\mu) \tag{12.230}$$

Now by $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+2\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l+1\}}$ and Corollary 10.1.a (remember now that Proposition 10.1 is valid for $l+1$):

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Th\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \\ &\|Lh\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \\ \text{also}: \quad &\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \end{aligned} \tag{12.231}$$

Thus using the expression for e we obtain:

$$\|e\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.232}$$

Using the first of (12.231) we obtain:

$$\|m\|_{\infty,[l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.233}$$

The estimate (12.232) together with the induction hypothesis $\mathbf{M}_{[0,l]}$ imply:

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l+1})}r'_{0,l+1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.234}$$

Consider finally a term in the sum on the right in (12.229), corresponding to $k\in\{0,...,l\}$. We express the term as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_{l+2-k}}({}^{(R_{i_{l+1-k}})}Z\cdot \not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k})}\mu_{0,l-k}) = {}&{}^{(R_{i_{l+1-k}})}Z\cdot \not{d}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{l+1-k}<}{\dots} i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l} \\ &+ \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=k,|s_1|\geq 1} ((\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}\,{}^{(R_{i_{l+1-k}})}Z)\cdot \not{d}(R)^{s_2}\,{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-k})}\mu_{0,l-k} \end{aligned} \tag{12.235}$$

Consider first the sum on the right of (12.235). By Corollary 10.1.i with $l+1$ in the role of l:

$$\max_i \|{}^{(R_i)}Z\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.236}$$

Since $|s_1|\leq k\leq l$, this allows us to bound the first factor in the sum. Moreover, by **H0** and the induction hypothesis $\mathbf{M}_{[0,l]}$, the second factor in the sum can be bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

since $1+|s_2|+l-k\leq l$. So the sum in (12.235) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.237}$$

On the other hand, by **H0** and (12.236), the first term on the right of (12.235) is bounded pointwise by:

$$C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_j |{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{l+1-k}<}{\dots} i_{l+1}j)}\mu_{0,l+1}| \tag{12.238}$$

Combining (12.237) and (12.238), the sum on the right of (12.229) is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1\dots j_l}|{}^{(j_1\dots j_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1}| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.239}$$

The estimates (12.232), (12.233), (12.234) and (12.239) imply through (12.229):

$$L(|{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1}|) \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1\dots j_{l+1}}|{}^{(j_1\dots j_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1}| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.240}$$

Defining, along a given integral curve of L,

$$^{(i_1\ldots i_{l+1})}x'_{0,l+1}(t) = |^{(i_1\ldots i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1}| \tag{12.241}$$

(12.240) takes the form:

$$\frac{d^{(i_1\ldots i_{l+1})}x'_{0,l+1}}{dt} \leq f_l \max_{j_1\ldots j_{l+1}} {}^{(j_1\ldots j_{l+1})}x'_{0,l+1} + g_l \tag{12.242}$$

where:

$$f_l(t) = C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)], \quad g_l(t) = C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.243}$$

This is similar to (12.217)-(12.218). Setting then:

$$\bar{x}'_{0,l+1}(t) = \max_{i_1\ldots i_{l+1}} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l+1})}x'_{0,l+1}(t) \tag{12.244}$$

and using the initial condition:

$$\bar{x}'_{0,l+1}(0) \leq C_l\delta_0$$

we deduce:

$$\max_{i_1\ldots i_{l+1}} \|^{(i_1\ldots i_{l+1})}\mu_{0,l+1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.245}$$

This proves the proposition in the case of $m=0$.

To prove the proposition for $m \in \{1,...,l+1\}$ we apply induction on m. We assume $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l+1]}$ for some $m \in \{0,...,1\}$, and we will establish $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,l+1]}$ under the assumption:

$$\|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0 \tag{12.246}$$

We first apply T^{m+1} to $L\mu$ to obtain, using Lemma 11.22,

$$L(T)^{m+1}\mu = T^{m+1}L\mu + \sum_{k=0}^{m}(T)^k\Lambda(T)^{m-k}\mu \tag{12.247}$$

We then apply $R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}$ to this equation, to obtain, using again Lemma 11.22,

$$LR_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\mu = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}L\mu + \sum_{k=0}^{m} R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^k\Lambda(T)^{m-k}\mu \tag{12.248}$$

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}} {}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}ZR_{i_{n-k-1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\mu$$

Let us define as in Chapter 9:

$$^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n} = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\mu \tag{12.249}$$

Applying $R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}$ to equation (12.226), we obtain:

$$R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}L\mu = e^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n} + R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}m + {}^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}r'_{m+1,n} \tag{12.250}$$

where

$$^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}r'_{m+1,n} = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=n, s_1\geq 1} ((R)^{s_1}e)((R)^{s_2}(T)^{m+1}\mu) \tag{12.251}$$

$$+R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}\frac{(m+1)!}{k!(m+1-k)!}((T)^ke)((T)^{m+1-k}\mu))$$

Substituting (12.250) in (12.248) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} L^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n} = e^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n} + R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}m + {}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}r'_{m+1,n} \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{m} R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^k \Lambda (T)^{m-k}\mu \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_{n-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z R_{i_{n-k-1}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\mu \end{aligned} \tag{12.252}$$

By assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l+2\}}, \mathbf{E}^Q_{\{l+1\}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Th\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \\ \|Lh\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \end{aligned} \tag{12.253}$$

By Corollary 11.1.b, we have:

$$\|\omega_{L\hat{T}}\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.254}$$

The bounds (12.253) and (12.254) imply:

$$\|e\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.255}$$

and (12.253) implies:

$$\|m\|_{\infty,[m+1,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.256}$$

Also, (12.255) together with $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l+1]}$ imply:

$$\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}r'_{m+1,n}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for} \quad n \leq l-m \tag{12.257}$$

provided that either $n = 0$, so that the first sum on the right in (12.251) vanishes, or $\mathbf{M}_{m+1,m+n}$ holds. Moreover, by Corollary 11.1.c:

$$\|\Lambda\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.258}$$

To establish $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,l+1]}$, we establish $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,m+1+n]}$ for $n = 0, \dots, l-m$ by induction on n. We begin with the case $n = 0$. In this case, equation (12.252) reduces to:

$$L\mu_{m+1,0} = e\mu_{m+1,0} + (T)^{m+1}m + r'_{m+1,0} + \sum_{k=0}^{m}(T)^k \Lambda (T)^{m-k}\mu \tag{12.259}$$

Using (12.258) with $l = m$, and $\mathbf{M}_{[m,m+1]}$, the sum in (12.259) is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \|\Lambda \cdot \not{d}(T)^{m-k}\mu\|_{\infty,[k,k],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_m (1+t)^{-1} \|\Lambda\|_{\infty,[m,m],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m,m+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ \leq C_m \delta_0^2 (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \end{aligned} \tag{12.260}$$

Appealing also to (12.48) and (12.256) with $l = m$, (12.257) with $n = 0$, we deduce:

$$L(|\mu_{m+1,0}|) \leq C\delta_0 (1+t)^{-2}|\mu_{m+1,0}| + C_m \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.261}$$

which together with

$$\|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m+1,m+1],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_m \delta_0 \tag{12.262}$$

yields:

$$\|\mu_{m+1,0}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_m\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.263}$$

This estimate together with $\mathbf{M}_{[m,m+1]}$ yields $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,m+1]}$.

Next, let $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,m+n]}$ hold for some $n\in\{1,...,l-m\}$. We shall show that $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,m+1+n]}$ holds. Consider the first sum on the right in (12.252). Since $n\leq l-m$ this is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\|\Lambda\cdot\not{d}(T)^{m-k}\mu\|_{\infty,[k,l+k-m],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\|\Lambda\|_{\infty,[m,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}\|\mu-1\|_{\infty,[m,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\end{aligned} \tag{12.264}$$

by (12.258) and $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l+1]}$.

Consider next the second sum on the right of (12.252). Consider a term in this sum corresponding to $k\in\{0,...,n-1\}$. We express the term as:

$$\begin{aligned}R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}({}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z\cdot\not{d}R_{i_{n-k-1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\mu) &= {}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z\cdot\not{d}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{n-k}<}{\cdots}i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n-1} \\ &+ \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=k,|s_1|\geq1}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z)\cdot\not{d}(R)^{s_2'}(T)^{m+1}\mu\end{aligned} \tag{12.265}$$

where the sum on the right is over all ordered partitions $\{s_1,s_2\}$ of the set $\{n-k+1,...,n\}$ into two ordered subsets s_1,s_2, with s_1 non-empty. Also

$$s_2' = s_2\bigcup\{1,...,n-k-1\}$$

Since $|s_1|\leq k\leq n-1\leq l-m-1$, the first factor in the sum is bounded by (12.236). Also, since $|s_2|\leq k-1$, we have:

$$|s_2'| = |s_2|+n-k-1\leq n-2 \quad \text{and} \quad 1+|s_2'|+m+1\leq n+m$$

Therefore, using **H0** and $\mathbf{M}_{m+1,m+n}$ the sum is seen to be bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.266}$$

On the other hand, by (12.236) and **H0** the first term on the right of (12.265) is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_j|{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{n-k}<}{\cdots}i_nj)}\mu_{m+1,n}| \tag{12.267}$$

Combining (12.266) and (12.267) we conclude that the second sum on the right of (12.252) is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_j|{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{n-k}<}{\cdots}i_nj)}\mu_{m+1,n}| + C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 \tag{12.268}$$

The estimates (12.255)-(12.257), (12.264) and (12.268) imply through (12.252):

$$L(|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mu_{m+1,n}|) \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_{j_1...j_n}|{}^{(j_1...j_n)}\mu_{m+1,n}| + C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.269}$$

Setting along an integral curve of L,

$${}^{(i_1...i_n)}x'_{m+1,n}(t) = |{}^{(i_1...i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n}| \tag{12.270}$$

(12.269) takes the form:

$$\frac{d^{(i_1...i_n)}x'_{m+1,n}}{dt} \leq f_l\max_{j_1...j_n}{}^{(j_1...j_n)}x'_{m+1,n}+g_l \tag{12.271}$$

where:

$$f_l(t) = C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)], \quad g_l(t) = C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.272}$$

This is identical in form to (12.217)-(12.218). Setting then:

$$\bar{x}'_{m+1,n}(t) = \max_{i_1\ldots i_n} {}^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}x'_{m+1,n}(t) \tag{12.273}$$

in view of the fact that

$$\bar{x}'_{m+1,n}(0) \leq C_l\delta_0$$

we conclude that:

$$\max_{i_1\ldots i_n} \|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_n)}\mu_{m+1,n}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,s] \tag{12.274}$$

This together with $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,m+n]}$ yields $\mathbf{M}_{[m+1,m+1+n]}$, so the proposition follows. ☐

Let us now define, for any non-negative integer k, the quantities:

$$\mathcal{A}'_k = \max_{i_1\ldots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{12.275}$$

and, for any non-negative integer l, the quantities:

$$\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l}\mathcal{A}'_k \tag{12.276}$$

Let us recall the definitions:

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \|\chi - \frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{12.277}$$

and for $k>0$:

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \max_{i_1\ldots i_k} \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_k}}\ldots\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{12.278}$$

and:

$$\mathcal{A}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l}\mathcal{A}_k \tag{12.279}$$

Let us also recall:

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \max_\alpha\{\|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\} \tag{12.280}$$

and for $k>0$:

$$\mathcal{W}_k = \max_{\alpha;i_1\ldots i_k} \|R_{i_k}\ldots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{12.281}$$

and:

$$\mathcal{W}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l}\mathcal{W}_k \tag{12.282}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_k^Q = \max_{\alpha;i_1\ldots i_k} \|R_{i_k}\ldots R_{i_1}Q\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{[l]}^Q = \sum_{k=0}^{l}\mathcal{W}_k^Q \tag{12.283}$$

For all $k = 0, \ldots, l$:

$$\mathcal{Y}_k = \max_{j;i_1\ldots i_k} \|R_{i_k}\ldots R_{i_1}y^i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \mathcal{Y}_{[l]} = \sum_{k=0}^{l}\mathcal{Y}_k \tag{12.284}$$

Recall also that given a positive integer n we denote:

$$\begin{aligned} n_* &= \frac{n}{2} \quad &&: \text{if n is even} \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} \quad &&: \text{if n is odd} \end{aligned} \tag{12.285}$$

Lemma 12.5 Let **H0** and (12.23) hold. Let l be a positive integer and let the assumptions $\not{\mathbf{E}}_{[l_*]}, \not{\mathbf{E}}^{Q}_{[l_*-1]}$ as well as $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l_*-1]}$ hold. Then we have:

$$\mathcal{A}_{[l]} \leq \mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + C_l \mathcal{A}'_{[l-1]} + C_l (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}]$$

Proof: From (12.185) we obtain, for $k \geq 1$:

$$\mathcal{A}_k \leq \mathcal{A}'_k + \frac{1}{1-u+t} \max_i \max_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}} \| \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_{k-1}}} \dots \not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{j_1}} {}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi} \|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{12.286}$$

Summing over $k \in \{1, ..., l\}$ and adding:

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}'_0 \tag{12.287}$$

we deduce, for $l \geq 1$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{[l]} \leq \mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + \frac{3}{1-u+t} \max_i \| {}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi} \|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{12.288}$$

By Lemma 12.4 with l_* in the role of l, the assumptions of the lemma imply $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*-1]}$, Therefore Proposition 10.2 holds. Then Corollary 10.2.d implies:

$$\max_i \| {}^{(R_i)}\not{\pi} \|_{2,[l-1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l [\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \tag{12.289}$$

Substituting this to (12.288) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{[l]} \leq \mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + C_l \mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + C_l (1+t)^{-1} [\mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \quad &: \text{for all} \quad l \geq 1 \\ \mathcal{A}_{[0]} &= \mathcal{A}'_{[0]} \end{aligned} \tag{12.290}$$

The lemma follows. $\square$

Proposition 12.11 Let the assumptions of Lemma 12.4 hold. Let l be a non-negative integer and let the assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+2\}}, \mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{l_*+1\}}, \mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{l_*\}}$, hold on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Moreover let the initial data satisfy:

$$\| \not{\chi}' \|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0$$

Then $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l_*]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ and there is a constant C_l independent of s such that for all $t \in [0, s]$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t) \leq C_l (1+t)^{-1} \{ & \mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0) + \delta_0 \mathcal{Y}_0(0) \\ & + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1} [\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}(t') + \mathcal{W}^{Q}_{[l+1]}(t')] dt' \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The assumptions of this proposition include those of Proposition 12.9 with l_* in the role of l, therefore $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l_*]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Then from Lemma 12.4, $\not{\mathbf{X}}_{[l_*]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Thus, Proposition 10.1 holds with $l_* + 1$ in the role of l, then Proposition 10.2 holds with $l+1$ in the role of l.

We shall estimate ${}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}b_l$ (see (12.182)) in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. By assumptions $\mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{l_*\}}, \mathbf{E}_{\{l_*+1\}}$, we have:

$$\| L\psi_\mu \|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \quad \| \not{d}\psi_\mu \|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, s] \tag{12.291}$$

as well as

$$\| Lh \|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \quad \| \not{d}h \|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, s] \tag{12.292}$$

So by Corollary 10.1.b we have:

$$\|e\|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}, \quad \|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{\infty,[l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.293}$$

Obviously, by the assumptions of the present proposition we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Lh\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \\ \|\not{d}h\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \end{aligned} \tag{12.294}$$

so by Corollary 10.1.g and Corollary 10.2.g with l replaced by $l+1$, we have:

$$\|e\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}]\} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{12.295}$$

Also from the expression

$$\kappa^{-1}\zeta = \alpha\epsilon - \not{d}\alpha$$

and Corollary 10.2.h, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa^{-1}\zeta\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]} \\ &+\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}]\} \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \end{aligned} \tag{12.296}$$

From (12.45), using the expression for L^i:

$$L^i = -\eta\hat{T}^i + \psi_i$$

and Corollary 10.2.g as well as we have:

$$\|\alpha'\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{A}_{[l]}] \tag{12.297}$$

where we have used Lemma 10.8 and Lemma 10.11 to estimate the commutator as well as $\mathbf{H0}, \mathbf{H1}, \mathbf{H2}$ to estimate the $S_{t,u}$ -tangential derivatives. This and (12.295), together with the estimates for $^{(R_i)}\not\pi$ in Chapter 10 (10.145):

$$\begin{aligned} \|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{\infty,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ \|^{(R_i)}\not\pi\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} &\leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.298}$$

yield the following bound for b defined by (12.183):

$$\|b\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}] \tag{12.299}$$

Next, we shall estimate $^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}s_l$ and $^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}r_l$ in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. From the expression (12.178), bounds for $^{(R_i)}\not\pi$ (12.298) as well as the fact that $\mathbf{X}'_{[l_*]}$ holds, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}r_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[l-1]} \\ &+C_l\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]^2[\mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \end{aligned} \tag{12.300}$$

Also from (12.180) and the estimates for e we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}s_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{A}'_{[l-1]} \\ +C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]&\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.301}$$

Combining (12.299)-(12.301) and Lemma 12.5, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}b_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0\{(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} \\ +(1+t)^{-2}[\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}+(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{Y}_0+\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}]\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.302}$$

Next, we shall estimate the $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ norm of the sum on the right of (12.176). A term $k \in \{0, ..., l-1\}$ in this sum is expressed by (12.195). For the first term on the right of (12.195), we have the pointwise estimate (12.197). This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\overset{>i_{l-k}<}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\cdot \\ \{\|{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}(3\max_j\|{}^{(i_1\overset{i_{l-k}}{\dots}i_lj)}\chi'_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}+\|{}^{(i_1\overset{i_{l-k}}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}) \\ +\|{}^{(i_1\overset{i_{l-k}}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'_{l-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\max_j\|{}^{(j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.303}$$

If $l \geq 2$, so that $l_* \geq 1$, we apply Corollary 10.1.i with l_*+1 in the role of l to get:

$$\|{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \max_j\|{}^{(j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.304}$$

We then obtain:

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}{}^{(i_1\overset{i_{l-k}}{\dots}i_l)}\chi'{}_{l-1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} \quad : \quad l \geq 2 \tag{12.305}$$

On the other hand, if $l = 1$, then $l_* = 0$, we estimate the last term in parenthesis in (12.197) in L^2 by:

$$3\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\max_j\|{}^{(j;i_1)}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{12.306}$$

By Corollary 10.2.i with $l+1$ in the role of l, we have:

$$\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}Z\|_{2,[l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\} \tag{12.307}$$

where we have used Lemma 12.5 to express $\mathcal{A}_{[l]}$ in terms of $\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}$. Then in view of Proposition 12.6 and (12.307) with $l = 1$, (12.306) is bounded by

$$C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[1]}+\mathcal{W}_{[2]}\} \tag{12.308}$$

Thus, in the case of $l = 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_i)}Z}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[1]} \\ +C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+\mathcal{W}_{[2]}]\end{aligned} \tag{12.309}$$

Next, we should consider a term in the double sum in (12.195), corresponding to an ordered subset $\{m_1, ..., m_p\} \subset \{l-k+1, ..., l\}$, $p \in \{1, ..., k\}$. We have the pointwise estimate (12.204).

We distinguish three cases:

$$\text{Case 1}: \quad p \leq l_*-1, \quad \text{Case 2}: \quad p = l_*, \quad \text{Case 3}: \quad p \geq l_*+1$$

In Case 1, Corollary 10.1.i with l_*+1 in the role of l implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}, \quad \max_j\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p}j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\end{aligned} \tag{12.310}$$

then (12.204) implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z}{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}\cdot \\ \{\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}(3\max_j\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l j)}\chi'_{l-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}) \\ +\max_j\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p}j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[l-1]}\end{aligned} \tag{12.311}$$

where we have used the fact that $p \geq 1$.

In Case 2, Corollary 10.1.i with l_*+1 in the role of l implies the first of (12.310), but not the second. We use instead Corollary 10.2.i with $l+1$ in the role of l, to get:

$$\max_j\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p}j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}\} \tag{12.312}$$

where we have used the fact that $p \leq k \leq l-1$.

Since in Case 2 we have $l-1-p = l-1-l_* \leq l_*$, we may use $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l_*]}$ to estimate:

$$\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.313}$$

In view of (12.312) and (12.313) we get in Case 2:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z}{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}\cdot \\ \{\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}(3\max_j\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l j)}\chi'_{l-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}) \\ +3\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\max_j\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p}j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}]\end{aligned} \tag{12.314}$$

In Case 3 we apply (12.312) and also (12.307) with l replaced by $l-1$ to estimate:

$$\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\{\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l-1]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l]}\} \tag{12.315}$$

Since in Case 3 we have $l-p \leq l-l_*-1 \leq l_*$, we may use $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[l_*]}$ to estimate:

$$\max_j\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}}{\cdots} i_l j)}\chi'_{l-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.316}$$

as well as (12.313).

So in Case 3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z}{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C(1+t)^{-1}\cdot \\ \{\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p};i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}(3\max_j\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l j)}\chi'_{l-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}) \\ +3\|{}^{(i_1 \overset{>i_{m_1}\cdots i_{m_p} i_{l-k}<}{\cdots} i_l)}\chi'_{l-1-p}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\max_j\|{}^{(i_{m_1}\dots i_{m_p}j;i_{l-k})}Z\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\} \\ \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}]\end{aligned} \tag{12.317}$$

Combining (12.311), (12.314) and (12.317) we conclude that the double sum in (12.195) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}] \tag{12.318}$$

Combining this result with (12.305) and (12.309) we then conclude that the sum on the right of (12.176) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sum_{k=0}^{l-1}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_l}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k+1}}}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}Z}\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-k-1}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}]\end{aligned} \tag{12.319}$$

In view of (12.302) and (12.319), we obtain through (12.176) and (12.181), recalling also (12.187):

$$\|\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}]+(1+t)^{-2}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}+\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}\} \tag{12.320}$$

Let us define the non-negative functions:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l=(1-u+t)^2|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l| \tag{12.321}$$

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l=(1-u+t)^2(2|\chi'||{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l|+|\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\chi'_l|) \tag{12.322}$$

From (12.56) we have:

$$L^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l\leq{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l \tag{12.323}$$

We pull back ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l$ and ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l$ to S^2:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l(t,u)={}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l\circ\Phi_{t,u},\quad{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l(t,u)={}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l\circ\Phi_{t,u} \tag{12.324}$$

where $\Phi_{t,u}$ is the diffeomorphism of S^2 onto $S_{t,u}$ defined in Chapter 8. Then (12.324) reads:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l(t,u)\leq{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l(t,u)$$

Integrating we obtain:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l(t,u)\leq{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l(0,u)+\int_0^t{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l(t',u)dt' \tag{12.325}$$

Taking L^2 norm on $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ yields:

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\leq\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l(0)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}+\int_0^t\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l(t')\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}dt' \tag{12.326}$$

In view of the comparison inequalities (8.333) we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{-1}\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C\{\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\phi_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.327}$$

Replacing l by $k\in\{0,...,l\}$, taking on both sides the maximum over $i_1,...,i_k$ and then summing over $k\in\{0,...,l\}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{l}\max_{i_1...i_k}\|{}^{(i_1...i_k)}\phi_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C\{\sum_{k=0}^{l}\max_{i_1...i_k}\|{}^{(i_1...i_k)}\phi_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{l}\max_{i_1...i_k}\|{}^{(i_1...i_k)}\rho_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.328}$$

From (12.321) we have:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l} \max_{i_1 \dots i_l} \|^{(i_1 \dots i_k)}\phi_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \geq C^{-1}(1+t)^2 \mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t) \tag{12.329}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l} \max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|^{(i_1 \dots i_k)}\phi_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0)$$

and from (12.322), (12.320) and $\not{\mathbf{X}}'_{[0]}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{l} \max_{i_1 \dots i_k} \|^{(i_1 \dots i_k)}\rho_k\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \\ &C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t) + C(1+t)^2 \|\not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1 \dots i_l)}\chi'_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l \delta_0 (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t)\} + C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}(t) + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}(t)\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.330}$$

Substituting (12.329) and (12.330) in (12.328) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t) \leq C\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0) + \int_0^t C_l \delta_0 (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')] \cdot (1+t')\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t')dt' \\ + \int_0^t C_l \delta_0 (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{Y}_0(t')dt' + C_l \int_0^t (1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}(t') + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}(t')\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{12.331}$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t) \leq C\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0) + \int_0^t C_l \delta_0 (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{Y}_0(t')dt' \\ + C_l \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]}(t') + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}(t')\}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{12.332}$$

Finally, we must estimate $\mathcal{Y}_0(t)$ in terms of $\mathcal{Y}_0(0)$. To do this, we must derive a propagation equation for y^i. According (6.63):

$$\hat{T}^i = -\frac{x^i}{1-u+t} + y^i \tag{12.333}$$

hence:

$$L\hat{T}^i = -\frac{L^i}{1-u+t} + \frac{x^i}{(1-u+t)^2} + Ly^i \tag{12.334}$$

Also from (6.67) and (6.68),

$$L^i = \frac{x^i}{1-u+t} + \omega^i - \eta y^i \tag{12.335}$$

where

$$\omega^i = \frac{(\eta-1)x^i}{1-u+t} - \psi_i \tag{12.336}$$

Substituting (12.335) in (12.334) we get:

$$L\hat{T}^i = \frac{(\eta y^i - \omega^i)}{(1-u+t)} + Ly^i \tag{12.337}$$

On the other hand, recalling from Chapter 3 that:

$$L(\hat{T}^i) = q_L \cdot \not{d}x^i \tag{12.338}$$

we conclude y^i satisfies the propagation equation:

$$Ly^i + \frac{y^i}{1-u+t} = \tilde{\omega}^i \tag{12.339}$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega}^i = \frac{\omega^i - (\eta - 1)y^i}{1-u+t} + q_L \cdot \not{d}x^i \tag{12.340}$$

From (12.23) and (12.336), the first term on the right of (12.340) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[0]}(t) \tag{12.341}$$

From (3.183) and (12.333), we deduce:

$$\|q_L\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(t) \tag{12.342}$$

hence we obtain:

$$\max_i \|\tilde{\omega}^i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(t) \tag{12.343}$$

Integrating (12.339) we get, in acoustical coordinates:

$$(1-u+t)y^i(t,u,\vartheta) = (1-u)y^i(0,u,\vartheta) + \int_0^t (1-u+t')\tilde{\omega}^i(t',u,\vartheta)dt' \tag{12.344}$$

Taking L^2 norm on $[0,\epsilon_0] \times S^2$ we then obtain:

$$(1+t)\|y^i(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq C\{\|y^i(0)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + \int_0^t (1+t')\|\tilde{\omega}^i\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}dt'\} \tag{12.345}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\|y^i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\{\|y^i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} + \int_0^t \|\tilde{\omega}^i\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\} \tag{12.346}$$

Taking the maximum over $i = 1, 2, 3$, yields:

$$\mathcal{Y}_0(t) \leq C\{\mathcal{Y}_0(0) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(t')dt'\} \tag{12.347}$$

This implies:

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{Y}_0(t')dt' \leq C\{\mathcal{Y}_0(0) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(t')dt'\} \tag{12.348}$$

In fact, Let

$$I_t(t') = -\int_{t'}^t (1+s)^{-2}[1+\log(1+s)]ds$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt'}I_t(t') = (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]$$

Let also

$$J(t') = \int_0^{t'} (1+s)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(s)ds$$

Then:

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\int_0^{t'}(1+s)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(s)dsdt'$$
$$= I_t(t)J(t) - I_t(0)J(0) - \int_0^t I_t(t')\frac{dJ}{dt'}(t')dt'$$

But

$$I_t(t) = J(0) = 0$$

So this reduces to:

$$-\int_0^t I_t(t')\frac{dJ}{dt'}(t')dt'$$

Now,

$$-I_t(t') = \int_{t'}^t (1+s)^{-2}[1+\log(1+s)]ds \leq \int_{t'}^\infty (1+s)^{-2}[1+\log(1+s)]ds$$
$$= [-\frac{2}{1+s} - \frac{\log(1+s)}{1+s}]_{t'}^\infty = \frac{2+\log(1+t')}{1+t'}$$

Therefore

$$-\int_0^t I_t(t')\frac{dJ}{dt'}(t')dt' \leq 2\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{W}_{[1]}(t')dt'$$

Substituting (12.348) in the right in (12.332), the integral on the right in (12.348) is absorbed into the last integral on the right in (12.332). So the proposition follows. ☐

Proposition 12.12 Let the assumptions of Proposition 12.11 hold for some non-negative integer l. Let $m \in \{0, ..., l+1\}$, and let the initial data satisfy:

$$\|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[m,l_*+1],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0$$

Then $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ and there is a constant C_l independent of s such that for all $t \in [0,s]$ we have:

$$(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(t) \leq C\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(0) + C_l\{\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0) + \delta_0\mathcal{Y}_0(0)$$
$$+\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}(t') + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}(t')]dt'\}$$

Proof: The assumptions of this proposition include those of Proposition 12.10 with l_* in the role of l, therefore $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Thus, the assumptions of Proposition 11.1 hold with l_* in the role of l, hence also the assumptions of Proposition 11.2 hold. Therefore, the conclusions of Proposition 11.1 and of all its corollaries hold with l_* in the role of l, and the conclusions of Proposition 11.2 and of all its corollaries hold as well.

We consider the propagation equation (12.252), replacing $m+1$ by m, so that $m \in \{0, ..., l+1\}$. We must estimate in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ the terms on the right side. From the definition of m and e, we have:

$$\|m\|_{2,[m,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} \tag{12.349}$$

$$\|e\|_{2,[m,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1} \tag{12.350}$$
$$\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}]\}$$

Here, we have also used Corollary 11.2.b (the estimate for $\omega_{L\hat{T}}$; note that actually, only $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*]}$ is used in proving this estimate).

Also from Corollary 11.1.b with l_* in the role of l we obtain:

$$\|e\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{12.351}$$

Consider next ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}r'_{m,n}$, given by (12.251) with $m+1$ replaced by m. Here $n \leq l+1-m$. Consider the summand:

$$((R)^{s_1}e)((R)^{s_2}(T)^m\mu) \quad : \quad |s_1|+|s_2| = n, |s_1| \geq 1$$

If $|s_2| \leq l_*+1-m$ we appeal to $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ to place the second factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Otherwise, we have $|s_1| \leq n-(l_*+2-m) \leq l-l_*-1 \leq l_*$ and we appeal to (12.351) to place the first factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Noting that $|s_2| \leq n-1 \leq l-m$, we then obtain an $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ for the first sum by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]} + C_l[1+\log(1+t)]\|e\|_{2,[m,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{12.352}$$

Consider next the summand:

$$R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(((T)^k e)((T)^{m-k}\mu)) \quad : \quad k \in \{1,...,m\}$$

of the second sum. If at most $l_*+1+k-m$ of the n angular derivatives fall on $(T)^{m-k}\mu$ we appeal to $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ to place the corresponding factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Otherwise, at most

$$n-(l_*+2+k-m) \leq l-l_*-1-k \leq l_*-k$$

angular derivatives fall on $(T)^k e$ and we appeal to (12.351) to place the corresponding factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Noting that $k \geq 1$, the second term on the right of (12.251) is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l]} + C_l[1+\log(1+t)]\|e\|_{2,[m,l+1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{12.353}$$

Combining (12.352) and (12.353), and substituting the bound (12.350), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{n\leq l+1-m}\max_{i_1...i_n}\|{}^{(i_1...i_n)}r'_{m,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-1}\{\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \\ +[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}})]\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.354}$$

Next, we must estimate the two sums on the right of (12.252) with $m+1$ replaced by m. The first sum is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\|\Lambda\cdot\not{d}(T)^{m-1-k}\mu\|_{2,[k,l+k+1-m],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \tag{12.355}$$

Here, $\Lambda, \not{d}(T)^{m-1-k}\mu$, receives at most k T-derivatives, thus μ receives at most $m-1$ T-derivatives, and there are $l+k+1-m$ spatial derivatives. By Corollary 11.1.c with l_* in the role of l:

$$\|\Lambda\|_{\infty,[m,l_*],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{12.356}$$

If at most l_* spatial derivatives fall on Λ we appeal to this estimate to place the corresponding factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Otherwise, at most

$$(l+k+1-m)-(l_*+1) \leq l_*+k+1-m$$

spatial derivatives fall on $\not{d}(T)^{m-1-k}\mu$, for a total of at most l_*+1 spartial derivatives falling on μ, and we appeal to $\mathbf{M}_{[m-1,l_*+1]}$ to place the corresponding factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. In this way, we deduce that (12.355) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]} \\ &+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\|\Lambda\|_{2,[m-1,l],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ \leq\ & C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot\{\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]}+\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l-1]}) \\ &+[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.357}$$

by Corollary 11.2.c with m replaced by $m-1$.

Finally, we must consider the second sum on the right of (12.252) with $m+1$ replaced by m. Consider a term in this sum, corresponding to $k\in\{0,...,n-1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{i_n}...R_{i_{n-k+1}}({}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z\cdot\not{d}R_{i_{n-k-1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu) \\ &=\sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=k}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}\,{}^{(R_{i_{n-k}})}Z)\cdot\not{d}(R)^{s_2'}(T)^m\mu \\ &\qquad s_2'=s_2\bigcup\{1,...,n-k-1\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.358}$$

If $|s_1|\leq l_*$ we appeal to Corollary 10.1.i with l_*+1 in the role of l to place the first factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Otherwise, $|s_2|\leq k-l_*-1$ and

$$|s_2'|=|s_2|+n-k-1\leq n-l_*-2\leq l-l_*-1-m\leq l_*-m$$

hence $1+|s_2'|+m\leq l_*+1$ and we appeal to $\mathbf{M}_{[m,l_*+1]}$ to place the second factor in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$. Since also $|s_1|\leq k\leq n-1\leq l-m$ and

$$1+|s_2'|+m=|s_2|+n-k+m\leq n+m\leq l+1$$

we obtain in this way that the second sum in (12.252) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\max_i\|{}^{(R_i)}Z\|_{2,[l-m],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ &\{(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.359}$$

by Corollary 10.2.i with $l+1$ in the role of l.

In view of (12.347), (12.352), (12.355) and (12.357) and also substituting for $\mathcal{A}_{[l]}$ in terms of $\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}$ from Lemma 12.5 we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|L^{(i_1...i_n)}\mu_{m,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \\ &+C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}+\delta_0(\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]})]\} \end{aligned} \tag{12.360}$$

for $n\leq l+1-m$.

Defining:

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_n)}\phi'_{m,n}=|{}^{(i_1...i_n)}\mu_{m,n}| \tag{12.361}$$

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_n)}\rho'_{m,n}=|L^{(i_1...i_n)}\mu_{m,n}| \tag{12.362}$$

obviously, we have:

$$L^{(i_1...i_n)}\phi'_{m,n}\leq {}^{(i_1...i_n)}\rho'_{m,n} \tag{12.363}$$

Following an argument similar to that leading to (12.327), we deduce:

$$(1+t)^{-1}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\phi'_{m,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\{\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\phi'_{m,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\rho'_{m,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\} \tag{12.364}$$

Taking on both sides the maximum over $i_1...i_n$, replacing m by $k \in \{0,...,m\}$ and then summing over $n \in \{0,...,l+1-k\}$ and over $k \in \{0,...,m\}$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{n=0}^{l+1-k}\max_{i_1\dots i_n}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\phi'_{k,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C\{\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{n=0}^{l+1-k}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\phi'_{k,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &+ \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{n=0}^{l+1-k}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\rho'_{k,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.365}$$

From (12.361) we have:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{n=0}^{l+1-k}\max_{i_1\dots i_n}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\phi'_{k,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} = \mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(t) \tag{12.366}$$

From (12.360) and (12.362) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{n=0}^{l+1-k}\|^{(i_1\dots i_n)}\rho'_{k,n}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \\ +C_l\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} &+ (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} + \delta_0(\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]})]\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.367}$$

Substitute (12.366) and (12.367) in (12.365) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(t) \leq C\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(0) &+ \int_0^t C_l\delta_0(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\cdot(1+t')^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(t')dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t C_l\delta_0(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{Y}_0(t')dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t C_l(1+t')^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}(t') + (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}(t')]dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t C_l\delta_0(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{12.368}$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(t) \leq C\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(0) &+ \int_0^t C_l\delta_0(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{Y}_0(t')dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t C_l(1+t')^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}(t') + (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}(t')]dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t C_l\delta_0(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{12.369}$$

From Proposition 12.11, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t')dt' \leq \\ &C_l\{\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0) + \delta_0\mathcal{Y}_0(0) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[\mathcal{W}_{[l+1]}(t') + \mathcal{W}^Q_{[l]}(t')]dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{12.370}$$

Using then also (12.348), the proposition follows. □

Chapter 13

Derivation of the Basic Properties of μ

In this chapter we shall establish the assumptions $\mathbf{C1}, \mathbf{C2}, \mathbf{C3}$ introduced in Chapter 5, on which Theorem 5.1 relies. To do this, we shall use Proposition 8.6. The assumption of this proposition are those of Proposition 8.5, which coincide with the assumption of Proposition 12.1 on the initial data. While the assumptions of Proposition 8.5 are the assumptions of Lemma 8.10 together with the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E_{LT}3}, \mathbf{E_{LL}3}$. Finally, the assumptions of Lemma 8.10 are basic bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{A1}, \mathbf{A2}, \mathbf{A3}$, introduced in Chapter 5, the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E1}, \mathbf{E2}, \mathbf{F2}$, of Chapter 6, together with the additional bootstrap assumption $\not{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{3}_0$. Now, $\mathbf{A1}$ and $\mathbf{A2}$ follow from $\mathbf{E1}$, while $\mathbf{A3}$ follows from $\mathbf{A2}$ and Proposition 12.1. $\mathbf{F2}$ coincides with $\not{\mathbf{X}}_0'$ which has been established by Proposition 12.6. $\mathbf{E1}$ is $\mathbf{E}_{\{0\}}$ while $\mathbf{E2}$ follows from $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}, \mathbf{E}^Q_{\{0\}}$, and $\mathbf{H0}$, which has been established by Corollary 12.2.a. $\mathbf{E_{LL}3}$ follows from $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{0\}}$ and $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{0\}}$, while $\mathbf{E_{LT}3}$ follows from $\mathbf{E}^Q_{\{1\}}, \mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}$, and the estimates for $\|\Lambda\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$ of Corollary 11.1.c with $m = l = 0$ as well as $\mathbf{H0}$. The assumptions of Corollary 11.1.c are those of Proposition 11.1 and follow from Proposition 12.10. Finally, $\not{\mathbf{E}}\mathbf{3}_0$ follows from $\mathbf{E}_{\{2\}}$ and $\mathbf{H0}$ and $\mathbf{H1}$, $\mathbf{H1}$ having been established by Corollary 12.5. We conclude from above that the assumptions of Proposition 8.6 all follow from the assumptions of Proposition 12.10 with $m = l = 0$, namely the assumptions of Proposition 12.9 with $l = 0$, which coincide with those of Proposition 12.6, together with:

$$\|\mu - 1\|_{\infty,[0,1],\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0 \tag{13.1}$$

Proposition 13.1 Let the assumptions of Proposition 12.6 hold and let the initial data satisfy (13.1). Then on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ we have:

$$\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+ \leq (1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1} + A(t)$$

where

$$A(t) = C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Since

$$\int_0^s A(t)dt \leq C\delta_0$$

C being a constant independent of s, we conclude that $\mathbf{C1}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

Proof: We appeal to Proposition 8.6 to express, in acoustical coordinates:

$$\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+ = \frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}\left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}\right)_+ = \frac{(\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1} + \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta))_+}{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)} \tag{13.2}$$

Consider a given $(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$. There are three cases to consider.

Case 1: $\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)=0$, Case 2: $\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)>0$, Case 3: $\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)<0$

In Case 1, (13.2) reduces to:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ = \frac{(\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta))_+}{1+\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)} \tag{13.3}$$

From Proposition 8.6:

$$|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)} \tag{13.4}$$

$$|\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.5}$$

these imply:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.6}$$

In Case 2, we have:

$$(\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}+\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta))_+ \leq \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}+|\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|$$

hence, substituting in (13.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ &\leq \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}+|\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)+\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)} \\ &= \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)} \\ +\frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)}\{&\frac{1}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)+\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)}-\frac{1}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)}\} \\ &+\frac{|\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)+\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)}\end{aligned} \tag{13.7}$$

Since, for suitably small δ_0,

$$|\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0 \leq 1 \tag{13.8}$$

the first term on the right of (13.7) is bounded by:

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]} \tag{13.9}$$

The factor in parenthesis in the second term on the right in (13.7) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}{[1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)+\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)][1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)]} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{[1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)]}\frac{|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}{(1-|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|)}\end{aligned}$$

therefore, from (13.4), taking δ_0 suitably small, the second term on the right of (13.7) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]}\frac{|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}{(1-|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|)} \leq \frac{C\delta_0}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.10}$$

Also, by (13.5) and (13.4), for small δ_0, the third term on the right in (13.7) is bounded in absolute value by:

$$C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.11}$$

Combining (13.9)-(13.11), we conclude in Case 2:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ \leq \frac{1}{(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]}+C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.12}$$

Finally, we consider Case 3. In this case we define:

$$t_1(u,\vartheta)=e^{-\frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}}-1 \tag{13.13}$$

There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 3a: $t\leq t_1(u,\vartheta)$, Subcase 3b: $t>t_1(u,\vartheta)$

In Subcase 3a we have:

$$\log(1+t)\leq -\frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}$$

hence:

$$1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)\geq\frac{1}{2} \tag{13.14}$$

From (13.4), for suitably small δ_0:

$$|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|\leq\frac{1}{4}\quad\text{: for all}\quad t\in[0,s] \tag{13.15}$$

it follows that:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta)=1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)+\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)\geq\frac{1}{4} \tag{13.16}$$

hence, from (13.2):

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+\leq 4(\frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)}+\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta))_+\leq 4|\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|\leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.17}$$

by (13.5).

In Subcase 3b we have, by (13.5):

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t,u,\vartheta)=\frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)}+\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)\leq\frac{1}{(1+t)}(\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)+C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}) \tag{13.18}$$

Let us set

$$\tau=\log(1+t)\quad\text{so that}\quad t=e^\tau-1 \tag{13.19}$$

The mapping $t\mapsto\tau$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphsim of $[0,\infty)$ onto itself. Also,

$$\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}=e^{-\tau}(1+\tau):=f(\tau) \tag{13.20}$$

is a decreasing function of τ. Thus $t>t_1(u,\vartheta)$ corresponds to $\tau>\tau_1(u,\vartheta)$, where:

$$\tau_1(u,\vartheta)=-\frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)} \tag{13.21}$$

and to:

$$f(\tau) < f(\tau_1(u,\vartheta)) = (1 - \frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)})e^{\frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}} \tag{13.22}$$

It then follows from (13.18) that in Subcase 3b:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t,u,\vartheta) &< \frac{1}{(1+t)}\{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) + C\delta_0(1 - \frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)})e^{\frac{1}{2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}}\} \\ &= \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)}(1 - 2C\delta_0 g(x))\end{aligned} \tag{13.23}$$

where we set:

$$x = -2\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{x}(1+\frac{1}{x})e^{-\frac{1}{x}} \tag{13.24}$$

Now, $0 < x \leq C\delta_0$, the function $g(x)$ is bounded on $(0,1]$, and we have:

$$\lim_{x\to 0_+} g(x) = 0 \tag{13.25}$$

It follows that, for small δ_0:

$$1 - 2C\delta_0 g(x) > 0$$

hence from (13.23):

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t,u,\vartheta) < 0 \tag{13.26}$$

Therefore in Subcase 3b:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ = 0 \tag{13.27}$$

In view of (13.17) and (13.27), we conclude that in Case 3:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ \leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.28}$$

Combining (13.6), (13.12), (13.28), we conclude that in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ \leq \frac{1}{(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]} + A(t) \tag{13.29}$$

where:

$$A(t) = C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.30}$$

So the proposition follows. □

Proposition 13.2 Let the assumptions of Proposition 12.10 hold for $m = 2, l = 1$. Then on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ we have:

$$\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+ \leq B_s(t)$$

Here

$$B_s(t) = C\sqrt{\delta_0}\frac{(1+\tau)}{\sqrt{\sigma-\tau}} + C\delta_0(1+\tau)$$

where $\tau = \log(1+t), \sigma = \log(1+s)$. We have:

$$\int_0^s (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 B_s(t)dt \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}$$

where C is a constant independent of s. Moreover, by **C1**, which we have just proved, **C2** holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

Proof: We shall again use Proposition 8.6. Denote:

$$\hat{E}_{s,m} = \min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) \tag{13.31}$$

There are two cases, according as to whether $\hat{E}_{s,m} \geq 0$, or $\hat{E}_{s,m} < 0$. The first case is easier to treat. In the second case, we set:

$$\hat{E}_{s,m} = -\delta_1, \quad \delta_1 > 0 \tag{13.32}$$

We define

$$\mathcal{V}'_{s-} = \{(u,\vartheta) \in [0,\epsilon_0] \times S^2 \quad : \quad \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) < -\frac{\delta_1}{2}\} \tag{13.33}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$ the corresponding open subset of $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$, namely the set of points on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ with acoustical coordinates (t,u,ϑ), such that $(u,\vartheta) \in \mathcal{V}'_{s-}$. Now, from Proposition 8.6,

$$\hat{\mu}_s(s,u,\vartheta) = 1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+s) \tag{13.34}$$

hence, with $\sigma = \log(1+s)$:

$$0 \leq \min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} \hat{\mu}_s(s,u,\vartheta) = 1 - \delta_1\sigma \tag{13.35}$$

Consider a point $p \in \Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$. The acoustical coordinates of p are (t,u,ϑ) with $(u,\vartheta) \in ([0,\epsilon_0] \times S^2) \setminus \mathcal{V}'_{s-}$. With $\tau = \log(1+t)$ we then have, by Proposition 8.6 and (13.35), since $\tau \leq \sigma$:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) &= 1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1\tau - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \geq \frac{1}{2} - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \geq \frac{1}{4}\end{aligned} \tag{13.36}$$

by (13.15) and (13.35). Since

$$\mu(p) = \mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) \tag{13.37}$$

and by Proposition 8.6:

$$\inf_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} \mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{13.38}$$

it follows that:

$$\mu(p) \geq \frac{1}{8} \tag{13.39}$$

In the case that $\hat{E}_{s,m} \geq 0$, by Proposition 8.6 and (13.4) we have in this case:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) \geq 1 + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta) \geq 1 - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \geq \frac{3}{4} \tag{13.40}$$

hence by (13.37) and (13.38):

$$\mu(p) \geq \frac{3}{8} \tag{13.41}$$

According to Proposition 12.10 with $m = 2, l = 1, \mathbf{M}_{[2,2]}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. In particular, we have:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} |T\mu| \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \quad : \text{for all} \quad t \in [0,s] \tag{13.42}$$

In view of (13.39), (13.41) and (13.42), it follows that:

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{13.43}$$

for any $p \in \Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}, t \in [0,s]$, in the case that $\hat{E}_{s,m} \geq 0$, for any $p \in \Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}, t \in [0,s]$, in the case that $\hat{E}_{s,m} < 0$.

It remains for us to consider the case where $\hat{E}_{s,m} < 0$ and $p \in \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$. Let us set:

$$\tau_1 = \frac{1}{2\delta_1}, \quad t_1 = e^{\tau_1} - 1 \tag{13.44}$$

There are two subcases to consider, according as to whether $t \leq t_1$ or $t > t_1$. In the first subcase, which corresponds to $\tau \leq \tau_1$, we have, by Proposition 8.6 and (13.15):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_s(t,u,\vartheta) &= 1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta) \\ &\geq 1 - \delta_1\tau - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \geq \frac{1}{2} - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)| \geq \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{13.45}$$

By (13.37), (13.38), the lower bound (13.39) follows, which, together with (13.42) yields:

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{13.46}$$

for $p \in \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$, in the subcase $t \leq t_1$.

Finally, we must consider the subcase $p \in \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}, t > t_1$. If $(T\mu)(p) \leq 0$, then $((T\mu))_+(p) = 0$ and the estimate is trivial. Otherwise $(T\mu)(p) > 0$, and we consider the integral curve of T, on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$, through p. The intersection of $\mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$ with this integral curve is an open subset of the integral curve, corresponding to an open subset $\mathcal{J}$ of the parameter interval $(0,\epsilon_0]$ (the integral curves of T are parametrized by u). Thus $\mathcal{J}$ is a countable union of open intervals. The point 0 is not a boundary point of $\mathcal{J}$. Let the point p correspond to the parameter value u_*. Then $u_* \in \mathcal{J}$. Consider the interval $\mathcal{I}$, the component of $\mathcal{J}$ to which u_* belongs. Now $\mathcal{I}$ is of the form $\mathcal{I} = (a,b), a > 0, b < \epsilon_0$, if $\mathcal{I}$ is not the rightmost interval, while either $\mathcal{I} = (a,\epsilon_0]$ or $\mathcal{I} = (a,\epsilon_0), a > 0$, if $\mathcal{I}$ is the rightmost interval. Consider the function μ along the given integral curve as a function of u. Then $T\mu$ is the function $\frac{d\mu}{du}$, and $T^2\mu$ is the function $\frac{d^2\mu}{du^2}$ along the same integral curve. Consider the subinterval (a,u_*) of $\mathcal{I}$. Then either (Case 1) $\frac{d\mu}{du} > 0$ throughout $(a,u_*]$, or (Case 2) there is a rightmost value of u in $(a,u_*]$ where $\frac{d\mu}{du} = 0$, and, denoting this rightmost value by $\bar{u}$, we have $\frac{d\mu}{du} > 0$ on $(\bar{u},u_*]$.

In Case 1 we have:

$$\mu(u_*) > \mu(a) \tag{13.47}$$

Now $a \notin \mathcal{J}$, therefore the point q at parameter value a along the integral curve in question belongs to $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0} \setminus \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$. Consequently the lower bound (13.39) holds at q:

$$\mu(q) \geq \frac{1}{8} \tag{13.48}$$

Since according to (13.47) $\mu(u_*) > \mu(a)$, this implies:

$$\mu(p) > \frac{1}{8} \tag{13.49}$$

which together with (13.42) yields:

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) \leq C\delta_0[1 + \log(1+t)] \tag{13.50}$$

In Case 2 the mean value of $\frac{d^2\mu}{du^2}$ on $[\bar{u},u_*]$ is positive. Denoting then by:

$$\gamma(t) = \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} (T)^2\mu \tag{13.51}$$

we have $\gamma(t) > 0$. Consider then some $u \in [\bar{u},u_*]$. We have:

$$\frac{d\mu}{du}(u_*) - \frac{d\mu}{du}(u) = \int_u^{u_*} \frac{d^2\mu}{du^2}(u')du'$$

that is:

$$(T\mu)(u_*) - (T\mu)(u) = \int_u^{u_*} ((T)^2\mu)(u')du' \leq \gamma(t)(u_* - u) \tag{13.52}$$

Therefore

$$(T\mu)(u) \geq (T\mu)(u_*) - \gamma(t)(u_* - u) \geq \frac{1}{2}(T\mu)(u_*) \quad : \text{for all} \quad u \in [u_1, u_*] \tag{13.53}$$

where:

$$u_1 = u_* - \frac{(T\mu)(u_*)}{2\gamma(t)} \tag{13.54}$$

This shows in particular that $\bar{u} < u_1$, for otherwise (13.53) would apply in the case $u = \bar{u}$, yielding $(T\mu)(\bar{u}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(T\mu)(u_*) > 0$, contradicting the fact that $(T\mu)(\bar{u}) = 0$. Since $T\mu > 0$ throughout $(\bar{u}, u_*]$, in particular on $(\bar{u}, u_1]$, we have:

$$\mu(u_1) > \mu(\bar{u}) \tag{13.55}$$

Moreover, by (13.53), (13.54), we have:

$$\mu(u_*) - \mu(u_1) = \int_{u_1}^{u_*} (T\mu)(u)du \geq \frac{1}{2}(T\mu)(u_*)(u_* - u_1) = \frac{((T\mu)(u_*))^2}{4\gamma(t)} \tag{13.56}$$

Denoting:

$$\mu_m(t) = \min_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \mu \tag{13.57}$$

we have:

$$\mu(\bar{u}) \geq \mu_m(t) \tag{13.58}$$

Combining (13.55), (13.56) and (13.58), we conclude that:

$$\mu(p) - \mu_m(t) \geq \frac{((T\mu)(p))^2}{4\gamma(t)} \tag{13.59}$$

We thus obtain:

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) = \frac{(T\mu)(p)}{\mu(p)} \leq \frac{(T\mu)(p)}{\frac{((T\mu)(p))^2}{4\gamma(t)} + \mu_m(t)} = 2\sqrt{\gamma(t)} f_\epsilon(x) \tag{13.60}$$

where

$$x = \frac{(T\mu)(p)}{2\sqrt{\gamma(t)}} > 0, \quad \epsilon = \sqrt{\mu_m(t)} > 0 \tag{13.61}$$

$f_\epsilon(x)$ is the function:

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 + \epsilon^2} \tag{13.62}$$

Now, the function $f_\epsilon(x)$ achieves its maximum at $x = \epsilon$ and the maximum value is $\frac{1}{2\epsilon}$. Thus:

$$f_\epsilon(x) \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \tag{13.63}$$

hence from (13.60):

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\gamma(t)}}{\sqrt{\mu_m(t)}} \tag{13.64}$$

Consider now $\mu_m(t)$. From Proposition 8.6 we have, by (13.37), (13.38):

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t, u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta)\log(1 + t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t, u, \vartheta)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\{1 - \delta_1\tau - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}|\} \end{aligned} \tag{13.65}$$

Since $\delta_1\sigma \leq 1$, this implies:

$$\mu_m(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\{\delta_1(\sigma - \tau) - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}|\} \tag{13.66}$$

From Proposition 8.6 we have:

$$|\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t, u, \vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0 b(t, s) \tag{13.67}$$

where:

$$b(t, s) = \frac{[1 + \log(1 + t)]}{(1 + t)} \frac{(s - t)}{(1 + s)} \tag{13.68}$$

According to (8.288):

$$0 \leq b(t, s) \leq \frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^{\tau}}(\sigma - \tau) \tag{13.69}$$

Substituting (13.67), (13.69), in (13.66) we obtain:

$$\mu_m(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_1(1 - C\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^{\tau}})(\sigma - \tau) \tag{13.70}$$

Since we are considering the subcase $\tau > \tau_1$, we have:

$$\frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^{\tau}} < \frac{(1 + \tau_1)}{e^{\tau_1}} = \frac{(1 + \frac{1}{2\delta_1})}{e^{\frac{1}{2\delta_1}}}$$

The factor

$$\frac{1}{\delta_1}(1 + \frac{1}{2\delta_1})e^{-\frac{1}{2\delta_1}}$$

is bounded and tends to zero as $\delta_1 \to 0$. Therefore, if δ_0 is suitably small we have:

$$1 - C\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_1}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^{\tau}} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Since also $2\delta_1 \geq \frac{1}{\tau}$, it follows from (13.70) that:

$$\mu_m(t) \geq \frac{1}{8}\frac{(\sigma - \tau)}{(1 + \tau)} \tag{13.71}$$

On the other hand, by $\mathbf{M}_{[2,2]}$ we have:

$$\gamma(t) \leq C\delta_0(1 + \tau) \tag{13.72}$$

In view of (13.71), (13.72), we conclude from (13.64):

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{\sqrt{\sigma - \tau}} \tag{13.73}$$

This is the bound in Case 2. Combining this with (13.50), we conclude that for any $p \in \mathcal{U}'_{s,t-}$, $t \in (t_1, s]$, we have:

$$(\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+)(p) \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{\sqrt{\sigma - \tau}} + C\delta_0(1 + \tau) \tag{13.74}$$

Combining this with (13.46) and (13.43), we conclude that, in general:

$$\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+ \leq B_s(t) \tag{13.75}$$

where:

$$B_s(t) = C\sqrt{\delta_0}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{\sqrt{\sigma - \tau}} + C\delta_0(1 + \tau) \tag{13.76}$$

We have:

$$\int_0^s (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 B_s(t)dt = \int_0^\sigma (1+\tau)^4 B_s(t)e^{-\tau}d\tau$$

and:

$$\int_0^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{(1+\tau)^5}{\sqrt{\sigma-\tau}}e^{-\tau}d\tau \leq C\int_0^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} \frac{(1+\tau)^5}{\sqrt{\tau}}e^{-\tau}d\tau \leq C\int_0^{\infty} \frac{(1+\tau)^5}{\sqrt{\tau}}e^{-\tau}d\tau = C \quad \text{independent of} \quad s$$

while:

$$\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \frac{(1+\tau)^5}{\sqrt{\sigma-\tau}}e^{-\tau}d\tau \leq (1+\sigma)^5 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\int_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}^{\sigma} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\sigma-\tau}} = \sqrt{2\sigma}(1+\sigma)^5 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \leq C \quad \text{independent of} \quad s$$

It follows that:

$$\int_0^s (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 B_s(t)dt \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0}$$

where C is a constant independent of s.

Consider now $\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu)_+$. Since $\underline{L} = \eta^{-2}\mu L + 2T$, we have:

$$\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu)_+ \leq \eta^{-2}|L\mu| + \mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+ + 2\mu^{-1}(T\mu)_+ \tag{13.77}$$

By the propagation equation for μ, the first term on the right in (13.77) is bounded by $C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$. The second term on the right is bounded by Proposition 13.1, but we treat Case 2 in a different manner, namely, we use (13.8) to estimate the first term on the right of (13.7) by:

$$C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

We then obtain in Case 2 ($\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) > 0$) the bound

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_s}(\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t})_+ \leq \frac{C\delta_0}{(1+t)} \tag{13.78}$$

so we have:

$$\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+ \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{13.79}$$

Together with (13.75) this yields, through (13.77):

$$\mu^{-1}(L\mu + \underline{L}\mu)_+ \leq B'_s(t) \quad : \text{on} \quad W^s_{\epsilon_0} \tag{13.80}$$

where:

$$B'_s(t) = 2B_s(t) + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{13.81}$$

Noting that:

$$\int_0^s (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4 B'_s(t)dt \leq C\sqrt{\delta_0} \tag{13.82}$$

where C is a constant independent of s, we conclude that **C2** holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. So Proposition 13.2 holds.
☐

Proposition 13.3 Let the assumptions of Proposition 13.1 hold and let $\mathcal{U}$ be the region:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x \in W^*_{\epsilon_0} \quad : \quad \mu < \frac{1}{4}\}$$

Then there is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $\mathcal{U}\bigcap W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ we have:

$$L\mu \leq -C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1}$$

that is, property **C3** holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$.

Proof. Consider a point $p \in \mathcal{U} \bigcap \Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t$, $t \in [0, s]$, and let its acoustical coordinates be (t, u, ϑ). First we show that $\hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta) < 0$. For, otherwise we have, from Proposition 8.6, for suitably small δ_0:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) \geq 1 + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t, u, \vartheta) \geq 1 - C\delta_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

hence:

$$\mu(t, u, \vartheta) = \mu_{[1],s}(u, \vartheta)\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

contradicts with the fact that $p \in \mathcal{U}$. Next we show that $\log(1 + t) = \tau > \tau_2$, where:

$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{4\delta}, \quad : \quad \delta = -\hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta) > 0 \tag{13.83}$$

For, otherwise we have, from Proposition 8.6, for suitably small δ_0:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(t, u, \vartheta) = 1 - \delta\tau + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t, u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{3}{4} - C\delta_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

hence again $\mu(t, u, \vartheta) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ in contradiction with the fact that $p \in \mathcal{U}$.

Now, from Proposition 8.6:

$$L\mu = \mu_{[1],s}\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t} \tag{13.84}$$

and:

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t, u, \vartheta) = -\frac{\delta}{(1 + t)} + \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t, u, \vartheta) \tag{13.85}$$

From (13.5):

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t} \leq -\frac{\delta}{(1 + t)}\{1 - \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^\tau}\} \tag{13.86}$$

Now $\tau > \tau_2$ implies:

$$\frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^\tau} < \frac{(1 + \tau_2)}{e^{\tau_2}} = (1 + \frac{1}{4\delta})e^{-\frac{1}{4\delta}}$$

Since the function

$$\frac{1}{\delta}(1 + \frac{1}{4\delta})e^{-\frac{1}{4\delta}}$$

is bounded and tends to zero as $\delta \to 0$, it follows that:

$$\frac{C\delta_0}{\delta}\frac{(1 + \tau)}{e^\tau} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small. Hence, from (13.86):

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t, u, \vartheta) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta}{(1 + t)} \tag{13.87}$$

Since $\log(1 + t) = \tau > \tau_2 = \frac{1}{4\delta}$, this implies:

$$\frac{\partial\hat{\mu}_s}{\partial t}(t, u, \vartheta) \leq -\frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{(1 + t)[1 + \log(1 + t)]} \tag{13.88}$$

Then by (13.84) and the fact that, by Proposition 8.6, $\mu_{[1],s} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that:

$$L\mu \leq -C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1} \quad \text{with} \quad C = 16 \tag{13.89}$$

So the proposition follows. $\Box$

Next, we shall define a function ω, as needed in Chapter 5.

Proposition 13.4 Let the assumptions of Proposition 12.6 hold and let the initial data satisfy (13.1). Let us define:

$$\omega = 2(1+t)$$

Then the function ω has the properties $\mathbf{D1}, \mathbf{D2}, \mathbf{D3}, \mathbf{D4}$, and $\mathbf{D5}$, required in Chapter 5.

Proof: **D1** is obvious. We have:

$$L\omega = 2, \quad T\omega = 0, \quad \not{d}\omega = 0 \tag{13.90}$$

By the first of (13.90):

$$L\omega - \frac{\omega}{(1-u+t)} = 2[1 - \frac{(1+t)}{(1-u+t)}] = \frac{-2u}{1-u+t} \tag{13.91}$$

hence:

$$|L\omega - \frac{\omega}{1-u+t}| \leq \frac{C}{1+t} \tag{13.92}$$

On the other hand, by (5.16):

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi + L\log\Omega) = \frac{1}{1-u+t} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi' + L\log\Omega) \tag{13.93}$$

hence, by Proposition 12.6:

$$|\nu - \frac{1}{1-u+t}| \leq C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{13.94}$$

Then by (13.92) and (13.94):

$$|L\omega - \nu\omega| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{13.95}$$

This implies **D2**. By the first two of (13.90):

$$\underline{L}\omega = 2\eta^{-1}\kappa \tag{13.96}$$

hence, by Proposition 12.1:

$$|\underline{L}\omega| \leq C[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{13.97}$$

This implies **D3**. **D4** is obvious from the third of (13.90).

It remains for us to verify **D5**. To compute $\mu\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega$ we appeal to (3.155) with f replaced by ω. By the third of (13.90), this reduces to:

$$-\mu\Omega\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega = L(\underline{L}\omega) + \nu\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}L\omega \tag{13.98}$$

From (13.96):

$$L(\underline{L}\omega) = 2L(\eta^{-1}\kappa) \tag{13.99}$$

Also, from (13.96) and the first of (13.90):

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\underline{L}\omega + \underline{\nu}L\omega &= 2(\nu\eta^{-1}\kappa + \underline{\nu}) \\ &= (\eta^{-1}\kappa\mathrm{tr}\chi + \mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi} + \eta^{-1}\kappa L\log\Omega + \underline{L}\log\Omega) \\ &= 2(\kappa\mathrm{tr}\not{k} + \eta^{-1}\kappa L\log\Omega + T\log\Omega) \end{aligned} \tag{13.100}$$

where we have used the definition of $\nu, \underline{\nu}$ and the fact:

$$\eta^{-1}\kappa\chi + \underline{\chi} = 2\kappa \not{k}$$

We thus obtain:

$$-\mu\Omega\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega = 2\{L(\eta^{-1}\kappa) + \kappa \mathrm{tr}\not{k} + \eta^{-1}\kappa L\log\Omega + T\log\Omega\} \tag{13.101}$$

Proposition 12.6 then implies:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu|\Box_{\tilde{g}}\omega|) \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{13.102}$$

This implies **D5**. So the Proposition follows. $\Box$

The remaining assumptions on which Theorem 5.1 relies, assumptions **B**, readily follow from assumptions $\not{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{[1]}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{[1,2]}$, established by Proposition 12.9 with $l=1$ and 12.10 with $m=l=1$, respectively. Proposition 10.5, 10.6, 11.5, 11.6, require the assumptions $\not{\boldsymbol{\chi}}_{[(l+1)_*]}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\{(l+1)_*+1\}}$. These assumptions are established by Proposition 12.9 and 12.10 on the basis of the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E}_{\{(l+1)_*+2\}}, \mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{(l+1)_*+1\}}, \mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{(l+1)_*\}}$. We now make these assumptions more precise by setting the constant appearing on the right equal to 1. We define, more generally, the bootstrap assumptions $\mathbf{E}^{Q...Q}_{\{q\}}$, where the length of the string of Q's is p, for $p=0,...,q$ as follows. First, the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{E}_{0,0}$ holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ if for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$\mathbf{E}_{0,0} \quad : \quad \max_{\alpha}\|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

For non-negative integers p, m, n not all zero we say that the bootstrap assumption

$$\mathbf{E}^{Q...Q}_{m,n}$$

holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$ if for all $t \in [0,s]$:

$$\mathbf{E}^{Q...Q}_{m,n} \quad : \quad \max_{\alpha;i_1...i_n}\|R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(Q)^p\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}$$

We then define $\mathbf{E}^{Q...Q}_{\{q\}}$ to be the conjunction of the assumptions $\mathbf{E}^{Q...Q}_{m,n}$ corresponding to the triangle:

$$\{(m,n) \quad : \quad m,n \geq 0, \quad m+n \leq q\}$$

Finally we define the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{E}_{\{\{k\}\}}$ to be the conjunction of the assumptions:

$$\mathbf{E}^{Q...Q}_{\{k-p\}} \quad : \quad p = 0,...,k$$

From now on by **bootstrap assumption** we mean the assumption $\mathbf{E}_{\{\{(l+1)_*+2\}\}}$. We have seen that all the assumptions which we have used so far follow from the bootstrap assumption together with appropriate assumptions on the initial data.

Chapter 14

The Error Estimates Involving the Top Order Spatial Derivatives of the Acoustical Entities

14.1 The Error Terms Involving the Top Order Spatial Derivatives of the Acoustical Entities

In this chapter we deal with the error estimates involving the top order spartial derivatives of the acoustical entities χ and μ. In view of the fact that $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\chi$ and $L\mu$ are expressed by the basic propagation equation in terms of χ and μ respectively, the top order derivatives of χ and μ are in fact the top order spatial derivatives of these entities.

In the following, given a positive integer n, we denote by ${}^{(\alpha;I_1\dots I_{n-1})}\psi_n$ the nth order variation:

$$ {}^{(\alpha;I_1\dots I_{n-1})}\psi_n = Y_{I_{n-1}}\dots Y_{I_1}{}^{(\alpha)}\psi_1 \tag{14.1}$$

where:

$$ {}^{(\alpha)}\psi_1 = \psi_\alpha \tag{14.2}$$

Here the indices $I_1, \dots, I_{n-1}$ range over the set $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and we have:

$$ Y_1 = T, \quad Y_2 = Q, \quad Y_{i+2} = R_i \quad : i = 1,2,3 \tag{14.3}$$

For $n \geq 2$ we may express (14.1) as:

$$ {}^{(\alpha;I_1\dots I_{n-1})}\psi_n = Y_{I_{n-1}}\dots Y_{I_1}\psi_\alpha \quad : \text{for} \quad n \geq 2 \tag{14.4}$$

We shall require the multi-indices $(I_1\dots I_{n-1})$ to be one of the following form. First, there should be a string of 2's (which may be empty). Then, there should be a string of 1's (which may also be empty). Finally, there should be a multi-index from the set $\{3,4,5\}$. If the string of 2's has length p, the string of 1's has length m, and the multi-index from the set $\{3,4,5\}$ has length n, then we have:

$$ (I_1\dots I_{p+m+n}) = (2\dots 21\dots 1i_1+2\dots i_n+2) \tag{14.5}$$

where $(i_1\dots i_n)$ is a multi-index from the set $\{1,2,3\}$. Thus, if $p+m+n>0$ we have,

$$ {}^{(\alpha;I_1\dots I_{p+m+n})}\psi_{p+m+n+1} = R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m(Q)^p\psi_\alpha \tag{14.6}$$

We shall also use in the following, in place of (14.1), the simpler notation:

$$ {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\psi_n = Y_{I_{n-1}} \ldots Y_{I_1} \psi_1 \tag{14.7} $$

when we do not care about which of the 1st order variations we are considering.

We have the inhomogeneous wave equations:

$$ \Box_{\tilde{g}} {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\psi_n = {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\rho_n \tag{14.8} $$

Also as in Chapter 7, we define:

$$ {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\tilde{\rho}_n = \Omega^2 \mu {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\rho_n \tag{14.9} $$

According to (7.32), for $n \geq 2$ we have the recursion formula:

$$ {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\tilde{\rho}_n = (Y_{I_{n-1}} + {}^{(Y_{I_{n-1}})}\delta) {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-2})}\tilde{\rho}_{n-1} + {}^{(Y_{I_{n-1}}; I_1 \ldots I_{n-2})}\sigma_{n-1} \tag{14.10} $$

and we have:

$$ \tilde{\rho}_1 = 0 \tag{14.11} $$

In (14.10) the function ${}^{(Y_{I_{n-1}})}\delta$ is defined by (7.35) and depends only on the commutation field $Y_{I_{n-1}}$, while the function ${}^{(Y_{I_{n-1}}; I_1 \ldots I_{n-2})}\sigma_{n-1}$ is defined by (7.33) and (7.34) and depends on $Y_{I_{n-1}}$ as well as ${}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-2})}\psi_{n-1}$. We shall apply Proposition 8.2 to (14.10). Replacing n by $n+1$ we have:

$$ {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_n)}\tilde{\rho}_{n+1} = (Y_{I_n} + {}^{(Y_{I_n})}\delta) {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\tilde{\rho}_n + {}^{(Y_{I_n}; I_1 \ldots I_{n-1})}\sigma_n \tag{14.12} $$

for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Applying then Proposition 8.2 yields:

$$ {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_n)}\tilde{\rho}_{n+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (Y_{I_n} + {}^{(Y_{I_n})}\delta) \ldots (Y_{I_{n-m+1}} + {}^{(Y_{I_{n-m+1}})}\delta) {}^{(Y_{I_{n-m}}; I_1 \ldots I_{n-m-1})}\sigma_{n-m} \tag{14.13} $$

or, setting $n = l+1, m = k$:

$$ {}^{(I_1 \ldots I_{l+1})}\tilde{\rho}_{l+2} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} (Y_{I_{l+1}} + {}^{(Y_{I_{l+1}})}\delta) \ldots (Y_{I_{l-k+2}} + {}^{(Y_{I_{l-k+2}})}\delta) {}^{(Y_{I_{l-k+1}}; I_1 \ldots I_{l-k})}\sigma_{l+1-k} \tag{14.14} $$

We shall focus our attention on the contribution of top order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities, i.e. the $l+1$st order spatial derivatives of χ and the $l+2$nd order spatial derivatives of μ. These appear only in the $l+1$st spatial derivatives of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$. From (7.69) we have:

$$ {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{n-1} = {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1} + {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1} \tag{14.15} $$

where ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,n-1}, {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}, {}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,n-1}$ are given by (7.91)-(7.94), (7.95)-(7.99), (7.100) and (7.101)-(7.105) respectively. Of these only ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,n-1}$ contains the spatial derivatives of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$.

We conclude that the derivatives of top order, $l+1$, of the ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$, occur only in the term $k = l$ in the sum in (14.14):

$$ (Y_{I_{l+1}} + {}^{(Y_{I_{l+1}})}\delta) \ldots (Y_{I_2} + {}^{(Y_{I_2})}\delta) {}^{(Y_{I_1})}\sigma_1 \tag{14.16} $$

more precisely, in:

$$ Y_{I_{l+1}} \ldots Y_{I_2} {}^{(Y_{I_1})}\sigma_{2,1} \tag{14.17} $$

Furthermore, we can replace ${}^{(Y_{I_1})}\sigma_{2,1}$ by its principal spatial derivative part:

$$ \begin{aligned} &(1/2)T(\mathrm{tr}^{(Y_{I_1})}\tilde{\not{\pi}})L\psi_1 - \not{\mathcal{L}}_T{}^{(Y_{I_1})}\tilde{Z} \cdot \not{d}\psi_1 \\ &-(1/2)\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}^{(Y_{I_1})}\tilde{Z}\underline{L}\psi_1 - (1/2)\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}^{(Y_{I_1})}\underline{\tilde{Z}}L\psi_1 \\ &+(1/2)\not{d}^{(Y_{I_1})}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) + \mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(\mu^{(Y_{I_1})}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}) \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) \end{aligned} \tag{14.18} $$

Here we have expressed express the derivatives with respect to $\underline{L}$ in terms of the derivatives with respect to T. We remark that the only expressions of the form (14.17) which may contain top order spatial derivatives of acoustical entities are those for which $(I_2 ... I_{l+1})$ does not contain any $2'$s, therefore, either $p = 0$, in which case Y_{I_1} is one of $T, R_j \quad : \quad j = 1, 2, 3$, or $p = 1$, in which case $Y_{I_1} = Q$. In the following, we denote by $[\quad]_{P.A.}$, the principal acoustical part, expressed in terms of χ' and μ, the difference $\chi - \chi' = (1 - u + t)^{-1}\not{g}$ being of lower order.

Consider first the case $p = 1, Y_{I_1} = Q$. The components of ${}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}$ are given in Chapter 6. Noting that:

$$[\nu]_{P.A.} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi', \quad [\Lambda]_{P.A.} = -(\not{d}\mu)^\sharp \tag{14.19}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}]_{P.A.} &= 0 \\ [{}^{(Q)}\tilde{Z}]_{P.A.} &= 0 \\ [{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}]_{P.A.} &= 2\Omega(1+t)(\not{d}\mu)^\sharp \\ [\mathrm{tr}{}^{(Q)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}]_{P.A.} &= 2\Omega(1+t)\mathrm{tr}\chi' \\ [{}^{(Q)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}]_{P.A.} &= 2\Omega(1+t)\hat{\chi}' \end{aligned} \tag{14.20}$$

From (14.18) we then obtain:

$$[{}^{(Q)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A.} = [\Omega(1+t)((T\mathrm{tr}\chi' - \not{\Delta}\mu)L\psi_1 + 2\mu(\not{d}\mathrm{iv}\hat{\chi}') \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1))]_{P.A.} \tag{14.21}$$

By (8.99):

$$[2\not{d}\mathrm{iv}\hat{\chi}' - \not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi']_{P.A.} = 0 \tag{14.22}$$

Also by (3.125)-(3.126):

$$[T\mathrm{tr}\chi' - \not{\Delta}\mu]_{P.A.} = 0 \tag{14.23}$$

In view of (14.22) and (14.23), (14.21) reduces to:

$$[{}^{(Q)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A.} = \Omega(1+t)\mu(\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi') \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) \tag{14.24}$$

Consider next the case $p = 0, Y_{I_1} = R_j$. By (6.8) and (6.180):

$${}^{(R_j)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}} = -2\Omega R_j\mu - 2\mu R_j\Omega \tag{14.25}$$

hence:

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}]_{P.A.} = -2\Omega R_j\mu \tag{14.26}$$

From (6.67),

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_L]_{P.A.} = -R_j \cdot \chi' \tag{14.27}$$

Since

$${}^{(R_j)}\tilde{Z} = \Omega^{(R_j)}Z$$

it follows that

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\tilde{Z}]_{P.A.} = -\Omega R_j \cdot \chi'^\sharp \tag{14.28}$$

We have:

$${}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_{\underline{L}} = \eta^{-1}\kappa^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_L + 2^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_T$$

From (6.63) and the fact that

$$\chi = \eta(\not{k} - \theta)$$

together with (14.28), we deduce:

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}]_{P.A.} = \Omega\eta^{-1}(\kappa R_j \cdot \chi'^{\sharp} + 2\lambda_j(\not{d}\mu)^{\sharp}) \tag{14.29}$$

Next, from (6.8) we have:

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}]_{P.A} = [\Omega\,{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}]_{P.A.} \tag{14.30}$$

From (6.59) and (3.27):

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}]_{P.A.} = 2\lambda_j\eta^{-1}\chi' \tag{14.31}$$

Hence:

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}]_{P.A} = 2\Omega\lambda_j\eta^{-1}\chi' \tag{14.32}$$

Then

$$[\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(R_j)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}]_{P.A} = 2\Omega\lambda_j\eta^{-1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' \tag{14.33}$$

and:

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}]_{P.A} = 2\Omega\lambda_j\eta^{-1}\hat{\chi}' \tag{14.34}$$

Substituting (14.26), (14.28), (14.29), (14.33), (14.34), in (14.18) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
[{}^{(R_j)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A} = {} & [\Omega\lambda_j\alpha^{-1}(T\mathrm{tr}\chi')L\psi_1 + \Omega(R_j \cdot \not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi') \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) + (1/2)\Omega\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi')\underline{L}\psi_1 \\
& -(1/2)\Omega\alpha^{-1}(\kappa\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi') + 2\lambda_j(\not{\Delta}\mu))L\psi_1 - \Omega(\not{d}R_j\mu) \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) + 2\Omega\lambda_j\kappa\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}\hat{\chi}' \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1)]_{P.A.}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.35}$$

Using (14.22), (14.23) and the fact by (3.125)-(3.126):

$$[\not{\mathcal{L}}_T\chi' - \not{D}^2\mu]_{P.A.} = 0 \tag{14.36}$$

(14.35) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
[{}^{(R_j)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A} = {} & [\Omega\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi')T\psi_1 + \Omega\lambda_j\kappa\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi' \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) \\
& +\Omega(R_j \cdot \not{D}^2\mu - \not{d}R_j\mu) \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1)]_{P.A.}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.37}$$

Consider $\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi')$ in components in an arbitrary local frame field for $S_{t,u}$:

$$\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi') = \not{D}_A(R_j^B\chi_B'^A) = R_j^B(\not{D}_A\chi_B'^A) + (\not{D}_A R_j^B)\chi_B'^A$$

and with $R_{jB} = \not{g}_{BC}R_j^C$:

$$(\not{D}_A R_j^B)\chi_B'^A = (\not{D}_A R_{jB})\chi'^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}(\not{D}_A R_{jB} + \not{D}_B R_{jA})\chi'^{AB} = \frac{1}{2}{}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi}_{AB}\chi'^{AB}$$

We conclude that:

$$\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi') = R_j \cdot \mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}\chi' + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}({}^{(R_j)}\not{\pi} \cdot \chi') \tag{14.38}$$

The second term is of lower order. In view of (14.22) it follows that:

$$[\mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}(R_j \cdot \chi')]_{P.A} = [R_j \cdot \mathrm{d}\not{\mathrm{i}}\mathrm{v}\chi']_{P.A} = R_j\mathrm{tr}\chi' \tag{14.39}$$

Consider finally $(R_j \cdot \not{D}^2\mu - \not{d}R_j\mu) \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1)$. In terms of the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield $X = (\not{d}\psi_1)^{\sharp}$ we have:

$$R_j \cdot \not{D}^2\mu \cdot (\not{d}\psi_1) = \not{D}^2\mu \cdot (X, R_j) \tag{14.40}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}\not{D}^2\mu(X,R_j) &= XR_j\mu - \not{d}\mu\cdot(\not{D}_X R_j) \\ &= XR_j\mu - \not{d}\mu\cdot(\not{D}_{R_j}X) + \not{d}\mu\cdot[R_j,X]\end{aligned} \tag{14.41}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}(R_j\cdot\not{D}^2\mu - \not{d}R_j\mu)\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1) &= \not{D}^2\mu\cdot(X,R_j) - XR_j\mu \\ &= \not{d}\mu\cdot\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_j}X - \not{d}\mu\cdot(\not{D}_{R_j}X)\end{aligned} \tag{14.42}$$

Obviously, (14.42) does not contain acoustical terms of order 2. It follows that:

$$[\Omega(R_j\cdot\not{D}^2\mu - \not{d}R_j\mu)\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1)]_{P.A.} = 0 \tag{14.43}$$

In view of (14.39) and (14.43), (14.37) reduces finally to:

$$[{}^{(R_j)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A.} = \Omega(R_j\mathrm{tr}\chi')T\psi_1 + \Omega\kappa\lambda_j\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1) \tag{14.44}$$

Finally, we consider the case $p=0, Y_{I_1}=T$. The components of ${}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}$ are given in Chapter 6. We have:

$${}^{(T)}\tilde{Z} = {}^{(T)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_L\cdot\not{g}^{-1} = \Omega\Lambda, \quad {}^{(T)}\tilde{\underline{Z}} = {}^{(T)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}_{\underline{L}}\cdot\not{g}^{-1} = \Omega\eta^{-1}\kappa\Lambda \tag{14.45}$$

From the fact that $\underline{\chi} = -\eta^{-1}\kappa\chi + 2\kappa\not{k}$ we have:

$$[\underline{\nu}]_{P.A} = [\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\underline{\chi}]_{P.A.} = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^{-1}\kappa\mathrm{tr}\chi', \quad [\hat{\underline{\chi}}]_{P.A.} = -\eta^{-1}\kappa\hat{\chi}' \tag{14.46}$$

Using (14.19), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}[{}^{(T)}\tilde{\pi}_{L\underline{L}}]_{P.A.} &= -2\Omega T\mu \\ [{}^{(T)}\tilde{Z}]_{P.A.} &= -\Omega(\not{d}\mu) \\ [{}^{(T)}\tilde{\underline{Z}}]_{P.A.} &= -\Omega\eta^{-1}\kappa(\not{d}\mu) \\ [\mathrm{tr}{}^{(T)}\tilde{\not{\pi}}]_{P.A} &= -2\Omega\eta^{-1}\kappa\mathrm{tr}\chi' \\ [{}^{(T)}\hat{\tilde{\not{\pi}}}]_{P.A.} &= -2\Omega\eta^{-1}\kappa\hat{\chi}'\end{aligned} \tag{14.47}$$

Substituting above in (14.18) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}[{}^{(T)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A.} = [&-\Omega\alpha^{-1}\kappa(T\mathrm{tr}\chi')L\psi_1 + \Omega(\not{d}T\mu)\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1) \\ &+(1/2)\Omega(\not{\Delta}\mu)\underline{L}\psi_1 + (1/2)\Omega\eta^{-1}\kappa(\not{\Delta}\mu)L\psi_1 \\ &-\Omega(\not{d}T\mu)\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1) - 2\Omega\kappa^2\not{\mathrm{div}}\hat{\chi}'\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1)]_{P.A}\end{aligned} \tag{14.48}$$

Using (14.22) and (14.23), (14.48) reduces to:

$$[{}^{(T)}\sigma_{2,1}]_{P.A.} = \Omega(\not{\Delta}\mu)T\psi_1 - \Omega\kappa^2\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\cdot(\not{d}\psi_1) \tag{14.49}$$

We conclude from the above that the top order variations ${}^{(\alpha;I_1\dots I_{l+1})}\psi_{l+2}$ to which the re-scaled sources ${}^{(\alpha;I_1\dots I_{l+1})}\tilde{\rho}_{l+2}$ containing the top order spartial derivatives of the acoustical entities correspond are as follows.

In the case $Y_{I_1}=Q$, the variations:

$${}^{(\alpha;21\dots1i_1+2\dots i_n+2)}\psi_{l+2} = R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha \quad : \quad m+n=l \tag{14.50}$$

where there are m 1's in the superscript. By (14.17) and (14.24) the principal acoustical part of the corresponding re-scaled sources is:

$$\begin{aligned} &[{}^{(\alpha;21...1i_1+2...i_n+2)}\tilde{\rho}_{l+2}]_{P.A.} \\ &= [\Omega(1+t)\mu(\not{d}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mathrm{tr}\chi')\cdot(\not{d}\psi_\alpha)]_{P.A.} \\ &= \Omega(1+t)\mu(\not{d}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\cdot(\not{d}\psi_\alpha) \quad : \quad m=0 \\ \text{or} \quad &= \Omega(1+t)\mu(\not{d}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu)\cdot(\not{d}\psi_\alpha) \quad : \quad m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{14.51}$$

where again there are m 1's in the superscript, and in the case $m \geq 1$ we have used (14.23).

In the case $Y_{I_1} = R_j$, setting $i_1 = j$ we have:

$$I_1...I_{l+1} = i_1+2...i_{l+1}+2,$$

the variations:

$${}^{(\alpha;i_1+2...i_l+2)}\psi_{l+2} = R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha \tag{14.52}$$

By (14.17) and (14.44) the principal acoustical part of the corresponding re-scaled sources is:

$$[{}^{(\alpha;i_1+2...i_{l+1}+2)}\tilde{\rho}_{l+2}]_{P.A.} = \Omega(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')T\psi_\alpha + \Omega\kappa\lambda_{i_1}(\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_2}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\cdot(\not{d}\psi_\alpha) \tag{14.53}$$

In the case $Y_{I_1} = T$, the variations:

$${}^{(\alpha;1...1i_1+2...i_n+2)}\psi_{l+2} = R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha \quad : \quad m+n=l \tag{14.54}$$

where there are $m+1$ 1's in the superscript. By (14.17) and (14.49) the principal acoustical part of the corresponding re-scaled sources is:

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{(\alpha;i_1+2...i_{l+1}+2)}\tilde{\rho}_{l+2}]_{P.A.} &= \Omega(R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)T\psi_\alpha - \Omega\kappa^2(\not{d}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\cdot(\not{d}\psi_\alpha) \quad : \quad m=0 \\ \text{or} \quad &= \Omega(R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)T\psi_\alpha - \Omega\kappa^2(\not{d}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m-1}\not{\Delta}\mu)\cdot(\not{d}\psi_\alpha) \quad : \quad m\geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{14.55}$$

where again there are $m+1$ 1's in the superscript, and in the case $m \geq 1$ we have used (14.23).

We see from (14.51), (14.53), (14.55), that the most difficult error integrals are which result in terms:

$$\Omega(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')T\psi_\alpha \tag{14.56}$$

in (14.53), and

$$\Omega(R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)T\psi_\alpha \quad : \quad m+n=l \tag{14.57}$$

in (14.55). These are the terms proportional to $T\psi_\alpha$ in (14.53) and (14.55). The other terms in these two expressions are proportional to $\not{d}\psi_\alpha$. Besides containing at least one factor of κ, which makes the estimates much easier in the region of small μ, these terms have a decay factor of $(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2$ relative to the leading terms (14.56) and (14.57). The terms in (14.51) are also proportional to $\not{d}\psi_\alpha$, and, besides containing the factor μ, these terms have a decay factor of $(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$ relative to (14.56) ($m=0$) and (14.57) ($m\geq 1$). So we may confine ourselves to (14.56) and (14.57).

14.2 The Borderline Error Integrals

We recall from Chapter 7 that the error integrals corresponding to an nth order variation ψ_n are the integrals:

$$-\int_{W_u^t}\tilde{\rho}_n(K_0\psi_n)dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.58}$$

associated to K_0, and

$$-\int_{W_u^t} \tilde{\rho}_n (K_1\psi_n + \omega\psi_n) dt' du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.59}$$

associated to K_1.

Since:

$$K_0\psi_n = \underline{L}\psi_n + (1+\eta^{-1}\kappa)L\psi_n \tag{14.60}$$

while

$$K_1\psi_n + \omega\psi_n = (\omega/\nu)(L\psi_n + \nu\psi_n) \tag{14.61}$$

the coefficient of $L\psi_n$ in (14.60) has a decay factor of $(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$ relative to the coefficient of the same term in (14.61). Therefore it suffices to bound the error integral (14.59) and the contribution of $\underline{L}\psi_n$ in (14.60) to the error integral (14.58). This contribution is bounded in absolute value by:

$$\int_{W_u^t} |\tilde{\rho}_n||\underline{L}\psi_n| dt' du d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.62}$$

We are thus to estimate the contributions of (14.56) and (14.57) to (14.62) and (14.59).

We begin with the contribution of (14.56) to (14.62). The contribution is:

$$\int_{W_{\epsilon_0}^t} \Omega |R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\text{tr}\chi'||T\psi_\alpha||\underline{L}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha| dt' du d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.63}$$

$$\leq C\int_0^t \sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)\|\mu R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\text{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'$$

Now, in view of (8.40), (8.63) (8.335) and (8.346) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\text{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C(1+t)\|\mu \not{d} R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\text{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ \leq C(1+t)^2\{\||^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &+ \||\not{d}^{(i_1...i_l)}\check{f}_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\} \\ = C\{(1+t)^2\||^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &+ {}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l(t)\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.64}$$

Substituting (8.413) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\text{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq C(1+t)^2((1+t)^{-2(i_1...i_l)}P_l(t) + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}B_l(t)) \\ &+ C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t (1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_l(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.65}$$

Here, according to (8.403):

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}B_l(t) &= C(1+t)^{-2}\{{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \\ &+ C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t (1+t')^{3(i_1...i_l)}Q_l(t')dt' \\ &+ C(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}\end{aligned} \tag{14.66}$$

Also (see (8.405))

$$B_l(t) = \max_{i_1...i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}B_l(t) \tag{14.67}$$

Acoording to (8.348) and (8.349):

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(0)}(t')\} \tag{14.68}$$

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')^{1/2}\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(1)}(t')\} \tag{14.69}$$

where ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(0)}$, ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(1)}$ are defined in the statement of Proposition 10.6 and we have:

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t) \leq {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(0)}(t) + {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(1)}(t) \tag{14.70} $$

Note that ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t)$ and ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)$ are non-decreasing.

The leading contribution to the right hand side of (14.63) comes from the first term on the right in (14.65). That is, from $(1+t)^{-2(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l(t)$ and the first term in the expression (14.66) for ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B_l(t)$. So what we consider here is:

$$ C\{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(0)}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}\bar{P}_{l,a}^{(1)}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{14.71} $$

The actual *borderline contribution* is the contribution from:

$$ {}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(0)}(t) = |\ell|\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_j R_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.72} $$

14.3 Assumption J

To estimate the borderline contributions, we shall use the assumption **J** below. Recall the operators:

$$ S = x^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} - 1, \quad \overset{\circ}{R}_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \quad : i = 1,2,3 \tag{14.73} $$

associated to the background Galilean spacetime. The assumption is that there is a positive constant C independent of s such that in $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$:

$$ \mathbf{J}: \quad |S\phi|, |TS\phi| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |\overset{\circ}{R}_i\phi|, |T\overset{\circ}{R}_i\phi| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \quad : i = 1,2,3 \tag{14.74} $$

where ϕ is the wave function. We shall establish this assumption in the sequel, on the basis of the bootstrap assumption. We shall presently use assumption **J** to derive a pointwise estimate for $T\psi_\alpha$ in terms of $L\mu$.

Let us consider the Σ_t-tangential vectorfield:

$$ V = \sum_{i=1}^{3}(T\psi_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{14.75} $$

Recalling from Chapter 6 the Euclidean outward unit normal N to the Euclidean coordinate spheres:

$$ N = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{x^i}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{14.76} $$

We decompose V into its components which are tangential and orthogonal, relative to $\bar{g}$, to the Euclidean spheres:

$$ V = V^{\parallel} + V^{\perp}, \quad V^{\perp} = \bar{g}(V,N)N, \quad |V|^2 = |V^{\parallel}|^2 + |V^{\perp}|^2 \tag{14.77} $$

Consider the vector $N \times V$. We have:

$$ |N \times V|^2 = |V^{\parallel}|^2 \tag{14.78} $$

The ith rectangular component of $N \times V$ is given by:

$$ r(N \times V)^i = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}x^j T(\partial_k\phi) = \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\{T(x^j\partial_k\phi) - (Tx^j)\partial_k\phi\} \tag{14.79} $$

Thus we have:

$$r(N\times V)^i = T\mathring{R}_i\phi - \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\epsilon_{ijk}T^j\psi_k \tag{14.80}$$

By **J**, Proposition 12.1 and (12.6):

$$r|N\times V| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \quad : \text{in} \quad W^s_{\epsilon_0} \tag{14.81}$$

From (12.14) and (14.78) we then obtain:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|V^{\|}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.82}$$

By (14.75)-(14.77) and (14.82) it follows that:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|T\psi_i - \frac{x^i}{r}\bar{g}(V,N)| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.83}$$

Consider next:

$$S\phi = x^\alpha\partial_\alpha\phi - \phi = t\psi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}x^i\psi_i - \phi \tag{14.84}$$

We have:

$$TS\phi = tT\psi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}x^iT\psi_i + \sum_{i=1}^{3}\psi_iTx^i - T\phi$$

The last two terms cancel and we obtain:

$$TS\phi = tT\psi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{3}x^iT\psi_i = tT\psi_0 + r\bar{g}(V,N) \tag{14.85}$$

By virtue of **J** we then have:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|\frac{t}{r}T\psi_0 + \bar{g}(V,N)| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{14.86}$$

Combining (14.83) and (14.86) we conclude that:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|T\psi_i + \frac{tx^i}{r^2}T\psi_0| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.87}$$

Recalling the proof of Proposition 8.5, we have:

$$L\mu = m + e\mu$$

and, according to (8.209):

$$m = m_0 + m_1, \quad m_0 = \frac{1}{2}\ell T\psi_0$$

while according to (8.214), (8.218) and (8.220):

$$|m_1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}, \quad |e| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}$$

It follows that:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} |L\mu - \frac{1}{2}\ell T\psi_0| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.88}$$

In view of (14.87), (12.14) and (14.88), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_\alpha(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|) &\leq C\mu^{-1}|T\psi_0| + C\mu^{-1}\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|\ell|}\{\mu^{-1}|L\mu| + C\mu^{-1}\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\}\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ yields the desired estimate:

$$\max_\alpha \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|) \leq \frac{C}{|\ell|}\{\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|) + C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\} \tag{14.89}$$

We shall use this to estimate the borderline contribution (14.72), through (14.68), (14.71) and (14.65), to the integral on the right of (14.63). On the other hand, in estimating all other contributions, through (14.65), to (14.63), we simply use:

$$\max_\alpha \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|) \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{14.90}$$

implied by $\mathbf{E}_{\{1\}}$.

14.4 The Borderline Estimates Associated to K_0

14.4.1 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.56)

Let us define, for non-negative real numbers a and p, the quantities:

$$^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')\} \tag{14.91}$$

These quantities are non-decreasing functions of t and we have:

$$\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)} \quad : \quad \text{for all} \quad t'\in[0,t] \tag{14.92}$$

hence, in view of (14.68), the borderline contribution (14.72) to (14.71) is bounded by:

$$C|\ell|\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)} \tag{14.93}$$

Also, in regard to the last factor on the right in (14.63) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l+1}}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} &\leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l+1}}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \\ &\leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)}\end{aligned} \tag{14.94}$$

Substituting (14.89), (14.93) and (14.94) in the integral on the right of (14.63), the factors $|\ell|$ cancel and we obtain that the borderline contribution to the integral in question is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}C\int_0^t &\{\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|) + C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}\delta_0(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\}\cdot \\ &\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p\,(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.95}$$

Now, the partial contribution of the second term in the first factor is actually not borderline. We shall show how to estimate contribution of this type afterwards, in connection with the estimate for the contribution of the term

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_1'[R_jR_{i_l}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]} \tag{14.96}$$

in the expression for ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}P_l^{(1)}$ of Proposition 10.6, through (14.69), (14.71) and (14.65), to the integral on the right of (14.63). For the present we focus attention on the *borderline integral*:

$$C\int_0^t \sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t')dt' \tag{14.97}$$

Since

$$|L\mu| = \max\{-(L\mu)_-,(L\mu)_+\} \leq -(L\mu)_- + (L\mu)_+$$

we have:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|) \leq \sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(-\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-) + \sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+) \tag{14.98}$$

Substituting (14.98) in (14.97), we first consider the first term on the right of (14.98). According to (8.249):

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(-\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-) = M(t) \tag{14.99}$$

therefore the contribution in question is:

$$\begin{aligned} C\int_0^t M(t')\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t')dt' \\ \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)I_{2a}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.100}$$

where $I_a(t)$ is the integral of Lemma 8.11. According to Lemma 8.11 we have:

$$I_{2a}(t) \leq C(2a)^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t) \tag{14.101}$$

where C is a constant independent of a, provided that $a \geq 2$ and δ_0 is suitably small depending on a. We conclude that (14.100) is bounded by:

$$\frac{C}{2a}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) \tag{14.102}$$

Consider next the contribution of the second term on the right in (14.98). Proposition 13.1 implies:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_+) \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1} \tag{14.103}$$

therefore the contribution in question is bounded by:

$$C\int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p-1(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t')dt' \tag{14.104}$$

By Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t') \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t) \tag{14.105}$$

where C is independent of a, also provided that $a \geq 2$ and δ_0 is suitably small depending on a. Since ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)$ is a non-decreasing function of t, it follows that (14.104) is bounded by:

$$C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p-1}dt' \tag{14.106}$$

Since

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p-1}dt' = \frac{1}{2p}([1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}-1)$$

this is bounded by:

$$\frac{C}{2p}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p\,(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) \tag{14.107}$$

We have thus estimated the borderline contributions to the integral in the right of (14.63). We proceed to estimate the remaining contributions

Let us define:

$$\mathcal{E}_{0,[n]}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{0,m}(t) \tag{14.108}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{0,n}$ represents the sum of the energies associated to the vectorfield K_0 of all the nth order variations. We then define:

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,[n];a,p}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\mathcal{E}_{0,[n]}(t')\} \tag{14.109}$$

Let us also define:

$$\mathcal{E}'_{1,[n]}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}'_{1,m}(t) \tag{14.110}$$

where $\mathcal{E}'_{1,n}$ represents the sum of the energies associated to the vectorfield K_1 of all nth order variations. We then define, for $q \geq p$:

$$\mathcal{G}'_{1,[n];a,q}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\mathcal{E}'_{1,[n]}(t')\} \tag{14.111}$$

We now consider the contribution of the term (14.96), which comes from the second term on the right of definition for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}P_l^{(1)}$, through (14.69) and (14.65), to the integral on the right of (14.63). From (14.110) and (14.111), we have:

$$\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.112}$$

$$: \quad \text{for all} \quad t' \in [0,t]$$

hence, in view of (14.69), the contribution of (14.96) to (14.71) is bounded by:

$$C_q(1+t)^{-1/2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.113}$$

where C_q is a contant depending on q:

$$C_q = C\sup_{t\in[0,\infty)}\{(1+t)^{-1/2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1/2}\}$$

Also, by (14.94) and the definitions (14.108), (14.109) we have:

$$\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \tag{14.114}$$

Hence, by (14.90), the contribution of (14.96) through (14.65) to the integral on the right in (14.63) is bounded by:

$$C_q\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.115}$$

To estimate this we consider the two cases occuring in the proof of Lemma 8.11:

Case 1: $\hat{E}_{s,m}\geq 0$.

In this case we have the lower bound (8.258):

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t)\geq 1-C\delta_0 \tag{14.116}$$

which implies:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1}(t)\leq C \tag{14.117}$$

provided that $\delta_0 a$ is suitably small (see(8.260)). It follows that in Case 1, (14.115) is bounded by:

$$C_q\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.118}$$

In Case 2, we set:

$$\hat{E}_{s,m}=-\delta_1,\quad \delta_1>0 \tag{14.119}$$

Following the proof of Lemma 8.11 with a relaced by $2a$, we then set:

$$t_1=e^{\frac{1}{4a\delta_1}}-1 \tag{14.120}$$

and we consider the two subcases:

Subcase 2a: $t'\leq t_1$ Subcase 2b: $t'>t_1$

In Subcase 2a we have the lower bound (8.273) with a replaced by $2a$:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t')\geq 1-\frac{1}{a} \tag{14.121}$$

Since $(1-\frac{1}{a})^{-2a-1}$ is bounded for $a\in[2,\infty)$, if $t\leq t_1$ (14.115) is bounded by:

$$C_q\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.122}$$

and if $t>t_1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{t_1}(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \\ &\leq C\int_0^{t_1}(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.123}$$

In Subcase 2b we have the lower bound (8.303) with a replaced by $2a$:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t')\geq(1-\frac{1}{a})(1-\delta_1\tau'),\quad \tau'=\log(1+t') \tag{14.124}$$

In view of the fact that $\mathcal{G}_{0,[n];a,p}(t)$, $\mathcal{G}'_{1,[n];a,q}(t)$ are non-decreasing functions of t it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{t_1}^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\cdot\int_{t_1}^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-2a-1}dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.125}$$

The integral in (14.125) is:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{t_1}^{t}(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-2a-1}dt' \qquad (14.126)\\ &\leq (1+t_1)^{-1/2}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^p\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-2a-1}d\tau'\\ &\leq (1+t_1)^{-1/2}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^p\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-2a}\\ &\leq 2\varphi_{1+p}(4a\delta_1)(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-2a}\end{aligned}$$

Here for any real number r we denote by $\varphi_r(x)$ the following function on the positive real line:

$$\varphi_r(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{2x}}(1+\frac{1}{x})^r \qquad (14.127)$$

The function $\varphi_r(x)$ decreases to 0 exponentially as $x\longrightarrow 0$. Since $\delta_1\leq C\delta_0$, we have:

$$\varphi_r(4a\delta_1)\leq\varphi_r(Ca\delta_0) \qquad (14.128)$$

provided that $Ca\delta_0$ is suitably small. In view also of the lower bound (8.314) with a replaced by $2a$:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\geq\frac{1}{C}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-2a} \qquad (14.129)$$

we conclude that the right hand side of (14.125) is bounded by:

$$C\varphi_{1+p}(Ca\delta_0)\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \qquad (14.130)$$

Combining with (14.123) we obtain that if $t>t_1$ (14.115) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&C_q\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\{\varphi_{1+p}(Ca\delta_0)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \qquad (14.131)\\ &+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt'\}\end{aligned}$$

Combining finally with the earlier results (14.122), for the subcase $t\leq t_1$, and (14.118), for Case 1, we conclude that the contribution of (14.96) through (14.65) to the integral on the right in (14.63) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&C_q\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\{\varphi_{1+p}(Ca\delta_0)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \qquad (14.132)\\ &+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt'\}\end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the contribution of the second term in the expression (14.66) for ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}B_l(t)$ to the first term on the right of (14.65), namely the contribution of:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{3\,(i_1\dots i_l)}Q_l(t')dt' \qquad (14.133)$$

to the estimate for $\|\mu R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$.

Here, we will use the estimate for $\max_{i_1\dots i_l}{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}Q_l$ of Proposition 10.5. The principal part of the right-hand-side is:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)][\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]}+\delta_0(\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]}+\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]})] \qquad (14.134)$$

We shall estimate the contribution of this principal part, through (14.133) to the integral on the right in (14.63).

We have, for each non-negative integer n:

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1} \leq C(1+t)\sqrt{\sum_{i_1\dots i_n,\alpha} \|\not{d}R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}} \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,n+1}} \tag{14.135}$$

It follows that:

$$\mathcal{W}_{[l+2]} \leq C_l\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}} + \mathcal{W}_0 \tag{14.136}$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have:

$$\mathcal{W}_0 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_0}\max_\alpha \sup_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]} \|\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[\psi_\alpha]} = C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[1]}} \tag{14.137}$$

Similarly, for each non-negative integer n:

$$\mathcal{W}^T_{n+1} \leq C(1+t)\sqrt{\sum_{i_1\dots i_n,\alpha} \|\not{d}R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}T\psi_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}} \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,n+2}} \tag{14.138}$$

It follows that:

$$\mathcal{W}^T_{[l+1]} \leq C_l\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}} + \mathcal{W}^T_0 \tag{14.139}$$

by Lemma 5.1:

$$\mathcal{W}^T_0 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_0}\max_\alpha \sup_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]} \|T\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[T\psi_\alpha]} \leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[2]}} \tag{14.140}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\mathcal{W}^Q_{[l+1]} \leq C_l\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_0 \tag{14.141}$$

and by Lemma 5.1:

$$\mathcal{W}^Q_0 \leq \sqrt{\epsilon_0}\max_\alpha \sup_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]} \|Q\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[Q\psi_\alpha]} \leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[2]}} \tag{14.142}$$

The above inequalities imply that (14.134) is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-4}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}} + C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[2]}}\} \tag{14.143}$$

therefore, the contribution of (14.134) to (14.133) is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\cdot \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\{\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')} + C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[2]}(t')}\}dt' \tag{14.144}$$

We shall first estimate the contribution of the principal term in the last factor of the above, namely the term $\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')}$. From the definition (14.111) we have:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.145}$$

Therefore, the contribution in question is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2 J_{a,q}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.146}$$

where

$$J_{a,q}(t)=\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{q+1}dt' \tag{14.147}$$

To estimate $J_{a,q}(t)$, we consider again the two cases occuring in the proof of Lemma 8.11, as in the estimate of (14.115).

In Case 1 we have the lower bound (14.116) hence also (14.117), which implies:

$$\begin{aligned}J_{a,q}(t)&\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{q+1}dt'=C\int_0^\tau(1+\tau')^{q+1}d\tau' \\ &\leq\frac{C}{(q+2)}(1+\tau)^{q+2}=\frac{C}{(q+2)}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+2}\end{aligned} \tag{14.148}$$

Similarly, in Subcase 2a), we obtain if $t\leq t_1$:

$$J_{a,q}(t)\leq\frac{C}{(q+2)}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+2} \tag{14.149}$$

and if $t>t_1$:

$$J_{a,q}(t_1)\leq\frac{C}{(q+2)}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^{q+2}<\frac{C}{(q+2)}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+2} \tag{14.150}$$

In Subcase 2b) we have the lower bound (14.124) which implies:

$$\begin{aligned}J_{a,q}(t)-J_{a,q}(t_1)&\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\int_{t_1}^t(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a-1/2}(1+t')^{-1}dt' \\ &=C(1+\tau)^{q+1}\int_{\tau_1}^\tau(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a-1/2}d\tau'\leq\frac{C}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)^{q+1}}{(a-\frac{1}{2})}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a+1/2} \\ &\leq\frac{C}{a\delta_1}(1+\tau)^{q+1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{14.151}$$

where in the last step we have used (8.312) which implies:

$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)\geq\frac{1}{C}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a+1/2}$$

Since $\tau\geq\tau_1=1/4a\delta_1$, (14.151) implies:

$$J_{a,q}(t)-J_{a,q}(t_1)\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \tag{14.152}$$

In view of (14.149), (14.150), (14.152), we conclude that, in general:

$$J_{a,q}(t)\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \tag{14.153}$$

hence (14.146) is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+4}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.154}$$

This bounds the contribution in question through (14.133), to the estimate for

$$\|\mu R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

In view of (14.114) and (14.90), the corresponding contribution to the integral on the right of (14.63) is then bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+q+4}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.155}$$

This is estimated by following an argument similar to that used to estimate (14.115). We obtain in this way a bound by:

$$\begin{aligned}C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\{\varphi'_{5+q-p}(Ca\delta_0)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\\+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{4+q-p}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.156}$$

Here, for any real number r we denote by $\varphi'_r(x)$ the following function on the positive real line:

$$\varphi'_r(x)=e^{-\frac{1}{x}}(1+\frac{1}{x})^r \tag{14.157}$$

Note that $\varphi'_r(x)$ decreases to 0 exponentially as $x\longrightarrow 0$, and that, since $\delta_1\leq C\delta_0$,

$$\varphi'_r(4a\delta_1)\leq\varphi'_r(Ca\delta_0)$$

provided that $Ca\delta_0$ is suitably small.

Finally, we consider the contribution of the last term in the expression (14.66) for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}B_l(t)$ to the first term on the right in (14.65), namely, the contribution of:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{14.158}$$

to the estimate for $\|\mu R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$. In view of (14.90) and (14.114), the corresponding contribution to the integral on the right in (14.63) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')}dt' \tag{14.159}$$

This is again estimated by following an argument similar to that used to estimate (14.115). We obtain a bound by:

$$\begin{aligned}C_p\delta_0\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\{\varphi'_{3+p}(Ca\delta_0)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\\+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2+p}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.160}$$

In regard to the contribution of the last term on the right in (14.65), we remark that it is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')^2\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')B_l(t')\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{14.161}$$

Thus, relative to the term $(1+t)^{2}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}B_l$ there is an extra factor of $C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4$, conssequently the contribution in question is absorbed in the estimates already made.

14.4.2 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.57)

We now consider the contribution of (14.57) to the corresponding integral (14.62). Recalling that this is associated to the variation (14.54), the contribution in question is:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W_{\epsilon_0}^t}\Omega|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu||T\psi_\alpha||\underline{L}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}}\\\leq C\int_0^t\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)\|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}\cdot\\\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.162}$$

$m = 0, ..., l$.

Now in view of (9.60), (9.70) and (9.213), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m \not\Delta\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \qquad (14.163)\\ &\le C(1+t)\{\||{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + \||{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\check{f}'_{m,l-m}(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\}\\ &= C\{(1+t)\||{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(t)|\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'_{m,l-m}(t)\} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (9.268) and (9.270) we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m \not\Delta\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \qquad (14.164)\\ &\le C(1+t)((1+t)^{-1\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'_{m,l-m}(t) + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}B'_{m,l-m}(t))\\ &+C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{\int_0^t(1+t')[1+\log(1+t')]B_l(t')dt'\\ &+\sum_{k=0}^m\int_0^t[1+\log(1+t')]B'_{k,l-k}(t')dt'\} \end{aligned}$$

for $m = 0, ..., l$.

Here, the quantities ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}B'_{m,l-m}(t)$ are defined by (9.259):

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}B'_{m,l-m}(t) \qquad (14.165)\\ &= C(1+t)^{-1}\{{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m,a}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\\ &+C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{2\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}Q'_{m,l-m}(t')dt'\\ &+C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \end{aligned}$$

Also (see (9.261))

$$B'_{m,l-m}(t) = \max_{i_1...i_{l-m}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}B'_{m,l-m}(t) \qquad (14.166)$$

The quantities ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m,a}(t)$, ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m,a}(t)$ are defined by (9.215) and (9.216):

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m,a}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}(t')\} \qquad (14.167)$$

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m,a}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')^{1/2}\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}(t')\} \qquad (14.168)$$

The quantities ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}(t)$, ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}(t)$ are defined in the statement of Proposition 11.6, and we have:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'_{m,l-m}(t) \le {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}(t) + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}(t) \qquad (14.169)$$

Note that the quantities ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m,a}(t)$, ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m,a}(t)$ are non-decreasing.

The leading contribution to the right hand side of (14.164) comes from the first term on the right in (14.164). That is, from $(1+t)^{-1\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'_{m,l-m}(t)$ and the first term in the expression (14.165) for ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}B'_{m,l-m}(t)$. So what we consider here is:

$$C\{{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m,a}(t) + (1+t)^{-1/2\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}\bar{P}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m,a}(t)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \qquad (14.170)$$

The actual *borderline contribution* is the contribution from:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(0)}_{m,l-m} = |\ell|\sqrt{\sum_\alpha\mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} \qquad (14.171)$$

We shall appeal to (14.89) in estimating the borderline contribution (14.171), through (14.167), (14.170) and (14.165), to the integral on the right in (14.162). On the other hand, in estimating all other contributions, through (14.165), to the same integral, we simply use (14.90).

Let us define, for non-negative real numbers a and p, the quantities:

$$^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')\} \quad (14.172)$$

These quantities are non-decreasing functions of t and we have:

$$\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')} \quad (14.173)$$
$$\leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t)}$$

for all $t'\in[0,t]$. hence, in view of (14.167), the borderline contribution (14.171) to (14.170) is bounded by:

$$|\ell|\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t)} \quad (14.174)$$

Also, in regard to the last factor in the integral on the right in (14.162) we have:

$$\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \quad (14.175)$$
$$\leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t)}$$

Substituting (14.89), (14.174) and (14.175) in the integral on the right in (14.162), the factors $|\ell|$ cancel and we obtain that the borderline contribution to the integral in question is bounded by:

$$C\int_0^t\{\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)+C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}\delta_0(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\}\cdot \quad (14.176)$$
$$\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p}\,^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t')dt'$$

Now, the partial contribution of the second term in the first factor is actually not borderline. We shall show how to estimate this afterwards, in connection with the estimate for the contribution of the terms

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_1'[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]} \quad (14.177)$$
$$+C(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^{3/2}\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha,j}\mathcal{E}_1'[R_jR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha]}$$

in the expression for $^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}P'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}$ of Proposition 11.6. For the present we focus on the *borderline* integral:

$$C\int_0^t\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p}\,^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t')dt' \quad (14.178)$$

This is estimated in exactly the same way as the integral (14.97). We obtain that it is bounded by (see (14.102) and (14.107)):

$$C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2p})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\,^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t) \quad (14.179)$$

We proceed to estimate the remaining contributions to the right of (14.162). We first consider the contribution of (14.179). From the definitions (14.110) and (14.111) we have:

$$\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.180}$$

$$\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m}\psi_\alpha](t')} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}$$

for all $t' \in [0,t]$. hence, in view of the definition (14.168), the contribution of (14.177) to (14.170) is bounded by:

$$C_q(1+t)^{-1/2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.181}$$

Also, we have:

$$\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \tag{14.182}$$

Using (14.90) we conclude that the contribution of (14.177) through (14.164) to the integral on the right in (14.162) is bounded by:

$$C_q\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}[1+\log(1+t')]^p\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.183}$$

This is identical in the form to (14.115) and it therefore bounded by (14.122).

Next, we consider the contribution of the second term in the expression (14.165) to the first term on the right of (14.164), namely the contribution of

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{2\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}Q'_{m,l-m}(t')dt' \tag{14.184}$$

to the estimate for $\|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$. Here, we shall use Proposition 11.5, namely the estimate for $\max_{i_1...i_{l-m}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Q'_{m,l-m}$. The principal part is:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}}+\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}}+\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}}) \tag{14.185}$$

We shall estimate the contribution of this principal part, through (14.184) and (14.164), to the integral on the right in (14.162).

For each pair of non-negative integers m, n we have:

$$\mathcal{W}_{m,n+1} \leq C(1+t)\sqrt{\sum_{i_1...i_n,\alpha}\|\not{d}R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\psi_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}} \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,m+n+1}} \tag{14.186}$$

and, for each non-negative integer m we have:

$$\mathcal{W}_{m+1,0} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha}\|(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}} \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,m+1}} \tag{14.187}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{\{l+2\}} = \sum_{n+m\leq l+2}\mathcal{W}_{m,n} &= \sum_{n+m\leq l+1}\mathcal{W}_{m,n+1} + \sum_{m\leq l+1}\mathcal{W}_{m+1,0}+\mathcal{W}_0 \\ &\leq C_l(\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}})+\mathcal{W}_0\end{aligned} \tag{14.188}$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l+1\}} \leq C_l(\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}})+\mathcal{W}^Q_0 \tag{14.189}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_{\{l\}} \leq C_l(\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}})+\mathcal{W}^{QQ}_0 \tag{14.190}$$

We then conclude that (14.185) is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}}\} \tag{14.191}$$

(as we may assume that $l \geq 1$). Consequently, the contribution of (14.185) to (14.184) is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]\{\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')}\}dt' \tag{14.192}$$

From the definition (14.109):

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \tag{14.193}$$

This together with (14.145) implies that (14.192) is bounded by, recalling the definition (14.147):

$$C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\{J_{a,q}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}+J_{a-1/2,p}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\} \tag{14.194}$$

Substituting (14.153) and the same with (a,q) replaced by $(a-\frac{1}{2},p)$ we conclude that the contribution of (14.185), through (14.184) to the estimate for

$$\|\mu R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$

is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+4}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\\&+C_l(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+4}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\end{aligned} \tag{14.195}$$

In view of (14.182) and (14.90), the corresponding contribution to the integral on the right in (14.162) is then bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&C_l\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+q+4}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt'\\&+C_l\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p+4}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.196}$$

The first terms in (14.196) coincides with (14.155) and is estimated by (14.156) while the second is estimated in a similar manner by:

$$\begin{aligned}&C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\{\varphi'_5(Ca\delta_0)\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\\&+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^4\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.197}$$

Let us finally consider the contribution of the last term in the expression (14.167) to the first term on the right in (14.164), namely the contribution of:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\|^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{14.198}$$

In view of (14.182) and the estimate (14.90), the contribution in question is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\|^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1}(t')\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')}dt' \tag{14.199}$$

This is similar to (14.159), and is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}C_p\delta_0\|^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\{\varphi'_{3+p}(Ca\delta_0)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\\ +\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2+p}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.200}$$

In regard to the contribution of the last term on the right in (14.164), we remark that it is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4\{\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')^2\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')B_l(t')\}+\sum_{k=0}^m\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{(1+t')\bar{\mu}_m^a(t')B'_{k,l-k}\}\}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t) \tag{14.201}$$

Thus, relative to the terms $(1+t)^{2(i_1...i_l)}B_l$ and $(1+t)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}B'_{m,l-m}$ there is an extra factor of $C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^4$, consequently the contribution in question is absorbed in the estimates already made.

14.5 The Borderline Estimates Associated to K_1

14.5.1 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.56)

We now consider the contribution of (14.56) to the corresponding integral (14.59). Recalling that this is associated to the variation (14.52), the contribution in question is:

$$-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)\Omega(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(T\psi_\alpha)((L+\nu)R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.202}$$

Here, using the flux $\mathcal{F}'_1[R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]$ does not lead to an appropriate estimate. Instead, we proceed as follows. First, since

$$\tilde{\not{g}}=\Omega\not{g},\quad d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}=\Omega d\mu_{\not{g}}$$

the integral (14.202) is:

$$-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')((L+\nu)R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.203}$$

Let us consider, for an arbitrary function f, the integral:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(Lf+2\nu f)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}$$

Let:

$$F(t,u)=\int_{S_{t,u}}fd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.204}$$

Then we have:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \int_{S_{t,u}} (Lf + 2\nu f) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} \tag{14.205}$$

We have used this formula in Chapter 5. Therefore:

$$\int_{W_u^t} (Lf + 2\nu f) dt' du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} = \int_0^u \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial t'}(t', u') dt' du' \tag{14.206}$$
$$= \int_0^u \{F(t, u') - F(0, u')\} du' = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} f du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} - \int_{\Sigma_0^u} f du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}}$$

Going back to (14.203), we write the integrant in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &-(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')((L+\nu)R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha) \\ &= -(L+2\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)\} \\ &\quad +(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\} \end{aligned}$$

By (14.206) with the function $(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)$ in the role of the function f, we then conclude that (14.203) equals:

$$-\int_{\Sigma_t^u} (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha) du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} \tag{14.207}$$
$$+\int_{\Sigma_0^u} (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha) du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}}$$
$$+\int_{W_u^t} (R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\} dt' du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}}$$

We first consider the hypersurface integral:

$$-\int_{\Sigma_t^u} (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha) du' d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} \tag{14.208}$$

(The other hypersurface integral can be expressed in terms of initial data.) Let f, g be arbitrary functions defined on $S_{t,u}$ and X an arbitrary vectorfield tangent to $S_{t,u}$. We have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} f(Xg) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} = \int_{S_{t,u}} X(fg) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} - \int_{S_{t,u}} g(Xf) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}}$$

and

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} X(fg) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} = \int_{S_{t,u}} \tilde{\slashed{\mathrm{div}}}(fgX) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} - \int_{S_{t,u}} (\tilde{\slashed{\mathrm{div}}}X) fg d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}}$$

Since

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \tilde{\slashed{\mathrm{div}}}(fgX) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} = 0, \quad \tilde{\slashed{\mathrm{div}}}X = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\tilde{\slashed{\pi}}$$

we obtain:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} f(Xg) d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} = -\int_{S_{t,u}} \{g(Xf) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(X)}\tilde{\slashed{\pi}} fg\} d\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} \tag{14.209}$$

Applying (14.209), taking:

$$X = R_{i_{l+1}}, \quad g = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi', \quad f = (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)$$

we conclude that (14.208) equals the sum:

$$H_0 + H_1 + H_2 \tag{14.210}$$

with

$$H_0 = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.211}$$

$$H_1 = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} (\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.212}$$

$$H_2 = \int_{\Sigma_t^u} (T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)\{R_{i_{l+1}}(\omega/\nu) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}\tilde{\not{\pi}}(\omega/\nu)\}du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.213}$$

Now, by Proposition 12.9 and Corollary 10.1.d with $l = 1$, recalling that ω is constant on each $S_{t,u}$,

$$(\nu/\omega)|R_{i_{l+1}}(\omega/\nu)| + \frac{1}{2}|\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}\tilde{\not{\pi}}| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.214}$$

It follows that H_2 has an extra decay factor of $\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$ relative to H_1. So we confine ourselves to H_0 and H_1.

We first consider H_0. We have:

$$|H_0| \leq C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} (1+t)^3|T\psi_\alpha||R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'||\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.215}$$

Although we have a L^2 bound for $R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'$ from Proposition 12.11, here we need a more precise estimate. Since

$$\begin{aligned} L\mathrm{tr}\chi' &= \mathrm{tr}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\chi') - 2\mathrm{tr}(\chi\cdot\chi') \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\chi') - \frac{2}{1-u+t}\mathrm{tr}\chi' - 2|\chi'|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{14.216}$$

taking the trace of (12.47), we obtain:

$$L\mathrm{tr}\chi' + \frac{2}{1-u+t}\mathrm{tr}\chi' = \rho_0 \tag{14.217}$$

where

$$\rho_0 = e\mathrm{tr}\chi - |\chi'|^2 + \mathrm{tr}b \tag{14.218}$$

Applying $R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}$ to this equation and using the Lemma 11.22 then yields the propagation equation:

$$LR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' + \frac{2}{1-u+t}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l \tag{14.219}$$

where

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l = R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\rho_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}ZR_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' \tag{14.220}$$

Obviously, the principal part of ρ_0 is contained in $-\mathrm{tr}\alpha'$, and is $\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\not{\Delta} h$. We can write this in a more precise way:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\not{\Delta} h = \frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}(\not{\Delta}\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i \not{\Delta}\psi_i - \sum_i \not{d}\psi_i \cdot \not{d}\psi_i)$$

So we can express:

$$-R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}(\mathrm{tr}\alpha'^{[P]}) = \frac{1}{2}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}[\frac{dH}{dh}(\not{\Delta}\psi_0 - \sum_i \psi_i\not{\Delta}\psi_i - \sum_i \not{d}\psi_i \cdot \not{d}\psi_i)] \qquad (14.221)$$

$$= m_T^\alpha R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{n}_l$$

Here, m_T^α are defined in Chapter 10, and ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{n}_l$ is a lower order term (of order $l+1$):

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{n}_l = \sum_{|s_1|>0}((R)^{s_1}m_T^\alpha)((R)^{s_2}\not{\Delta}\psi_\alpha) + R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\tilde{n}_0 \qquad (14.222)$$

where

$$\tilde{n}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{dH}{dh}\sum_i \not{d}\psi_i \cdot \not{d}\psi_i \qquad (14.223)$$

From the bootstrap assumption, we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{n}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} \qquad (14.224)$$

According to (10.185):

$$|m_T^0 - \frac{1}{2}\ell| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}, \quad |m_T^i| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \qquad (14.225)$$

Let us define the functions:

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}\ell\not{\Delta}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_0 \qquad (14.226)$$

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)} = (m_T^0 - \frac{1}{2}\ell)\not{\Delta}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_0 + m_T^i\not{\Delta}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_i \qquad (14.227)$$

We can then express (14.221) as:

$$-R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}(\mathrm{tr}\alpha'^{[P]}) = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{n}_l \qquad (14.228)$$

Let us define ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(2)}$ by:

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(2)} \qquad (14.229)$$

We shall estimate the $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ norm of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(2)}$. First, we estimate the contribution from

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} R_{i_l}...R_{i_{l-k+1}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-k}})}ZR_{i_{l-k-1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'$$

Obviously, this contribution is bounded by:

$$(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t) \qquad (14.230)$$

where we have used the bounds for ${}^{(R_i)}Z$ in Corollary 10.1.i.

Next, we should estimate the contribution from $R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\rho_0$. Recall the definition:

$$\rho_0 = e\mathrm{tr}\chi' + \frac{2e}{1-u+t} - |\chi'|^2 - \mathrm{tr}\alpha'$$

By Proposition 12.6, (12.293) and (12.295), the contribution from the first term of above is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{[l]}] \tag{14.231}$$

Also by (12.295), the contribution from the second term is bounded by:

$$C_l(1+t)^{-2}\{\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{\{l\}}]\} \tag{14.232}$$

By Proposition 12.6, the contribution from the third term is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\cdot \\ [\mathcal{W}_{[l]} + \mathcal{Y}_0]\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.233}$$

where we have used the original Corollary 10.2.d.

Finally, by the expression of $\alpha^{[N]}_{AB}$ and Corollary 10.1.g and Corollary 10.2.g, the contribution from the fourth term is bounded by:

$$(1+t)^{-3}[\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + (1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]})] \tag{14.234}$$

So we obtain the following estimate for ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(2)}_l$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(2)}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} \\ +\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)](\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]})\end{aligned} \tag{14.235}$$

On the other hand, by **H1** we have, pointwise:

$$|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(0)}_l| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}|\ell|\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}|\not{d}R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|^2} \tag{14.236}$$

and

$$|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(1)}_l| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}|\not{d}R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|^2} \tag{14.237}$$

These inequalities imply:

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(0)}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C|\ell|(1+t)^{-2}\bar{\mu}^{-1/2}_m(t)\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.238}$$

and

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(1)}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\bar{\mu}^{-1/2}_m(t)\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.239}$$

while:

$$\|\mu^{1/2}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho^{(0)}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)} \leq C|\ell|(1+t)^{-2}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.240}$$

and:

$$\|\mu^{1/2}\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.241}$$

We express the propagation equation (14.219) in acoustical coordinates (t,u,ϑ), so that L becomes $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and integrate it along each integral curve of L from $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-u+t)^2(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(t,u,\vartheta)\\ &= (1-u)^2(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(0,u,\vartheta)+\int_0^t(1-u+t')^2\,{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\rho_l(t',u,\vartheta)dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.242}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}&|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'|(t,u,\vartheta)\\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-2}\{|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'|(0,u,\vartheta)+\sum_{k=0}^{2}{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(k)}(t,u,\vartheta)\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.243}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A^{(k)}(t,u,\vartheta)=\int_0^t(1+t')^2|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\rho_l^{(k)}|(t',u,\vartheta)dt' \quad : \quad k=0,1,2 \tag{14.244}$$

By (8.333) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(k)}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq \int_0^t(1+t')^2\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\rho_l^{(k)}(t')\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}dt'\\ &\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\rho_l^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.245}$$

We now substitute the pointwise estimate (14.243) in (14.215). The *borderline* contribution is from ${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}$. This contribution is the *borderline* integral:

$$C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}||\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.246}$$

Recalling from Chapter 8 the partition of $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ into the subsets $\mathcal{V}_{s-}$, $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$, defined by (8.337), (8.338), respectively, we shall consider seperately the integrals over the corresponding regions $\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-$, $\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^+$ of $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-=\{(t,u,\vartheta)\in\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0} \quad : \quad (u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s-}\} \tag{14.247}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^+=\{(t,u,\vartheta)\in\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0} \quad : \quad (u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}\} \tag{14.248}$$

We consider first the integral over $\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha||{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}||\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}}\\ &\leq (1+t)\sup_{\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-}|T\psi_\alpha|\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-)}\|\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C(1+t)\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t)\sup_{\mathcal{U}_{s,t}^-}|T\psi_\alpha|\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s-})}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)}\end{aligned} \tag{14.249}$$

by (8.333). Now by (14.87) and (14.88), we have, pointwise on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$:

$$\max_\alpha |T\psi_\alpha| \leq \frac{C}{|\ell|}\{|L\mu| + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\} \tag{14.250}$$

In estimating the integral over $\mathcal{U}^-_{s,t}$ we can assume that $\mathcal{V}_{s-}$ is non-empty, thus, recalling the definitions (8.251) and (8.261),

$$\min_{(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s-}} \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) = \hat{E}_{s,m} = -\delta_1, \quad \delta_1 > 0 \tag{14.251}$$

Now, from Proposition 8.6 we have:

$$(L\mu)(t,u,\vartheta) = \mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)\{\frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)}{(1+t)} + \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)\} \tag{14.252}$$

It follows that:

$$\sup_{\mathcal{U}^-_{s,t}} |L\mu| \leq C\{\frac{\delta_1}{(1+t)} + \frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2}\} \tag{14.253}$$

hence, substituting in (14.250),

$$\max_\alpha \sup_{\mathcal{U}^-_{s,t}} |T\psi_\alpha| \leq \frac{C}{|\ell|}\{\frac{\delta_1}{(1+t)} + \frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2}\} \tag{14.254}$$

On the other hand, from (14.245) for $k=0$ and (14.238),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|{}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \leq \\ &C|\ell|\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{14.255}$$

Substituting (14.254) and (14.255) in (14.249), the factors $|\ell|$ cancel and we obtain that (14.249) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t)\{\delta_1 + \frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l+1}}\ldots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \\ &\cdot\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{14.256}$$

We now define

$${}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mathcal{G}'_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}\ldots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')\} \tag{14.257}$$

Then (14.256) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t)\{\delta_1 + \frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\} \\ &\cdot\int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')\frac{dt'}{(1+t')}\cdot {}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mathcal{G}'_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.258}$$

To estimate the integral in (14.258), we follow the argument of Lemma 8.11. Since we are assuming that $\mathcal{V}_{s-}$ is non-empty, we only have Case 2 to consider. Setting again, as in (8.267):

$$t_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}} - 1$$

we have two subcases of Case 2 as in the proof of Lemma 8.11. In Subcase 2a the lower bound (8.273) holds, hence $\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t') \leq C$ and

$$\int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t') \frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \leq C \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{(1+t')} = C \log(1+t) \tag{14.259}$$

therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\delta_1 + \frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\} \int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t') \frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \\ &\leq C\{\delta_1 \log(1+t) + C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^2}{(1+t)}\} \leq \frac{C}{a} \end{aligned} \tag{14.260}$$

for, in Subcase 2a

$$\delta_1 \log(1+t) \leq \delta_1 \log(1+t_1) = \frac{1}{2a}$$

while

$$\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^2}{(1+t)} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{a}$$

In subcase 2b we first estimate the contribution of

$$\int_0^{t_1} \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t') \frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \leq C \log(1+t_1) = \frac{C}{2a\delta_1}$$

to the left-hand side of (14.260). This contribution is then bounded by (Note that $t \geq t_1$):

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\delta_1 + C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\} \frac{C}{2a\delta_1} \leq \{\delta_1 + C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t_1)]}{(1+t_1)}\} \frac{C}{2a\delta_1} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2a}\{1 + \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1}(1 + \frac{1}{2a\delta_1}) e^{-\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}}\} \leq \frac{C}{2a}(1 + C\varphi_2'(2a\delta_1)) \leq \frac{C}{a} \end{aligned} \tag{14.261}$$

(see (14.157)). Next, we estimate the contribution of:

$$\int_{t_1}^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t') \frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \tag{14.262}$$

By the lower bound (8.303),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_1}^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t') \frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \leq C \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau} (1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a-1/2} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_1} \frac{(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a+1/2}}{(a-1/2)} \leq \frac{C}{\delta_1} \frac{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)}{(a-1/2)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.263}$$

where in the last step, we have used (8.312). It follows that the contribution of (14.262) to the left-hand side of (14.260) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\delta_1 + C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\} \frac{C}{\delta_1} \frac{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)}{(a-1/2)} \\ &\leq \frac{C\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)}{(a-1/2)}\{1 + \frac{C\delta_0}{\delta_1} \frac{[1+\log(1+t_1)]}{(1+t_1)}\} \\ &\leq \frac{C\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)}{(a-1/2)}(1 + C\varphi_2'(2a\delta_1)) \leq \frac{C\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)}{(a-1/2)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.264}$$

Combining the results (14.260), (14.264) of the two subcases we conclude that, in general:

$$\{\delta_1 + C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\}\int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')\frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \leq C\frac{\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)}{(a-1/2)} \tag{14.265}$$

hence (14.258), therefore also (14.256) and the integral on the left in (14.249), is bounded by:

$$\frac{C}{(a-1/2)}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}'_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{14.266}$$

We now consider the integral over $\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|^{(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}|\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.267}$$

We estimate this by:

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)\sup_{\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)\|\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t})}\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ \leq C(1+t)\sup_{\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)\|(\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)})(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l+1}}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)}\end{aligned} \tag{14.268}$$

Since by Proposition 8.6, in $\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}$ we have $\mu \geq C^{-1}$, then the pointwise estimate (14.250) implies:

$$\max_\alpha \sup_{\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|) \leq \frac{C}{|\ell|}\{\sup_{\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|) + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\} \tag{14.269}$$

Let us define:

$$\hat{E}_{s,M} = \max_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2}\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) \tag{14.270}$$

Setting:

$$\delta_2 = \hat{E}_{s,M} \tag{14.271}$$

we can assume in estimating (14.269) that $\delta_2 > 0$. From Proposition 8.6 we have:

$$\mu^{-1}L\mu = \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1} + \hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)} \tag{14.272}$$

In view of the fact that $\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$, (14.272) implies that in $\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{-1}|L\mu| &\leq \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1} + |\hat{Q}_{1,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) - |\hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)|}\\ &\leq \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1} + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) - C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]}\\ &\leq \frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) - C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]}\\ &\qquad + C'\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2}\end{aligned} \tag{14.273}$$

With

$$\eta = \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) \geq 0, \quad \epsilon = C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] > 0$$

we write

$$\frac{1}{1+\eta-\epsilon}=\frac{1}{1+\eta}+\frac{\epsilon}{(1+\eta-\epsilon)(1+\eta)}\leq\frac{1}{1+\eta}+C\epsilon$$

then since

$$\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}\epsilon\leq C''\delta_0^2(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

(14.273) implies that in $\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}$:

$$\mu^{-1}|L\mu|\leq\frac{\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)(1+t)^{-1}}{1+\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)}+C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.274}$$

The first term on the right in (14.274) is

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)\log(1+t)}\frac{\eta}{1+\eta} \tag{14.275}$$

This is an increasing function of η, and achieves its maximum in $\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}$ where η achieves its maximum value

$$\eta_M=\delta_2\log(1+t) \tag{14.276}$$

in $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$. The supremum of (14.277) in $\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}$ is therefore:

$$\frac{1}{(1+t)\log(1+t)}\frac{\eta_M}{1+\eta_M}=\frac{1}{(1+t)}\frac{\delta_2}{[1+\delta_2\log(1+t)]} \tag{14.277}$$

It follows that:

$$\sup_{\mathcal{U}^+_{s,t}}\mu^{-1}|L\mu|\leq\frac{1}{(1+t)}\frac{\delta_2}{[1+\delta_2\log(1+t)]}+C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)^2} \tag{14.278}$$

Substituting this in (14.269) and the result in (14.268), we conclude that the integral (14.267) is bounded by:

$$\frac{C}{|\ell|}\{\frac{\delta_2}{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}+C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)}\}\cdot \\ \|\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.279}$$

Now from (14.244) for $k=0$ we have:

$$(\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)})(t,u,\vartheta)=\int_0^t(1+t')^2(\frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu(t',u,\vartheta)})^{1/2}|(\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)})(t',u,\vartheta)|dt' \tag{14.280}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)})(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \\ &\leq\int_0^t(1+t')^2[\sup_{(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu(t',u,\vartheta)})]^{1/2}\|(\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)})(t')\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})}dt' \\ &\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')[\sup_{(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}}(\frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu(t',u,\vartheta)})]^{1/2}\|\mu^{1/2(i_1\dots i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t'})}dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.281}$$

where in the last step, we have used (8.333). From Proposition 8.6 we have, for $(u, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{V}_{s+}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu(t',u,\vartheta)} &= \frac{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t,u,\vartheta)}{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t') + \hat{Q}_{0,s}(t',u,\vartheta)} \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) + C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]}{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t') - C\delta_0(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]} \end{aligned} \tag{14.282}$$

Since

$$C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]}{(1+t')} \leq C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

the denominator in the fraction on the right in (14.282) is

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t') \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t'))$$

Similarly, since

$$C\delta_0 \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]}{(1+t)} \leq C\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

the numerator in the fraction on the right in (14.282) is

$$\frac{3}{2} + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t) \leq \frac{3}{2}(1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t))$$

Therefore, for $(u, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{V}_{s+}$ it holds that

$$\frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu(t',u,\vartheta)} \leq 3\frac{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t)}{1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+t')} \tag{14.283}$$

Now for $a > b > 0, x \geq 0$, the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1+ax}{1+bx}$$

is increasing in x. Hence, taking $a = \log(1+t)$, $b = \log(1+t')$, $x = \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)$, the ratio on the right in (14.283) achieves its maximum in $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$ where x achieves its maximum δ_2 in $\mathcal{V}_{s+}$. Therefore:

$$\sup_{(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{V}_{s+}} \frac{\mu(t,u,\vartheta)}{\mu(t',u,\vartheta)} \leq 3\frac{1 + \delta_2\log(1+t)}{1 + \delta_2\log(1+t')} \tag{14.284}$$

Substituting this and (14.240) in (14.241) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\mu^{1/2\,(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(0)})(t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{s+})} \\ &\leq C|\ell|\int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}{1+\delta_2\log(1+t')}}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_1'[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')}\frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \\ &\leq C|\ell|\sqrt{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'}\int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}{1+\delta_2\log(1+t')}}[1+\log(1+t')]^q\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')\frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \\ &\leq C|\ell|\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)\sqrt{{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'}\int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}{1+\delta_2\log(1+t')}}[1+\log(1+t')]^q\frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \end{aligned} \tag{14.285}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that by Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11, $\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t') \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)$. Substituting (14.285) in (14.279) and noting that

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1'[R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^q\sqrt{{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'(t)}$$

the factors $|\ell|$ cancel and we obtain that (14.279) hence also (14.267) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{q\,(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'(t) \\ &\cdot\{\frac{\delta_2}{\sqrt{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}}+C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{3/2}}{(1+t)}\}I_{q;\delta_2}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.286}$$

where:

$$I_{q;\delta_2}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{[1+\log(1+t')]^q}{\sqrt{1+\delta_2\log(1+t')}}\frac{dt'}{(1+t')} \tag{14.287}$$

Setting $x = 1+\log(1+t')$, the integral $I_{q;\delta_2}(t)$ takes the form:

$$I_{q;\delta_2}(t) = \int_1^{1+\log(1+t)} \frac{x^q}{\sqrt{1+\delta_2(x-1)}}dx \tag{14.288}$$

Since $\delta_2 \leq 1$ we have:

$$1+\delta_2(x-1) \geq \delta_2+\delta_2(x-1) = \delta_2 x$$

hence:

$$I_{q;\delta_2}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_2}}\int_0^{1+\log(1+t)} x^{q-1/2}dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_2}}\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1/2}}{(q+1/2)} \tag{14.289}$$

Also, since the denominator of the integrant in (14.290) is ≥ 1,

$$I_{q;\delta_2}(t) \leq \int_0^{1+\log(1+t)} x^q dx = \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}}{(q+1)} \tag{14.290}$$

We use (14.289) to estimate the product:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_2}{\sqrt{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}}I_{q;\delta_2}(t) &\leq \frac{\delta_2}{\sqrt{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}}\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1/2}}{\sqrt{\delta_2}(q+1/2)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\delta_2}\sqrt{1+\log(1+t)}}{\sqrt{1+\delta_2\log(1+t)}}\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^q}{(q+1/2)} \leq \frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^q}{(q+1/2)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.291}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that $\delta_2 \leq 1$. We use (14.290) to estimate the product:

$$\begin{aligned} C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{3/2}}{(1+t)}I_{q;\delta_2}(t) &\leq C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{3/2}}{(1+t)}\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}}{(q+1)} \\ &= C\delta_0\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^{5/2}}{(1+t)}\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^q}{(q+1)} \leq \frac{C'\delta_0}{(q+1)}[1+\log(1+t)]^q \end{aligned} \tag{14.292}$$

We conclude that (14.286) hence also (14.267) is bounded by:

$$\frac{C}{(q+1/2)}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q\,(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'(t) \tag{14.293}$$

Thus, we have estimated the borderline integral (14.246). We proceed to estimate the remaining contributions to the hypersurface integral H_0. These are the contributions from (14.243). To estimate these contributions, we simply use the bound:

$$\max_{\alpha}\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|T\psi_\alpha| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{14.294}$$

These contributions are then bounded by, for $k=1,2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(k)}|\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(k)}|\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t)\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(k)}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.295}$$

by (8.333).

Consider first the contribution of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(1)}$. From (14.245) and the fact that by the estimate (14.239):

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t)\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t)} \tag{14.296}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(1)}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} &\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')(1+t')^{-2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')}dt' \\ &\leq C\delta_0\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}J'_{a,q}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.297}$$

where:

$$J'_{a,q}(t) = \int_0^t\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^q dt' \tag{14.298}$$

To estimate this integral we consider again the two cases occurring in the proof of Lemma 8.11. In Case 1 we have the upper bound (14.117), which implies:

$$J'_{a,q}(t) \leq C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^q dt' \leq C_q \tag{14.299}$$

Similarly, in Subcase 2a:

$$J'_{a,q}(t_1) \leq C_q \tag{14.300}$$

In Subcase 2b we have the lower bound (14.124), which, with

$$C_q(t_1) = \sup_{t'\geq t_1}\{(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^q\} \tag{14.301}$$

implies:

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{a,q}(t) - J'_{a,q}(t_1) &\leq C\cdot C_q(t_1)\int_{t_1}^t(1+t')^{-1}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a-1/2}dt' \\ &\leq C\cdot\frac{C_q(t_1)}{a\delta_1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.302}$$

In the last step we have used the bound (8.312).

We have:

$$C_q(t_1) = \sup_{\tau' \geq \tau_1} \{e^{-\tau'}(1+\tau')^q\} \quad \tau_1 = \log(1+t_1)$$

Now, the function:

$$f(x) = e^{-x}x^q \quad \text{on} \quad [1, \infty)$$

is decreasing in x for $x \geq q$. Hence if $\tau_1 = 1/2a\delta_1 \geq q$, which is the case if $aq\delta_0$ is suitably small, then:

$$C_q(t_1) = e^{-\tau_1}(1+\tau_1)^q = e^{-\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}}(1+\frac{1}{2a\delta_1})^q \tag{14.303}$$

Therefore, from (14.302),

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{a,q}(t) - J'_{a,q}(t_1) &\leq \frac{C}{2a\delta_1}(1+\frac{1}{2a\delta_1})^q e^{-\frac{1}{2a\delta_1}}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \\ &\leq C\varphi'_{q+1}(2a\delta_1)\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \leq C\varphi'_{q+1}(Ca\delta_0)\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.304}$$

We conclude that in general:

$$J'_{a,q}(t) \leq C_q + C\varphi'_{q+1}(Ca\delta_0)\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t) \tag{14.305}$$

Substituting in (14.297) and the result in (14.295) for $k = 1$, then yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|\,{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(1)}|\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}\dots R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|du\,d\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\leq C\delta_0^2\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\{C_q\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t) + C\varphi'_{q+1}(Ca\delta_0)\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\}[1+\log(1+t)]^q \end{aligned} \tag{14.306}$$

Next, we consider the contribution of ${}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}A_l^{(2)}$. We have (14.245) for $k = 2$ and for $\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_l)}\rho_l^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$ we have the estimate (14.235). Here we shall only consider the contribution of the leading term on the right of (14.235) namely the term $C_l(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}$. The contribution of this term to (14.245) is:

$$C_l\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}(t')dt' \tag{14.307}$$

By Lemma 5.1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}(t') &\leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}} \end{aligned} \tag{14.308}$$

hence (14.307) is bounded by:

$$C_l\epsilon_0[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t')dt' \tag{14.309}$$

The last integral coincides with the integral $J_{a-1/2,-1}(t)$ (see (14.147)), therefore by (14.153) it is bounded by:

$$C[1+\log(1+t)]\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1}$$

Consequently (14.309), hence also (14.307), are bounded by:

$$C_l\epsilon_0[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \tag{14.310}$$

We conclude that the contribution to (14.295) for $k=2$ is bounded by:

$$C_l\epsilon_0\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+q+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.311}$$

Finally, we consider the contribution of the hypersurface integral H_1, given by (14.212). We have:

$$|H_1|\leq C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)^2|R_{i_{l+1}}T\psi_\alpha||R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'||R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.312}$$

By virtue of the bound

$$\max_\alpha\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|R_{i_{l+1}}T\psi_\alpha|\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{14.313}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}|H_1|\leq C\delta_0\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'||R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}}\\ C\delta_0(1+t)\|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^2\|(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{14.314}$$

Now by (14.243), (14.245):

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^2\|(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\\ \leq C\{\|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}+\sum_{k=0}^2\|^{(i_1...i_l)}A_l^{(k)}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\}\\ \leq C\{\|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}+\sum_{k=0}^2\int_0^t(1+t')\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.315}$$

Here we need only consider the leading principal contribution, namely that of $\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}$. This contribution to (14.315) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}C|\ell|\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')}dt'\\ \leq C|\ell|\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.316}$$

The last integral coincides with $J_{a,q-1}$ (see (14.147)), therefore by (14.153) it is bounded by:

$$C[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)$$

Consequently the leading principal contribution to (14.315) is bounded by:

$$C|\ell|\bar{\mu}_m^{-a+1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.317}$$

On the other hand, as in (14.308) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} &\leq C\epsilon_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t)} \\ &\leq C\epsilon_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\end{aligned} \tag{14.318}$$

Substituting (14.317) and (14.318) in (14.314), we conclude that the leading principal contribution to H_1 is bounded by:

$$C|\ell|\epsilon_0\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+q+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \tag{14.319}$$

the same in the form as (14.311).

We turn to the spacetime integral in (14.207) namely:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\slashed{g}}} \tag{14.320}$$

Here we shall use the fact that $(L+\nu)T\psi_\alpha$ decays faster than $(1+t)^{-2}$. To establish this fact we consider the wave equation satisfied by ψ_α. In analogy with (8.180) and (8.181) we have for $\alpha = 0,1,2,3$:

$$(L+\nu)\underline{L}\psi_\alpha = \rho_\alpha \tag{14.321}$$

where:

$$\rho_\alpha = \mu\slashed{\Delta}\psi_\alpha - \underline{\nu}L\psi_\alpha - 2\zeta\cdot\slashed{d}\psi_\alpha + \mu\frac{d\log\Omega}{dh}\slashed{d}h\cdot\slashed{d}\psi_\alpha \tag{14.322}$$

Under the same assumptions as those of Lemma 8.10 an estimate similar to (8.182) holds for each $\alpha = 0,1,2,3$, that is, we have:

$$\max_\alpha|\rho_\alpha| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.323}$$

Since $2T\psi_\alpha = \underline{L}\psi_\alpha - \eta^{-1}\kappa L\psi_\alpha$, (14.321) implies:

$$(L+\nu)T\psi_\alpha = \tau_\alpha \tag{14.324}$$

where:

$$2\tau_\alpha = \rho_\alpha - (L+\nu)(\eta^{-1}\kappa L\psi_\alpha) \tag{14.325}$$

Now we have:

$$(L+\nu)(\eta^{-1}\kappa L\psi_\alpha) = \eta^{-1}\kappa(L)^2\psi_\alpha + ((L+\nu)(\eta^{-1}\kappa))L\psi_\alpha$$

Using the bound for $L\mu$ and the assumptions $\mathbf{E}^{QQ}_{\{0\}}$, $\mathbf{E}^{Q}_{\{0\}}$ we deduce:

$$\max_\alpha|(L+\nu)(\eta^{-1}\kappa L\psi_\alpha)| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.326}$$

Combining (14.323) and (14.326) we obtain:

$$\max_\alpha|\tau_\alpha| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.327}$$

This estimate actually relies only on the assumptions of Proposition 12.6. By direct calculation, under the assumptions of Proposition 12.9 with $l=1$ together with those of Proposition 12.10 with $m=l=0$, we deduce:

$$\max_\alpha\|\tau_\alpha\|_{\infty,[1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.328}$$

Next, we consider the factor ω/ν. Setting:

$$\nu' = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi' + L\log\Omega) \tag{14.329}$$

we have:

$$\nu = \frac{1}{1-u+t} + \nu' \tag{14.330}$$

Recalling that $\omega = 2(1+t)$ we then obtain:

$$(L-2\nu)(\omega/\nu) = \gamma\nu^{-2}\omega \tag{14.331}$$

where:

$$\gamma = \frac{-u}{(1+t)(1-u+t)^2} + (\frac{1}{1+t} - \frac{4}{1-u+t})\nu' - 2\nu'^2 - L\nu' \tag{14.332}$$

Proposition 12.9 with $l = 1$ implies:

$$\|\gamma\|_{\infty,[1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.333}$$

Here we also have used (14.217) and (14.219).

By (14.324) and (14.331) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\}\\ = (\omega/\nu)\{(T\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi') + \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.334}$$

where:

$$\tilde{\tau}_\alpha = \tau_\alpha + \gamma\nu^{-1}T\psi_\alpha \tag{14.335}$$

By(14.328) and (14.333) and the estimate for ν' resulting from Proposition 12.9 with $l = 1$ we have:

$$\max_\alpha \|\tilde{\tau}_\alpha\|_{\infty,[1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.336}$$

Substituting (14.334) in (14.320), we are thus to estimate the spacetime integral:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W_u^t}(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(\omega/\nu)\\ \cdot\{(T\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi') + \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not g}}\end{aligned} \tag{14.337}$$

Writing:

$$\begin{aligned}(L+2\nu)R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' = R_{i_{l+1}}(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\\ +^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}ZR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' - 2(R_{i_{l+1}}\nu)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\end{aligned} \tag{14.338}$$

we integrate by parts on each $S_{t,u}$ using (14.209), first taking $X = R_{i_{l+1}}$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(T\psi_\alpha)R_{i_{l+1}}((L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not g}}\\ = -\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' d\mu_{\tilde{\not g}}\\ -\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' d\mu_{\tilde{\not g}}\\ -\int_{S_{t,u}}(T\psi_\alpha)\{R_{i_{l+1}}(\omega/\nu) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega/\nu)\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}\tilde{\not\pi}\}\\ \cdot(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' d\mu_{\tilde{\not g}}\end{aligned} \tag{14.339}$$

and then taking $X = {}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&= -\int_{S_{t,u}}({}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z\cdot\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&\quad -\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)({}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z\cdot\not{d}T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&\quad -\int_{S_{t,u}}(T\psi_\alpha)\{{}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z\cdot\not{d}(\omega/\nu)+(\omega/\nu)\tilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}\,{}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z\} \\
&\qquad \cdot(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.340}$$

Also, taking again $X = R_{i_{l+1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S_{t,u}}(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(\omega/\nu)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&= -\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l+1}}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&\quad -\int_{S_{t,u}}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}\tilde{\tau}_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&\quad -\int_{S_{t,u}}\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)\{R_{i_{l+1}}(\omega/\nu)+\frac{1}{2}(\omega/\nu)\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}\tilde{\not{\pi}}\}(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.341}$$

In view of (14.339)-(14.341) the spacetime integral (14.337) becomes:

$$-V_0 - V_1 - V_2 - V_3 \tag{14.342}$$

where:

$$V_0 = V_{0,0} + V_{0,1} \tag{14.343}$$

$$V_{0,0} = \int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.344}$$

$$V_{0,1} = \int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)\{\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l+1}}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)+(T\psi_\alpha)^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z\cdot\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.345}$$

$$V_1 = V_{1,0} + V_{1,1} \tag{14.346}$$

$$V_{1,0} = \int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.347}$$

$$V_{1,1} = \int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)\{R_{i_{l+1}}\tilde{\tau}_\alpha + {}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z\cdot\not{d}T\psi_\alpha\}\cdot(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.348}$$

$$V_2 = V_{2,0} + V_{2,1} \tag{14.349}$$

$$V_{2,0} = \int_{W_u^t} (T\psi_\alpha)\{R_{i_{l+1}}(\omega/\nu) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega/\nu)\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}\tilde{\not{\pi}}\}(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.350}$$

$$V_{2,1} = \int_{W_u^t} (R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')\cdot \tag{14.351}$$

$$\{\tilde{\tau}_\alpha[R_{i_{l+1}}(\omega/\nu) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega/\nu)\mathrm{tr}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}\tilde{\not{\pi}}] + (T\psi_\alpha)[^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z \cdot \not{d}(\omega/\nu) + (\omega/\nu)\tilde{\not{d}}\mathrm{iv}^{(R_{i_{l+1}})}Z]\}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}$$

and:

$$V_3 = \int_{W_u^t} 2(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l+1}}\nu)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.352}$$

The last term is a lower order integral which can be easily estimated.

In the following we shall focus attention on the two leading integrals $V_{0,0}$ and $V_{1,0}$, the other integrals containing decay factors compared to these two leading integrals. In fact, comparing $V_{0,0}$ and $V_{0,1}$ as well as $V_{1,0}$ and $V_{1,1}$ by using (14.336) and the estimate

$$\max_j \|^{(R_j)}Z\|_{\infty,[1],\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{14.353}$$

of Corollary 10.1.i, we see that $V_{0,1}$ and $V_{1,1}$ contain a decay factor of $\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$ as compared to $V_{0,0}$ and $V_{1,0}$ respectively.

We first consider $V_{0,0}$. We have:

$$|V_{0,0}| \leq C\int_{W_{\epsilon_0}^t} (1+t')^3|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha||(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.354}$$

From the propagation equation (14.219) we have:

$$(L+2\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l \tag{14.355}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l + 2\nu' R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' \tag{14.356}$$

Now we can see that $V_{0,1}$ and $V_{1,1}$ enjoy the same bounds as the contribution of the second term on the right of above to $V_{0,1}$ and $V_{1,0}$.

We write, as in (14.229),

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)} + {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l^{(2)} \tag{14.357}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l^{(2)} = {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(2)} + 2\nu' R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi' \tag{14.358}$$

Since

$$|\nu'| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l^{(2)}$ enjoys the same bound as ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(2)}$. The *borderline* contribution to (14.354) is the contribution from ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)}$. This is the *borderline* integral:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^3|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha||{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)}|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}} \qquad (14.359)\\ &\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')^3\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)\\ &\cdot\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}\|\mu^{1/2\,(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt' \end{aligned}$$

Here we substitute (14.89) for $\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)$ and the estimate (14.240) for $\|\mu^{1/2\,(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}$. The factors $|\ell|$ then cancel. Now the partial contribution of the second term on the right of (14.89) is actually not borderline. We shall show how to estimate contribution of this type afterwards, in connection with the estimate for the contribution of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)}$. For the present we focus on the *borderline* integral:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_0^t\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1'[R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')}\sqrt{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_1'[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')}dt' \qquad (14.360)\\ &\leq C\int_0^t\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_1'[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')dt'\\ &\leq C\int_0^t\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2q\,(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'(t')dt' \end{aligned}$$

This has the same form as (14.97), with q replacing p and ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'$ replacing ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}$. Thus, from (14.102) and (14.107) we conclude that (14.360) is bounded by:

$$C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2q})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q\,(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'(t) \tag{14.361}$$

We proceed to consider the contribution of ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)}$ to (14.354). To estimate this and all remaining contributions we simply use (14.90). Using (14.241) we obtain that the contribution in question is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_{W_{\epsilon_0}^t}(1+t')^3|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha||{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)}|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}} \qquad (14.362)\\ &\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^2\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}(t')\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}\|\mu^{1/2\,(i_1...i_l)}\rho_l^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\\ &\leq C\delta_0^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}(t')\mathcal{E}_{1,[l+2]}'(t')dt'\\ &\leq C\delta_0^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1-2a}(t')\mathcal{G}_{1,[l+2];a,q}'(t')dt' \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is similar as (14.115). We find (14.362) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\delta_0^2\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q} \qquad (14.363)\\ &\cdot\{\varphi_1(Ca\delta_0)\mathcal{G}_{1,[l+2];a,q}'(t)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}\mathcal{G}_{1,[l+2];a,q}'(t')dt'\} \end{aligned}$$

We consider next the contribution to (14.354) of the leading term $C_l(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}$ in the estimate for $\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}^{(2)}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)}$. This contribution is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_l\delta_0\int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t'})}\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}(t')dt' \\ &\leq C_l\epsilon_0\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+q} \\ &\qquad\times\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')}dt' \\ &\leq C_l\epsilon_0\delta_0 J_{2a,p+q-1}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.364}$$

where $J_{a,q}(t)$ is the integral (14.147). By (14.153) we have:

$$J_{2a,p+q-1}(t)\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+q+1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1/2}(t) \tag{14.365}$$

hence (14.364) is bounded by:

$$C_l\epsilon_0\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+q+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \tag{14.366}$$

Finally, we consider the integral $V_{1,0}$, given by (14.347). By the bound (14.213) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{1,0}| &\leq C\delta_0\int_{W^t_u}(1+t')|R_{i_{l+1}}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha||^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}(t')\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t'})}dt' \end{aligned} \tag{14.367}$$

Here we need only consider the leading principal contribution, namely that of $\|^{(i_1...i_l)}\tilde{\rho}^{(0)}_l\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t'})}$. By (14.240) and (14.318) this contribution is bounded by:

$$C\epsilon_0\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+q}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.368}$$

This is identical to (14.364), which has been bounded by (14.366). This completes the estimates for the spacetime integrals V_0, V_1, V_2. Thus, the estimate of the contribution of (14.56) to (14.59) is completed.

14.5.2 Estimates for the Contribution of (14.57)

We now consider the contribution of (14.57) to (14.59). Recalling that this is associated to the variation (14.54), the contribution in question is:

$$-\int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)(L+\nu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.369}$$

We deal with integral in the same way as we dealt with (14.202). That is, we write the integrant in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} -(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)((L+\nu)R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha) = \\ -(L+2\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)\} \\ +(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)\} \end{aligned}$$

By (14.206) with the function

$$(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)$$

in the role of the function f, we conclude that (14.369) equals:

$$\begin{aligned}-&\int_{\Sigma_t^u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \quad (14.370)\\ +&\int_{\Sigma_0^u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\\ &+\int_{W_u^t}(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)(L+\nu)\\ &\cdot\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)\}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\end{aligned}$$

We first consider the hypersurface integral:

$$-\int_{\Sigma_t^u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \quad (14.371)$$

We define the $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfields:

$$^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}=(\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu)\cdot(\not{g}^{-1}) \quad (14.372)$$

Then with

$$^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}r_{m,l-m}=R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu-\not{\Delta}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu \quad (14.373)$$

in view of the fact that, since $\tilde{\not{g}}=\Omega\not{g}$, for any $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield X:

$$\tilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}X=\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}X+X\cdot\not{d}\log\Omega, \quad (14.374)$$

we have:

$$R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu=\tilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}\,^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}+{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m} \quad (14.375)$$

where:

$$^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}={}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}r_{m,l-m}-{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\cdot\not{d}\log\Omega \quad (14.376)$$

In analogy (11.323)-(11.325) we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}&^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}r_{m,l-m}=\mathrm{tr}(^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}c_{m,l-m}[\not{d}\mu])\cdot(\not{g}^{-1}) \quad (14.377)\\ &+\mathrm{tr}\{\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_{l-m}}}...\not{\mathcal{L}}_{R_{i_1}}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^k(\not{g}^{-1}))\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^{m-k}\not{D}^2\mu\}\\ &+\mathrm{tr}\{\sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l-m,|s_1|>0}((\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_1}(\not{g}^{-1}))\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_R)^{s_2}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_T)^m\not{D}^2\mu\}\end{aligned}$$

Using Corollaries 10.1.d, 10.2.d, 11.1.c, 11.2.c, 11.2.g, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}r_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \quad (14.378)\\ &+\delta_0[\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}+\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$\|{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \tag{14.379}$$

while

$$\|\not{d}\log\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{14.380}$$

${}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}$ enjoys the same bounds as ${}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}r_{m,l-m}$.

Now substituting (14.375) in (14.371) the hypersurface integral becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\Sigma_t^u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)\tilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}\,{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\
&\quad-\int_{\Sigma_t^u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.381}$$

If f is an arbitrary function defined on $S_{t,u}$ and X an arbitrary vectorfield tangential to $S_{t,u}$, we have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} f\tilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}X d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = -\int_{S_{t,u}} X\cdot\not{d}f d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.382}$$

Applying (14.382) to the first of (14.381), taking $X = {}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}$ and $f = (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)$, we obtain that (14.381) equals:

$$H_0' + H_1' + H_2' \tag{14.383}$$

where:

$$H_0' = \int_{\Sigma_t^u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\cdot\not{d}(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.384}$$

$$H_1' = \int_{\Sigma_t^u}(\omega/\nu)(\not{d}T\psi_\alpha)\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.385}$$

$$H_2' = \int_{\Sigma_t^u}(T\psi_\alpha)\{\not{d}(\omega/\nu)\cdot{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} - (\omega/\nu)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}\}(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.386}$$

Now by (14.378) and (14.379) (also (14.214)),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\nu/\omega)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\cdot\not{d}(\omega/\nu) - {}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\
&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \\
&\delta_0[\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]} + \mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + (1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}]\}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.387}$$

Comparing on one hand (14.386) with (14.385) and on the other hand (14.387) with (14.379), we see that H_2' has an extra decay factor of $(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]$ relative to H_1'. So we confine attention to H_0' and H_1'.

We first consider the integral H_0'. We have, recalling the definition (14.372),

$$\begin{aligned}
|H_0'| &\leq C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)^2|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \\
&\leq C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|(\sum_j|R_jR_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu|)|\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.388}$$

Let us set $j = i_{l-m+1}$.

Here we must obtain a sharper estimate for $R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu$ than that of Proposition 12.12. Writing:

$$^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\mu_{m,l-m+1} = R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu \quad (m = 0, ..., l) \tag{14.389}$$

we have the propagation equations (12.229) for $m = 0$, and (12.252), for $m \geq 1$, which we can write in combined form as:

$$L^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\mu_{m,l-m+1} = {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'_{m,l-m+1} \tag{14.390}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'_{m,l-m+1} = e^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\mu_{m,l-m+1} + R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m m \\ +\mu R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m e + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r''_{m,l-m+1} \\ +\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^k\Lambda(T)^{m-1-k}\mu \\ +\sum_{k=0}^{l-m} R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_{l-m-k+2}}{}^{(R_{i_{l-m-k+1}})}ZR_{i_{l-m-k}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu \end{aligned} \tag{14.391}$$

Here we have defined the functions:

$$^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r''_{m,l-m+1} = {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r'_{m,l-m+1} - (R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m e)\mu \tag{14.392}$$

where $^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r'_{m,l-m+1}$ are given by (12.251). The functions $^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r''_{m,l-m+1}$ are of order $l+1$ and contain spartial derivatives of μ of order at most l of which at most m are T-derivatives. Explicitly, for $m = 0$:

$$^{(i_1...i_{l+1})}r''_{0,l+1} = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l+1, |s_1|, |s_2|>0} ((R)^{s_1}e)((R)^{s_2}\mu) \tag{14.393}$$

and for $m \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r''_{m,l-m+1} = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l-m+1, |s_1|>0} ((R)^{s_1}e)((R)^{s_2}(T)^m\mu) \\ +\sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l-m+1, |s_2|>0} ((R)^{s_1}(T)^m e)((R)^{s_2}\mu) \\ +R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((T)^k e)((T)^{m-k}\mu)) \end{aligned} \tag{14.394}$$

As in Chapter 10 we write:

$$m = m_T^\alpha(T\psi_\alpha) \tag{14.395}$$

and we express:

$$R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m m = m_T^\alpha R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1} \tag{14.396}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1} = \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l-m+1, |s_1|>0} ((R)^{s_1}m_T^\alpha)((R)^{s_2}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha) \\ +R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((T)^k m_T^\alpha)((T)^{m-k+1}\psi_\alpha)) \end{aligned} \tag{14.397}$$

Also, we write:

$$e = e_L^\alpha (L\psi_\alpha) \tag{14.398}$$

where:

$$e_L^0 = \frac{1}{2\eta^2}(\eta^2)' \tag{14.399}$$

$$e_L^i = \eta^{-1}\hat{T}^i - \frac{1}{2\eta^2}(\eta^2)'\psi_i$$

Obviously,

$$|e_L^\alpha| \leq C \tag{14.400}$$

We then express:

$$R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m e = e_L^\alpha R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m L\psi_\alpha + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1} \tag{14.401}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1} = & \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=l-m+1, |s_1|>0} ((R)^{s_1} e_L^\alpha)((R)^{s_2}(T)^m L\psi_\alpha) \\ & + R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!}((T)^k e_L^\alpha)((T)^{m-k}L\psi_\alpha)) \end{aligned} \tag{14.402}$$

We define:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1} = \frac{1}{2}\ell R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_0 \tag{14.403}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1} = & (m_T^0 - \frac{1}{2}\ell)R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_0 \\ & + m_T^i R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_i + (1+t)^{-1}\mu e_L^\alpha R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{14.404}$$

We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m m + \mu R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m e \\ & = {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'_{m,l-m+1} \end{aligned} \tag{14.405}$$

where:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'_{m,l-m+1} = {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1} + \mu^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1} \tag{14.406}$$

and (14.391) takes the form:

$${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'_{m,l-m+1} = {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(2)}_{m,l-m+1} \tag{14.407}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(2)}_{m,l-m+1} = & \, e^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\mu_{m,l-m+1} \\ & + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'_{m,l-m+1} + {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m+1})}r''_{m,l-m+1} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_1}(T)^k \Lambda (T)^{m-1-k}\mu \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{l-m} R_{i_{l-m+1}}...R_{i_{l-m-k+2}}\,{}^{(R_{i_{l-m-k+1}})}Z R_{i_{l-m-k}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m \mu \end{aligned} \tag{14.408}$$

We shall now obtain an $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ estimate for ${}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m+1})}\rho'^{(2)}_{m,l-m+1}$. The first term on the right in (14.408) is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by:

$$C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} \tag{14.409}$$

From (14.397) we deduce:

$$\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} \tag{14.410}$$

Using Corollary 11.2.b, we deduce that $\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m+1})}\tilde{n}'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$ is bounded by:

$$C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m-1,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}]\} \tag{14.411}$$

We turn to the third term on the right in (14.408). Using Corollary 11.2.b we deduce through (14.393), (14.394),

$$\begin{aligned} &\|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m})}r''_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\{[1+\log(1+t)](1+t)^{-1}[\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + \delta_0(1+t)^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l-1]} + \mathcal{W}_{\{l\}})] \\ &\qquad + (1+t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{14.412}$$

Finally, the two sums on the right in (14.408) have already been estimated in $L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ in (12.357) and (12.359). So we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(2)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \\ &\cdot\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}} + \mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}} + (1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]} + \mathcal{Y}_0 + (1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}\} \end{aligned} \tag{14.413}$$

Moreover, from (14.403) and (14.404), similarly as before, we have:

$$\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C|\ell|\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_0](t)} \tag{14.414}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \\ &+ C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha](t)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.415}$$

and:

$$\sum_j \|\mu^{1/2}{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C|\ell|\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.416}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \|\mu^{1/2}{}^{(i_1 \dots i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \\ &+ C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}} \dots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha](t)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.417}$$

We now integrate the propagation equation (14.390) along each integral curve of L from $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$ to obtain:

$$\sum_j |{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m}j)}\mu_{m,l-m+1}(t,u,\vartheta)| \tag{14.418}$$
$$\leq C\{\sum_j |{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m}j)}\mu_{m,l-m+1}(0,u,\vartheta)| + \sum_{k=0}^{2} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m}j)}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}(t,u,\vartheta)\}$$

where:

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}(t,u,\vartheta) = \int_0^t \sum_j |{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(k)}_{m,l-m+1}|(t',u,\vartheta)dt' \tag{14.419}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

By (8.333) we have:

$$\|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \tag{14.420}$$
$$\leq \int_0^t \sum_j \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(k)}_{m,l-m+1}(t')\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}dt'$$
$$\leq \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(k)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'$$

We now substitute (14.418) in (14.388), noting that:

$$\sum_j |R_j R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu| = \sum_j |{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m}j)}\mu_{m,l-m+1}|$$

The *borderline* contribution is from ${}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}$. It is the *borderline* integral:

$$C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}|\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.421}$$

This is similar to (14.246) and it is estimated in exactly the same manner. Defining (see (14.257)):

$$ {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{14.422}$$
$$= \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')\}$$

then similarly, we obtain (see (14.266), (14.293)) that the borderline integral (14.421) is bounded by:

$$C(\frac{1}{a-1/2}+\frac{1}{q+1/2})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\,{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{14.423}$$

The remaining contributions to (14.388) are estimated in exactly the same manner as the remaining contributions to (14.215). In view of (14.294) these contributions are bounded by:

$$C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}|\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.424}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}|\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t)\|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t)}$$

for $k = 1, 2$, which is similar to (14.297). Here we need only consider the contribution of ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m})}A'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}$, because ${}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m})}A'^{(2)}_{m,l-m}$ besides being of lower order does not contain any leading terms, as is evident by comparing (14.413) to (14.237). By (14.415):

$$\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m} j)}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{1/2}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t)} \tag{14.425}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|{}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m})}A'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \\ &\leq C\delta_0 \int_0^t \bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')}dt' \\ &\leq C\delta_0 J'_{a,q+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \end{aligned} \tag{14.426}$$

where $J'_{a,q}(t)$ is the integral (14.298). Substituting (14.305) with q replaced by $q+1/2$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|T\psi_\alpha|^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m})}A'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}|\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\leq C\delta_0^2\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\{C_q\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t) + C\varphi'_{q+3/2}(Ca\delta_0)\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\}[1+\log(1+t)]^q \end{aligned} \tag{14.427}$$

Finally, we consider the hypersurface integral H'_1, given by (14.387). We have:

$$|H'_1| \leq C\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)|\not{d}T\psi_\alpha|\sum_j |R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu||R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.428}$$

Using

$$\max_\alpha \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|\not{d}T\psi_\alpha| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2} \tag{14.429}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |H'_1| &\leq C\delta_0\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1+t)^{-1}\sum_j |R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu||R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dud\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}\sum_j \|R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\|R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\sum_j \|(R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu)(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{14.430}$$

From (14.418) and (14.420):

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j \|(R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu)(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)} \\ &\leq C\{\sum_j \|R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^2 \|{}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m})}A'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}(t)\|_{L^2([0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2)}\} \\ &\leq C\{\sum_j \|R_j R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^2\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{l-m} j)}\rho'^{(k)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\} \end{aligned} \tag{14.431}$$

Here we need only consider the leading principal contribution, namely that of

$$\sum_j \|{}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m}j)}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m+1}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}$$

This contribution to (14.431) is bounded by (see (14.414)):

$$\begin{aligned}
C|\ell|\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(t')\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')}dt' \qquad (14.432)\\
\leq C|\ell|\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q dt'\\
= C|\ell|J_{a,q-1}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the bound (14.153) with q replaced by $q-1$ and also the bound (14.318) for $\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}$, we obtain that the leading contribution to H'_1 is bounded by:

$$C\epsilon_0\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a+1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{p+q+1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \qquad (14.433)$$

the same in form as (14.319).

We turn to the spacetime integral in (14.370), namely:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)\}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \qquad (14.434)$$

As in (14.334) we have, in regard to the integrant in (14.434),

$$\begin{aligned}
(L+\nu)\{(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)\} \qquad (14.435)\\
= (\omega/\nu)\{(T\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu) + \tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)\}
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute on the right-hand side the expression (14.375) for $R_{i_{l-m}}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu$. Here we apply the following: Let X be an arbitrary $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield. We then have:

$$(L+2\nu)\widetilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}X = \widetilde{\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L X + 2\nu X) \qquad (14.436)$$

To establish this we work in acoustical coordinates $(t,u,\vartheta^A : A=1,2)$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
X = X^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A} \qquad (14.437)\\
\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det\not{g}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}(\sqrt{\det\not{g}}X^A) = \frac{\partial X^A}{\partial\vartheta^A} + X^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}\log\sqrt{\det\not{g}}
\end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}
L\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}X = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\not{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{iv}X \qquad (14.438)\\
= \frac{\partial^2 X^A}{\partial t\partial\vartheta^A} + \frac{\partial X^A}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}\log\sqrt{\det\not{g}} + X^A\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\partial\vartheta^A}\log\sqrt{\det\not{g}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now:

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_L X = [L,X] = \frac{\partial X^A}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A} \qquad (14.439)$$

and:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\log\sqrt{\det\not{g}}=\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{14.440}$$

We thus obtain:

$$L\not{d}\mathrm{iv}X=\not{d}\mathrm{iv}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L X)+X\cdot\not{d}\mathrm{tr}\chi \tag{14.441}$$

In view of (14.374) and the definition of ν, this is equivalent to:

$$L\tilde{\not{d}\mathrm{iv}}X=\tilde{\not{d}\mathrm{iv}}(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L X)+2X\cdot\not{d}\nu \tag{14.442}$$

which is equivalent to (14.436).

We appeal to (14.436) taking $X={}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}$ after substituting the expression (14.375) in (14.437). Substituting then the result in (14.434) and integrating by parts on each $S_{t,u}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)\tilde{\not{d}\mathrm{iv}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \qquad (14.443)\\
&=-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)((\not{\mathcal{L}}_L+2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m})\cdot\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\\
&\quad-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)((\not{\mathcal{L}}_L+2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m})\cdot\not{d}T\psi_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\\
&\qquad-\int_{W_u^t}(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)\\
&\qquad\cdot((\not{\mathcal{L}}_L+2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m})\cdot\not{d}(\omega/\nu)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}
\end{aligned}$$

and we are left with:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)(L+2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.444}$$

We also integrate by parts on each $S_{t,u}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)\tilde{\not{d}\mathrm{iv}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \qquad (14.445)\\
&=-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\cdot\not{d}(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\\
&\quad-\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\cdot\not{d}\tilde{\tau}_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\\
&\quad-\int_{W_u^t}\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\cdot\not{d}(\omega/\nu)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}
\end{aligned}$$

and we are left with:

$$\int_{W_u^t}(\omega/\nu)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.446}$$

In view of (14.443)-(14.446) the spacetime integral (14.434) becomes:

$$-V_0'-V_1'-V_2' \tag{14.447}$$

where:

$$V'_0 = V'_{0,0} + V'_{0,1} \tag{14.448}$$

$$V'_{0,0} = \int_{W^t_u} (\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)((\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}) \cdot \not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.449}$$

$$V'_{0,1} = \int_{W^t_u} (\omega/\nu)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} \cdot \not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.450}$$

$$V'_1 = V'_{1,0} + V'_{1,1} \tag{14.451}$$

$$V'_{1,0} = \int_{W^t_u} (\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)((\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}) \cdot \not{d}T\psi_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.452}$$

$$V'_{1,1} = \int_{W^t_u} (\omega/\nu)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} \cdot \not{d}\tilde{\tau}_\alpha dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{14.453}$$

and:

$$V'_2 = V'_{2,0} + V'_{2,1} \tag{14.454}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V'_{2,0} = \int_{W^t_u} &(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha) \\ &\{((\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}) \cdot \not{d}(\omega/\nu) \\ &-(\omega/\nu)(L + 2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m}\}dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \end{aligned} \tag{14.455}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V'_{2,1} = \int_{W^t_u} &(R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)\tilde{\tau}_\alpha \\ &({}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} \cdot (\not{d}(\omega/\nu)) - (\omega/\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\tilde{r}_{m,l-m})dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \end{aligned} \tag{14.456}$$

In the following, we estimate $V'_{0,0}$ and $V'_{1,0}$, seeing that the other terms are of lower order.

We have:

$$|V'_{0,0}| \leq C\int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}} (1+t')^2|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha||(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{14.457}$$

Since according to (14.372):

$$\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu = \not{g} \cdot {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} \tag{14.458}$$

and $\not{\mathcal{L}}_L\not{g} = 2\chi$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{d}LR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu &= \not{\mathcal{L}}_L\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu \\ &= 2\chi \cdot {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} + \not{g} \cdot \not{\mathcal{L}}_L{}^{(i_1...i_l)}Y_{m,l-m} \end{aligned} \tag{14.459}$$

Since

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\chi \not{g} + \hat{\chi} = (\nu - \frac{1}{2}L\log\Omega)\not{g} + \hat{\chi}'$$

defining:

$$\tilde{\chi}' = \hat{\chi}' - \frac{1}{2}(L\log\Omega)\not{g} \tag{14.460}$$

we then obtain:

$$\not{g}\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} = \not{d}LR_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu - 2\tilde{\chi}'\cdot{}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} \tag{14.461}$$

Substituting for $LR_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu$ from (14.390) with $l+1$ replaced by l then yields:

$$\not{g}\cdot(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m} = \not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'_{m,l-m} - 2\tilde{\chi}'\cdot\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu \tag{14.462}$$

which implies

$$|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L + 2\nu)^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2}|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(k)}_{m,l-m}| + 2|\tilde{\chi}'||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu| \tag{14.463}$$

Now from (14.403) with $l+1$ replaced by l:

$$\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m} = \frac{1}{2}\ell\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_0 \tag{14.464}$$

hence:

$$\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_l)}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C|\ell|(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)} \tag{14.465}$$

Also, from (14.404) with $l+1$ replaced by l:

$$\begin{aligned}\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m} = (m_T^0 - \frac{1}{2}\ell)\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_0 + m_T^i\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_i\\ +(1+t)^{-1}\mu e_L^\alpha\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha\\ +(\not{d}m_T^\alpha)(R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)\\ +(1+t)^{-1}(\not{d}(\mu e_L^\alpha))(R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha)\end{aligned} \tag{14.466}$$

hence, in view of the facts that:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|\not{d}m_T^\alpha| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}, \quad \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|\not{d}e_L^\alpha| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1} \tag{14.467}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)}\\ +C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\sqrt{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}\ldots R_{i_1}(T)^m Q\psi_\alpha](t)}\end{aligned} \tag{14.468}$$

Note that (14.465) and (14.468) are compatible with (14.416) and (14.417) respectively, and from (14.413) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\| |\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(2)}_{m,l-m}| &+ 2|\tilde{\chi}'||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\mu|\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\leq C_l\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}+\mathcal{W}^Q_{\{l\}}\\ &\quad +(1+t)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}+\mathcal{Y}_0+(1+t)\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.469}$$

The *borderline* contribution to (14.457) is the contribution from $\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}$. This is the *borderline* integral:

$$\begin{aligned}C\int_{W_u^t}&(1+t')^2|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}}\\ &\leq C\int_0^t(1+t')^2\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\quad\cdot\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\end{aligned} \tag{14.470}$$

Here we substitute (14.89) for $\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)$ and
(14.465) for $\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}$. The factors $|\ell|$ then cancel. Now the contribution of the second term on the right in (14.89) is in fact not borderline. The actual *borderline* contribution is:

$$\begin{aligned}C\int_0^t&\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|L\mu|)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')}\\ &\cdot\sqrt{\sum_\alpha\mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')}dt'\\ &\leq C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2q})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t)\end{aligned} \tag{14.471}$$

(similar to (14.360) and (14.361)).

We proceed to consider the contribution of $\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}$ to (14.457). Here we simply appeal to (14.90) for $\sup_{\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0}}(\mu^{-1}|T\psi_\alpha|)$. Substituting also (14.468) for
$\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}$, we obtain that the contribution in question is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}C\int_{W_{\epsilon_0}^t}&(1+t')^2|T\psi_\alpha||\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha||\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}}\\ &\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')\bar{\mu}_m^{-1}(t')\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}\\ &\quad\cdot\|\mu^{1/2}\not{d}^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(1)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'\\ &\leq C\delta_0^2\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\\ &\quad\cdot\{\varphi_2(Ca\delta_0)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\}\end{aligned} \tag{14.472}$$

(similar to (14.362)).

Next, we consider $V'_{1,0}$, given by (14.452). By (14.429) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}|V'_{1,0}|&\leq C\delta_0\int_{W_{\epsilon_0}^t}|R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha||(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L+2\nu)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}|dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}}\\ &\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t\mathcal{W}_{\{l+1\}}(t')\|(\not{\mathcal{L}}_L+2\nu)^{(i_1\dots i_{l-m})}Y_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^{\epsilon_0})}dt'dud\mu_{\not{g}}\end{aligned} \tag{14.473}$$

Here we need only consider the contribution of $\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}$. By (14.464),

$$\|\not{d}^{(i_1\ldots i_{l-m})}\rho'^{(0)}_{m,l-m}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-1/2}(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t)} \tag{14.474}$$

hence by (14.318) the contribution in question is bounded by

$$C\epsilon_0\delta_0\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{p+q}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')}dt' \tag{14.475}$$

This is similar to (14.364), which has already been bounded by (14.366). This completes the estimates for the spacetime integrals V_0', V_1', V_2'. Thus, the estimate of the contribution of (14.57) to (14.59) is completed.

Chapter 15

The Top Order Energy Estimates

In this chapter, we consider the energy estimates of top order $l+2$. Of these the most delicate are those corresponding to the variations (14.52) and (14.54), to which the *borderline* error integrals are associated.

15.1 Estimates Associated to K_1

For each of the variations, we first consider the integral identity associated to K_1 and then the integral identity associated to K_0. For each variation ψ, of any order, there is an additional *borderline* integral associated to K_1, contributed by $Q_{1,3}[\psi]$ (see (5.189), (5.276)-(5.282)). This is the integral $L(t,\epsilon_0)[\psi]$ defined by (5.388):

$$L(t,\epsilon_0)[\psi]=\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1'[\psi](t')dt' \tag{15.1}$$

Consider now the integral identities corresponding to the variations (14.52) and the vectorfield K_1. In each of these we have the *borderline* hypersurface integral (14.248) bounded by (14.268) and (14.295):

$$C(\frac{1}{a-1/2}+\frac{1}{q+1/2})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mathcal{G}'_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{15.2}$$

We also have the *borderline* spacetime integral (14.361) bounded by (14.363):

$$C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2q})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q(i_1\ldots i_l)}\mathcal{G}'_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{15.3}$$

We also have the remaining integrals, bounded, in the case of (14.308) by:

$$C_q\delta_0^2[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q} \tag{15.4}$$

and in the case of (14.313), by:

$$C_l\epsilon_0\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\} \tag{15.5}$$

provided that:

$$q\geq p+1 \tag{15.6}$$

and (14.321) is the same as (14.313). In the case of (14.365) we have a bound by:

$$C\delta_0^2\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \tag{15.7}$$

and in the case of (14.368) a bound by:

$$C_l\epsilon_0\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\} \tag{15.8}$$

provided that (15.6) holds. Finally (14.370) is the same as (14.368). Combining we see that all the remaining integrals associated to (14.52) and to K_1 containing the top order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities are bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{q,l}\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \\ \cdot\{\delta_0\mathcal{Y}_0(0)+\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0)+\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}(0)+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.9}$$

The bounds in terms of initial data come from the initial hypersurface integrals and the lower order terms which we omitted.

Consider now the error integrals associated to the same variations, or in fact any of the top order variations, and to K_1 or to K_0, which contain the lower order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities and are contributed by the remaining terms in the sum (14.14). Consider an arbitrary term in this sum, corresponding to some $k\in\{0,...,l\}$:

$$(Y_{I_{l+1}}+{}^{(Y_{I_{l+1}})}\delta)...(Y_{I_{l+2-k}}+{}^{(Y_{I_{l+2-k}})}\delta)^{(Y_{I_{l+1-k}};I_1...I_{l-k})}\sigma_{l+1-k} \tag{15.10}$$

There is a total of k derivatives with respect to the commutation fields acting on ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{l+1-k}$. In view of the fact that ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{l+1-k}$ has the structure described in the paragraph following (14.15), in considering the partial contribution of each term in ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{1,l+1-k}$, if the factor which is a component of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ receives more than $(l+1)_*$ derivatives with respect to the commutation fields, then the factor which is a 2nd derivative of ψ_{l+1-k} receives at most $k-(l+1)_*-1$ derivatives of the the commutation fields, thus corresponds to a derivative of the ψ_α of order at most:

$$k-(l+1)_*+1+l-k=l_*+1$$

therefore this factor is bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by the bootstrap assumption. Also, in considering the partial contribution of each term in ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{2,l+1-k}$, if the factor which is a 1st derivative of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ receives more than $(l+1)_*-1$ derivatives with respect to the commutation fields, then the factor which is a 1st derivative of ψ_{l+1-k} receives at most $k-(l+1)_*$ derivatives with respect to the commutation field, thus corresponds to a derivative of the ψ_α of order at most:

$$k-(l+1)_*+1+l-k=l_*+1$$

therefore this factor is again bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by the bootstrap assumption. Similar considerations apply to ${}^{(Y)}\sigma_{3,l+1-k}$. We conclude that for all the terms in the sum in (14.14) of which one factor is a derivative of the ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order more than $(l+1)_*$, the other factor is then a derivative of the ψ_α of order at most l_*+1 and is thus bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by the bootstrap assumption. Of these terms we have already estimated the contribution of those containing the top order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities. The contributions of the remaining terms are then bounded using Proposition 12.11 and 12.12. We obtain a bound for these contributions to the error integrals associated to K_1 and to any of the variations, up to the top order, by (recall (14.59)):

$$\begin{aligned} C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^q\cdot \\ \{\delta_0\mathcal{Y}_0(0)+\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0)+\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}(0)+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\} \\ \cdot\{\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \\ +C_l\delta_0\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'+C_l\delta_0\{\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{K_{[l+2]}(t,\epsilon_0)\}^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{15.11}$$

The last two terms contributed by the terms in (14.14) containing the top order derivatives of the acoustical entities of which however one is a derivative with respect to L (hence are expressible in terms of the top order derivatives of the ψ_α).

Here, we have defined:

$$\mathcal{F}'^{t}_{1,[n]}(u)=\sum_{m=1}^{n}\mathcal{F}'^{t}_{1,m}(u) \tag{15.12}$$

where $\mathcal{F}'^{t}_{1,n}(u)$ represents the sum of the fluxes associated to the vectorfield K_1 of all the nth order variations.

On the other hand, all the other terms in the sum (14.14) contain derivatives of the ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order at most $(l+1)_*$, thus spatial derivatives of χ' of order at most $(l+1)_*$ and spatial derivatives of μ of order at most $(l+1)_*+1$, which are bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by virtue of Proposition 12.9 and 12.10 and the bootstrap assumption. So we can use Lemma 7.6 to bound these contributions by:

$$C_l\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^{t}_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'+C_l\{\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^{t}_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{K_{[l+1]}(t,\epsilon_0)\}^{1/2} \tag{15.13}$$

$$+C_l\{\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^{t}_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\cdot$$

$$\{\int_0^{t}(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(t')+\epsilon_0^2\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t'))dt'\}^{1/2}$$

Here we have defined:

$$K_{[n]}(t,u)=\sum_{m=1}^{n}K_m(t,u) \tag{15.14}$$

where $K_n(t,u)$ represents the sum of the integrals

$$K[\psi](t,u)=-\int_{W_u^t}\frac{\Omega}{2}\omega\nu^{-1}\mu^{-1}(L\mu)_-|\not{d}\psi|^2d\mu_g \tag{15.15}$$

of all the nth order variations.

Finally, for each variation ψ we have the error integrals:

$$\int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}}\sum_{k=1}^{7}Q_{0,k}[\psi]d\mu_g,\quad \int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}}\sum_{k=1}^{8}Q_{1,k}[\psi]d\mu_g \tag{15.16}$$

from the fundamental energy estimates. According to (5.277), we have:

$$\int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}}\sum_{k=1}^{8}Q_{1,k}[\psi]d\mu_g\leq-\frac{1}{2}K[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)+CM[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)+\frac{3}{2}L[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)+\int_0^t\tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{E}'_1[\psi](t')dt' \tag{15.17}$$

Here $M[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)$ is given by (5.274):

$$M[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)=\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4+\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}'^{t}_1[\psi](u')du' \tag{15.18}$$

As in Chapter 5, we will bring the term $-(1/2)K[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)$ on the left-hand side of the integral inequality associated to ψ and K_1.

Let us define, for any variation ψ, in analogy with (14.109) and (14.111),

$$\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\mathcal{E}_0[\psi](t')\} \tag{15.19}$$

$$\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t')\mathcal{E}'_1[\psi](t')\} \tag{15.20}$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t)$ and $\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)$ are non-decreasing functions of t.

Recalling from Chapter 8 the definition of $\bar{\mu}_m$:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t) = \min\{\mu_m(t), 1\}, \quad \mu_m(t) = \min_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}} \mu = \min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} \mu(t,u,\vartheta) \tag{15.21}$$

we define by replacing $[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2$ by $[0,u]\times S^2$, or $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ by Σ_t^u:

$$\bar{\mu}_{m,u}(t) = \min\{\mu_{m,u}(t), 1\}, \quad \mu_{m,u}(t) = \min_{\Sigma_t^u} \mu = \min_{(u',\vartheta)\in[0,u]\times S^2} \mu(t,u',\vartheta) \tag{15.22}$$

Note that $\bar{\mu}_{m,u}(t)$ is a non-increasing function of u at each t. We then define:

$$\mathcal{H}'^t_{1;a,q}[\psi](u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]} \{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\mathcal{F}'^{t'}_1[\psi](u)\} \tag{15.23}$$

$$V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{H}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](u')du' \tag{15.24}$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}'^t_{1;a,q}[\psi](u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u while $V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of u at each t as well as a non-decreasing function of t at each u. We have, for each $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_1[\psi](u')du' &\leq \int_0^u \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u'}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\mathcal{H}'^t_{1;a,q}[\psi](u')du' \\ &\leq \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t,u)\end{aligned} \tag{15.25}$$

We also define:

$$\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[n];a,q}(u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]} \{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\mathcal{F}'^{t'}_{1,[n]}(u)\} \tag{15.26}$$

$$V'_{1,[n];a,q}(t,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[n];a,q}(u')du' \tag{15.27}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[n];a,q}(u)$ is a non-decreasing function function of t at each u, $V'_{1,[n];a,q}(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of u at each t as well as a non-decreasing function of t at each u, and for each $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[n]}(u')du' &\leq \int_0^u \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u'}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[n];a,q}(u')du' \\ &\leq \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}V'_{1,[n];a,q}(t,u)\end{aligned} \tag{15.28}$$

Going back to (15.18), we have, in regard to the first term on the right,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]} \mathcal{E}_0[\psi](t') &\leq \sup_{t'\in[0,t]} \{\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p}\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t')\} \\ &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t)\end{aligned} \tag{15.29}$$

(by Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11). At this point we set:

$$q = p+2 \tag{15.30}$$

which is consistent with (15.6). Then (15.29) implies that the first term on the right in (15.18) is bounded by:

$$C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t) \tag{15.31}$$

The second term on the right in (15.18) being bounded according to (15.25) with $u=\epsilon_0$, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}M[\psi](t,\epsilon_0) &\leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\{C\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t) + V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)\} \\ &\leq \bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\{C\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) + V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.32}$$

for all variations of order not exceeding $l+2$.

In regard to the third term on the right in (15.17), which involves the borderline integral (15.1), we have, by Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11,

$$\begin{aligned} L[\psi](t,\epsilon_0) &\leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2q-1}dt' \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2q}\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t) \end{aligned} \tag{15.33}$$

Finally, in regard to the last term on the right in (15.17) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t\tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{E}'_1[\psi](t')dt' &\leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\int_0^t\tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t')dt' \\ &\leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\int_0^t\tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{15.34}$$

for all variations of order not exceeding $l+2$.

We also recall that in (5.139) (with $u=\epsilon_0$) corresponding to the variation ψ and to K_1 we have the hypersurface integrals bounded according to (5.399):

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}(1/2)\Omega(\underline{L}\omega+\underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2-\int_{\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}}(1/2)\Omega(\underline{L}\omega+\underline{\nu}\omega)\psi^2| \\ &\qquad\leq C\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \\ &\qquad\leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t) \end{aligned} \tag{15.35}$$

by (15.29), (15.30).

We turn to the bound (15.13). Let us define:

$$I_{[n];a,q}(t,u)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t')K_{[n]}(t',u)\} \tag{15.36}$$

This is a non-decreasing function of t at each u. Then, in view of (15.28) with $u=\epsilon_0$, we can bound (15.13) by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_l\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\cdot \\ &\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')+\mathcal{G}'_{1;[l+2];a,q}(t'))dt' \\ &+V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)+I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)^{1/2}V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)^{1/2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.37}$$

and the last term in parenthesis is bounded by:

$$\frac{\delta}{2}I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)+\frac{1}{2\delta}V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0) \tag{15.38}$$

for any positive constant δ (δ will be chosen below).

Finally, (15.11) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}\{(\mathcal{Y}_0(0)+\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(0)+\mathcal{B}_{[l+1]}(0))^2 \\ &+\delta(\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t))+\delta I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)+(1+\frac{1}{\delta})V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.39}$$

We now consider the integral identity corresponding to the vectorfield K_1 (5.139) with $u=\epsilon_0$ and to the variations (14.52) $R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha$, $j=1,2,3$, $\alpha=0,1,2,3$, for a given multi-index $(i_1...i_l)$.

Summing over j and α, we then obtain from (15.2), (15.3), (15.33), in regard to the borderline integrals, and from (15.9), (15.32), (15.34), (15.35), (15.37), (15.39), in regard to the remaining contributions, the following:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_1'[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)+\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}_1'^t[R_jR_{i_1}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](\epsilon_0)\\&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\alpha}K[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t,\epsilon_0)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\\&\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,q}'(t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}_{1,[l+2];a,q}'(t)\\&+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}_{1,[l+2];a,q}'(t')dt'\end{aligned}\tag{15.40}$$

Here,

$$R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)=\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+(1+\frac{1}{\delta})V_{1,[l+2];a,q}'(t,\epsilon_0)+\delta I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)\tag{15.41}$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}$ is the quantity:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}&=\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(0)+\mathcal{E}_{1,[l+2]}'(0)+(\mathcal{P}_{[l+2]})^2\\\mathcal{P}_{[l+2]}&=\mathcal{Y}_0(0)+\mathcal{A}_{[l]}'(0)+\mathcal{B}_{\{l+1\}}(0)\\&+\sum_{i_1...i_l}\|^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}+\sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}}\|^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x_{m,l-m}'(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}\end{aligned}\tag{15.42}$$

Also,

$$\bar{A}(t)=\tilde{A}(t)+C_l(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^2\tag{15.43}$$

It is crucial that the constant C in front of the first term on the right in (15.40) is independent of a,q,l.

Now all the estimates we have made so far depend only on the bootstrap assumption and on assumption **J**, which refer to $W_{\epsilon_0}^s$, on the assumptions of Proposition 12.6, 12.9, 12.10 on the initial data on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$, and on the fact that $\epsilon_0\leq 1/2$. These assumptions hold if ϵ_0 is replaced by any $u\in(0,\epsilon_0]$; therefore all our estimates hold with ϵ_0 replaced by any $u\in(0,\epsilon_0]$. With this replacement, $\bar{\mu}_m(t)$ is replaced by $\bar{\mu}_{m,u}(t)$ and, for any variation ψ, $\mathcal{E}_0[\psi](t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1'[\psi](t)$ are replaced by $\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi](t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1'^u[\psi](t)$ respectively. Thus $\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t)$, $\mathcal{G}_{1;a,q}'[\psi](t)$ are replaced by:

$$\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}^u[\psi](t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t')\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi](t')\}\tag{15.44}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{1;a,q}'^u[\psi](t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t')\mathcal{E}_1'^u[\psi](t')\}\tag{15.45}$$

respectively, and ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)$, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t)$, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,l+2;a,p}'(t)$, ${}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{1,m,l+2;a,p}'(t)$, are replaced by:

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}^u(t)\\&=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t')\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')\}\end{aligned}\tag{15.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}^u(t)\\&=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t')\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')\}\end{aligned}\tag{15.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,l+2;a,q}(t)\\ &=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t')\}\end{aligned}\tag{15.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t)\\ &=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u{}_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t')\}\end{aligned}\tag{15.49}$$

respectively. Also, $\mathcal{G}_{0,[n];a,p}$, $\mathcal{G}'_{1,[n];a,q}$, are replaced by:

$$\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[n];a,p}(t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[n]}(t')\}\tag{15.50}$$

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[n];a,q}(t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[n]}(t')\}\tag{15.51}$$

respectively. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}$. Thus in place of (15.40) we obtain, for all $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$, and all $t\in[0,s]$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)+\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}'^t_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](u)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\alpha}K[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t,u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\\ &\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q}){}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,l+2;a,q}(t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\\ &+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\end{aligned}\tag{15.52}$$

and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)=\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+(1+\frac{1}{\delta})V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,u)\\ +\delta I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)\end{aligned}\tag{15.53}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}&=\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+2]}(0)+\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+2]}(0)+(\mathcal{P}^u_{[l+2]})^2\\ \mathcal{P}^u_{[l+2]}&=\mathcal{Y}^u_0(0)+\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l]}(0)+\mathcal{B}^u_{\{l+1\}}(0)\\ &+\sum_{i_1...i_l}\|{}^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma^u_0)}+\sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}}\|{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma^u_0)}\end{aligned}\tag{15.54}$$

Keeping only the term

$$\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)$$

on the left of (15.52), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)\\ &\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q}){}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,l+2;a,q}(t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\\ &+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\end{aligned}\tag{15.55}$$

The same holds with t replaced by $t' \in [0,t]$, Now the right hand side of (15.55) is a non-decreasing function of t at each u. The inequality corresponding to t' thus holds a fortiori if we again replace t' by t on the right hand side. Taking the supremum over all $t' \in [0,t]$ on the left hand side we obtain, in view of the definition (15.48):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) + C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \\ +C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) + C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{15.56}$$

If a and q are chosen suitably large so that:

$$C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{15.57}$$

then (15.56) will imply:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,l+2;a,q}(t) \leq C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \\ +C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) + C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{15.58}$$

The actual choice of a and q shall be specified below.

Consider now the integral identities corresponding to the variations (14.54) and K_1. In each of these we have the *borderline* hypersurface integral (14.423) bounded by (14.425):

$$C(\frac{1}{a-1/2}+\frac{1}{q+1/2})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{15.59}$$

We also have the *borderline* spacetime integral (14.472) bounded by (14.473):

$$C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2q})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2q}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t) \tag{15.60}$$

We also have the remaining integrals, bounded, in the case of (14.429) by (15.4), in the case of (14.435) bounded by (15.5), in the case of (14.474) by (15.7), and in the case of (14.476) by (15.8). Combining we see that all the remaining integrals associated to the variations (14.54) and to K_1 containing the top order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities are bounded by (15.9). On the other hand, by the discussion following (15.9) and (15.13), the error integrals associated to (14.54) and to K_1 contributed by all other terms in (14.14) are bounded by (15.11) and (15.13). Finally, we are left with (15.16), which is bounded in a similar way. We thus obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}'_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t) + \sum_\alpha \mathcal{F}'^{t}_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](\epsilon_0) \\ +\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\alpha} K[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t,\epsilon_0)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q} \\ \leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t) + C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \\ +C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0) + C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{15.61}$$

and also:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_1'^u[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)+\sum_\alpha \mathcal{F}_1'^t[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](u)\\+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\alpha}K[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t,u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\\\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\\+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\end{aligned}\tag{15.62}$$

Keeping only the term

$$\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_1'^u[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)$$

on the left of (15.62) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_1'^u[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)\\\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{q})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\\+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\end{aligned}$$

By the same reasoning as that leading from (15.55) to (15.58) we then deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t)\\\leq C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\end{aligned}\tag{15.63}$$

Consider finally the integral identities corresponding to any of the other variations ψ of order up to $l+2$ and to K_1. In each of these we have only one *borderline* integral (15.1) bounded by (15.33). Since all the remaining integrals in these identities are bounded by:

$$C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\tag{15.64}$$

we obtain, for each such variation ψ, the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{E}_1'^u[\psi](t)+\mathcal{F}_1'^t[\psi](u)+\frac{1}{2}K[\psi](t,u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\\\leq\frac{C}{2q}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\\+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t\bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\end{aligned}\tag{15.65}$$

Similarly, keeping only

$$\mathcal{E}_1'^u[\psi](t)$$

on the left and noting that by virtue of the condition (15.57) the coefficient $C/2q$ of the first term on the right is not greater than $1/2$, we have:

$$\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[\psi](t)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)+C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)$$
$$+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'$$

The same holds with t replaced by any $t'\in[0,t]$. The right hand side being a non-decreasing function of t at each u, the inequality corresponding to t' holds fortiori if we again replace t' by t on the right. Taking then the supremum over all $t'\in[0,t]$ on the left we obtain, in view of the definition (15.45),

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)\leq C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \tag{15.66}$$
$$+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'$$

Now, according to the definition of $\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+2]}(t)$ we have:

$$\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+2]}(t)=\sum_{i_1...i_l}\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)\} \tag{15.67}$$
$$+\sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}}\{\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)\}$$
$$+\sum_{\psi}\mathcal{E}'^u_1[\psi](t)$$

where the last sum is over all the other variations ψ of order up to $l+2$. Noting that for non-negative functions $x_1(t),...,x_N(t)$ we have:

$$\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_n(t')\leq\sum_{n=1}^{N}\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}x_n(t') \tag{15.68}$$

it follows, in view of the definitions (15.45), (15.48), (15.49), (15.51) that:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\leq\sum_{i_1...i_l}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,l+2;a,q}(t)$$
$$+\sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,m,l+2;a,q}(t)$$
$$\sum_{\psi}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)$$

Thus, summing inequalities (15.58) over $i_1...i_l$, summing inequalities (15.63) over $i_1...i_{l-m}$ and over $m=0,...,l$, and summing inequalities (15.66) over all the other variations ψ of order up to $l+2$,and then adding the resulting three inequalities we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\leq C_{q,l}\delta_0\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \tag{15.69}$$
$$+C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C_l\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'$$

for new constants C_l, $C_{q,l}$. Requiring then δ_0 to satisfy the smallness condition:

$$C_{q,l}\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{4} \tag{15.70}$$

(15.69) implies:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) + C_l\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt' \tag{15.71}$$

By (15.43), (5.389) and Proposition 13.1, we have:

$$\int_0^s \bar{A}(t)dt \leq C_l \quad : \quad \text{independent of} \quad s \tag{15.72}$$

Since $R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, (15.71) implies:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.73}$$

hence also:

$$\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt' \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.74}$$

for a new constant $C_{q,l}$.

Substituting (15.73), (15.74) in the right-hand sides of 15.52), (15.62) and (15.65), omitting in each case the first term in parenthesis on the left, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}'^t_1[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](u) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,\alpha}K[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t,u)\}&\\ \cdot\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}&\\ \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)&\end{aligned} \tag{15.75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{F}'^t_1[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](u) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha}K[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t,u)\}&\\ \cdot\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}&\\ \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)&\end{aligned} \tag{15.76}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{F}'^t_1[\psi](u) + \frac{1}{2}K[\psi](t,u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}&\\ \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)&\end{aligned} \tag{15.77}$$

Summing then as in (15.67), yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u) + \frac{1}{2}K_{[l+2]}(t,u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}&\\ \leq C_{q,l}R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)&\end{aligned} \tag{15.78}$$

for a new constant $C_{q,l}$. Now the definition (15.53) of $R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)$ reads:

$$R_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) = \delta I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) + N_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.79}$$

where:

$$N_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)=\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+(1+\frac{1}{\delta})V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.80}$$

Keeping only the term $(1/2)K_{[l+2]}(t,u)$ on the left in (15.78) we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}K_{[l+2]}(t,u)\leq C_{q,l}\delta I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C_{q,l}N_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.81}$$

The same holds with t replaced by any $t'\in[0,t]$. The right hand side being a non-decreasing function of t at each u, the inequality holds a fortiori if we again replace t' by t on the right. Taking then the supremum over all $t'\in[0,t]$ on the left we obtain, in view of the definition (15.36),

$$\frac{1}{2}I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)\leq C_{q,l}\delta I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)+C_{q,l}N_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.82}$$

We choose δ according to:

$$C_{q,l}\delta=\frac{1}{4} \tag{15.83}$$

Then (15.82) implies:

$$I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)\leq C_{q,l}N_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.84}$$

Substituting the estimate (15.84) in (15.79) and the result in (15.78), and keeping only $\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u)$ on the left of (15.78), we obtain:

$$\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u)\leq C_{q,l}(Q_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u)+V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,u)) \tag{15.85}$$

for a new $C_{q,l}$, where:

$$Q_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u)=\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \tag{15.86}$$

Seeing that the right hand side of (15.85) is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, the previous reasoning applies and we deduce:

$$\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+2];a,q}(u)\leq C_{q,l}(Q_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u)+V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,u)) \tag{15.87}$$

In view of the definition of $V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,u)$, this reads:

$$\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+2];a,q}(u)\leq C_{q,l}Q_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u)+C_{q,l}\int_0^u\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+2];a,q}(u')du' \tag{15.88}$$

Defining the functions:

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[n];a,p}(t)=\sup_{u'\in[0,u]}\mathcal{G}^{u'}_{0,[n];a,p}(t) \tag{15.89}$$

which are non-decreasing functions of u at each t as well as non-decreasing functions of t at each u, we may replace $Q_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u)$ on the right in (15.88) by:

$$\bar{Q}_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u)=\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \tag{15.90}$$

which is also a non-decreasing function of u at each t as well as a non-decreasing function of t at each u. Recalling that $[0,\epsilon_0]$ is a bounded interval, (15.88) then implies:

$$\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+2];a,q}(u)\leq C_{q,l}\bar{Q}_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u) \tag{15.91}$$

for a new constant $C_{q,l}$. Hence also:

$$V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \leq C_{q,l}\epsilon_0 \bar{Q}_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u) \tag{15.92}$$

Substituting this in (15.80) and the result in (15.84) we obtain:

$$I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \leq C_{q,l}\bar{Q}_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u) \tag{15.93}$$

for a new constant $C_{q,l}$. Substituting finally this in (15.79) and the result in (15.73) yields:

$$\mathcal{G}'^{u}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t) \leq C_{q,l}\bar{Q}_{[l+2];a,p}(t,u) \tag{15.94}$$

for a new constant $C_{q,l}$.

15.2 Estimates Associated to K_0

Consider now the integral identities corresponding to the variations (14.52) and to the vectorfield K_0. In each of these we have the *borderline* integral (14.97), bounded by the sum of (14.102) and (14.107):

$$C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2p})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p(i_1\dots i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) \tag{15.95}$$

We also have the remaining integrals, bounded in the case of (14.115) by (14.132), hence by:

$$C_q\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\} \tag{15.96}$$

and in the case of (14.157) by (14.158), hence by (15.96) as well. Also, the integral (14.161), bounded by (14.162), hence by:

$$C_p\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\mathcal{P}_{[l+2]}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)} \tag{15.97}$$

Combining we see that all the remaining integrals associated to (14.52) and to K_0 containing the top order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities are bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{p,l}\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\cdot \\ \{\mathcal{P}_{[l+2]}+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.98}$$

By the discussion following (15.9), the contribution of the terms in (14.14) of which one factor is a derivative of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order more than $(l+1)_*$, but which do not contain top order spatial derivatives of acoustical entities, are bounded using Proposition 12.11 and 12.12 and the bootstrap assumption. We obtain a bound for these contributions to the error integrals associated to K_0 and to any of the variations, up to the top order, by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^p\{\mathcal{P}_{[l+2]}+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\} \\ \cdot\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')dt'+\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \\ +C_l\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')dt'+C_l\delta_0\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u')du' \\ +C_l\{\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u')du'+\bar{K}_{[l+2]}(t,\epsilon_0)\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')dt'+\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{15.99}$$

The last three terms are contributed by the terms in (14.14) containing the top order derivatives of the acoustical entities of which however one is a derivative with respect to L (hence are expressible in terms of the top order derivatives of the ψ_α). Here:

$$\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[n]}(u) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \mathcal{F}^t_{0,m}(u) \tag{15.100}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^t_{0,n}(u)$ represents the sum of the fluxes associated to the vectorfield K_0 of all the nth order variations. Also:

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^{t}_{1,[n]}(u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}\mathcal{F}'^{t'}_{1,[n]}(u)\} \tag{15.101}$$

$$\bar{K}_{[n]}(t,u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}K_{[n]}(t',u)\} \tag{15.102}$$

On the other hand, all the other terms in the sum (14.14) contain derivatives of the ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order at most $(l+1)_*$, thus spartial derivatives of χ' of order at most $(l+1)_*$ and spatial derivatives of μ of order at most $(l+1)_*+1$, which are bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)$ by Proposition 12.9 and 12.10 and the bootstrap assumption. The contributions of these terms are bounded according to Lemma 7.6:

$$\begin{aligned} & C_l\int_0^t (1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')dt' + C_l\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u')du' \\ & +C_l\{\int_0^{\epsilon_0}\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^{t}_{1,[l+2]}(u')du' + \bar{K}_{[l+2]}(t,\epsilon_0)\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(t')dt' + \int_0^{\epsilon_0}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{15.103}$$

From the definitions (15.26) (15.36) and also the relation (15.30), we have, for all $t'\in[0,t]$:

$$[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}\mathcal{F}'^{t'}_{1,[n]}(u) \le \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p}\mathcal{H}'^{t}_{1,[n];a,q}(u) \tag{15.104}$$

$$[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}K_{[n]}(t',u) \le \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p}I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.105}$$

taking the supremum over $t'\in[0,t]$, we obtain, in view of Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11,

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^{t}_{1,[n]}(u) \le C\bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\mathcal{H}'^{t}_{1,[n];a,q}(u) \tag{15.106}$$

$$\bar{K}_{[n]}(t,u) \le C\bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}I_{[n];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.107}$$

In view of the definition (15.27) and the fact that $\bar{\mu}_{m,u}(t)$ is a non-increasing function of u at each t we then have:

$$\int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}'^{t}_{1,[n]}(u')du' \le C\bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}V'_{1,[n];a,q}(t,u) \tag{15.108}$$

We also define:

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[n];a,p}(u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\mathcal{F}^{t'}_{0,[n]}(u)\} \tag{15.109}$$

$$V_{0,[n];a,p}(t,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{H}^t_{0,[n];a,p}(u')du' \tag{15.110}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[n];a,p}(u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, $V_{0,[n];a,p}(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of u at each t as well as a non-decreasing function of t at each u, and for each $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \mathcal{F}^t_{0,[n]}(u')du' &\le \int_0^u \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u'}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[n];a,p}(u')du' \\ &\le \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}V_{0,[n];a,p}(t,u) \end{aligned} \tag{15.111}$$

So we can bound (15.99) and (15.103) by:

$$\begin{aligned}C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\cdot\{(\mathcal{P}_{[l+2]})^2+\delta'({\mathcal{G}'}_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t))\\ +(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})(\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,\epsilon_0))\\ +\delta'(V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)+I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0))\}\end{aligned}\tag{15.112}$$

for any positive constant δ' (δ' will be chosen below).

Finally, we have to deal with the first of (15.16). According to (5.415)-(5.420) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}}\sum_{k=1}^{7}Q_0[\psi]d\mu_g\leq\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^4B_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}'_1[\psi](t')dt'\\ +C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}(\bar{\mathcal{E}}'_1[\psi](t')+\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t'))dt'\\ +CV_0[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)\\ +C\{V_0[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)+\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t')dt'\}^{1/2}(V'_1[\psi](t,\epsilon_0))^{1/2}\\ +C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2V'_1[\psi](t',\epsilon_0)dt'\\ +C\bar{K}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)^{1/2}(\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t')dt')^{1/2}\\ +C\bar{K}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)^{1/2}(V_0[\psi](t,\epsilon_0))^{1/2}\end{aligned}\tag{15.113}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}}\sum_{k=1}^{7}Q_0[\psi]d\mu_g\leq\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{E}}'_1[\psi](t')dt'\\ +C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t')dt'+V_0[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)\}\\ +\delta'V'_1[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)\\ +C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2V'_1[\psi](t',\epsilon_0)dt'\\ +\delta'\bar{K}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)\end{aligned}\tag{15.114}$$

where:

$$\tilde{B}_s(t)=(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]^4B_s(t)+C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2}\tag{15.115}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{E}}'_1[\psi](t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}\mathcal{E}'_1[\psi](t')\}\\ \leq\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^{2p}\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t')\}\\ \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t)\end{aligned}\tag{15.116}$$

the first term on the right in (15.114) is bounded by:

$$C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t')dt'\tag{15.117}$$

In regard to the second term on the right in (15.114) we have:

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\bar{\mathcal{E}}_0[\psi](t')dt' \tag{15.118}$$
$$\leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t')dt'$$

Moreover, defining:

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{0;a,p}[\psi](u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2p}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')\mathcal{F}_0^{t'}[\psi](u)\} \tag{15.119}$$

$$V_{0;a,p}[\psi](t,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{H}^t_{0;a,p}[\psi](u')du' \tag{15.120}$$

we have, in analogy with (15.111):

$$V_0[\psi](t,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{F}_0^t(u')du' \leq \bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}V_{0;a,p}[\psi](t,u) \tag{15.121}$$

In regard to the third and fourth term on the right of (15.114), recalling from Chapter 5 that:

$$V_1'[\psi](t,u) = \int_0^u \bar{\mathcal{F}}_1'^t[\psi](u')du' \tag{15.122}$$

we have, using an inequality similar to (15.106),

$$V_1'[\psi](t,u) \leq C\bar{\mu}^{-2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t,u) \tag{15.123}$$

hence also:

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 V_1'[\psi](t',\epsilon_0)dt' \tag{15.124}$$
$$\leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t',\epsilon_0)dt'$$

Finally, in regard to the last term, we have, in analogy with (15.107):

$$\bar{K}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0) \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}I_{a,q}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0) \tag{15.125}$$

where:

$$I_{a,q}[\psi](t,u) = \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t')K[\psi](t',u)\} \tag{15.126}$$

Substituting the above in (15.114) we obtain:

$$\int_{W^t_{\epsilon_0}}\sum_{k=1}^7 Q_0[\psi]d\mu_g \leq C\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p}\{\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t')dt' + (1+\frac{1}{\delta'}) \tag{15.127}$$
$$[\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}[\psi](t')dt' + V_{0;a,p}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)]$$
$$+\delta' V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0) + \int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2 V'_{1;a,q}[\psi](t',\epsilon_0)dt' + \delta' I_{a,q}[\psi](t,\epsilon_0)\}$$

We now consider the energy identity corresponding to K_0 ((5.140) with $u=\epsilon_0$) and to the variations (14.52) $R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha$, $j=1,2,3$, $\alpha=0,1,2,3$, for a given multi-index $(i_1...i_l)$.

Summing over j and α we then obtain from (15.95), (15.98), (15.112) and (15.127):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)+\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}_0^t[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](\epsilon_0)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\\
&\qquad\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)+C_{p,l}\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}\\
&\qquad+C_{p,l}\delta_0\{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)+\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)\}\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\mathcal{G}'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t')dt'\\
&\qquad+C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t',\epsilon_0)dt'\\
&\qquad+C_l(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,\epsilon_0)\}\\
&\qquad+C_l\delta'\{V'_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)+I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,\epsilon_0)\}
\end{aligned}\tag{15.128}$$

We substitute (15.92)-(15.94) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)+\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}_0^t[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](\epsilon_0)\}\bar{\mu}_m^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\\
&\qquad\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)+C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\\
&\qquad+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,\epsilon_0)\}
\end{aligned}\tag{15.129}$$

Here we have used the fact in Proposition 13.2:

$$\int_0^s\tilde{B}_s(t)dt\leq C\quad:\text{independent of}\quad s\tag{15.130}$$

It is crucial that the constant C in front of the first term on the right of (15.129) is independent of a,p,l. Moreover, (15.129) holds with ϵ_0 replaced by any $u\in(0,\epsilon_0]$, that is, we have, for all $u\in(0,\epsilon_0]$ and all $t\in[0,s]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)+\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}_0^t[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](u)\}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\\
&\qquad\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)+C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\\
&\qquad+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}
\end{aligned}\tag{15.131}$$

Keeping only the term

$$\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha]\tag{15.132}$$

on the left of (15.131), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)\\
&\qquad\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,l+2;a,p}(t)+C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\\
&\qquad+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}
\end{aligned}\tag{15.133}$$

The same holds with t replaced by any $t' \in [0,t]$. Now the right hand side of (15.132) is a non-decreasing function of t at each u. The inequality corresponding to t' thus holds a fortiori if we again replace t' by t on the right hand side. Taking then the supremum over all $t' \in [0,t]$ on the left we obtain, in view of the definition (15.46),

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) \\ &\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) + C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \\ &+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + \int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.134}$$

If we choose a and p suitably large so that:

$$C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{15.135}$$

then (15.133) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}{}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) \leq C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \\ &+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + \int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.136}$$

Consider now the integral identities corresponding to the variations (14.54) and K_0. In each of these we have the *borderline* integral (14.180), bounded by (14.181),

$$C(\frac{1}{2a}+\frac{1}{2p})\bar{\mu}_m^{-2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{2p\,(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t) \tag{15.137}$$

We also have the remaining integrals, bounded in the case of (14.185) by (15.96) and in the case of (14.198) by the sum of (14.158) and (14.199), hence also by (15.96). We also have the integral (14.201) bounded by (14.202), hence by (15.97). Combining we see that all the remaining integrals associated to (14.54) and K_0 containing the top order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities are bounded by (15.98). On the other hand, the error integrals associated to (14.54) contributed by all the other terms in (14.14) are bounded by the sum of (15.99) and (15.103), hence by (15.112). Finally, the contribution from the first of (15.16) is bounded by (15.127).

Consequently, the integral identities in question yield:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t) + \sum_\alpha \mathcal{F}^t_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](\epsilon_0)\} \\ &\qquad\cdot\bar{\mu}^{2a}_m(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p} \\ &\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,[l+2];a,p}(t) + C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \\ &+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]} + \int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,\epsilon_0)\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.138}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}^u_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t) + \sum_\alpha \mathcal{F}^t_\alpha[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](u)\} \\ &\qquad\cdot\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p} \\ &\leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,m,[l+2];a,p}(t) + C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \\ &+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + \int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \end{aligned} \tag{15.139}$$

Keeping only the term

$$\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha]$$

on the left in (15.139), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\sum_{\alpha}\mathcal{E}_0^u[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t) \\ \leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}^u(t)+C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t) \\ C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.140}$$

Seeing that the right hand side of (15.140) is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, the previous reasoning applies and we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}^u(t) \\ \leq C(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{p})^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}^u(t)+C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t) \\ C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.141}$$

Choosing a and p sufficiently large so that (15.135) holds this implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}{}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}_{0,m,l+2;a,p}^u(t)\leq C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t) \\ +C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.142}$$

Consider finally the integral identities corresponding to any of the other variations ψ of order up to $l+2$, and to K_0. These identities contain no borderline integrals and all error integrals involved are bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t) \\ +C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.143}$$

We thus obtain, for each such variation ψ the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi](t)+\mathcal{F}_0^t[\psi](u)\}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2a}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p} \\ \leq C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t) \\ +C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.144}$$

Keeping only the term

$$\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi]$$

on the left in (15.144) and applying the above reasoning we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{0;a,p}^u[\psi](t)\leq C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t) \\ +C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\int_0^t\tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,[l+2];a,p}^u(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}\end{aligned} \tag{15.145}$$

Now according to the definition of $\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+2]}(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+2]}(t) = \sum_{i_1...i_l}\{\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{E}^u_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](t)\} \qquad (15.146)\\
+\sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}}\{\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}^u_0[R_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](t)\}\\
+\sum_\alpha \mathcal{E}^u_0[\psi](t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last sum is over all the other variations ψ up to order $l+2$. By (15.68) we then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \leq \sum_{i_1...i_l} {}^{(i_1...i_l)}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,l+2;a,p}(t) + \sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}} {}^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,m,l+2;a,p}(t) \qquad (15.147)\\
+\sum_\psi \mathcal{G}^u_{0;a,p}[\psi](t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, summing (15.135) over $i_1...i_l$, summing (15.142) over $i_1...i_{l-m}$ and over $m=0,...,l$, and summing (15.145) over all the other variations ψ of order up to $l+2$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \leq C_{p,l}(\delta_0+\delta')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \qquad (15.148)\\
+C_{p,l}(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a new constant $C_{p,l}$. Requiring δ_0 and δ' to satisfy the smallness condition and equation respectively:

$$
C_{p,l}\delta_0 \leq \frac{1}{8}, \quad C_{p,l}\delta' = \frac{1}{8} \qquad (15.149)
$$

(15.148) implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \leq \frac{1}{4}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \qquad (15.150)\\
+C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a new constant $C_{p,l}$. The same holds with u replaced by any $u' \in [0,u]$. Now the right hand side of (15.150) is a non-decreasing function of u at each t. The inequality corresponding to u' thus holds a fortiori if we again replace u' by u on the right. Taking then the supremum over all $u' \in [0,u]$ on the left we obtain, in view of the definition (15.89),

$$
\frac{1}{4}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \qquad (15.151)
$$

In view of (15.130), since $V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)$ is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, (15.151) implies:

$$
\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t) \leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \qquad (15.152)
$$

hence also:

$$
\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t')dt' \leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \qquad (15.153)
$$

Substituting (15.152) and (15.153) in the right-hand sides of (15.131), (15.138) and (15.144), omitting in each case the first term in parenthesis on the left we obtain:

$$\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}^t_0[R_jR_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha](u) \tag{15.154}$$
$$\leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}$$

$$\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}^t_0[R_jR_{i_{l-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha](u) \tag{15.155}$$
$$\leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}$$

$$\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\sum_{j,\alpha}\mathcal{F}^t_0[\psi](u) \tag{15.156}$$
$$\leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\}$$

Summing as in (15.146) yields:

$$\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u)\leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \tag{15.157}$$

Since the inequality holds with t replaced by any $t'\in[0,t]$ and the right hand side is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, the inequality corresponding to t' holds a fortiori if we again replace t' by t on the right. Taking then the supremum over all $t'\in[0,t]$ on the left we obtain, in view of the definition (15.109),

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+2];a,p}(u)\leq C_{p,l}\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\} \tag{15.158}$$

Recalling the definition of $V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)$, this is:

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+2];a,p}(u)\leq C_{p,l}\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+C_{p,l}\int_0^u\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+2];a,p}(u')du' \tag{15.159}$$

Since $[0,\epsilon_0]$ is a bounded interval and $\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}$ is a non-decreasing function of u, (15.159) implies:

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+2];a,p}\leq C_{p,l}\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \tag{15.160}$$

hence also:

$$V_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t,u)\leq C_{p,l}\epsilon_0\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \tag{15.161}$$

Substituting this in (15.152) we obtain:

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)\leq C_{p,l}\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \tag{15.162}$$

Substituting finally (15.161) in (15.90) and the result in (15.91), (15.93), (15.94) yields:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t),\quad \mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+2];a,q}(u),\quad I_{[l+2];a,q}(t,u)\leq C_{p,l}\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \tag{15.163}$$

This completes the top order energy estimates.

At this point we shall specify the choice of a and p (recall from (15.30) that $q=p+2$). We also take a to be the form:

$$a=[a]+\frac{3}{4} \tag{15.164}$$

where $[a]$ denotes the integral part of a. We then choose $[a]$ to be the smallest positive integer so that with C the largest of the two constants in (15.57) and (15.134) we have:

$$\frac{C}{a}\leq\frac{3}{8} \tag{15.165}$$

We then choose p so that with the same constant C we have:

$$\frac{C}{p} = \frac{1}{8} \tag{15.166}$$

Then the conditions (15.57) and (15.134) are both satisfied and thereafter a, p and q are fixed. We then fix l so that:

$$l_* \geq [a] + 4 \tag{15.167}$$

We shall see the reason for this in the following. So l is thenceforth fixed as well, therefore we shall not denote the dependence of the constants on a, p, q, l. Actually, the constants shall now depend only on the the function H. We shall still require δ_0 to be suitably small in relation to these constants.

Chapter 16

The Descent Scheme

We now consider again the estimates for the energies $\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+1]}$ and $\mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+1]}$, associated to K_0 and K_1 respectively. We shall presently obtain improved estimates for these next to the top order energies, using the estimates for the top order energies just obtained. We shall show that the next to the top order quantities satisfy estimates similar to (15.160), (15.162) and (15.163), but with a replaced by

$$b = a - 1 \tag{16.1}$$

p replaced by 0, and, accordingly, q replaced by 2.

Let us first consider the error integrals associated to the variations of order $l+1$ and to K_1, involving the highest spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities. The leading contributions are:

1) The contribution of (14.56) with $l+1$ replaced by l to the corresponding integral (14.59), namely the integral (14.202)with l replaced by $l-1$:

$$-\int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)(R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi')(T\psi_\alpha)((L+\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{16.2}$$

2) The contribution of (14.57) with l replaced by $l-1$ to the corresponding integral (14.59), namely the integral (14.369) with l replaced by $l-1$:

$$-\int_{W^t_u}(\omega/\nu)(T\psi_\alpha)(R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu)((L+\nu)R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha)dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{16.3}$$

for $m = 0, ..., l-1$.

By the bounds (14.294) the integral (16.2) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} C\delta_0\int_{W^t_u}(1+t')|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'||(L+\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \\ \leq C\delta_0\{\int_{W^t_u}|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \\ \cdot\{\int_{W^t_u}(1+t')^2|(L+\nu)R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|^2dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{16.4}$$

Now the last factor is bounded by:

$$C\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \tag{16.5}$$

while the first factor is:

$$\{\int_0^t\|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma^u_{t'})}dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{16.6}$$

which is bounded in terms of:

$$\{\int_0^t (\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l]}(t'))^2 dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{16.7}$$

Here $\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l]}(t)$ is defined by replacing the L^2 norms over $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{A}'_{[l]}(t)$ by L^2 norms over Σ_t^u. Proposition 12.11 applies with ϵ_0 replaced by u, giving a bound for $\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l]}(t)$ by:

$$C(1+t)^{-1}\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[\mathcal{W}^u_{[l+2]}(t') + \mathcal{W}^{Qu}_{[l+1]}(t')]dt' \tag{16.8}$$

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^u_{[l+2]}(t) + \mathcal{W}^{Qu}_{[l+1]}(t) &\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-1/2}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+2]}(t)} \\ &\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a-1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^q\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)} \end{aligned} \tag{16.9}$$

Therefore (16.8) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q dt' \\ &\qquad \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}J^u_{a,q-1}(t) \\ &\qquad \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}} \end{aligned} \tag{16.10}$$

Here $J^u_{a,q}(t)$ is the integral (14.147) with ϵ_0 replaced by u and we have used (14.153) with ϵ_0 replaced by u as well as the bounds (15.163). It follows that the contribution of (16.8) to (16.7) is bounded by:

$$C\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}}\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2q+2}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2a+1}(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{16.11}$$

the last integral being estimated by following an argument similar to that used to estimate (14.115) (with ϵ_0 replaced by u). By (15.28) with a replaced by b and q by 2 we have:

$$\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du' \leq \bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4 V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.12}$$

we conclude that (16.4) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^2\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}}\sqrt{V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u)} \tag{16.13}$$

where b is defined by (16.1).

By (14.294), (16.3) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C\delta_0\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')|R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu||(L+\nu)R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}} \\ &\qquad \leq C\delta_0\{\int_{W_u^t}|R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \\ &\qquad \cdot\{\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^2|(L+\nu)R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|^2 dt'du'd\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{16.14}$$

Now the last factor is bounded by (16.5) while the first factor is:

$$\{\int_0^t \|R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Sigma^u_{t'})}dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{16.15}$$

which is bounded by:

$$\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-4}(\mathcal{B}^u_{[m,l+1]}(t'))^2 dt'\}^{1/2} \tag{16.16}$$

Here the quantity $\mathcal{B}^u_{[m,l+1]}(t)$ is defined by replacing the L^2 norms over $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ in the definition of the quantity $\mathcal{B}_{[m,l+1]}(t)$ by L^2 norms over Σ_t^u. Proposition 12.12 applies with ϵ_0 replaced by u, giving a bound for $\mathcal{B}^u_{[m,l+1]}(t)$ in terms of:

$$C(1+t)\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\{\mathcal{W}^u_{\{l+2\}}(t')+\mathcal{W}^{Qu}_{\{l+1\}}(t')\}dt' \tag{16.17}$$

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{W}^u_{\{l+2\}}(t)+\mathcal{W}^{Qu}_{\{l+1\}}(t) \\ &\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-1/2}\{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+2]}(t)}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+2]}(t)}\} \\ &\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a-1/2}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^q\{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\} \end{aligned} \tag{16.18}$$

Therefore (16.17) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} &C(1+t)\{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\} \\ &\cdot\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a-1/2}(t')[1+\log(1+t')]^q dt' \\ &\leq C(1+t)\{\sqrt{\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+2];a,q}(t)}+\sqrt{\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+2];a,p}(t)}\}J^u_{a,q-1} \\ &\leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}} \end{aligned} \tag{16.19}$$

where we have used (15.162), (15.163). It follows that the contribution of (16.17) to (16.16) is bounded by:

$$C\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}}\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2q+2}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2a+1}(t')dt'\}^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a+1}(t) \tag{16.20}$$

In view of (16.12), (16.14) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^2\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}}\sqrt{V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u)} \tag{16.21}$$

where b is defined by (16.1).

We proceed to consider the error integrals associated to the variations of order $l+1$ and to K_0, involving the highest spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities. The leading contributions are:

1) The contribution of (14.56) with $l+1$ replaced by l to the corresponding integral (14.62), namely the integral on the left in (14.63) with $l+1$ replaced by l (and ϵ_0 by u):

$$\int_{W^t_u}|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'||T\psi_\alpha||\underline{L}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{16.22}$$

2) The contribution of (14.57) with l replaced by $l-1$ to the corresponding integral (14.62), namely the integral (14.162) with l replaced by $l-1$:

$$\int_{W^t_u}|R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\not{\Delta}\mu||T\psi_\alpha||\underline{L}R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dt'du'd\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{16.23}$$

By (14.294), (16.22) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^{-1}|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'||\underline{L}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha|dt'du'd\mu_{\slashed{g}} \tag{16.24}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\|R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^u)}\|\underline{L}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^u)}dt'$$

Substituting (16.10) for the first factor in the integrant on the right and noting the definition (16.1) of b and the fact that:

$$\|\underline{L}R_{i_l}...R_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^u)}\leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+1]}^u(t)}\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-b}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)} \tag{16.25}$$

we obtain that (16.24) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b-1/2}(t')dt' \tag{16.26}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)}$$

the last integral being estimated in a similar manner as (14.115).

By (14.294), (15.23) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\int_{W_u^t}(1+t')^{-1}|R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\slashed{\Delta}\mu||\underline{L}R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha|dt'du'd\mu_{\slashed{g}} \tag{16.27}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\int_0^t(1+t')^{-1}\|R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^m\slashed{\Delta}\mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^u)}$$
$$\cdot\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^u)}dt'$$

Substituting (16.19) (multiplied by $C(1+t)^{-2}$) for the first factor in the integrant and noting again the definition (16.1) and the fact that:

$$\|\underline{L}R_{i_{l-1-m}}...R_{i_1}(T)^{m+1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{t'}^u)}\leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+1]}^u(t)}\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-b}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)} \tag{16.28}$$

we obtain that (16.27) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)}\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b-1/2}(t')dt' \tag{16.29}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b+1/2}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)}$$

a bound of the same form as (16.26).

Consider now the error integrals associated to the variations of order $l+1$ and to K_1 or K_0, which contain the lower order spatial derivatives of the acoustical entities and are contributed by the remaining terms in the sum (14.14) with $l+2$ replaced by $l+1$. By the discussion following (15.9), those contributed by the terms in which one factor is a derivative of the ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order more than $(l+1)_*$ are bounded, using Proposition 12.11 and 12.12 and the bootstrap assumption, by (15.11), hence by (15.39), with $([l+2];a,q)$ replaced by $([l+1];b,2)$ (and ϵ_0 by u), thus by:

$$C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4\{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}^u+\delta(\mathcal{G}_{1,[l+1];b,2}'^u(t)+\mathcal{G}_{0,[l+1];b,0}^u(t)) \tag{16.30}$$
$$+\delta I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u)+(1+\frac{1}{\delta})V_{1,[l+1];b,2}'(t,u)\}$$

in the case of the error integrals associated to K_1, by (15.99), hence by (15.112), with $([l+2];a,p)$ replaced by $([l+1];b,0)$ (and ϵ_0 by u), thus by:

$$\begin{aligned} & C_l\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)\{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}+\delta'(\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t)+\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t)) \\ & +(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})(\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u)) \\ & \qquad +\delta'(V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u)+I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u))\} \end{aligned} \tag{16.31}$$

in the case of the error integrals associated to K_0. In (16.30), (16.31), the arbitrary positive constants δ, δ' shall be chosen appropriately below. In fact, (16.30) and (16.31) also bound the error integrals associated to K_1 and to K_0 respectively, and to any of the variations of order up to l in which one factor is a derivative of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order more than $(l+1)_*$.

On the other hand, the error integrals associated to any of the variations of order up to $l+1$ contributed by the terms in the sum (14.14) containing derivatives of the ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order at most $(l+1)_*$ are bounded by (15.13) with $[l+2]$ replaced by $[l+1]$ (and ϵ_0 by u):

$$\begin{aligned} & C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du'+C\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{K_{[l+1]}(t,u)\}^{1/2} \\ & \qquad +C\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\cdot \\ & \{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1]}(t')+u^2\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t'))dt'\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq C\bar{\mu}^{-2b}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4\{V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}+(V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u))^{1/2}(I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u))^{1/2} \\ & \qquad +(V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u))^{1/2}\cdot \\ & (\int_0^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^2(\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')+\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t'))dt')^{1/2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{16.32}$$

in the case of K_1, and by (15.103) with $[l+2]$ replaced by $[l+1]$ (and ϵ_0 by u):

$$\begin{aligned} & C\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t')dt'+C\int_0^u\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+1]}(u')du' \\ & +C\{\int_0^u\bar{\mathcal{F}}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du'\}^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t')dt'+\int_0^u\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+1]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \\ & \qquad +C(\bar{K}_{[l+1]}(t,u))^{1/2}\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t')dt'+\int_0^u\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+1]}(u')du'\}^{1/2} \\ & \qquad \leq C\bar{\mu}^{-2b}_{m,u}(t)\{\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u) \\ & +(V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u)+I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u))^{1/2}(\int_0^t(1+t')^{-3/2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt'+V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u))^{1/2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{16.33}$$

in the case of K_0.

Finally, for each variation ψ of order up to $l+1$, we have the error integrals (15.16). Consider first the second of these integrals, which is associated to K_1. Summing (15.17) over all such variations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\psi\int_{W^t_u}\sum_{k=1}^{8}Q_{1,k}[\psi]d\mu_g & \leq -\frac{1}{2}K_{[l+1]}(t,u)+CM_{[l+1]}(t,u)+\frac{3}{2}L_{[l+1]}(t,u) \\ & \qquad +\int_0^t\tilde{A}(t')\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1]}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{16.34}$$

Here,

$$M_{[l+1]}(t,u)=\sum_{\psi}M[\psi](t,u), \quad L_{[l+1]}(t,u)=\sum_{\psi}L[\psi](t,u) \tag{16.35}$$

From (15.18) and (15.29) with (a,p) replaced by $(b,0)$, noting the fact that there is a fixed number of variations under consideration, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{[l+1]}(t,u) &\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^4 \sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t')+\int_0^u \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u')du' \\ &\leq \bar{\mu}^{-2b}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4\{C\mathcal{G}^u_{0;b,0}(t)+V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u)\} \end{aligned} \tag{16.36}$$

Also, from (15.1):

$$L_{[l+1]}(t,u)=\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-1}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1]}(t')dt' \tag{16.37}$$

To estimate this borderline integral appropriately, we must use the following variant of Corollary 2 of Lemma 8.11:

Variant of Corollary 2: Let b be a positive constant and k any constant greater than 1. Then if δ_0 is suitably small, depending on an upper bound for b and a lower bound greater than 1 for k, we have, for all $t'\in[0,t]$, $t\in[1,s]$, and $u\in(0,\epsilon_0]$:

$$\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t')\leq k\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t)$$

To prove this, we revisit the proof of Corollary 2. In the following, we shall take the constant a in the proof of that corollary to be a suitably large constant, having nothing to do with the choice of a which we made above in relation to the top order energy estimates. In Case 1 of this proof (8.322) holds, hence:

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t')}{\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t)}\leq(1-C\delta_0)^{-b}\leq k \tag{16.38}$$

provided that δ_0 is suitably small, depending on an upper bound for b and a lower bound greater than 1 for k. In Subcase 2a the lower bound (8.273) holds (with ϵ_0 replaced by u) for any given a, provided that $a\delta_0$ is suitably small, hence:

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t')}{\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t)}\leq(1-\frac{2}{a})^{-b}\leq k \tag{16.39}$$

if a is suitably large, depending on an upper bound for b and a lower bound greater than 1 for k. In Subcase 2b the lower bound (8.303) as well as the upper bound (8.312) hold (with ϵ_0 replaced by u) for any given a, provided that $a\delta_0$ is suitably small, hence:

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t')}{\bar{\mu}^{-b}_{m,u}(t)}\leq(\frac{1-\frac{2}{a}}{1+\frac{2}{a}})^{-b}(\frac{1-\delta_1\tau}{1-\delta_1\tau'})^{b}\leq(\frac{1-\frac{2}{a}}{1+\frac{2}{a}})^{-b}\leq k \tag{16.40}$$

if a is suitably large, depending on an upper bound for b and a lower bound greater than 1 for k. We have thus established the variant of Corollary 2.

We now set:

$$k=\sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} \tag{16.41}$$

in the above variant of Corollary 2, and we proceed to estimate $L_{[l+1]}(t,u)$. We have, from (16.38) and the definition (14.111) with (a,q) replaced by $(b,2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{[l+1]}(t,u) &\leq \int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3 \bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b} \mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \\ &\leq \frac{4}{3}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t)\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^3 dt' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{16.42}$$

Consider now the integral identity (5.73) corresponding to K_1 and to the variations ψ of order up to $l+1$. In each of these identities we also have the hypersurface integrals bounded according to (15.35) with (a,p) replaced by $(b,0)$ (and ϵ_0 by u) by:

$$C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^4\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) \tag{16.43}$$

Summing over all such variations we then obtain, from (16.42), and from (16.13), (16.21), (16.30), (16.32), (16.34), (16.36), the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1]}(t) + \mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u) + \frac{1}{2}K_{[l+1]}(t,u)\}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4} \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) + C\delta_0\delta\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) \\ &\qquad +CR'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) + C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{16.44}$$

Here, δ is any positive constant (δ shall be chosen below), and:

$$R'_{[l+1];b,2} = \mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + \mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) + (1+\frac{1}{\delta})V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u) + \delta I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.45}$$

Keeping only the term $\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1]}(t)$ in parenthesis on the left in (16.44) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{2b}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1]}(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) + C\delta_0\delta\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) \\ &+CR'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) + C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \end{aligned} \tag{16.46}$$

Since the right-hand side of the above is non-decreasing in t, applying the previous reasoning we deduce:

$$\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) \leq CR'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) + C\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \tag{16.47}$$

provided that:

$$C\delta_0\delta \leq \frac{1}{6} \tag{16.48}$$

From this point we proceed as in the argument leading from (15.71) to (15.73), (15.74), to obtain:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t) \leq CR'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.49}$$

$$\int_0^t \bar{A}(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \leq CR'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.50}$$

Substituting these in the right-hand side of (16.44) and omitting the first term in parenthesis on the left, we obtain:

$$\{\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1]}(u) + \frac{1}{2}K_{[l+1]}(t,u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2b}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4} \leq CR'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.51}$$

From this point we proceed as in the argument leading from (15.78) to (15.91)-(15.94), choosing δ according to:

$$C\delta = \frac{1}{4} \tag{16.52}$$

We obtain in this way the estimates:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+1];b,2}(u), \quad I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \leq C\bar{Q}'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.53}$$

$$V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \leq C\epsilon_0\bar{Q}'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \tag{16.54}$$

where:

$$\bar{Q}'_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) = \mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + \bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) \tag{16.55}$$

Consider finally the integral identity corresponding to K_0 and to the variations ψ of order up to $l+1$. In each of these identities we have the first of (15.16). Summing (15.127) over all such variations we obtain (there is a fixed number of variations under consideration):

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mu}^{2b}_{m,u}(t)\sum_{\psi}\int_{W^t_u}\sum_{k=1}^{7}Q_0[\psi]d\mu_g \leq C\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \\
+(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\{\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt' + V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u)\} \\
+C\delta' V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u) + C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t',u)dt' \\
+C\delta' I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u)
\end{aligned} \tag{16.56}$$

Here, as in (16.31), δ' is an arbitrary positive constant which shall be chosen appropriately below. Summing over all such variations we then obtain, from (16.26), (16.29), (16.31), (16.33), (16.56), the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t) + \mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+1]}(t)\}\bar{\mu}^{2b}_{m,u}(t) \\
\leq C\delta_0\delta'(\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) + \mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t)) + C(1+\frac{\delta_0}{\delta'})\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \\
+C\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t')dt' \\
+C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\int_0^t (1+t')^{-1}[1+\log(1+t')]^{-2}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt' \\
+C\delta' V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t,u) + C\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^{2}V'_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t',u)dt' \\
+C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u) + C\delta' I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u)
\end{aligned} \tag{16.57}$$

Substituting on the right (16.53)-(16.55) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t) + \mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+1]}(u)\}\bar{\mu}^{2b}_{m,u}(t) \\
\leq C\delta'\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) + C(1+\frac{\delta_0}{\delta'})\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt' \\
+C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u)
\end{aligned} \tag{16.58}$$

Keeping only the term $\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1]}(t)$ in parenthesis on the left in (16.58), and noting that the right-hand side is a non-decreasing function of t at each u, we may replace$t$ by any $t' \in [0,t]$ on the left while keeping t on the right, thus, taking the supremum over all $t' \in [0,t]$ we obtain, in view of the definition (15.50),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) \leq C\delta' \bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) + C(1+\frac{\delta_0}{\delta'})\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \\ +C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt' + C(1+\frac{1}{\delta'})V_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u)\end{aligned} \tag{16.59}$$

We now choose δ' such that:

$$C\delta' = \frac{1}{2} \tag{16.60}$$

Then in view of the fact that the right-hand side of (16.59) is non-decreasing in u, (16.59) implies:

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t) \leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} + C\int_0^t \tilde{B}_s(t')\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t')dt' + CV_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t,u) \tag{16.61}$$

for new constants C. From this point we proceed as in the argument leading from (15.151) to (15.160)-(15.162) to obtain the estimates:

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1];b,0}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+1];b,0}(u) \leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \tag{16.62}$$

Substituting finally (16.62) in (16.55) and the result in (16.53) yields:

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1];b,2}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+1];b,2}(u), \quad I_{[l+1];b,2}(t,u) \leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \tag{16.63}$$

We have thus obtained improved energy estimates of the next to the top order, namely of order $l+1$.

We proceed in this way, taking at the nth step

$$a_n = a - n \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = a_n - 1 = a-(n+1) = a_{n+1} \tag{16.64}$$

in the role of a and b respectively, the argument beginning in the paragraph containing (16.1) and concluding with (16.62), (16.63), being step 0. For $n \geq 1$ we have 2 and 0 in the role of q and p respectively. The nth step is otherwise exactly the same as the 0th step as above, as long as $b_n > 0$, that is as long as $n \leq [a]-1$. In estimating the integrals $J^u_{a_n,1}$ in (16.10), (16.19), as well as the integrals

$$\int_0^t (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^6 \bar{\mu}^{-2a_n+1}_{m,u}(t')dt' \tag{16.65}$$

for $n \geq 1$, we follow the argument leading from (14.147) to (14.153) in the case of $J^u_{a_n,1}$, the argument leading from (14.115) to (14.131) in the case of (16.65). When referring to Lemma 8.11 in these arguments, we now mean Lemma 8.11 with a set equal to 4 in that lemma. Then in Case 1, (14.116) holds which implies:

$$\bar{\mu}^{-2a_n-1}_{m,u}(t) \leq C \tag{16.66}$$

for all $t \in [0,s]$, as the a_n have a fixed upper bound a. Also, the subcases of Case 2 are defined according to:

$$t_1 = e^{\frac{1}{8\delta_1}} - 1 \tag{16.67}$$

and in Subcase 2a we have the lower bound:

$$\bar{\mu}_{m,u}(t') \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{16.68}$$

in place of (14.121), hence again:

$$\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2a_n-1}(t') \leq C \tag{16.69}$$

while in Subcase 2b we have the lower bound:

$$\bar{\mu}_{m,u} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-\delta_1\tau'), \quad \tau' = \log(1+t') \tag{16.70}$$

in place of (14.124), as well as the upper bound (8.312):

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t) \leq \frac{7}{4}(1-\delta_1\tau) \tag{16.71}$$

from the proof of Lemma 8.11 with a set to equal to 4, which implies:

$$\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2a_n} \geq \frac{1}{C}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-2a_n} \quad \tau = \log(1+t) \tag{16.72}$$

noting again that the a_n have a fixed upper bound. We thus obtain in Subcase 2b, in place of (14.151),

$$\begin{aligned} J^u_{a_n,1}(t) - J^u_{a_n,1}(t_1) &\leq C(1+\tau)^2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau} (1-\delta_1\tau')^{-a_n-1/2} d\tau' \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\delta_1}\frac{(1+\tau)^2}{(a_n-\frac{1}{2})}(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-a_n+1/2} \\ &\leq C\frac{[1+\log(1+t)]^3}{(a_n-\frac{1}{2})}\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a_n+1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{16.73}$$

noting that the role of a_n is different from that of a.

Since for any $n \leq [a]$:

$$a_n - \frac{1}{2} \geq a_{[a]} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \tag{16.74}$$

the denominator in (16.74) is bounded from below by a positive constant even for $n = [a]$, hence combining with the results for Subcase 2a and for Case 1 we conclude that:

$$J^u_{a_n,1}(t) \leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^3\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-a_n+1/2} \tag{16.75}$$

for all $n = 1, ..., [a]$

Also, for $n \leq [a]-1$, so that $a_n \geq 7/4$, we have in Subcase 2b, in regard to the integral (16.65), in place of (14.126),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_1}^{t} (1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^6(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-2a_n+1}dt' \\ &\leq C(1+t_1)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^6\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-2a_n+1}d\tau' \\ &\leq C(1+t_1)^{-1}\frac{[1+\log(1+t_1)]^6}{\delta_1}\frac{(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-2a_n+2}}{2(a_n-1)} \\ &\leq C(1+t_1)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^7\frac{(1-\delta_1\tau)^{-2(a_n-1)}}{2(a_n-1)} \\ &\leq C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2(a_n-1)} \end{aligned} \tag{16.76}$$

hence combining with the results for Subcase 2a and for Case 1 (where (16.65) is simply bounded by a constant) we conclude that (16.65) is bounded by:

$$C\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2(a_n-1)} \tag{16.77}$$

for all $n=1,...,[a]-1$.

Obviously, in (16.26) and (16.29) we may replace the integrals on the left by the integral (16.65) and we obtain the bounds at the nth step by:

$$C\delta_0\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2b_n}(t)\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}}\sqrt{\mathcal{G}^u_{0,[l+1-n];b_n,0}(t)} \tag{16.78}$$

Following then the argument of step 0, we obtain at the nth step, for $n=1,...,[a]-1$, the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1-n];b_n,0}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+1-n];b_n,0}(u) &\leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}\\ \mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1-n];b_n,2}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+1-n];b_n,2}(u), \quad I_{[l+1-n];b_n,2}(t,u) &\leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}\end{aligned} \tag{16.79}$$

for all $(t,u)\in[0,s]\times[0,\epsilon_0]$. Here the constants C may depend on n, but n is in any case bounded.

We now make the last step $n=[a]$. In this case, we have $a_n=a_{[a]}=\frac{3}{4}$ and (16.75) reads:

$$J^u_{3/4,1}(t)\leq C[1+\log(1+t)]^3\bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-1/4} \tag{16.80}$$

while in regard to (16.65), we now have, from (16.76), in Subcase 2b, since $-2a_{[a]}+1=-1/2$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{t_1}^t(1+t')^{-2}[1+\log(1+t')]^6(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-1/2}dt' \\ &\leq C(1+t_1)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^6\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau}(1-\delta_1\tau')^{-1/2}d\tau' \\ &\leq C(1+t_1)^{-1}\frac{[1+\log(1+t_1)]^6}{\delta_1}\frac{(1-\delta_1\tau_1)^{1/2}}{1/2} \\ &\leq C(1+t_1)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t_1)]^7\frac{(7/8)^{1/2}}{1/2}\leq C\end{aligned} \tag{16.81}$$

hence combining with the results for Subcase 2a and for Case 1 (where (16.65) is in any case bounded by a constant) we conclude that (16.65) is in the case $n=[a]$ simply bounded by a constant. We can thus set:

$$b_n=b_{[a]}=0 \tag{16.82}$$

in the last step, and proceed exactly as in the preceding steps. We thus arrive at the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1-[a]];0,0}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+1-[a]];0,0}(u) &\leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}\\ \mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1-[a]];0,2}(t), \quad \mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+1-[a]];0,2}(u), \quad I_{[l+1-[a]];0,2}(t,u) &\leq C\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}\end{aligned} \tag{16.83}$$

for all $(t,u)\in[0,s]\times[0,\epsilon_0]$.

These are the desired estimates. Because from the definitions,

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}^u_{0,[l+1-[a]];0,0}(t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1-[a]]}(t')\} \tag{16.84}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^t_{0,[l+1-[a]];0,0}(u)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{\mathcal{F}^{t'}_{0,[l+1-[a]]}(u)\} \tag{16.85}$$

$$\mathcal{G}'^u_{1,[l+1-[a]];0,2}(t)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t')]^{-4}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1-[a]]}(t')\} \tag{16.86}$$

$$\mathcal{H}'^t_{1,[l+1-[a]];0,2}(u)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{F}'^{t'}_{1,[l+1-[a]]}(u)\} \tag{16.87}$$

$$I_{[l+1-[a]];0,2}(t,u)=\sup_{t'\in[0,t]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}K_{[l+1-[a]]}(t',u)\} \tag{16.88}$$

and the weights $\bar{\mu}_{m,u}$ have been eliminated.

Chapter 17

The Isoperimetric Inequality. Recovery of Assumption J. Recovery of the Bootstrap Assumption. Proof of the Main Theorem

17.1 Recovery of J-Preliminary

We now establish assumption **J** on the basis of the bootstrap assumption. We consider the first order variations:

$$^{(\alpha)}\tilde{\psi}_1 = \begin{cases} S\phi & \alpha = 0 \\ \overset{\circ}{R}_i\phi & \alpha = i = 1,2,3 \end{cases} \tag{17.1}$$

To these Theorem 5.1 applies and we obtain, in particular:

$$\mathcal{E}_0^u[{}^{(\alpha)}\tilde{\psi}_1](t) \leq C\mathcal{E}_0^u[{}^{(\alpha)}\tilde{\psi}_1](0) \tag{17.2}$$

for all $(t,u) \in [0,s] \times [0,\epsilon_0]$.

We also consider the higher order variations, corresponding to the first order variations ${}^{(\alpha)}\tilde{\psi}_1$:

$$^{(\alpha;I_1...I_{n-1})}\tilde{\psi}_n = Y_{I_{n-1}}...Y_{I_1}{}^{(\alpha)}\tilde{\psi}_1 \tag{17.3}$$

We require the multi-indices $(I_1...I_{n-1})$ to be of the form specified in the paragraph following (14.4) and concluding with (14.5). We consider all such variations of order up to 4. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,[4]}^u(t)$ the sum of energies corresponding to K_0, Σ_t^u, and to all such variations of order up to 4. Now the integral identities corresponding to the variations in question and to K_0 and K_1 contain derivatives of ${}^{(Y)}\tilde{\pi}$ of order at most 3, which, in view of (15.167), are bounded in $L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})$ by Proposition 12.9 and 12.10 and the bootstrap assumption. The error integrals involved are then bounded exactly as in Chapter 7 and in accordance with the remark following Lemma 7.6 can be all absorbed in the error integrals of the fundamental energy estimate. Consequently, the conclusions of Theorem 5.1 hold for the variations in question and we obtain, in particular:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,[4]}^u(t) \leq C\tilde{D}_{[4]}^u \tag{17.4}$$

for all $(t,u) \in [0,s] \times [0,\epsilon_0]$. Here we denote:

$$\tilde{D}^u_{[4]} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^u_{0,[4]}(0) \tag{17.5}$$

Applying Lemma 5.1 we then conclude that:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \leq C\epsilon_0 \tilde{D}^u_{[4]} \tag{17.6}$$

for all $(t,u) \in [0,s] \times [0,\epsilon_0]$. Here we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}$ the integral on $S_{t,u}$ (with respect to $d\mu_{\not g}$) of the sum of the squares of all the variations under consideration. In particular, we have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \{|S\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1} |R_{j_1} S\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1,j_2} |R_{j_2} R_{j_1} S\phi|^2\} d\mu_{\not g} \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \tag{17.7}$$

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \{|\mathring{R}_i\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1} |R_{j_1} \mathring{R}_i\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1,j_2} |R_{j_2} R_{j_1} \mathring{R}_i\phi|^2\} d\mu_{\not g} \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \quad : i = 1,2,3 \tag{17.8}$$

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \{|TS\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1} |R_{j_1} TS\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1,j_2} |R_{j_2} R_{j_1} TS\phi|^2\} d\mu_{\not g} \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \tag{17.9}$$

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} \{|T\mathring{R}_i\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1} |R_{j_1} T\mathring{R}_i\phi|^2 + \sum_{j_1,j_2} |R_{j_2} R_{j_1} T\mathring{R}_i\phi|^2\} d\mu_{\not g} \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \quad : i = 1,2,3 \tag{17.10}$$

We are now in a position to apply the following lemma. **Lemma 17.1** Let f be a function on $S_{t,u}$ with square-integrable derivatives up to 2nd order. We denote:

$$\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] = \int_{S_{t,u}} \{|f|^2 + \sum_{j_1} |R_{j_1} f|^2 + \sum_{j_1,j_2} |R_{j_2} R_{j_1} f|^2\} d\mu_{\not g}$$

Then $f \in L^\infty(S_{t,u})$ and there is a positive numerical constant C such that:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}} |f| \leq C(1+t)^{-1} (\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f])^{1/2}$$

Proof: By (8.332) we have:

$$C^{-1}(1+t)^2 \leq A(t,u) \leq C(1+t)^2 \tag{17.11}$$

where $A(t,u)$ is the area of $S_{t,u}$ (by (8.332)). By Corollary 12.2.a we have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} (|f|^2 + \sum_i |R_i f|^2) d\mu_{\not g} + A(t,u) \int_{S_{t,u}} (|\not{d} f|^2 + \sum_i |\not{d} R_i f|^2) d\mu_{\not g} \leq C\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.12}$$

To continue with the proof of the lemma, we need the *isoperimetric Sobolev inequality.*

17.2 The Isoperimetric Inequality

(See [6], [1]) If g is an arbitrary function which is integrable and with integrable derivative on $S_{t,u}$, then g is square-integrable on $S_{t,u}$ and, denoting by $\bar{g}$ the mean value of g on $S_{t,u}$

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{A(t,u)} \int_{S_{t,u}} g \, d\mu_{\not g} \tag{17.13}$$

we have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} (g-\bar{g})^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq I(t,u)(\int_{S_{t,u}} |\not{d}g| d\mu_{\not{g}})^2 \tag{17.14}$$

where $I(t,u)$ is the *isoperimetric constant* of $S_{t,u}$:

$$I = \sup_U \frac{\min\{\text{Area}(U), \text{Area}(U^c)\}}{(\text{Perimeter}(\partial U))^2} \tag{17.15}$$

where the supremum is over all domains U with C^1 boundary ∂U in $S_{t,u}$, and $U^c = S_{t,u} \setminus U$ denotes the complement of U in $S_{t,u}$.

Since:

$$\|\bar{g}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} = |\bar{g}|A^{1/2}, \quad |\bar{g}| \leq A^{-1}\|g\|_{L^1(S_{t,u})} \tag{17.16}$$

It follows that:

$$\|g\|_{L^2(S_{t,u})} \leq \sqrt{I'}\|g\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u})} \tag{17.17}$$

where:

$$\|g\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u})} = \|\not{d}g\|_{L^1(S_{t,u})} + A^{-1/2}\|g\|_{L^1(S_{t,u})} \tag{17.18}$$

and:

$$I' = \max\{I, 1\} \tag{17.19}$$

We have:

$$\|f^2\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u})} = \int_{S_{t,u}} |\not{d}(f^2)| d\mu_{\not{g}} + A^{-1/2}\int_{S_{t,u}} |f|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{17.20}$$

and, since $\not{d}(f^2) = 2f\not{d}f$,

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} |\not{d}(f^2)| d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq 2(\int_{S_{t,u}} |f|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2}(\int_{S_{t,u}} |\not{d}f|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2} \leq CA^{-1/2}\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.21}$$

by (17.12). Since the second term on the right of (17.20) is similarly bounded, we obtain:

$$\|f^2\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u})} \leq CA^{-1/2}\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.22}$$

We have:

$$\|\sum_i |R_i f|^2\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u})} = \int_{S_{t,u}} |\not{d}(\sum_i |R_i f|^2)|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} + A^{-1/2}\int_{S_{t,u}} \sum_i |R_i f|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{17.23}$$

and, since $\not{d}(\sum_i |R_i f|^2) = 2\sum_i (R_i f)\not{d}(R_i f)$,

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} |\not{d}(\sum_i |R_i f|^2)| d\mu_{\not{g}} \leq 2(\int_{S_{t,u}} \sum_i |R_i f|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2}(\int_{S_{t,u}} \sum_i |\not{d}R_i f|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2} \leq CA^{-1/2}\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.24}$$

by (17.12). Since the second term on the right in (17.23) is similarly bounded, we obtain:

$$\|\sum_i |R_i f|^2\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u})} \leq CA^{-1/2}\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.25}$$

We now apply (17.17) first taking $g = f^2$ and then taking $g = \sum_i |R_i f|^2$ to obtain, in view of (17.22), (17.25),

$$\{\int_{S_{t,u}} \{|f|^4 + (\sum_i |R_i f|^2)^2\} d\mu_{\not{g}}\}^{1/2} \leq C\sqrt{I'} A^{-1/2} \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.26}$$

Then by Corollary 12.2.a,

$$\|f\|^2_{W^4_1(S_{t,u})} \leq C\sqrt{I'} A^{-3/2} \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.27}$$

where for an arbitrary function f on $S_{t,u}$ we denote:

$$\|f\|_{W^4_1(S_{t,u})} = \|\not{d} f\|_{L^4(S_{t,u})} + A^{-1/2}\|f\|_{L^4(S_{t,u})} \tag{17.28}$$

We shall now use an argument found in $\overset{\text{GT}}{[5]}$. We rescale the metric $\not{g}$ on $S_{t,u}$, setting:

$$\hat{\not{g}} = A^{-1}\not{g} \quad \text{so that} \quad d\mu_{\hat{\not{g}}} = A^{-1} d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{17.29}$$

to a metric $\hat{\not{g}}$ of unit area. Taking account of the fact that relative to the new metric we have:

$$|\not{d} f|^2_{\hat{\not{g}}} = (\hat{\not{g}}^{-1})^{BC} \partial_B f \partial_C f = A(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC} \partial_B f \partial_C f = A|\not{d} f|^2_{\not{g}} \tag{17.30}$$

we have:

$$\|f\|_{W^4_1(S_{t,u},\not{g})} = A^{-1/4}\|f\|_{W^4_1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.31}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{W^p_1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} = \|\not{d} f\|_{L^p(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} + \|f\|_{L^p(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.32}$$

hence (17.27) reads:

$$\|f\|^2_{W^4_1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq C\sqrt{I'} A^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f] \tag{17.33}$$

Moreover, from (17.17), (17.18) relative to $\hat{\not{g}}$,

$$\|g\|_{L^2(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq \sqrt{I'}\|g\|_{W^1_1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.34}$$

We now set:

$$\tilde{f} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{I'}} \frac{|f|}{\|f\|_{W^4_1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}} \tag{17.35}$$

Then $\tilde{f} \geq 0$ and taking $g = \tilde{f}^k$, $k > 1$, in (17.34) we obtain:

$$\|\tilde{f}^k\|_{L^2(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq \sqrt{I'}\|\tilde{f}^k\|_{W^1_1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.36}$$

Since $\not{d}(\tilde{f}^k) = k\tilde{f}^{k-1}\not{d}\tilde{f}$ we have,

$$\|\not{d}(\tilde{f}^k)\|_{L^1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq k\|\tilde{f}^{k-1}\|_{L^{4/3}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}\|\not{d}\tilde{f}\|_{L^4(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.37}$$

and:

$$\|\tilde{f}^k\|_{L^1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} = \|\tilde{f}^{k-1}\tilde{f}\|_{L^1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq \|\tilde{f}^{k-1}\|_{L^{4/3}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^4(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.38}$$

hence:

$$\|\tilde{f}^k\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq k\|\tilde{f}^{k-1}\|_{L^{4/3}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}\|\tilde{f}\|_{W_1^4(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.39}$$

Now by (17.35) we have:

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{W_1^4(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{I'}} \tag{17.40}$$

Substituting (17.39) and using (17.36) yields:

$$\|\tilde{f}^k\|_{L^2(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq k\|\tilde{f}^{k-1}\|_{L^{4/3}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.41}$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{2k}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq k^{1/k}\|\tilde{f}\|^{1-(1/k)}_{L^{(4/3)(k-1)}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.42}$$

This implies:

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{2k}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq k^{1/k}\|\tilde{f}\|^{1-(1/k)}_{L^{(4/3)k}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.43}$$

This is because, by virtue of the fact that $S_{t,u}$ has unit area with respect to $\hat{\not{g}}$, the norm $\|g\|_{L^p(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}$ is a non-decreasing function of the exponent p, for any given function g. The ratio of the exponent on the left in (17.43) to the exponent on the right is $3/2$. We now set:

$$k = (\frac{3}{2})^n \quad : n = 1, 2, 3, ... \tag{17.44}$$

For $n = 1$ the exponent on the right in (17.43) is 2, and taking $g = \tilde{f}$ in (17.34) we obtain, by (17.35):

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq \sqrt{I'}\|\tilde{f}\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} = \frac{\|\tilde{f}\|_{W_1^1(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}}{\|\tilde{f}\|_{W_1^4(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})}} \leq 1 \tag{17.45}$$

Then by (17.45) and induction on n we get:

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^{2(3/2)^n}(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \leq (\frac{3}{2})^{\sum_{m=1}^{n} m(3/2)^{-m}} \tag{17.46}$$

Taking the limit $n \longrightarrow \infty$ we obtain:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}} \tilde{f} \leq c \tag{17.47}$$

where

$$c = (\frac{3}{2})^{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m(3/2)^{-m}} = (\frac{3}{2})^6$$

In view of (17.35), (17.47) is equivalent to:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}} |f| \leq c\sqrt{I'}\|f\|_{W_1^4(S_{t,u},\hat{\not{g}})} \tag{17.48}$$

Substituting finally (17.33) yields:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}} |f| \leq cCI'^{3/4}A^{-1/2}(\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[f])^{1/2} \tag{17.49}$$

To complete the proof of the Lemma 17.1, what remains to be done is to obtain an upper bound for $I(S_{t,u})$, the isoperimetric constant of $S_{t,u}$. The integral curves of T on a given $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ define a diffeomorphism of $S_{t,0}$ onto each $S_{t,u}$, $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$. A domain $U_u \subset S_{t,u}$ with C^1 boundary ∂U_u is mapped by the inverse onto a domain $U_0 \subset S_{t,0}$ with C^1 boundary ∂U_0. Consider the image $U_{u'}$ of the domain U_0 on each $S_{t,u'}$, $u' \in [0, u]$, under the diffeomorphism. Then from the definition

$$\not{\mathcal{L}}_T \not{g} = 2\kappa\theta$$

we have:

$$\frac{d}{du'}\mathrm{Area}(U_{u'}) = \int_{U_{u'}} \kappa \mathrm{tr}\theta d\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{17.50}$$

and:

$$\frac{d}{du'}\mathrm{Perimeter}(\partial U_{u'}) = \int_{\partial U_{u'}} \kappa\theta(V,V) ds \tag{17.51}$$

where V is the unit tangent vectorfield and ds is the element of arc length of $\partial U_{u'}$. Here, u is the parameter of the integral curves of T. Since the bootstrap assumption implies:

$$\kappa|\theta| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+t)] \leq C \tag{17.52}$$

from (17.50) and (17.51) we obtain:

$$|\frac{d}{du'}\mathrm{Area}(U_{u'})| \leq C\mathrm{Area}(U_{u'}) \tag{17.53}$$

$$|\frac{d}{du'}\mathrm{Perimeter}(\partial U_{u'})| \leq C\mathrm{Perimeter}(\partial U_{u'}) \tag{17.54}$$

Therefore integrating with respect to u' on $[0, u]$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1}\mathrm{Area}(U_0) \leq \mathrm{Area}(U_u) &\leq C\mathrm{Area}(U_0) \\ C^{-1}\mathrm{Perimeter}(\partial U_0) \leq \mathrm{Perimeter}(\partial U_u) &\leq C\mathrm{Perimeter}(\partial U_0) \end{aligned} \tag{17.55}$$

for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$.

It follows that:

$$C^{-1}I(S_{t,0}) \leq I(S_{t,u}) \leq CI(S_{t,0}) \tag{17.56}$$

for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$.

Since $S_{t,0}$ is a round sphere in Euclidean space, we have:

$$I(S_{t,0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

So we obtain an upper bound for $I(S_{t,u})$ by a numerical constant. In view of (17.11), the lemma follows from (17.49). ☐

17.3 Recovery of J-Completion

Noting from (17.7)-(17.10) that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[S\phi] \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \quad \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[\mathring{R}_i\phi] \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \quad &: \quad i = 1,2,3 \\ \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[TS\phi] \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \quad \mathcal{S}_{[2]}[T\mathring{R}_i\phi] \leq \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{[4]}(t,u) \quad &: \quad i = 1,2,3 \end{aligned} \tag{17.57}$$

then by Lemma 17.1 and (17.6) we have:

$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|S\phi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\tilde{D}^u_{[4]}} \quad \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|\mathring{R}_i\phi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\tilde{D}^u_{[4]}} \quad : \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{17.58}$$
$$\sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|TS\phi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\tilde{D}^u_{[4]}} \quad \sup_{\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}}|T\mathring{R}_i\phi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\tilde{D}^u_{[4]}} \quad : \quad i=1,2,3$$

it follows that if:

$$\sqrt{\tilde{D}^u_{[4]}} \leq C\delta_0 \tag{17.59}$$

then assumption **J** holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. We thus have established assumption **J**.

17.4 Recovery of the Final Bootstrap Assumption

We are now ready to recover the bootstrap assumption and proceed to the proof of the main theorem of this monograph. Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_{[n]}(t,u)$ the integral on $S_{t,u}$ (with respect to $d\mu_{\not g}$) of the sum of the squares of all the variations (14.4), of order up to n. By Lemma 5.1 we have:

$$\mathcal{S}_{[l+1-[a]]}(t,u) \leq C\epsilon_0\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1-[a]]}(t) \quad : \text{for all} \quad (t,u)\in[0,s]\times[0,\epsilon_0] \tag{17.60}$$

Hence, in view of (16.83) and (16.84):

$$\mathcal{S}_{[l+1-[a]]}(t,u) \leq C\epsilon_0\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \quad : \text{for all} \quad (t,u)\in[0,s]\times[0,\epsilon_0] \tag{17.61}$$

Now, for any variation ψ of order up to $l-1-[a]$ we have:

$$\mathcal{S}_{[2]}[\psi] \leq \mathcal{S}_{[l+1-[a]]} \tag{17.62}$$

This is because $psi, R_{j_1}\psi : j_1 = 1,2,3, R_{j_2}R_{j_1}\psi : j_1, j_2 = 1,2,3$ are themselves variations included in $\mathcal{S}_{[l+1-[a]]}$. Then by Lemma 17.1 and (17.61), (17.62), we obtain:

$$\sup_{S_{t,u}}|\psi| \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]}} \quad : \text{for all} \quad (t,u)\in[0,s]\times[0,\epsilon_0] \tag{17.63}$$

That is, we obtain:

$$\max_{\alpha}\max_{i_1\dots i_n}\|R_{i_n}\dots R_{i_1}(T)^m(Q)^{p(\alpha)}\psi_1\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.64}$$

for all $p+m+n \leq l-2-[a]$ and all $t\in[0,s]$.

From (15.167), we have:

$$l-2-[a] \geq (l+1)_* + 2$$

since $l = l_* + (l+1)_*$. So if

$$C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}} < \delta_0 \tag{17.65}$$

then we recover the bootstrap assumption $\mathbf{E}_{\{\{(l+1)_*+2\}\}}$.

17.5 Completion of the Proof of the Main Theorem

Let now s_* be the least upper bound of the set of values of s in the interval $[0, t_{*\epsilon_0}]$ such that the bootstrap assumption holds on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. We recall from Chapter 2 that $t_{*\epsilon_0}$ is defined by:

$$t_{*\epsilon_0} = \inf_{u\in[0,\epsilon_0]} t_*(u) \tag{17.66}$$

where $t_*(u)$ is the greatest lower bound of the extent of the generators of C_u, in the parameter t, in the domain of the maximal solution. We note here that C_u do not contain cut loci. This follows from the fact that the angle between the outward unit normal $-\hat{T}$ to the surface $S_{t,u}$ with respect to the Euclidean metric on Σ_t and the outward unit normal N to the Euclidean coordinate spheres does not exceed a fixed constant times δ_0, as follows from the estimate (12.19). In view of the bound on χ, the second fundamental form of the sections $S_{t,u}$ relative to C_u, the C_u do not contain focal points (that is, points along a generator of C_u which are conjugate to $S_{0,u}$) either. The absence of cut locus or focal points implies that a bicharacteristic generator of C_u cannot leave the boundary of the domain of dependence, in the domain of maximal solution, of the exterior of the surface $S_{0,u}$ in the initial hyperplane Σ_0. (for the notion of cut locus and of focal or conjugate points in Riemannian geometry, see [2]. For the corresponding notions in Lorentzian geometry see [7].) Thus, unless $t_{*\epsilon_0} = \infty$, there is on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$ at least one point which belongs to the boundary of the domain of maximal solution and not to the domain itself. We shall presently show that in fact s_* coincides with $t_{*\epsilon_0}$ under the condition (17.65). For, otherwise, that is if $s_* < t_{*\epsilon_0}$, then by continuity the bootstrap assumption holds on $W^{s_*}_{\epsilon_0}$ as well, hence by the above, (17.64) holds with $l-2-[a]$ replaced by $(l+1)_*+2$ and s replaced by s_*. By (17.65) and continuity however the bootstrap assumption must also hold for some $s > s_*$, contradicting the definition of s_*. We conclude that $s_* = t_{*\epsilon_0}$ and (17.64) holds for all $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$. We thus have uniform pointwise estimates for the ψ_α on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ up to order $(l+1)_*+2$. Proposition 12.9 and 12.10 then give uniform pointwise estimates for χ' up to order $(l+1)_*$ and for μ up to order $(l+1)_*+1$. It follows that the ψ_α, χ' and μ thus also κ and the induced acoustical metric $\not{g}$, extend smoothly in *acoustical coordinates* to $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$ and $W^{t_{*\epsilon_0}}_{\epsilon_0}$. Also the rectangular components $g_{\mu\nu}$ of the acoustical spacetime metric, being functions of ψ_α, likewise extend. Moreover, since the bootstrap assumption holds on $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$, all the estimates we have derived, in particular the energy estimates (16.83), (16.79), (15.160)-(15.163), and the L^2 acoustical estimates of Proposition 12.11, 12.12, as well as the top order acoustical estimates, hold for all $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$. The estimates not containing the weights $\bar{\mu}_{m,u}$, such as the estimates (16.83), then extend to $t = t_{*\epsilon_0}$ as well. Now, we must have:

$$\bar{\mu}_m(t_{*\epsilon_0}) = 0 \tag{17.67}$$

For otherwise the Jacobian determinant $\triangle$ of the transformation from acoustical to rectangular coordinates has a positive minimum on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$, therefore the inverse transform is regular and the ψ_α extend smoothly in *rectangular coordinates* to $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$. However, once the ψ_α extend to functions of the rectangular coordinates on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$ which belong to the Sobolev space H_3, then the standard local existence theorem applies and we obtain an extension of the solution to a development containing an extension of all the characteristic hypersurfaces C_u, $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$, up to a value t_1 of t for some $t_1 > t_*$, in contradiction with the definition of $t_{*\epsilon_0}$. We conclude that there is at least one point on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$ where μ vanishes. By the same argument, at each point $x_* \in \Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$ which lies on the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution, $\mu(x_*) = 0$. For, otherwise, μ has a positive minimum in a suitable neighborhood of x_*, hence the solution is locally extendible at x_* in contradiction with the fact that x_* lies on the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution.

Now, from Proposition 8.6, taking $t = s$ we have:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(s, u, \vartheta) = 1 + \hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta)\log(1+s) \tag{17.68}$$

and we recall that:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(s, u, \vartheta) = \frac{\mu(s, u, \vartheta)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u, \vartheta)}, \quad \hat{E}_s(u, \vartheta) = \frac{E_s(u, \vartheta)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u, \vartheta)} \tag{17.69}$$

and that $\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)$ is bounded from below by 1/2. We also recall that according to (8.226):

$$|E_s(u,\vartheta) - \frac{1}{4}\ell P_s(u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0(1+s)^{-1}[1+\log(1+s)] \tag{17.70}$$

where, from Lemma 8.10:

$$P_s(u,\vartheta) = (1+s)(\underline{L}\psi_0)(s,u,\vartheta) \tag{17.71}$$

Now by (17.64) we have:

$$|P_s(u,\vartheta)| \leq C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.72}$$

Hence we obtain:

$$|E_s(u,\vartheta)| \leq C|\ell|\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}} + C\delta_0(1+s)^{-1}[1+\log(1+s)] \tag{17.73}$$

Since

$$\frac{\log(1+s)[1+\log(1+s)]}{(1+s)} \leq C$$

this implies:

$$\log(1+s)|E_s(u,\vartheta)| \leq C|\ell|\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}}\log(1+s) + C\delta_0 \tag{17.74}$$

Substituting in (17.68) then yields:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(s,u,\vartheta) \geq 1 - C\delta_0 - C|\ell|\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}}\log(1+s) \tag{17.75}$$

It follows that:

$$\log(1+t_{*\epsilon_0}) \geq \frac{1}{C|\ell|\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]}}} \tag{17.76}$$

(for a new constant C), because otherwise ν would be bounded from below by a positive constant on $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}^{\epsilon_0}$ contradicting the conclusions of the previous paragraph.

It remains for us to analyze the requirements on the initial data. We recall from Chapter 2 that u on Σ_0 is defined by:

$$u = 1 - r \tag{17.77}$$

Thus, in rectangular coordinates we have on Σ_0:

$$\partial_i u = -N^i, \quad N^i = \frac{x^i}{r} \tag{17.78}$$

where N is the Euclidean outward unit normal to the Euclidean coordinate spheres. Since κ is defined by:

$$\kappa^{-2} = (\bar{g}^{-1})^{ij}\partial_i u \partial_j u \tag{17.79}$$

and $\bar{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, on Σ_0 we have simply:

$$\kappa = 1 \tag{17.80}$$

and

$$\mu = \eta \tag{17.81}$$

According to (2.27) we have:

$$\hat{T}^i = \kappa \partial_i u \tag{17.82}$$

then on Σ_0,

$$\hat{T}^i = -N^i = T^i \tag{17.83}$$

Then by (2.55), L is given on Σ_0 by:

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + (\eta N^i - \psi_i)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{17.84}$$

On Σ_0, $Q = L$. Consider next the orthogonal projection to $S_{t,u}$ in Σ_t, relative to $\bar{g}$. It is given in rectangular coordinates by:

$$\Pi^a_b = \delta^a_b - \hat{T}^a \hat{T}^b \tag{17.85}$$

The level surfaces $S_{0,u}$ of u on Σ_0 being Euclidean spheres centered at the origin and the vectorfields $\mathring{R}_i$ being tangential to these spheres, the commutation fields R_i coincide on Σ_0 with $\mathring{R}_i$:

$$R_i = \Pi \mathring{R}_i = \mathring{R}_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \tag{17.86}$$

Moreover, the functions λ_i defined by (12.12) vanishes on Σ_0:

$$\lambda_i = -\kappa N^j \epsilon_{ijk} x^k = 0 \tag{17.87}$$

in view of (17.83).

Since the $S_{0,u}$ are Euclidean spheres of radius r with inward unit normal $\hat{T}$, we have:

$$\theta_{ab} = -\frac{1}{r}(\delta_{ab} - \hat{T}^a \hat{T}^b) \tag{17.88}$$

Then from (3.27),

$$\chi_{ab} = -\eta(\theta_{ab} - \not{k}_{ab}) \tag{17.89}$$

where

$$\not{k}_{ab} = -\eta^{-1} \Pi^i_a \Pi^j_b \partial_i \psi_j \tag{17.90}$$

Consider now the initial data for the nonlinear wave equation (1.23). The functions $\psi_\alpha : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are given in the exterior of the sphere of radius $1 - \epsilon_0$ with center at the origin and satisfy $\partial_i \psi_j = \partial_j \psi_i$. Outside the unit sphere with center at the origin, the initial data coincide with those of a constant state $\psi_\alpha = 0 : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We assume that $\psi_\alpha : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are, in rectangular coordinates, functions belonging to the Sobolev space $H_{l+2}(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})$ with vanishing traces on the unit sphere. We set:

$$D_{[l+2]} = \sum_{\alpha, i} \|\partial_i \psi_\alpha\|^2_{H_{l+1}(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \tag{17.91}$$

The nonlinear wave equation in the form:

$$(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi_\nu = 0, \quad \partial_\mu \psi_\nu = \partial_\nu \psi_\mu \tag{17.92}$$

allows us to express $\partial_0\psi_0$ and $\partial_0\psi_i$ in terms of $\partial_i\psi_0$ and $\partial_i\psi_j$, for, $\partial_0\psi_i = \partial_i\psi_0$ and we have:

$$(g^{-1})^{00} = -\eta^{-2} \tag{17.93}$$

We can then express recursively $\partial_0^k\psi_\alpha : \alpha = 0,1,2,3$ for $k = 1,...,l+2$ in terms of the data $\partial_{i_k}...\partial_{i_1}\psi_\alpha$: $\alpha = 0,1,2,3$; $i_1,...,i_n = 1,2,3$. Now the standard Sobolev inequalities yield:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_\alpha \max_{i_1...i_n} \|\partial_{i_n}...\partial_{i_1}\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \quad : \text{for} \quad n = 1,...,l-1\end{aligned} \tag{17.94}$$

It then follows that also:

$$\begin{aligned}&\max_\alpha \max_{i_1...i_n} \|\partial_{i_n}...\partial_{i_1}\partial_0^k\psi_j\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \\ &\leq C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \quad : \text{for} \quad n,k \geq 0, n+k \leq l-1\end{aligned} \tag{17.95}$$

Here, the constant $\sqrt{\epsilon_0}$ comes from using Lemma 5.1.

Now from (17.83), (17.85) and (17.87) the rectangular components of T, L and R_i are on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$ smooth functions of the rectangular coordinates and the ψ_α. It then follows that:

$$\mathcal{E}_{0,[l+2]}(0) \quad , \quad \mathcal{E}'_{1,[l+2]}(0) \leq CD_{[l+2]} \tag{17.96}$$

From (17.94) and (17.95) we have:

$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,\{l-2\},\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}} \leq C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.97}$$

The assumptions of Propositions 12.3, 12.6, 12.9, 12.10, on the initial conditions are then recovered provided that:

$$\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \leq C^{-1}\delta_0 \tag{17.98}$$

On $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0}$, we have $y^i = 0$, hence

$$\mathcal{Y}_0(0) = 0 \tag{17.99}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\{l+1\}}(0) \leq C\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.100}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{[l]}(0) \leq C\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.101}$$

and by (8.40), (9.71) we have:

$$\sum_{i_1...i_l} \|^{(i_1...i_l)}x_l(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.102}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{l}\sum_{i_1...i_{l-m}} \|^{(i_1...i_{l-m})}x'_{m,l-m}(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})} \leq C\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} \tag{17.103}$$

Combining the above then yields:

$$\mathcal{D}_{[l+2]} \leq CD_{[l+2]} \tag{17.104}$$

and we can replace ϵ_0 by any $u \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ to obtain:

$$\mathcal{D}^u_{[l+2]} \leq C D^u_{[l+2]} \tag{17.105}$$

for all $u \in (0, \epsilon_0]$, where

$$D^u_{[l+2]} = \sum_{\alpha,i} \|\partial_i \psi_\alpha\|^2_{H_{l+1}(\Sigma^u_0)} \tag{17.106}$$

In conclusion we have proved the following theorem, which is the main theorem of this monograph.

Theorem 17.1 Let $(p, s, v^i \quad : \quad i = 1, 2, 3)$ be initial data for the equation (1.4), (1.10) and (1.12) on Σ_0 which correspond to the initial data of a constant state

$$p = p_0, \quad s = s_0, \quad v^i = 0 : \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

outside the unit sphere with center at the origin in Σ_0. We can adjust the zero-point of the enthalpy h so that $h_0 = 0$, that is, the enthalpy vanishes in the constant state. We can also choose the relation of the unit of time to the unit of length so that $\eta_0 = 1$, that is, the sound speed in the constant state is equal to unity. Let also the initial data be irrotational and isentropic outside the sphere of radius $1 - \epsilon_0$, $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq 1/2$ with center at the origin in Σ_0. Then we have initial data $(\phi, \partial_t \phi)$ for the nonlinear wave equation (1.23) outside the sphere of radius $1 - \epsilon_0$ with center at the origin in Σ_0, where $\partial_i \phi = -v^i$ and $\partial_t \phi = h + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^3 (\partial_i \phi)^2$. The initial data $(\phi, \partial_t \phi)$ vanish outside the unit sphere with center at the origin in Σ_0. Consider the annular region $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_0$ in Σ_0 bounded by the two concentric spheres. Then there is a positive integer $[a]$ such that the following hold. Let l be a positive integer such that

$$l_* \geq [a] + 4$$

and suppose that the functions $\psi_\alpha : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to the initial data on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_0$ are functions of the rectangular coordinates belonging to the Sobolev space $H_{l+2}(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_0)$ with vanishing traces on the unit sphere. Then setting

$$D_{[l+2]} = \sum_{\alpha,i} \|\partial_i \psi_\alpha\|^2_{H_{l+1}(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_0)}$$

there is a suitably small positive constant $\bar{\delta}_0$ and a positive constant C, such that for any $\delta_0 \in (0, \bar{\delta}_0]$, if:

$$C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}} < \delta_0$$

the following conclusions hold:

(i) Let u be the function $1 - r$ on Σ_0 and $S_{0,u}$ the spheres of radius $1 - u$ with center at the origin, the level surfaces of u in Σ_0. We consider, in the domain of the maximal solution corresponding to the given initial data, the family $\{C_u \quad : \quad u \in [0, \epsilon_0]\}$ of outgoing characteristic hypersurfaces corresponding to the family $\{S_{0,u} \quad : \quad u \in [0, \epsilon_0]\}$:

$$C_u \bigcap \Sigma_0 = S_{0,u} \quad : \quad \forall u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$$

with each bicharacteristic generator of each C_u extending in the domain of the maximal solution as long as it remains on the boundary of the domain of dependence of the exterior of $S_{0,u}$ in Σ_0. Then the bicharacteristic generators of each C_u have no future end points except on the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution. Let $t_*(u)$ be the least upper bound of the extent of the generators of C_u, in the parameter t, in the domain of the maximal solution, and let:

$$t_{*\epsilon_0} = \inf_{u \in [0,\epsilon_0]} t_*(u)$$

We define for each $(t,u)\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})\times[0,\epsilon_0]$ the closed surface:

$$S_{t,u}=C_u\bigcap\Sigma_t$$

Then either $t_{*\epsilon_0}=\infty$ or there is on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$ at least one point which belongs to the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution and not to the domain itself.

(ii) We have the lower bound:

$$\log(1+t_{*\epsilon_0})\geq\frac{1}{C|\ell|\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}}}$$

where ℓ is the constant:

$$\ell=\frac{dH}{dh}(h_0)$$

In particular, if $\ell=0$ we have $t_{*\epsilon_0}=\infty$.

(iii) For all $t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})$ we have the L^∞ bounds:

$$\max_\alpha\max_{i_1...i_n}\|R_{i_n}...R_{i_1}(T)^m(Q)^p\psi_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t)}\leq C(1+t)^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}}$$
$$:\text{for all}\quad p+m+n\leq l-2-[a]$$

and with μ and χ the acoustical entities defined by the family $\{C_u\quad:\quad u\in[0,\epsilon_0]\}$ and for each C_u : $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$ by the family of sections $\{S_{t,u}\quad:\quad t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})\}$:

$$\|\mu-1\|_{\infty,\{l-3-[a]\},\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t}\leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]$$
$$\|\chi'\|_{\infty,\{l-4-[a]\},\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_t}\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

where

$$\chi'=\chi-\frac{\not{g}}{1-u+t}$$

and $\not{g}$ is the induced acoustical metric on $S_{t,u}$.

(iv) The ψ_α, μ, $\not{g}$ and χ, extend continuously with their first $l-2-[a]$ derivatives in the case of ψ_α, $l-3-[a]$ derivatives in the case of μ, $\not{g}$ and $l-4-[a]$ derivatives in the case of χ, in acoustical coordinates to $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$. The rectangular components $\hat{T}^i$ and L^i of the vectorfields T and L likewise extend continuously with their first $l-3-[a]$ derivatives in acoustical coordinates to $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon_0}}$, and so do the rectangular components $g_{\mu\nu}$ of the acoustical spacetime metric. The function μ so extended vanishes at each point in $\Sigma^{\epsilon_0}_{t*\epsilon_0}$ which lies on the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution, being positive everywhere else. The Jacobian determinant $\triangle$ of the transformation from acoustical to rectangular coordinates also vanishes at the same points, being positive everywhere else. The first derivatives $\hat{T}^i\partial_i\psi_\alpha$ blow up at these points. More precisely what blows up is the component $\hat{T}^i\hat{T}^j\partial_i\psi_j$. Moreover, there is a positive constant C such that in the subdomain $\mathcal{U}$ of $W^*_{\epsilon_0}$ where $\mu<1/4$, which contains a spacetime neighborhood of each of the points in question, we have:

$$L\mu\leq-C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1}$$

(v) The following energy estimates hold, for all $u\in[0,\epsilon_0]$:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0}]}\{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1-[a]]}(t)\}\leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{t_{*\epsilon_0}}_{0,[l+1-[a]]}(u)\leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$
$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0}]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1-[a]]}(t)\}\leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$
$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0}]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1-[a]]}(u)\}\leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$
$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0}]}\{[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}K_{[l+1-[a]]}(t,u)\}\leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

where

$$D^u_{[l+2]} = \sum_{\alpha,i} \|\partial_i \psi_\alpha\|^2_{H_{l+1}(\Sigma^u_t)}$$

and Σ^u_0 is the annular region on Σ_0 bounded by $S_{0,u}$ and the unit sphere $S_{0,0}$. Moreover, setting

$$a = [a] + \frac{3}{4}$$

we have, for each $n = 0, ..., [a]-1$, and for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$, the estimates:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2(a-n-1)}_{m,u}(t)\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+1-n]}(t)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2(a-n-1)}_{m,u}(t)\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+1-n]}(u)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2(a-n-1)}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+1-n]}(t)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2(a-n-1)}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+1-n]}(u)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2(a-n-1)}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-4}K_{[l+1-n]}(t,u)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

Furthermore, there is a positive real number p such that with $q = p+2$ we have the top order energy estimates:

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[l+2]}(t)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2p}\mathcal{F}^t_{0,[l+2]}(u)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\mathcal{E}'^u_{1,[l+2]}(t)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}\mathcal{F}'^t_{1,[l+2]}(u)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_{*\epsilon_0})} \{\bar{\mu}^{2a}_{m,u}(t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{-2q}K_{[l+2]}(t,u)\} \leq CD^u_{[l+2]}$$

(vi) The following L^2 acoustical estimates hold, for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ and all $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0}]$:

$$\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l-[a]-1]}(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^3\sqrt{D^u_{[l+2]}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^u_{[l-[a]]}(t) \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^3\sqrt{D^u_{[l+2]}}$$

Moreover, for each $n = 1, ..., [a]$ and for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ and all $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$:

$$\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l-n]}(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^3\bar{\mu}^{-a+n+1/2}_{m,u}(t)\sqrt{D^u_{[l+2]}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^u_{\{l-n+1\}}(t) \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^3\bar{\mu}^{-a+n+1/2}_{m,u}(t)\sqrt{D^u_{[l+2]}}$$

and for $n = 0$ and for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ and all $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$:

$$\mathcal{A}'^u_{[l]}(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}^{-a+1/2}_{m,u}(t)\sqrt{D^u_{[l+2]}}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^u_{\{l+1\}}(t) \leq C(1+t)[1+\log(1+t)]^{q+1}\bar{\mu}^{-a+1/2}_{m,u}(t)\sqrt{D^u_{[l+2]}}$$

Finally, for all $u \in [0, \epsilon_0]$ and all $t \in [0, t_{*\epsilon_0})$ we have the top order acoustical estimtes:

$$\max_{i_1 \ldots i_{l+1}} \|\mu R_{i_{l+1}} ... R_{i_1} \mathrm{tr}\chi'\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^u)} \leq C \bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2a}(t)[1 + \log(1+t)]^{2p} \sqrt{D_{[l+2]}^u}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{l} \max_{i_1 \ldots i_{l-m}} \|\mu R_{i_{l-m}} ... R_{i_1} (T)^m \not\Delta \mu\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t^u)} \leq C \bar{\mu}_{m,u}^{-2a}(t)[1 + \log(1+t)]^{2p} \sqrt{D_{[l+2]}^u}$$

Chapter 18

Sufficient Conditions on the Initial Data for the Formation of a Shock in the Evolution

In the present chapter we shall establish sharp sufficient conditions on the initial data for the formation of a shock in the evolution. We just investigate the problem in the isentropic irrotational case.

The setup is as in Theorem 17.1. Following this theorem we must find sharp sufficient conditions on the initial data which will guarantee that the function μ becomes zero somewhere on $\Sigma_t^{\epsilon_0}$ at some finite t. That value of t shall then be $t_{*\epsilon_0}$. We shall use Lemma 8.10, Proposition 8.5, Proposition 8.6. Taking $t = s$ in Proposition 8.6 we obtain:

$$\mu(s,u,\vartheta) = \mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)\hat{\mu}_s(s,u,\vartheta) \tag{18.1}$$

where $\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta) \leq \frac{3}{2} \tag{18.2}$$

while $\hat{\mu}_s(s,u,\vartheta)$ is given by:

$$\hat{\mu}_s(s,u,\vartheta) = 1 + \hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta)\log(1+s) \tag{18.3}$$

Here:

$$\hat{E}_s(u,\vartheta) = \frac{E_s(u,\vartheta)}{\mu_{[1],s}(u,\vartheta)} \tag{18.4}$$

and according to (8.226):

$$|E_s(u,\vartheta) - \frac{1}{4}\ell P_s(u,\vartheta)| \leq C\delta_0(1+s)^{-1}[1+\log(1+s)] \tag{18.5}$$

where $P_s(u,\vartheta)$ is the function defined by Lemma 8.10:

$$P_s(u,\vartheta) = (1+s)(\underline{L}\psi_0)(s,u,\vartheta) \tag{18.6}$$

In (18.5) and in all the following the positive constant δ_0 shall be as in Theorem 17.1. In view of the above, a sharp sufficient condition for μ to become zero somewhere on $\Sigma_s^{\epsilon_0}$ at some finite s, is an upper bound for $\min_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} P_s(u,\vartheta)$ by a negative constant for all sufficiently large s in the case that $\ell > 0$, a lower bound for $\max_{(u,\vartheta)\in[0,\epsilon_0]\times S^2} P_s(u,\vartheta)$ by a positive constant for all sufficiently large s in the case that $\ell < 0$. As was already shown in Theorem 17.1 no shocks can form in the case $\ell = 0$ as in this case we have $t_{*\epsilon_0} = \infty$. Thus in the following we assume $\ell \neq 0$.

The sharp sufficient condition just stated is not a condition on the initial data. Let us revisit the wave equation for ψ_0 in the form encountered in the proof of Lemma 8.10 ((8.180) and (8.181)):

$$L(\underline{L}\psi_0) + \nu \underline{L}\psi_0 + \underline{\nu} L\psi_0 = \rho_0' \tag{18.7}$$

where:

$$\rho_0' = \mu \not{\Delta}\psi_0 + \mu \not{g}^{-1}(\not{d}\log\Omega, \not{d}\psi_0) - 2\not{g}^{-1}(\zeta, \not{d}\psi_0) \tag{18.8}$$

Consider the function:

$$\underline{\tau} = (1-u+t)\underline{L}\psi_0 - (\psi_0 - h_0) \tag{18.9}$$

We have:

$$L\underline{\tau} = (1-u+t)L(\underline{L}\psi_0) - L\psi_0 + \underline{L}\psi_0 \tag{18.10}$$

Substituting for $L(\underline{L}\psi_0)$ from (18.7) yields:

$$L\underline{\tau} = \omega \tag{18.11}$$

where:

$$\omega = -[(1-u+t)\nu - 1]\underline{L}\psi_0 - [(1-u+t)\underline{\nu} + 1]L\psi_0 + (1-u+t)\rho_0' \tag{18.12}$$

From the conclusions (iii) of Theorem 17.1 we have, recalling:

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\chi + L\log\Omega)$$
$$\underline{\nu} + \alpha^{-1}\kappa\nu = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-1}\kappa L\log\Omega + \frac{1}{2}\underline{L}\log\Omega + \kappa\,\mathrm{tr}\not{k}$$

the bounds:

$$|(1-u+t)\nu - 1| \leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{18.13}$$
$$|(1-u+t)\underline{\nu} + 1| \leq C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)] \tag{18.14}$$

Let now f be an arbitrary function defined on $W^s_{\epsilon_0}$. Let us denote by $\bar{f}(t,u)$ the mean value of f on $S_{t,u}$ with respect to $d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}$:

$$\bar{f}(t,u) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u)}\int_{S_{t,u}} f\, d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}, \quad \tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u) = \int_{S_{t,u}} d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.15}$$

From the facts established in Chapter 5:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{S_{t,u}} f\, d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = \int_{S_{t,u}} (Lf + 2\nu f)\, d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.16}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u) = \int_{S_{t,u}} 2\nu\, d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = 2\bar{\nu}\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u) \tag{18.17}$$

Hence by direct calculation:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t}(t,u) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u)}\int_{S_{t,u}} (Lf + 2\nu f - 2\bar{\nu}\bar{f})\, d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.18}$$

Noting that for any pair of functions f, g on $S_{t,u}$ we have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}} (fg - \bar{f}\bar{g}) d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = \int_{S_{t,u}} (f - \bar{f})(g - \bar{g}) d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.19}$$

we can write (18.18) in the form:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial t}(t,u) = \overline{Lf} + 2\overline{(\nu - \bar{\nu})(f - \bar{f})} \tag{18.20}$$

We apply the formula (18.20) to the function $\underline{\tau}$ obtaining, in view of (18.11),

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\underline{\tau}}}{\partial t}(t,u) = \bar{\omega} + 2\overline{(\nu - \bar{\nu})(\underline{\tau} - \bar{\underline{\tau}})} \tag{18.21}$$

Integrating this with respect to t on $[0, s]$, we obtain:

$$\bar{\underline{\tau}}(s,u) = \bar{\underline{\tau}}(0,u) + \int_0^s [\bar{\omega} + 2\overline{(\nu - \bar{\nu})(\underline{\tau} - \bar{\underline{\tau}})}](t,u) dt \tag{18.22}$$

Finally we replace u by $u' \in [0, u]$, multiply by $\tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')$, and integrate with respect to u' on $[0, u]$ to obtain:

$$\int_0^u \bar{\underline{\tau}}(s,u') \tilde{\text{Area}}(0,u') du' \tag{18.23}$$
$$= \int_0^u \int_{S_{0,u'}} \underline{\tau} d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} du' + \int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0,u') \{ \int_0^s [\bar{\omega} + 2\overline{(\nu - \bar{\nu})(\underline{\tau} - \bar{\underline{\tau}})}](t,u') dt \} du'$$

We shall estimate the second integral on the right in (18.23). Interchanging the order of integration this integral is:

$$I(s,u) = \int_0^s \{ \int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0,u') [\bar{\omega} + 2\overline{(\nu - \bar{\nu})(\underline{\tau} - \bar{\underline{\tau}})}](t,u') du' \} dt \tag{18.24}$$

Since $\tilde{\text{Area}}(0,u') \leq \tilde{\text{Area}}(0,0) = 4\pi$, we have:

$$|I(s,u)| \leq C \int_0^s J(t,u) dt \tag{18.25}$$

where $J(t,u)$ is the integral:

$$J(t,u) = \int_0^u [|\bar{\omega}| + 2\overline{|\nu - \bar{\nu}||\underline{\tau} - \bar{\underline{\tau}}|}](t,u') du' \tag{18.26}$$

We consider first the contribution of the term $|\bar{\omega}|$, through (18.26), to the integral on the right in (18.25). Now ω is given by (18.12), and we consider first the third term on the right in (18.12). We have:

$$(1 - u + t)\bar{\rho}_0'(t,u) = \frac{(1 - u + t)}{\tilde{\text{Area}}(t,u)} \int_{S_{t,u}} \rho_0' d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.27}$$

Now for an arbitrary function f on $S_{t,u}$, we have:

$$\tilde{\not{\Delta}} f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \tilde{\not{g}}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} ((\tilde{\not{g}}^{-1})^{AB} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\not{g}}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta^A}) \tag{18.28}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Omega \sqrt{\det \not{g}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} ((\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} \sqrt{\det \not{g}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta^A}) = \Omega^{-1} \not{\Delta} f$$

Thus, integrating by parts on $S_{t,u}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S_{t,u}}\mu\not{\Delta}\psi_0 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}&=\int_{S_{t,u}}\mu\Omega\tilde{\not{\Delta}}\psi_0 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \qquad (18.29)\\ =-\int_{S_{t,u}}\{\mu\tilde{\not{g}}^{-1}(\not{d}\Omega,\not{d}\psi_0)&+\Omega\tilde{\not{g}}^{-1}(\not{d}\mu,\not{d}\psi_0)\}d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\\ =-\int_{S_{t,u}}\{\mu\not{g}^{-1}(\not{d}\log\Omega,\not{d}\psi_0)&+\not{g}^{-1}(\not{d}\mu,\not{d}\psi_0)\}d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that

$$-(\not{d}\mu+2\zeta)\cdot\not{g}^{-1}=-(\eta+\zeta)\cdot\not{g}^{-1}=\Lambda$$

we then conclude that:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}}\rho_0' d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}=\int_{S_{t,u}}\Lambda\cdot\not{d}\psi_0 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \qquad (18.30)$$

Now from conclusions (iii) of Theorem 17.1 we have:

$$|\Lambda|\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)] \qquad (18.31)$$

Thus (18.30) implies, through (18.27),

$$\begin{aligned}(1-u+t)|\overline{\rho}_0'(t,u)|&\leq\frac{(1-u+t)}{(\text{Area}(t,u))^{1/2}}\sup_{S_{t,u}}|\Lambda|(\int_{S_{t,u}}|\not{d}\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2} \qquad (18.32)\\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)](\int_{S_{t,u}}|\not{d}\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}})^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

This contribution to (18.25) is then bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\int_0^s(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\int_{\Sigma_t^u}|\not{d}\psi_0|^2\}^{1/2}dt \qquad (18.33)\\ \leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\{\int_0^s\int_{\Sigma_t^u}|\not{d}\psi_0|^2 dt\}^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

The integral on the right in (18.33) is

$$\int_{W_u^s}|\not{d}\psi_0|^2 dt du' d\mu_{\not{g}} \qquad (18.34)$$

This integral has already been estimated in Chapter 7 by (7.210) for any variation. In particular for the first order variation ψ_0 we have:

$$\int_{W_u^s}|\not{d}\psi_0|^2 dt du' d\mu_{\not{g}}\leq C\{\overline{K}[\psi_0](s,u)+\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_0^s[\psi_0](u')du'\}\leq C\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0) \qquad (18.35)$$

The last step is by virtue of Theorem 5.1. Then (18.33) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} \qquad (18.36)$$

Next, we consider the first two terms on the right in (18.12), By (18.13) the contribution of the first term is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]}{\tilde{\text{Area}}(t,u)}\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{L}\psi_0|d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\ &\leq \frac{C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]}{(\tilde{\text{Area}}(t,u))^{1/2}}(\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{L}\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}})^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)](\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{L}\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}})^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{18.37}$$

hence the corresponding contribution to $J(t,u)$ is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\sqrt{u}(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](t)} \tag{18.38}$$

and to the integral on the right in (18.25) by:

$$C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\{\int_0^s(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]dt\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} \leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} \tag{18.39}$$

by Theorem 5.1. The factor $\sqrt{u}$ comes from Holder Inequality when we obtain (18.38). By (18.14) the contribution of the second term on the right in (14.12) to $\bar{\varpi}$ is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{\tilde{\text{Area}}(t,u)}\int_{S_{t,u}}|L\psi_0|d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \\ &\leq \frac{C\delta_0[1+\log(1+t)]}{(\tilde{\text{Area}}(t,u))^{1/2}}(\int_{S_{t,u}}|L\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}})^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)](\int_{S_{t,u}}|L\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}})^{1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{18.40}$$

The contribution of this to the right of (18.25) is then bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned}&C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\int_0^s(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]\{\int_{\Sigma_t^u}|L\psi_0|^2\}^{1/2}dt \\ &\leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\{\int_0^s\int_{\Sigma_t^u}|L\psi_0|^2 dt\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\{\int_0^u\mathcal{F}_0^s[\psi_0](u')du'\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)}\end{aligned} \tag{18.41}$$

by Theorem 5.1. Combining (18.36), (18.39), (18.41), we conclude that the contribution of $|\overline{\varpi}|$ to the right of (18.25)is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} \tag{18.42}$$

Finally, we consider the contribution of the term $2\overline{|\nu-\overline{\nu}||\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|}$ to the right of (18.25). We have:

$$\overline{|\nu-\overline{\nu}||\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\text{Area}}(t,u)}\int_{S_{t,u}}|\nu-\overline{\nu}||\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.43}$$

By (18.13):

$$|\nu-\bar{\nu}| \leq |\nu-\frac{1}{1-u+t}|+|\bar{\nu}-\frac{1}{1-u+t}| \tag{18.44}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]$$

Therefore

$$\overline{|\nu-\bar{\nu}||\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|} \leq \frac{C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]}{\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u)}\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.45}$$
$$\leq \frac{C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)]}{(\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(t,u))^{1/2}}(\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}})^{1/2}$$

We have:

$$\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} = \int_{S_{t,u}}\underline{\tau}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} - \int_{S_{t,u}}\overline{\underline{\tau}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \tag{18.46}$$
$$\leq \int_{S_{t,u}}\underline{\tau}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}} \leq C(1+t)^2\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{L}\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}} + C\int_{S_{t,u}}|\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}}$$

by (18.9). The contribution of the first integral on the right in (18.46) to the right-hand side of (18.45) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0(1+t)^{-2}[1+\log(1+t)](\int_{S_{t,u}}|\underline{L}\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2} \tag{18.47}$$

This coincides with (18.37), hence the corresponding contribution is bounded by (18.39). Finally, the contribution of the second term on the right in (18.46) to (18.45) is bounded by:

$$C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)](\int_{S_{t,u}}|\psi_0|^2 d\mu_{\not{g}})^{1/2} \tag{18.48}$$
$$\leq C\delta_0(1+t)^{-3}[1+\log(1+t)]\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](t)}$$

by (5.145), hence the corresponding contribution to (18.25) is also bounded by (18.39). Combining the above results we conclude that the contribution of $2\overline{|\nu-\bar{\nu}||\underline{\tau}-\overline{\underline{\tau}}|}$ to the right of (18.25) is also bounded by (18.42).

Then we have:

$$|I(s,u)| \leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} \tag{18.49}$$

It follows from (18.23) that:

$$|\int_0^u \overline{\underline{\tau}}(s,u')\tilde{\mathrm{Area}}(0,u')du' - \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\underline{\tau}d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}du'| \leq C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} \tag{18.50}$$

From (18.6) and (18.9) we have:

$$P_s(u,\vartheta) = \underline{\tau} + \frac{1}{(1-u+s)}[u\underline{\tau}+(1+s)\psi_0] \tag{18.51}$$

Let us denote:

$$Q(u) = \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\underline{\tau}d\mu_{\tilde{\not{g}}}du' \tag{18.52}$$

Consider the case $\ell > 0$. If the initial data satisfy:

$$Q(u) \leq -2C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} < 0 \tag{18.53}$$

for some $u \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ with the constant C as in (18.50), by (18.50) we have:

$$\int_0^u \overline{\underline{\tau}}(s, u')\tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du' \leq \frac{1}{2}Q(u) < 0 \tag{18.54}$$

It follows that:

$$\min_{u' \in [0,\epsilon_0]} \overline{\underline{\tau}}(s, u') \leq \frac{(1/2)Q(u)}{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'} < 0 \tag{18.55}$$

hence also:

$$\min_{(u',\vartheta)\in[0,u]\times S^2} \underline{\tau}(s, u', \vartheta) \leq \frac{(1/2)Q(u)}{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'} \tag{18.56}$$

It then follows from (18.51), in view of (iii) of Theorem 17.1, that:

$$\min_{(u',\vartheta)\in[0,u]\times S^2} P_s(u', \vartheta) \leq \frac{(1/4)Q(u)}{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'} < 0 \tag{18.57}$$

for all s large enough so that:

$$\frac{1}{2} + s \geq -C\delta_0 \frac{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'}{(1/8)Q(u)} \tag{18.58}$$

Thus by the discussion in the beginning of the chapter $t_{*\epsilon_0}$ is finite. In fact, (18.57) implies, in view of (18.5), that:

$$\min_{(u',\vartheta)\in[0,u]\times S^2} E_s(u', \vartheta) \leq \frac{(1/32)\ell Q(u)}{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'} < 0 \tag{18.59}$$

for all s large enough so that:

$$\frac{1 + s}{1 + \log(1 + s)} \geq -C\delta_0 \frac{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'}{(1/32)\ell Q(u)} \tag{18.60}$$

where the constant C is the one in (18.5). It follows from (18.3) in view of (18.2) that s is bounded from above according to:

$$\log(1 + s) \leq -\frac{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'}{(1/32)\ell Q(u)} \tag{18.61}$$

Obviously, the upper bound in (18.61) exceeds the lower bounds in (18.58) and (18.60), it follows that $t_{*\epsilon_0}$ satisfies the upper bound:

$$\log(1 + t_{*\epsilon_0}) \leq -\frac{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'}{(1/32)\ell Q(u)} \tag{18.62}$$

In the case $\ell < 0$ a similar argument shows that if the initial data satisfy:

$$Q(u) \geq 2C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} > 0 \tag{18.63}$$

for some $u \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ with the constant C as in (18.50), then $t_{*\epsilon_0}$ is finite, in fact satisfies the upper bound:

$$\log(1 + t_{*\epsilon_0}) \leq -\frac{\int_0^u \tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du'}{(1/32)\ell Q(u)} \tag{18.64}$$

In fact, we have:

$$\min_{(u',\vartheta)\in[0,u]\times S^2} E_s(u',\vartheta) \leq \frac{1}{4}\ell \max_{(u',\vartheta)\in[0,u]\times S^2} P_s(u',\vartheta) + C\delta_0(1+s)^{-1}[1 + \log(1+s)]$$

Then we get a similar bound as (18.59). Now we obtain the first condition to guarantee the formation of shocks:

1) The quantity $Q(u)$ satisfies

$$Q(u) \geq 2C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} > 0$$

for $\ell < 0$; and

$$Q(u) \leq -2C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} < 0$$

for $\ell > 0$.

We can modify this condition so that it is expressed in the Euclidean space.

Let us replace $d\mu_{\tilde{\not g}}$ by $d\mu_{\not g}$, then since the difference of Ω from unity, its value in the constant state, is bounded on Σ_0^u by $C\delta_0$, the change in the integral will be bounded by $C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)}$. Moreover we may replace $\underline{L}$ by the one corresponding to the constant state:

$$\mathring{\underline{L}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - N^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{18.65}$$

Then let us define:

$$Q_1(u) = \int_{\Sigma_0^u} \underline{\mathcal{I}}_0 d^3x$$

where

$$\underline{\mathcal{I}}_0 = r\mathring{\underline{L}}\psi_0 - \psi_0 = r(\partial_0\psi_0 - N^i\partial_i\psi_0) - \psi_0$$

Since we are now on the initial hypersurface, the difference of

$$\int_{\Sigma_0^u} \underline{\mathcal{I}}_0 d\mu_{\not g} du'$$

from $Q(u)$ will be also bounded by $C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)}$. We then obtain the second condition to guarantee the formation of shocks:

2) The quantity $Q_1(u)$ satisfies

$$Q_1(u) \geq 2C'\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} > 0$$

for $\ell < 0$; and

$$Q_1(u) \leq -2C'\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_0^u[\psi_0](0)} < 0$$

for $\ell > 0$.

The above two conditions depend only on the variation ψ_0, but the quantities $Q(u)$ and $Q_1(u)$ involve the first derivatives of ψ_0. Now we shall obtain a third condition which depends on other variations $\psi_i : i = 1, 2, 3$ but involves only the variations themselves (actully on the enthalpy h and the normal component of the fluid speed v_N).

Consider the wave equation (1.23) on Σ_0, we can write it in the following form:

$$\partial_0\psi_0 - \partial_i\psi_i = 2\psi_i\partial_0\psi_i - \psi_i\psi_j\partial_i\psi_j + (\eta^2 - 1)\Delta\phi$$

where the repeated index means summing. By the L^∞ bounds for the first order variations established in the previous chapter, we can bound the L^1 norm on Σ_0^u of r times the right-hand side by: $C\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[1]}^u(0)}$. Here the $\sqrt{u}$ in the difference comes from the using of Holder inequality.

Therefore defining

$$Q_0(u) = \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\{r(\partial_i\psi_i - N^i\partial_i\psi_0) - \psi_0\}d\mu_{\not g}du' \tag{18.66}$$

we have:

$$|Q_0(u) - Q(u)| \leq C'\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\delta_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[1]}(0)} \tag{18.67}$$

Hence if in the case $\ell > 0$ we have:

$$Q_0(u) \leq -2(C' + C)\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\delta_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[1]}^u(0)} < 0 \quad : \quad \text{for some} \quad u \in (0, \epsilon_0] \tag{18.68}$$

where C is in (18.53), then by direct calculation, (18.53) holds and we have:

$$Q(u) \leq \frac{1}{2}Q_0(u) \tag{18.69}$$

hence, in place of (18.54):

$$\int_0^u \overline{\underline{T}}(s, u')\tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du' \leq \frac{1}{4}Q_0(u) < 0 \tag{18.70}$$

Similarly, if in the case $\ell > 0$ we have:

$$Q_0(u) \geq 2(C' + C)\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\delta_0\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{0,[1]}^u(0)} > 0 \quad : \quad \text{for some} \quad u \in (0, \epsilon_0] \tag{18.71}$$

where C is in (18.63), then (18.63) holds and we have:

$$Q(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}Q_0(u) \tag{18.72}$$

hence:

$$\int_0^u \overline{\underline{T}}(s, u')\tilde{\text{Area}}(0, u')du' \geq \frac{1}{4}Q_0(u) > 0 \tag{18.73}$$

Integrating by parts and using divergence theorem,

$$\int_{\Sigma_0^u} r(\partial_i\psi_i - N^i\partial_i\psi_0)d^3x = \int_{S_{0,u}} r(\psi_0-\psi_N)d\mu_{\not{g}} + \int_{\Sigma_0^u}(3\psi_0-\psi_N)dx^3 \tag{18.74}$$

To see this, we just write:

$$\int_{\Sigma_0^u} r\partial_i\psi_i d^3x = \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\partial_i(r\psi_i)d^3x - \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\psi_N d^3x$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\Sigma_0^u} rN^i\partial_i\psi_0 d^3x = \int_{\Sigma_0^u} r\partial_i N^i\psi_0 d^3x &+ \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\sum_i (N^i)^2\psi_0 d^3x \\ &- \int_{\Sigma_0^u}\partial_i(rN^i\psi_0)d^3x\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the following condition to guarantee the formation of shocks:

3) The quantity

$$Q_0(u) = \int_{S_{0,u}} r(h+v_N) + \int_{\Sigma_0^u}(2h+v_N)$$

satisfies

$$Q_0(u) \geq 2C''\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[1]}(0)}$$

for $\ell < 0$; and

$$Q_0(u) \leq -2C''\delta_0\sqrt{u}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^u_{0,[1]}(0)}$$

for $\ell > 0$.

Under one of these three conditions, the lifespan $t_{*\epsilon_0}$ is finite, and it satisfies one of the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\log(1+t_{*\epsilon_0}) &\leq \frac{Cu}{|\ell||Q(u)|} \\ \log(1+t_{*\epsilon_0}) &\leq \frac{C'u}{|\ell||Q_1(u)|} \\ \log(1+t^{*\epsilon_0}) &\leq \frac{C''u}{|\ell||Q_0(u)|}\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Chapter 19

The Structure of the Boundary of the Domain of the Maximal Solution

19.1 Nature of Singular Hypersurface in Acoustical Differential Structure

19.1.1 Preliminary

In this chapter we shall take ϵ_0 to be a variable with range $(0, 1/2]$, in agreement with the setup of Theorem 17.1. To emphasize that ϵ_0 is now taken to be a variable, we denote it simply by ϵ. Let us denote as in Chapter 2 by $t_*(u)$ the greatest lower bound of the generators of C_u in the domain of maximal solution, and by $t_{*\epsilon}$ the greatest lower bound of $t_*(u)$ for $u \in [0, \epsilon]$. Obviously $t_{*\epsilon}$ is a non-increasing function of ϵ. Then Theorem 17.1 applies for each $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, where we now denote by ϵ_0 the maximal real number in the interval $(0, 1/2]$ such that the smallness condition:

$$C\sqrt{\epsilon_0}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}(\epsilon_0)} \leq \bar{\delta}_0, \quad D_{[l+2]}(\epsilon_0) = \sum_{\alpha,i} \|\partial_i \psi_\alpha\|^2_{H_{l+1}(\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0})}$$

holds. For, given any such ϵ we can find a $\delta_0 \in (0, \bar{\delta}_0]$ such that the smallness condition of Theorem 17.1 holds with ϵ in the role of ϵ_0, that is, we have:

$$C\sqrt{\epsilon}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}(\epsilon)} < \delta_0$$

($\sqrt{\epsilon}\sqrt{D_{[l+2]}(\epsilon)}$ is an increasing function in ϵ.)

Now according to Theorem 17.1, for each $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, the solution, which exists in the classical sense in $W_\epsilon^{t_{*\epsilon}} \setminus \Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^\epsilon$ extends smoothly in acoustical coordinates to $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^\epsilon$, but there is a non-empty set of points $K_\epsilon \subset \Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^\epsilon$ where μ vanishes, being positive on the complement $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^\epsilon$. Thus the set K_ϵ is also the set of minima of the function μ on $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^\epsilon$. If q is a point of K_ϵ, then either q is an interior minimum of μ on $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^\epsilon$, in which case we have:

$$\mu(q) = 0, \quad (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q) = 0, \quad (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \vartheta^A})(q) = 0 \quad : \quad A = 1, 2, \quad (\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial u^2})(q) \geq 0 \tag{19.1}$$

at an interior zero.

and we shall assume that the non-degeneracy condition

$$(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q) > 0 \quad : \text{at an interior zero} \tag{19.2}$$

holds, or q is a boundary minimum, necessarily on $S_{t_{*\epsilon},\epsilon}$ (For on the other boundary of $\Sigma^{\epsilon}_{t_{*\epsilon}}$, namely on $S_{t_{*\epsilon},0}$, where the constant state holds.), in which case we have:

$$\mu(q) = 0, \quad (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q) \leq 0, \quad (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A})(q) = 0 \quad : \quad A = 1,2 \tag{19.3}$$

and we shall assume the non-degeneracy condition

$$(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q) < 0 \quad : \text{at a boundary zero} \tag{19.4}$$

holds.

Let now $u_m(\epsilon)$ be the minimal value of $u \in (0,\epsilon)$ for which there is an interior zero of μ on $\Sigma^u_{t_{*\epsilon}}$. Then if we replace ϵ by $\epsilon' \in (0,\epsilon]$, as we decrease ϵ', it follows directly from the definitions that $t_{*\epsilon'}$ stays constant up to the point where ϵ' reaches the value $u_m(\epsilon)$, that is , we have:

$$t_{*\epsilon'} = t_{*\epsilon} \quad : \text{for all} \quad \epsilon' \in [u_m(\epsilon),\epsilon] \tag{19.5}$$

Thereafter $t_{*\epsilon'}$ increases, μ being everywhere positive on $\Sigma^{\epsilon'}_{t_{*u_m(\epsilon)}}$, and for a while $K_{\epsilon'}$ consists only of boundary zeros. This situation either persists for all $\epsilon' \in (0, u_m(\epsilon))$ or, as we decrease ϵ' there is a first $\epsilon_1 \in (0, u_m(\epsilon))$ at which one or more interior zeros of μ appear on $\Sigma^{\epsilon_1}_{t_{*\epsilon_1}}$, from which the preceeding repeat with ϵ_1 in the role of ϵ.

In the following, we shall use the conclusion in (iv) of Theorem 17.1, namely, for every $\epsilon \in (0,\epsilon_0)$ the following upper bound for $L\mu$ holds in the region $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon \subset W^{t_{*\epsilon}}_\epsilon$ where $\mu < 1/4$:

$$L\mu \leq -C^{-1}(1+t)^{-1}[1+\log(1+t)]^{-1} \quad : \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{U}_\epsilon \tag{19.6}$$

What we shall use is just the fact that for finite t, $L\mu$ is bounded from above by a negative constant in the region where $\mu < 1/4$.

Let us denote:

$$V_{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon_0)} W^{t_{*\epsilon}}_\epsilon \tag{19.7}$$

This is the domain covered by Theorem 17.1, applied in the above manner (we are disregarding here the exterior domain where the constant state holds). This domain is contained in the closure of W_{ϵ_0}, the domain of maximal solution, and the set:

$$J_{\epsilon_0} = \bigcup_{\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon_0)} K_\epsilon \subset V_{\epsilon_0} \tag{19.8}$$

of zeros of μ in V_{ϵ_0} is part of the singular boundary of W_{ϵ_0}.

Our purpose in the following is to describe the acoustical spacetime structure in a neighborhood of a point of the singular boundary of W_{ϵ_0}. Consider now a value $\epsilon \in (0,\epsilon_0)$ for which there is an interior zero of μ on $\Sigma^\epsilon_{t_{*\epsilon}}$, that is, there is a $u_0 \in (0,\epsilon)$ and a zero of μ belonging to $S_{t_{*\epsilon},u_0}$. By (19.2) the minimum of $\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2}$ over all zeros of μ on $S_{t_{*\epsilon},u_0}$ is positive. It follows that there are $u_1 \in [0,u_0)$, $u_2 \in (u_0,\epsilon]$ such that in the annular region:

$$\bigcup_{u\in(u_1,u_2)} S_{t_{*\epsilon},u} \tag{19.9}$$

in $\Sigma^{\epsilon}_{t_{*\epsilon}}$ there are no zeros of μ except on $S_{t_{*\epsilon},u_0}$ itself. If $u_0 = u_m(\epsilon)$, there are no zeros of μ on $S_{t_{*\epsilon},u}$ for any $u \in [0, u_0)$ and we can take $u_1 = 0$.

According to the discussion above, a boundary zero of μ on $\Sigma^{\epsilon}_{t*\epsilon}$ belongs to the surface $S_{t_{*\epsilon},\epsilon}$ and by (19.4) there is a $u_1 < \epsilon$ such that there are no zeros of μ on $S_{t_{*\epsilon},u}$ for any $u \in (u_1, \epsilon)$. Moreover, there is a $u_0 > \epsilon$ such that for $\epsilon' \in [\epsilon, u_0]$ there is a corresponding boundary zero of μ on $\Sigma^{\epsilon'}_{t_{*\epsilon'}}$ belonging to the surface $S_{t_{*\epsilon'},\epsilon'}$ and no interior zeros (remember (19.9)), and there is a $u_2 > u_0$ such that for $\epsilon' \in (u_0, u_2]$ we have $t_{*\epsilon'} = t_{*u_0}$ and on $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon'}}$ we have an interior zero of μ on $S_{t_{*\epsilon'},u_0}$ and no zeros of μ on $S_{t_{*\epsilon'},u}$, for any $u \in [0, \epsilon'), u \neq u_0$ (otherwise, the solution can not be extended to $t_{*\epsilon}$, which is larger than $t_{*\epsilon'}$.) In this case we consider the annular region:

$$\bigcup_{u \in (u_1, u_2)} S_{t_{*u_2},u} \tag{19.10}$$

in $\Sigma^{u_2}_{t_{*u_2}}$.

19.1.2 Intrinsic View Point

Consider the manifold:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0} = [0, \infty) \times [0, \epsilon_0) \times S^2 \tag{19.11}$$

of all possible (t, u, ϑ) values with $u \in [0, \epsilon_0)$. Then the domain V_{ϵ_0} in acoustical spacetime corresponds to the domain

$$\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon_0} = \{(t, u, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0} \quad : \quad t \leq t_{*u}\} \tag{19.12}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$ and (19.9) corresponds to

$$\{t_{*\epsilon}\} \times (u_1, u_2) \times S^2 \tag{19.13}$$

The mapping

$$(t, u, \vartheta) \in \mathcal{V}_{\epsilon_0} \mapsto x(t, u, \vartheta) \in V_{\epsilon_0}, \quad x = (x^{\alpha} \quad : \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3) \tag{19.14}$$

where x^{α} are rectangular coordinates in Galileo spacetime, is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon_0}$ onto V_{ϵ_0}, which is locally also a diffeomorphism except at the points of the subset $\mathcal{J}_{\epsilon_0}$, the image of which is J_{ϵ_0}, where μ vanishes. On the domain (19.12) we have the acoustical metric g, given by (2.41):

$$g = -2\mu dt du + \alpha^{-2}\mu^2 du^2 + \not{g}_{AB}(d\vartheta^A + \Xi^A du)(d\vartheta^B + \Xi^B du) \tag{19.15}$$

Recall that $\alpha(t, u, \vartheta)$ is a positive function near 1 and that at each (t, u), $\not{g}_{AB}(t, u, \vartheta)$ are the components of a positive definite metric on S^2. The components of g are smooth functions of the acoustical coordinates in the domain $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon_0}$, including the boundary of this domain. Moreover, the properties of α and $\not{g}_{AB}$ just mentioned hold on the boundary of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon_0}$ as well. However on the subset $\mathcal{J}_{\epsilon_0}$ of this boundary μ vanishes, hence, in view of the fact that

$$\sqrt{-\det g} = \mu\sqrt{\det \not{g}} \tag{19.16}$$

the metric (19.15) degenerates on $\mathcal{J}_{\epsilon_0}$.

We now consider a smooth extension of the acoustical metric g to $t > t_*(u)$. The extension is to satisfy the following two conditions:

(i) The function α remains near 1, and $\not{g}$ remains a positive definite metric on S^2.

(ii) The function μ extends in such a way that $\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}$ is bounded from above by a negative constant where $\mu < 1/4$.

Then for each $(u, \vartheta) \in (0, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$ the following alternative holds: Either there is a first $t_*(u, \vartheta) \geq t_*(u)$ where μ vanishes, or μ is positive for all $t \geq t_*(u)$. Consider the subset $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset (0, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$ consisting of those points where the first alternative holds. Then by Property (ii) of the extension $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is an open set. In the case of an interior zero of μ on $\Sigma^{\epsilon}_{t_{*\epsilon}}$ belonging to $S_{t_{*\epsilon}, u_0}$ we have $t_{*\epsilon} = t_*(u_0)$, there is a $\vartheta_0 \in S^2$ such that $\mu(t_*(u_0), u_0, \vartheta_0) = 0$. Therefore $(u_0, \vartheta_0) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. In the case of a boundary zero on $\Sigma^{\epsilon}_{t_{*\epsilon}}$, for each $\epsilon' \in [\epsilon, u_0]$ there is a $\vartheta_*(\epsilon') \in S^2$ such that $\mu(t_*(\epsilon'), \epsilon', \vartheta_*(\epsilon')) = 0$. Therefore in this case $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ contains the curve

$$\{(\epsilon', \vartheta_*(\epsilon')) \quad : \quad \epsilon' \in [u_0, \epsilon]\} \tag{19.17}$$

Consider:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \{(t_*(u, \vartheta), u, \vartheta) \quad : \quad (u, \vartheta) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}\} \tag{19.18}$$

This is the zero level set of the function μ over the domain $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset (0, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$. Now μ is a smooth function which by (ii) has no critical points on its zero level set. Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a smooth graph.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a graph over $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset (0, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$, (u, ϑ) can be used as coordinates on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, and in these coordinates g_*, the metric induced on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, is given by, from (15.15):

$$g_* = (\not{g}_*)_{AB}(d\vartheta^A + \Xi^A_* du)(d\vartheta^B + \Xi^B_* du) \tag{19.19}$$

where:

$$(\not{g}_*)_{AB}(u, \vartheta) = \not{g}_{AB}(t_*(u, \vartheta), u, \vartheta) \tag{19.20}$$

are the components of a positive definite metric on S^2, and:

$$\Xi^A_*(u, \vartheta) = \Xi^A(t_*(u, \vartheta), u, \vartheta) \tag{19.21}$$

Now the metric (19.19) is degenerate:

$$\det g_* = 0 \tag{19.22}$$

19.1.3 Invariant Curves

We see that although the hypersurface $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is singular, being a hypersurface where the spacetime metric g degenerates, from the point of view of its intrinsic geometry it is just like a regular null hypersurface in a regular spacetime. At each point $q \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ there is a unique line $L_q \subset T_q\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which we may consider to be the linear span of a non-zero null vector $V(q)$. Thus we have a null vectorfield V on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and we can express it in terms of the coordinates (u, ϑ) by:

$$V = V^u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \not{V}, \quad \not{V} = V^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} \tag{19.23}$$

At each $q = (t_*(u, \vartheta), u, \vartheta) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, the vector $\not{V}(q)$ is tangent to $S_{t_*, u}$ of constant u through q, which projects to the domain $\{u\} \times B_u$ where B_u is the domain:

$$B_u = \{\vartheta \in S^2 \quad : \quad (u, \vartheta) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}\} \subset S^2$$

Without loss of generality, we may set $V_u = 1$. By direct calculation we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = g(V, V) = g_*(V, V) &= \not{g}_*(\not{V}, \not{V}) + 2\not{g}_*(\Xi_*, \not{V}) + \not{g}_*(\Xi_*, \Xi_*) \\ &= \not{g}_*(\not{V} + \Xi_*, \not{V} + \Xi_*) \end{aligned} \tag{19.24}$$

Since $\not{g}_*$ is positive definite, this holds if and only if:

$$\not{V} = -\Xi_* \tag{19.25}$$

Therefore V is expressed in (u, ϑ) coordinates on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by:

$$V = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \Xi_* \tag{19.26}$$

Now, let

$$X(q) = X^t(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + X^u(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + X^A\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} \tag{19.27}$$

be an arbitrary vector in $T_q\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}, q \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Then $X(q) \in T_q\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if:

$$X(q)(t - t_*(u, \vartheta)) = 0 \tag{19.28}$$

Substituting (19.27) this is equivalent to:

$$X^t(q) = \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}X^u(q) + \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^A}X^A(q) \tag{19.29}$$

In particular the vectorfield V as a vectorfield in spacetime along $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is expressed by:

$$V = (\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u} - \Xi_*^A\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^A})\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \Xi_*^A\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} \tag{19.30}$$

Here, $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}$ are the acoustical coordinate vectorfields in spacetime, not in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. In fact, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} &= \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^A}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}\end{aligned}$$

The vectorfields on the left-hand side are the coordinate vectorfields in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Given any vector $X(q) \in T_q\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}, q \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, there is a unique real number λ such that $X(q) - \lambda L(q) \in T_q\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. In fact it is readily seen from (19.29), recalling that $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, that:

$$\lambda = X^t(q) - \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}X^u(q) - \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^A}X^A(q)$$

This defines a projection operator Π_* from $T_q\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$ to $T_q\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by: $\Pi_* X(q) = X(q) - \lambda L(q)$. We call Π_* *the L projection to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$*. Obviously,

$$V = \Pi_* T \tag{19.31}$$

We call the integral curves of V the *invariant curves*. The singular surface $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is ruled by these curves. The invariant curves as 1-dimensional submanifolds of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ are independent of the choice of acoustical function u, being the integral manifolds of the 1-dimensional distribution $\{L_q \quad : \quad q \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. The invariant curves have zero arc length.

Now we may adapt the coordinates (u, ϑ) on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ so that the coordinate lines $\vartheta = const$ coincide with the invariant curves. Obviously, this choice is equivalent to the condition:

$$\Xi_* = 0 \tag{19.32}$$

We then call the corresponding acoustical coordinates *canonical*. In these coordinates (19.19) takes the form:

$$g_* = (\not{g}_*)_{AB} d\vartheta^A d\vartheta^B \tag{19.33}$$

By (19.32), we have, for $t \leq t_*(u,\vartheta)$:

$$\Xi^A(t,u,\vartheta) = -\int_t^{t_*(u,\vartheta)} (\frac{\partial \Xi^A}{\partial t})(t',u,\vartheta)dt'$$

Since also:

$$\mu(t,u,\vartheta) = -\int_t^{t_*(u,\vartheta)} (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t})(t',u,\vartheta)dt'$$

it follows that the functions:

$$\hat{\Xi}^A = \mu^{-1}\Xi^A \tag{19.34}$$

which a priori are defined only for $t < t_*(u,\vartheta)$, are actually given by:

$$\hat{\Xi}^A(t,u,\vartheta) = \frac{\text{mean value on}[t,t_*(u,\vartheta)]\text{of}\{(\partial\Xi^A/\partial t)(,u,\vartheta)\}}{\text{mean value on}[t,t_*(u,\vartheta)]\text{of}\{(\partial\mu/\partial t)(,u,\vartheta)\}} \tag{19.35}$$

hence extend smoothly to $t = t_*(u,\vartheta)$, that is, to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

19.1.4 Extrinsic View Point

We now consider the character of the singular hypersurface $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ from the extrinsic point of view. To do this, we consider the reciprocal acoustical metric, a quadratic form in the cotangent space to the spacetime manifold at each regular point, given by:

$$g^{-1} = -(1/2\mu)(L \otimes \underline{L} + \underline{L} \otimes L) + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB} X_A \otimes X_B \tag{19.36}$$

Since

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \underline{L} = \alpha^{-1}\kappa L + 2T = \alpha^{-2}\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \mu\hat{\Xi}^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A})$$

(19.36) takes the form in canonical acoustical coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu g^{-1} = &-(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) - \mu\alpha^{-2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ &+\mu(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\otimes\hat{\Xi}^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A} + \hat{\Xi}^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) + \mu(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^B}\end{aligned} \tag{19.37}$$

We see that although, due to the degeneracy of g on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, g^{-1} blows up at $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, μg^{-1} in fact extends smoothly to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. The character of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ from the extrinsic point of view is then determined by the sign of the invariant $\mu(g^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\mu\partial_\beta\mu$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(g^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\mu\partial_\beta\mu = &-2\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u} \\ &+\mu\{-\alpha^{-2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^2 + 2\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A}\hat{\Xi}^A + (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^B}\}\end{aligned} \tag{19.38}$$

On $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, μ vanishes and this reduces to, simply:

$$\mu(g^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\mu\partial_\beta\mu = -2\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u} \tag{19.39}$$

In view of condition (ii) $\mu(g^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\mu\partial_\beta\mu$ is >0, $=0$, <0, at a point $q\in\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ according as to whether $(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q)$ is >0, $=0$, <0. We conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is spacelike, null, or timelike, at q according to as whether $(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q)$ is <0, $=0$, >0. Now the boundary of the maximal solution cannot be timelike at any point. Therefore the timelike part of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ cannot be part of the boundary of the domain of maximal solution. So we shall focus on the spacelike part of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{H}$ and its boundary $\partial\mathcal{H}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is null.

Consider then the open subset $\mathcal{D}\subset\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{D}=\{(u,\vartheta)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\quad:\quad(\partial\mu/\partial u)(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)<0\}\tag{19.40}$$

(canonical acoustical coordinates). The boundary of $\mathcal{D}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is given by:

$$\partial\mathcal{D}=\{(u,\vartheta)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\quad:\quad(\partial\mu/\partial u)(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)=0\}\tag{19.41}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ is the graph (19.18) over $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\mathcal{H}=\{(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)\quad:\quad(u,\vartheta)\in\mathcal{D}\}\tag{19.42}$$

and $\partial\mathcal{H}$ is the graph (19.18) over $\partial\mathcal{D}$:

$$\partial\mathcal{H}=\{(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)\quad:\quad(u,\vartheta)\in\partial\mathcal{D}\}\tag{19.43}$$

Assuming that the non-degeneracy condition:

$$(u,\vartheta)\in\partial\mathcal{D}\quad\text{implies}\quad(\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2)(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)\neq0\tag{19.44}$$

holds, $\partial\mathcal{D}$ splits into the disjoint union $\partial\mathcal{D}=\partial_-\mathcal{D}\bigcup\partial_+\mathcal{D}$ where:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_-\mathcal{D}&=\{(u,\vartheta)\in\partial\mathcal{D}\quad:\quad(\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2)(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)>0\}\\ \partial_+\mathcal{D}&=\{(u,\vartheta)\in\partial\mathcal{D}\quad:\quad(\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2)(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)<0\}\end{aligned}\tag{19.45}$$

The boundary $\partial\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ similarly splits into the disjoint union $\partial\mathcal{H}=\partial_-\mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ where $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ are the graphs (19.18) over $\partial_-\mathcal{D}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{D}$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_-\mathcal{H}&=\{(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)\quad:\quad(u,\vartheta)\in\partial_-\mathcal{D}\}\\ \partial_+\mathcal{H}&=\{(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)\quad:\quad(u,\vartheta)\in\partial_+\mathcal{D}\}\end{aligned}\tag{19.46}$$

If there is an interior zero of μ on $\Sigma^\epsilon_{t_{*\epsilon}}$ corresponding to (u_0,ϑ_0), then $(u_0\vartheta_0)$ belongs to $\partial_-\mathcal{D}$. If there is a boundary zero of μ on $\Sigma^\epsilon_{t_{*\epsilon}}$, then by (19.4), $\mathcal{D}$ contains the curve (19.17) except for the point $(u_0,\vartheta_*(u_0))$ which belongs to $\partial_-\mathcal{D}$.

Now on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ we have $\mu=0$, that is, we have:

$$\mu(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)=0\quad:\quad\text{for all}\quad(u,\vartheta)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\tag{19.47}$$

Differentiating this equation implicitly with respect to u we obtain:

$$(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta)=-(\frac{\partial\mu/\partial u}{\partial\mu/\partial t})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)\tag{19.48}$$

By virtue of property (ii) we have:

$$\mathcal{D}=\{(u,\vartheta)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\quad:\quad(\partial t_*/\partial u)(u,\vartheta)<0\}\tag{19.49}$$

and:

$$\partial\mathcal{D}=\{(u,\vartheta)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\quad:\quad(\partial t_*/\partial u)(u,\vartheta)=0\}\tag{19.50}$$

(canonical acoustical coordinates).

Moreover, differentiating again (19.48) with respect to u and evaluating the result on $\partial\mathcal{D}$, we obtain, in view of (19.41) and (19.50):

$$(\frac{\partial^2 t_*}{\partial u^2})(u,\vartheta) = -(\frac{\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2}{\partial\mu/\partial t})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\mathcal{D} \tag{19.51}$$

Comparing with (19.45) we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_-\mathcal{D} &= \{(u,\vartheta)\in\partial\mathcal{D} \quad : \quad (\partial^2 t_*/\partial u^2)(u,\vartheta) > 0\} \\ \partial_+\mathcal{D} &= \{(u,\vartheta)\in\partial\mathcal{D} \quad : \quad (\partial^2 t_*/\partial u^2)(u,\vartheta) < 0\} \end{aligned} \tag{19.52}$$

Thus if we consider a connected component of $\mathcal{H}$ and the corresponding components of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$, then the component of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, which is not empty (In fact, the point on $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ corresponds the interior zero. If there is no interior zero, this point corresponds to $u = \epsilon_0$), is the past boundary of $\mathcal{H}$, the component of $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$, which may be empty ($\mathcal{H}$ could be the asymptote of C_0), its future boundary, the function t_* reaching a minimum, along each invariant curve, at $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, a maximum at $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$.

Consider now the function $f = \partial\mu/\partial u$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. In canonical acoustical coordinates on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ we have:

$$f(u,\vartheta) = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \tag{19.53}$$

Differentiating with respect to u, and evaluating the result on $\partial\mathcal{H}$, we obtain, in view of (19.50):

$$(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) = (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\mathcal{H} \tag{19.54}$$

Thus $\partial\mathcal{H}$ is the zero level set of a smooth function, namely f, on the smooth manifold $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, and by (19.54) and the non-degeneracy condition (19.44) this is a non-critical level set. It follows that $\partial\mathcal{H}$ and its two components $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ are smooth. Moreover, since $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ interset the invariant curves, generators of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ transversally (actually we can find a curve whose tagent vector at some point is $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, then we can easily see that this curve can not stay on $\partial\mathcal{H}$ by using the fact that on $\partial\mathcal{H}$, which is the zero level surface of f, $\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2}\neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u} = 0$.) they are both spacelike surfaces in acoustical spacetime.

Finally, we note that $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ cannot be part of the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution. This is seen as follows. For any given component of $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$, there is a component of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\setminus\bar{\mathcal{H}}$, the timelike part of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, whose future boundary is the given component of $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ (Since $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ is the future boundary of $\mathcal{H}$, this is the direction of decreasing u. At $\partial_+\mathcal{D}$, $\partial^2 t_*/\partial u^2 < 0$, as u decreases, $\partial t_*/\partial u$ becomes positive across $\partial_+\mathcal{D}$, then t_* decreases as u decreases). This, or in fact any, component of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\setminus\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ has a past boundary, for, $\lim_{u\to 0} t_*(u) = \infty$, therefore $t_*(u,\vartheta)$ must have intervals of increase along each invariant line as we approach $u = 0$. The past boundary of the component in question is then also the past boundary of the next outward (that is, in the direction of decreasing u along the invariant curves) component of $\mathcal{H}$, a component of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$. But the domain of the maximal solution terminates at the incoming characteristic hypersurface $\underline{C}$ generated by the incoming null normals to this component of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$. So the maximal solution cannot reach $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$.

Summarizing, we get:

Proposition 19.1 Consider a smooth extension of the acoustical metric g to $t > t_*(u)$, satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) The function α remains near 1, and the metric $\not{g}$ remains a positive definite metric on S^2.

(ii) The function μ is extended in such a way that $\partial\mu/\partial t$ is bounded from above by a negative constant where $\mu < 1/4$.

Then there is an open subset $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset (0,\epsilon_0)\times S^2$ and a smooth graph

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \{(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \quad : \quad (u,\vartheta)\in\tilde{\mathcal{D}}\}$$

where μ vanishes, being positive below this graph. The singular hypersurface $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ with its induced metric g_* is smooth and has the intrinsic geometry of a regular null hypersurface in a regular spacetime. It is ruled by invariant curves of vanishing arc length. The tangent line to an invariant curve at a point q is the linear span of the vector $\Pi_* T(q)$, the L projection of $T(q)$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Canonical acoustical coordinates are defined by taking the $\vartheta = const$, coordinate lines on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ to be the invariant curves. On the other hand, from the point of view of how $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is embedded in the acoustical spacetime, the extrinsic point of view, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is at a point q spacelike, null, or timelike, according as to whether, in canonical acoustical coordinates, $\partial\mu/\partial u$ is < 0, $= 0$, > 0, at q, or equivalently, as to whether $\partial t_*/\partial u$ is < 0, $= 0$, > 0, at q. The timelike part of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ cannot be part of the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution. Moreover, denoting by $\mathcal{H}$ the spacelike part of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and by $\partial\mathcal{H}$ its boundary, under the non-degeneracy condition:

$$\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2 \neq 0 \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\mathcal{H}$$

the boundary splits into the disjoint union of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$, where $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ correspond to $\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2 > 0$ and < 0 respectively, or equivalently $\partial^2 t_*/\partial u^2 > 0$ and < 0 respectively. Each of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$, is a smooth spacelike surface in the acoustical spacetime. For each connected component of $\mathcal{H}$ the corresponding components of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$ are respectively its past and future boundaries, the sets of past and future end points of its invariant curves. Finally, $\partial_+\mathcal{H}$, which, in contrast to $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, may be empty, cannot be part of the boundary of the domain of the maximal solution.

19.2 The Trichotomy Theorem for Past Null Geodesics Ending at Singular Boundary

We now turn to the investigation of the past null geodesic cone of an arbitrary point q on the singular boundary of the domain of the maximal solution. According to the above, such a point belongs either to $\mathcal{H}$, or to $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$. The domain of the maximal solution or maximal development of the initial data on $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0} \bigcup \Sigma_0^E$, where Σ_0^E denotes the exterior of the unit sphere in Σ_0, where the constant state holds, has like any development of the initial data in question the property that for each point q in this domain and for each past directed curve issuing at q which is causal with respect to the acoustical metric terminates in the past at a point of $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0} \bigcup \Sigma_0^E$. In particular, each past directed null geodesic issuing at q terminates in the past at a point of $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon_0} \bigcup \Sigma_0^E$. Suppose now that q is a zero of μ on $\Sigma_{t_{*\epsilon}}^{\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$. Then $q \in W_\epsilon^{t_{*\epsilon}}$ and the union of $W_\epsilon^{t_{*\epsilon}}$ with the domain $E^{t_{*\epsilon}}$ in Galileo spacetime exterior to the cone C_0 and bounded by the hyperplanes Σ_0 and Σ_{t_*}, where the constant state holds, is a development of the restriction of the initial data to $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon} \bigcup \Sigma_0^E$, therefore each past directed null geodesic issuing at q remains in $W_\epsilon^{t_{*\epsilon}} \bigcup E^{t_{*\epsilon}}$ and terminates in the past at a point of $\Sigma_0^{\epsilon} \bigcup \Sigma_0^E$.

19.2.1 Hamiltonian Flow

Now, the null geodesic flow of a Lorentzian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ is the Hamiltonian flow (geodesics from the point view of cotangent bundle) on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ generated by the Hamiltonain:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(q)p_\mu p_\nu \tag{19.55}$$

on the surface $H = 0$. (One can easily check this by direct calculation.) Here $(q^\mu \quad : \quad \mu = 1, ..., n)$, $n = \dim\mathcal{M}$, are local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$ (called in the Hamiltonian context *canonical coordinates*), and expanding $p \in T_q^*\mathcal{M}$ in the basis $(dq^1(q), ..., dq^n(q))$:

$$p = p_\mu dq^\mu(q)$$

the coefficients $(p_\mu \quad : \quad \mu = 1, ..., n)$ of the expansion are linear coordinates on $T_q^*\mathcal{M}$ (called in the Hamiltonian context *canonical momenta*). We thus have local coordinates

$(q^\mu \quad : \quad \mu = 1, ..., n; \quad p_\mu \quad : \quad \mu = 1, ..., n)$ on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ and the equations defining a Hamiltonian flow are the canonical equations:

$$\frac{dq^\mu}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu}, \quad \frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_\mu} \tag{19.56}$$

Each level set of the Hamiltonian H is invariant by the Hamiltonian flow. We may thus consider the restriction of the flow to any given level set of H, in particular to the surface $H = 0$. Let now:

$$H(q,p) = \Omega(q)\tilde{H}(q,p) \tag{19.57}$$

where Ω is a positive function on $\mathcal{M}$. Then the Hamiltonain flow of $\tilde{H}$ on its zero level set is equivalent, up to reparametrization, to the Hamiltonain flow of H on its zero level set. More precisely, let $\tau \mapsto (q(\tau), p(\tau))$ be a solution of the canonical equations (19.56) on the surface $H = 0$. Then defining a new parameter $\tilde{\tau}$ by:

$$\frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{d\tau} = \Omega(q(\tau)) \tag{19.58}$$

$\tilde{\tau} \mapsto (\tilde{q}(\tilde{\tau}), \tilde{p}(\tilde{\tau})) = (q(\tau), p(\tau))$ is a solution of (19.56) with H replaced by $\tilde{H}$ and τ by $\tilde{\tau}$ on the surface $\tilde{H} = 0$. This is so because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{q}^\mu}{d\tilde{\tau}} &= \frac{dq^\mu}{d\tau}\frac{d\tau}{d\tilde{\tau}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu}\Omega^{-1} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial p_\mu} \\ \frac{d\tilde{p}_\mu}{d\tilde{\tau}} &= \frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau}\frac{d\tau}{d\tilde{\tau}} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^\mu}\Omega^{-1} = -\frac{\partial(\tilde{H}\Omega)}{\partial q^\mu}\Omega^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q^\mu} - \tilde{H}\Omega^{-1}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial q^\mu} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial q^\mu} \quad : \text{on} \quad \tilde{H} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the case that H is the Hamiltonian (19.55), then

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(q)p_\mu p_\nu, \quad \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu}$$

and the above corresponds to the fact that null geodesics are invariant, up to reparametrization, under conformal transformations of the metric.

In the case of our acoustical spacetime $(\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}, g)$, the reciprocal metric g^{-1} is as we have seen singular at $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, however μg^{-1}, given in canonical acoustical coordinates by (19.37), extends smoothly to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. So we take the Hamiltonian to be:

$$H = -p_u p_t + \mu\{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}p_t^2 + p_t\hat{\Xi}^A \not{p}_A + \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{p}_A\not{p}_B\} \tag{19.59}$$

Here p_t, p_u, and $\not{p}_A \quad : \quad A = 1,2$ are the momenta conjugate to the coordinates t, u, $\vartheta^A \quad : \quad A = 1,2$, respectively. Thus the $\not{p}_A$ are the components of the *angular momentum* $\not{p}$. Given a solution $\tau \mapsto (q(\tau), p(\tau)), q = (t, u, \vartheta), p = (p_t, p_u, \not{p})$ (This is an affinely parametrized null geodesic of the conformal acoustical metric $\mu^{-1}g$), then defining s by:

$$\frac{ds}{d\tau} = \mu(q(\tau)) \tag{19.60}$$

and taking the inverse of the mapping $\tau \mapsto s(\tau)$, then $s \mapsto (q(\tau(s)), p(\tau(s)))$ is an affinely parametrized null geodesic of the acoustical metric g, s being the affine parameter, and conversely.

The canonical equations (19.56) take for the Hamiltonian (19.59) the following form:

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_t} = -p_u + \mu(-\alpha^{-2}p_t + \hat{\Xi}^A \not{p}_A) \tag{19.61}$$

$$\frac{du}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_u} = -p_t$$

$$\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \not{p}_A} = \mu((\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{p}_B + p_t\hat{\Xi}^A)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dp_t}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}p_t^2 + p_t\hat{\Xi}^A\not{p}_A + \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{p}_A\not{p}_B\} \\
&\quad -\mu\{\alpha^{-3}\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t}p_t^2 + p_t\frac{\partial\hat{\Xi}^A}{\partial t}\not{p}_A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}}{\partial t}\not{p}_A\not{p}_B\} \\
\frac{dp_u}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} &= -\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}\{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}p_t^2 + p_t\hat{\Xi}^A\not{p}_A + \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{p}_A\not{p}_B\} \\
&\quad -\mu\{\alpha^{-3}\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial u}p_t^2 + p_t\frac{\partial\hat{\Xi}^A}{\partial u}\not{p}_A - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}}{\partial u}\not{p}_A\not{p}_B\} \\
\frac{d\not{p}_A}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \vartheta^A} &= -\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \vartheta^A}\{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}p_t^2 + p_t\hat{\Xi}^B\not{p}_B + \frac{1}{2}(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}\not{p}_B\not{p}_C\} \\
&\quad -\mu\{\alpha^{-3}\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial \vartheta^A}p_t^2 + p_t\frac{\partial\hat{\Xi}^B}{\partial \vartheta^A}\not{p}_B - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\not{g}^{-1})^{BC}}{\partial \vartheta^A}\not{p}_B\not{p}_C\}
\end{aligned} \tag{19.62}$$

19.2.2 Asymptotic Behavior

Given a point $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, we shall study the solutions of the system (19.61)-(19.62), subject to the condition $H = 0$, which end at $q_0 = (t_0, u_0, \vartheta_0)$, $t_0 = t_*(u_0, \vartheta_0)$, at $\tau = 0$. The solution are then studied for $\tau \leq 0$. These are the null geodesics of the acoustical metric ending at q_0, that is, the past null geodesic cone of q_0, with the orientation of each geodesic reversed so that they become future-directed ending at q_0 instead of past directed issuing at q_0.

Now the condition $H = 0$ defines at a regular point $p \in \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$, where $\mu(p) > 0$, a double cone in $T_p^*\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$ ((19.59) is a second order homogeneous polynomial in p_μ, the coordinates of $T_p^*\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$) and we are considering the backward part of this cone. However at the singular point q_0, where $\mu(q_0) = 0$, the condition $H = 0$ reduces to:

$$p_u p_t = 0 \tag{19.63}$$

Thus the double cone degenerates to the two hyperplanes $p_t = 0$ and $p_u = 0$ and the backward part of the cone degenerates to the following three pieces:

(i) The negative half-hyperplane: $p_t = 0, \quad p_u < 0$.
(ii) The negative half-hyperplane: $p_u = 0, \quad p_t < 0$.
(iii) The plane $p_t = p_u = 0$.

We thus have a *trichotomy* of the past null geodesic cone of q_0. The null geodesics ending at q_0 such that their momentum covector at q_0 belongs to (i) are the *outgoing* null geodesics. These contain the generator of the characteristic hypersurface C_{u_0} through q_0, parametrized by t, which is the following solution of (19.61)-(19.62) and the condition $H = 0$:

$$t = t_0 + \tau, \quad u = u_0, \quad \vartheta = \vartheta_0; \quad p_t = 0, \quad p_u = -1, \quad \not{p} = 0 \tag{19.64}$$

The null geodesic ending at q_0 such that their momentum vector at q_0 belongs to (ii) are the *incoming* null geodesics. Finally, the null geodesics ending at q_0 such that their momentum vector at q_0 belongs to (iii) we simply call the *other* null geodesics.

To obtain an intuitive picture of how the null cone in $T^*_p\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$ degenerates as p, a regular point, approaches q_0, a point on the singular boundary, we consider the picture in 4-dimensional Euclidean space with the regular coordinates x_1, x_2, y, z, taking:

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}\not{p}_A\not{p}_B, \quad y = p_u, \quad z = \alpha^{-1}p_t \tag{19.65}$$

An adequate picture of the degeneration is obtained if we assume for simplicity that $\hat{\Xi} = 0$ at a given Σ_t. The equation of the double cone $H = 0$ then becomes:

$$2yz = \kappa(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - z^2) \tag{19.66}$$

Note that the double cone contains the y axis as well as the line:

$$x_1 = x_2 = 0, \quad y = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa z \tag{19.67}$$

Consider the point P_0 on the positive y axis at Euclidean distance 1 from the origin. The coordinates of P_0 are then $(0, 0, 1, 0)$. Consider also the point P_1 on the line (19.67) at Euclidean distance 1 from the origin in the positive z direction. The coordinates of P_1 are:

$$(0, 0, -(\kappa/2)/\sqrt{(\kappa/2)^2 + 1}, 1/\sqrt{(\kappa/2)^2 + 1})$$

Let H_1 be the hyperplane:

$$z = -\lambda(y - 1), \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\kappa/2)^2 + 1} + (\kappa/2)} \tag{19.68}$$

passing through the points P_0, P_1, and ruled by planes parallel to the (x_1, x_2) plane. Then the intersection of H_1 with the double cone is the spheroid on H_1 which projects to the following spheroid on the (x_1, x_2, y) hyperplane:

$$\frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{a^2} + \frac{(y - y_0)^2}{b^2} = 1; \tag{19.69}$$

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\kappa(2 - \kappa\lambda)}}, \quad b = \frac{1}{2 - \kappa\lambda}, \quad y_0 = \frac{1 - \kappa\lambda}{2 - \kappa\lambda}$$

with semimajor axis a, semiminor axis b, centered at y_0 on the y axis. The spheroid on H_1 is centerd at the point $(0, 0, y_0, z_0)$, $z_0 = -\lambda(y_0 - 1)$, and has semimajor axis $a' = a$ in a plane through this center parallel to the (x_1, x_2) plane and semiminor axis $b' = \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}b$ in a line through the center in H_1 orthogonal to this plane. As the regular point p approaches the singular point q_0, $\kappa \to 0$, hence $\lambda \to 1$, $y_0 \to 1/2$, $z_0 \to 1/2$, $b' \to 1/\sqrt{2}$, but $a' \to \infty$, in fact $\sqrt{2\kappa}a' \to 1$. The hyperplane H_1 becomes the hyperplane $z = -(y - 1)$, and the spheroid on H_1, the intersection with the double cone, degenerates to the planes $y = 1$, $z = 0$, and $y = 0$, $z = 1$, parallel to the (x_1, x_2) plane, at which the hyperplane $z = -(y - 1)$ intersects the hyperplanes $z = 0$ and $y = 0$. This shows how the double cone degenerates to the two hyperplanes $z = 0$ and $y = 0$.

We return to the study of the null geodesics ending at q_0. The value of $p_0 = ((p_t)_0, (p_u)_0, \not{p}_0)$ determines in which of the three classes the null geodesic belongs. Note that $p_0 \not= 0$, so that (q_0, p_0) is a regular non-critical point of the Hamiltonian system (19.61)-(19.62). Now we can impose in each class a normalization condition by making use of the following remark. Let $\tau \mapsto (q(\tau), p(\tau))$ be a solution of the canonical equations (19.56) associated to the Hamiltonian (19.55) and corresponding to the conditions $q(0) = q_0$, $p(0) = p_0$, at $\tau = 0$. Then for any positive constant a, $\tau \mapsto (q(a\tau), ap(a\tau))$ is the solution corresponding to the conditions $q(0) = q_0$, $p(0) = ap_0$. Thus the new conditions give a null geodesic which is simply a reparametrization of the null geodesic arising from the original conditions.

This is so because if $\tilde{q}(\tau) = q(a\tau)$, $\tilde{p}(\tau) = ap(a\tau)$, we have:

$$(\frac{d\tilde{q}^\mu}{d\tau}(\tau) = a(\frac{dq^\mu}{d\tau})(a\tau) = a(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu})(q(a\tau), p(a\tau))$$

$$= a(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(q(a\tau))p_\nu(a\tau) = (g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{q}(\tau))\tilde{p}_\nu(\tau) = (\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\mu})(\tilde{q}(\tau), \tilde{p}(\tau))$$

$$(\frac{d\tilde{p}_\mu}{d\tau})(\tau) = a^2(\frac{dp_\mu}{d\tau})(a\tau) = -a^2(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^\mu})(q(a\tau), p(a\tau))$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}a^2(\frac{\partial(g^{-1})^{\lambda\nu}}{\partial q^\mu})(q(a\tau))p_\lambda(a\tau)p_\nu(a\tau)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial(g^{-1})^{\lambda\nu}}{\partial q^\mu})(\tilde{q}(\tau))\tilde{p}_\lambda(\tau)\tilde{p}_\nu(\tau) = -(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^\mu})(\tilde{q}(\tau), \tilde{p}(\tau))$$

Given an *outgoing* null geodesic ending at q_0 we can, by suitable choice of the scale factor a, set $(p_0)_u = -1$. Thus, the outgoing null geodesics ending at q_0 correspond to the plane:

$$(p_0)_t = 0, \quad (p_0)_u = -1 \quad : \text{in} \quad T^*_{q_0}\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0} \tag{19.70}$$

Given an *incoming* null geodesic ending at q_0 we can, by suitable choice of the scale factor a, set $(p_0)_t = -1$. Thus, the incoming null geodesics ending at q_0 correspond to the plane:

$$(p_0)_u = 0, \quad (p_0)_t = -1 \quad : \text{in} \quad T^*_{q_0}\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0} \tag{19.71}$$

Finally, given one of the *other* null geodesics ending at q_0 we can, by suitable choice of the scale factor a, set $|(\not{p})_0| = \sqrt{(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A(\not{p}_0)_B} = 1$. Thus, the *other* null geodesics ending at q_0 correspond to the circle:

$$(p_0)_t = 0, \quad (p_0)_u = 0, \quad |\not{p}_0| = 1 \quad : \text{in} \quad T^*_{q_0}\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0} \tag{19.72}$$

Consider the class of *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0. For this class equations (19.61) evaluated at $\tau = 0$ give:

$$(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(0) = 1, \quad (\frac{du}{d\tau})(0) = 0, \quad (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(0) = 0 \tag{19.73}$$

Also, equations (19.62) give:

$$(\frac{dp_t}{d\tau})(0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2 \tag{19.74}$$

$$(\frac{dp_u}{d\tau})(0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2$$

$$(\frac{d\not{p}_A}{d\tau})(0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2$$

Differentiating equations (19.61) with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ using (19.74) and the fact that by (19.73):

$$(\frac{d\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0) \tag{19.75}$$

we obtain:

$$(\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2 + (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)\hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A \tag{19.76}$$

$$(\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2$$

$$(\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B$$

In view of (19.73), (19.76) the tangent vector to an outgoing null geodesic ending at q_0 has the following expansion as $\tau \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(\tau) &= 1 + [\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2 + (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)\hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A]\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ (\frac{du}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)|\not{p}_0|^2\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(\tau) &= (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B\tau + O(\tau^2)\end{aligned} \tag{19.77}$$

We conclude that in canonical acoustical coordinates (See (19.37)) the tangent vectors to all *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0 tend as we approach q_0 to the tangent vector of the generator of C_{u_0} through q_0.

Consider the class of *incoming* null geodesics ending at q_0. For this class equations (19.61) evaluated at $\tau = 0$ give:

$$(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(0) = 0, \quad (\frac{du}{d\tau})(0) = 1, \quad (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(0) = 0 \tag{19.78}$$

Also equations (19.62) give:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{dp_t}{d\tau})(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)[-\alpha^{-2}(q_0) - 2\hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A + |\not{p}_0|^2] \\ (\frac{dp_u}{d\tau})(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)[-\alpha^{-2}(q_0) - 2\hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A + |\not{p}_0|^2] \\ (\frac{d\not{p}_A}{d\tau})(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A})(q_0)[-\alpha^{-2}(q_0) - 2\hat{\Xi}^B(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B + |\not{p}_0|^2]\end{aligned} \tag{19.79}$$

Differentiating equations (19.61) with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ using (19.79) and the fact that by (19.78):

$$(\frac{d\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0) \tag{19.80}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2) \\ (\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) &= (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)[(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B - \hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)]\end{aligned} \tag{19.81}$$

The right-hand side of the first equation in (19.81) is negative when $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. However in the case that $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$, we have:

$$(\frac{d\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = 0, \quad (\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) = 0, \quad (\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) = 0 \tag{19.82}$$

We must then proceed to the third derivatives. Using (19.78) and (19.82) we find in this case:

$$(\frac{d^2\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0} = (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0) \tag{19.83}$$

and differentiating the second equation of (19.62) with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ gives:

$$(\frac{d^2p_u}{d\tau^2})(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)[\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + 2\hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A - |\not{p}_0|^2] \tag{19.84}$$

Differentiating then the second and third equations of (19.61) with respect to τ a second time and using (15.83) and (15.84) we then obtain that in the case $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^3t}{d\tau^3})(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2) \\ (\frac{d^3\vartheta^A}{d\tau^3})(0) &= (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)[(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B - \hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)]\end{aligned} \tag{19.85}$$

Note that the right-hand side of the first eqution of (19.85) is positive. The tangent vector to an incoming null geodesic ending at q_0 has the following expansion as $\tau \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{du}{d\tau})(\tau) &= 1 + O(\tau) \\ (\frac{dt}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(\tau) &= (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)[(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B - \hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)]\tau + O(\tau^2)\end{aligned} \tag{19.86}$$

by (19.78), (19.81), in the case that $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, and:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{du}{d\tau})(\tau) &= 1 + O(\tau) \\ (\frac{dt}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)\tau^2 + O(\tau^3) \\ (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)[(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B - \hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)]\tau^2 + O(\tau^3)\end{aligned} \tag{19.87}$$

by (19.78), (19.82), (19.85), in the case that $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$.

Consider finally the class of the *other* null geodesics ending at q_0. For this class equations (19.61) and (19.62) evaluated at $\tau = 0$ give:

$$(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(0) = 0, \quad (\frac{du}{d\tau})(0) = 0, \quad (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(0) = 0 \tag{19.88}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{dp_t}{d\tau})(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0) \\ (\frac{dp_u}{d\tau})(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0) \\ (\frac{d\not{p}_A}{d\tau})(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A}\end{aligned} \tag{19.89}$$

Note that the right-hand side of the first equation of (19.89) is positive. Differentiating equation (19.61) with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ using (19.89) and the fact that by (19.88):

$$(\frac{d\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = 0 \tag{19.90}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0) \\ (\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0) \\ (\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) &= 0\end{aligned} \tag{19.91}$$

Note that the right-hand side of the second equation of (19.91) is negative, while the right-hand side of the first equation of (19.91) is negative when $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and vanishes when $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$. Differentiating the third equation of (19.61) a second time with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ yields:

$$(\frac{d^3\vartheta^A}{d\tau^3})(0) = (\frac{d^2\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B \tag{19.92}$$

Now from (19.88) and (19.91) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^2\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0} &= (\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0)(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0) + (\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0)(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0) \\ &+(\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0)(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A})(q_0) = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)\end{aligned} \tag{19.93}$$

Substituting in (19.92) then yields:

$$(\frac{d^3\vartheta^A}{d\tau^3})(0) = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B \tag{19.94}$$

In the case that $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$ the right-hand side of the first equation of (19.91), of (19.93), and of (19.94) all vanish:

$$(\frac{d^2\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0} = 0, \quad (\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) = 0, \quad (\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) = (\frac{d^3\vartheta^A}{d\tau^3})(0) = 0 \tag{19.95}$$

Differentiating the first equation of (19.61) a second time with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ then yields:

$$(\frac{d^3t}{d\tau^3})(0) = -(\frac{d^2p_u}{d\tau^2})(0) \tag{19.96}$$

Also, differentiating the second equation of (19.62) with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ yields:

$$(\frac{d^2p_u}{d\tau^2})(0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} \tag{19.97}$$

However, from (19.88):

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} &= (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial t\partial u})(q_0)(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(0) \\ +(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\frac{du}{d\tau})(0) &+ (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A\partial u})(q_0)(\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(0) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{19.98}$$

hence:

$$(\frac{d^3t}{d\tau^3})(0) = (\frac{d^2p_u}{d\tau^2})(0) = 0 \tag{19.99}$$

We must proceeed to the fourth derivatives. Differentiating the first of (19.61) a third time with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ yields:

$$(\frac{d^4t}{d\tau^4})(0) = -(\frac{d^3p_u}{d\tau^3})(0) + (\frac{d^3\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^3})_{\tau=0}\hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_A \tag{19.100}$$

Also, differentiating the second equation of (19.62) a second time with respect to τ and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ yields:

$$(\frac{d^3p_u}{d\tau^3})(0) = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0} \tag{19.101}$$

Now, in view of (19.88) and (19.91):

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^2(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0} = (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial t\partial u})(q_0)(\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) \\ +(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0) + (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A\partial u})(q_0)(\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) \\ = (\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)\end{aligned} \tag{19.102}$$

On the other hand, by (19.88), (19.91), (19.95), (19.98), (19.99), and the fact that $(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q_0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^3\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^3})_{\tau=0} = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)(\frac{d^3t}{d\tau^3})(0) + (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\frac{d^3u}{d\tau^3})(0) \\ +(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A})(q_0)(\frac{d^3\vartheta^A}{d\tau^3})(0) + 2(\frac{d(\partial\mu/\partial t)(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0}(\frac{d^2t}{d\tau^2})(0) \\ +2(\frac{d(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0}(\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0) + 2(\frac{d(\partial\mu/\partial\vartheta^A)(q(\tau))}{d\tau})_{\tau=0}(\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{d\tau^2})(0) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{19.103}$$

Substituting then (19.102) in (19.101) and the result in (19.100), yields, in view of (19.103),

$$(\frac{d^4t}{d\tau^4})(0) = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0) \tag{19.104}$$

Differentiating the third equation of (19.61) a third time and evaluating the result at $\tau = 0$ we obtain, taking into account (19.103),

$$(\frac{d^4\vartheta^A}{d\tau^4})(0) = (\frac{d^3\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^3})_{\tau=0}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B = 0 \tag{19.105}$$

Finally, we differentiate the third equation of (19.61) a fourth time and evaluate the result at $\tau = 0$ to obtain:

$$(\frac{d^5\vartheta^A}{d\tau^5})(0) = (\frac{d^4\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^4})_{\tau=0}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B \tag{19.106}$$

and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^4\mu(q(\tau))}{d\tau^4})_{\tau=0} \\ = (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)(\frac{d^4t}{d\tau^4})(0) + 3(\frac{d^2(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q(\tau))}{d\tau^2})_{\tau=0}(\frac{d^2u}{d\tau^2})(0) \\ = ((\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^2\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)\end{aligned} \tag{19.107}$$

by (19.102), (19.104), and the second of (19.91). Substituting then in (15.106) yields:

$$(\frac{d^5\vartheta^A}{d\tau^5})(0) = ((\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^2\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B \tag{19.108}$$

According to the above results the tangent vector to any of the other null geodesics ending at q_0 has the following expansion as $\tau \to 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ (\frac{du}{d\tau})(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\not{p}_0)_B\tau^2 + O(\tau^3)\end{aligned} \tag{19.109}$$

by (19.91), (19.94), in the case $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, and:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{dt}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{24}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)\tau^3 + O(\tau^4) \\ (\frac{du}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})(q_0)\tau + O(\tau^2) \\ (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau})(\tau) &= \frac{1}{24}((\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^2\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B\tau^4 + O(\tau^5)\end{aligned} \tag{19.110}$$

in the case that $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$.

To obtain the picture in Galileo spacetime, we now investigate the behavior of the tangent vector to a null geodesic ending at q_0, in each of the three classes, as $t \to t_0$, in rectangular coordinates. The rectangular components $\tilde{L}'^\mu$ of the tangent vector $\tilde{L}'$ of a null geodesic ending at q_0, parametrized by τ, are given by:

$$\tilde{L}'^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial t}\frac{dt}{d\tau} + \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial u}\frac{du}{d\tau} + \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A}\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau} \tag{19.111}$$

If the null geodesic is parametrized by t instead of τ the tangent vector is:

$$L' = \frac{\tilde{L}'}{dt/d\tau} \tag{19.112}$$

and its rectangular components are given by:

$$L'^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial u}\frac{du}{dt} + \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial\vartheta^A}\frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} \tag{19.113}$$

where:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{du/d\tau}{dt/d\tau}, \quad \frac{d\vartheta^A/d\tau}{dt/d\tau} \tag{19.114}$$

Recalling from Chapter 2 that:

$$\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial t} = L^\mu, \quad \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial\vartheta^A} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u} = T^i + \Xi^A X^i_A, \quad \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial\vartheta^A} = X^i_A \tag{19.115}$$

we then obtain:

$$L'^0 = 1, \quad L'^i = L^i + \mu(\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i + \hat{\Xi}^A X^i_A)\frac{du}{dt} + X^i_A\frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} \tag{19.116}$$

Consider the class of the *outgoing* null geodesics ending at $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$. Then from (19.77) we have:

$$\lim_{t\to t_0}\frac{du}{dt} = 0, \quad \lim_{t\to t_0}\frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} = 0 \tag{19.117}$$

Since $\lim_{t\to t_0}\mu = 0$, it follows that for all *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0 we have:

$$\lim_{t\to t_0} L'^i = L^i(q_0) \tag{19.118}$$

We conclude that in Galileo spacetime the tangent vectors to all *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0 tend as we approach q_0 to the tangent vector $L(q_0)$ of the generator of C_{u_0} through q_0. The vector $L(q_0)$ is therefore an *invariant vector* independent of the choice of acoustical function u. From the point of view of Galileo spacetime this vector is equal, up to a multiplicative constant, to the invariant vector $V(q_0)$, defined by (19.23), since the vector $T(q_0)$ vanishes from the point of view of Galileo spacetime (recalling the definition of Π_*).

Consider the class of the *incoming* null geodesics ending at $q_0 \in \mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$. If $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, then taking into account the fact that by (19.80):

$$\mu(q(\tau)) = (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)\tau + O(\tau^2) \tag{19.119}$$

we obtain from (19.86):

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} \mu \frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)}, \quad \lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} = \frac{(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\not{p})_B - \hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2} \tag{19.120}$$

If $q_0 \in \partial_- \mathcal{H}$, then taking into account the fact that by (19.82), (19.83):

$$\mu(q(\tau)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)\tau^2 + O(\tau^3) \tag{19.121}$$

we obtain from (19.87):

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} \mu \frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)}, \quad \lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} = \frac{(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(\not{p})_B - \hat{\Xi}^A(q_0)}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)} \tag{19.122}$$

which coincides with (19.120). It follows that in both cases we have:

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} L'^i = L^i(q_0) + \frac{\alpha^{-1}(q_0)\hat{T}^i(q_0)}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)} + \frac{(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)X^i_A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)} \tag{19.123}$$

Note that this limit depends on $\not{p}_0$. For $\not{p}_0 = 0$ the limit vector is $(L + 2\alpha\hat{T})(q_0) = \alpha^2(q_0)\hat{\underline{L}}(q_0)$, where $\hat{\underline{L}}(q_0)$ is the conjugate to $L(q_0)$, the null vector in the orthogonal complement of $T_{q_0}S_{t_0,u_0}$. As $\not{p}_0$ tends to ∞ the limit vector tends to $L(q_0)$.

Consider finally the class of the *other* null geodesics ending at $q_0 \in \mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$. If $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, then taking into account the fact that by (19.90), (19.93):

$$\mu(q(\tau)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)\tau^2 + O(\tau^3) \tag{19.124}$$

we obtain from (19.109):

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} \mu \frac{du}{dt} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} = 0 \tag{19.125}$$

If $q_0 \in \partial_- \mathcal{H}$, then taking into account the fact that by (19.90), (19.95), (19.103), (19.107):

$$\mu(q(\tau)) = \frac{1}{24}((\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t})^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0)\tau^4 + O(\tau^5) \tag{19.126}$$

we obtain from (19.110):

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} \mu \frac{du}{dt} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to t_0} \frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt} = 0 \tag{19.127}$$

as well. It follows that in both cases we have simply:

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} L'^i = L^i(q_0) \tag{19.128}$$

Thus, from the point of view of Galileo spacetime, the tangent vectors to all the other null geodesics ending at q_0 tend to the vector $L(q_0)$ as we approach q_0.

We now consider, for a fixed point $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ and each of the three classes of null geodesics ending at q_0, the mapping:

$$(\tau;\not{p}_0) \mapsto (t,u,\vartheta) = F(\tau;\not{p}_0), \quad F = (F^t, F^u, \not{F}) \tag{19.129}$$

where for the *outgoing* and *incoming* null geodesics: $\not{p}_0 \in T_{\vartheta_0}S^2$ which may be identified with $\mathbb{R}^2$, while for the *other* null geodesics $\not{p}_0$ takes values in the unit circle in $T_{\vartheta_0}S^2$, which may be identified with $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. In each of the three cases the mapping (19.129) is smooth (ODE theory), the range of F^t being restricted to $t \geq 0$.

Let us consider first the mapping:

$$\tau \mapsto F^t(\tau;\not{p}_0) \tag{19.130}$$

for fixed $\not{p}_0$. We shall presently show that this mapping is a diffeomorphism of $[\tau_0, 0]$ onto $[0, t_0]$ in the case of the *outgoing* null geodesic, a diffeomorphism of $[\tau_0, 0)$ onto $[0, t_0)$ in the case of *incoming* null geodesics as well as in the case of the *other* null geodesics, the difference of the latter from the former being that $(dt/d\tau)(0) = 1$ for the *outgoing* ((19.77)), while $(dt/d\tau)(0) = 0$ for the *incoming* and the other ((19.86), (19.87), (19.109), (19.110)). Here $\tau_0 = \tau_0(\not{p}_0)$ (p_t and p_u are constants). Now the expressions (19.77) and (19.86), (19.87), (19.109), (19.110), show that $(dt/d\tau)(\tau) > 0$ for $\tau \in [\tau_1, 0)$, τ_1 suitably small. Thus either $(dt/d\tau)(\tau) > 0$ for all $\tau \in [\tau_0, 0)$, or there is a first τ_* where $(dt/d\tau)(\tau_*) = 0$. Now in general, for a Hamiltonian of the form (19.55), the condition $H = 0$ is equivalent to the following condition on the tangent vector:

$$\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{dq^\mu}{d\tau}\frac{dq^\nu}{d\tau} = 0 \tag{19.131}$$

In the present case, for our Hamiltonian (15.59), the condition $H = 0$ is equivalent to the condition:

$$-\frac{dt}{d\tau}\frac{du}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{-2}\mu(\frac{du}{d\tau})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\not{g}_{AB}(\frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau} + \mu\hat{\Xi}^A\frac{du}{d\tau})(\frac{d\vartheta^B}{d\tau} + \mu\hat{\Xi}^B\frac{du}{d\tau}) = 0 \tag{19.132}$$

Obviously, at τ_* we have:

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau}(\tau_*) = \frac{du}{d\tau}(\tau_*) = \frac{d\vartheta^A}{d\tau}(\tau_*) = 0$$

By (19.61), we have:

$$p_t(\tau_*) = p_u(\tau_*) = \not{p}_A(\tau_*) = 0$$

Then with: $(t_*, u_*, \vartheta_*) = (t(\tau_*), u(\tau_*), \vartheta(\tau_*))$,

$$((t,u,\vartheta);(p_t,p_u,\not{p})) = ((t_*,u_*,\vartheta_*);(0,0,0))$$

is a solution of the Hamiltonian system (19.61)-(19.62) coinciding with our solution at $\tau = \tau_*$. By the uniqueness theorem for the Hamiltonian system (19.61)-(19.62) our null geodesic must coincide with the above constant solution for all τ, in paricular we must have: $t(0) = t_*$ in contradiction with the fact that $t(0) = t_0 > t_*$. This rules out the second alternative above and we conclude that $(dt/d\tau)(\tau) > 0$ for all $\tau \in [\tau_0, 0)$. It follows that the mapping (19.130) is a diffeomorphism of $[\tau_0, 0]$ onto $[0, t_0]$ in the case of the *outgoing* null geodesics, a diffeomorphism of $[\tau_0, 0)$ onto $[0, t_0)$ in the cases of the *incoming* and the *other* null geodesics. We can thus invert the mapping (19.130) obtaining a continuous mapping of $[0, t_0]$ onto $[\tau_0, 0]$ by:

$$t \mapsto (F^t)^{-1}(t;\not{p}_0) \tag{19.133}$$

which is smooth on $[0, t_0]$ in the case of the *outgoing* null geodesics, on $[0, t_0)$ in the case of the *incoming* and the *other* null geodesics.

Consider the mapping:

$$(t; \not{p}_0) \mapsto (t, u, \vartheta) = G(t; \not{p}_0) = F((F^t)^{-1}(t; \not{p}_0); \not{p}_0) \tag{19.134}$$

and for fixed $t \in [0, t_0]$ the mapping:

$$\not{p}_0 \mapsto (t, u, \vartheta) = G_t(\not{p}_0) \quad \text{where} \quad G_t(\not{p}_0) = G(t; \not{p}_0) \tag{19.135}$$

where, as above, $\not{p}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for the *outgoing* and *incoming* null geodesics, while $\not{p}_0 \in S^1$ for the *other* null geodesics. This is the domain of the mapping (19.135), where its range is the hypersurface $\{t\} \times (-\infty, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$ in the extension of the manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$ to:

$$\mathcal{M}^e_{\epsilon_0} = \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0} \bigcup \mathcal{M}^E = [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}^E = [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \times S^2$$

corresponds to the domain of the surrounding constant state. The hypersurface $\{t\} \times (-\infty, \epsilon_0) \times S^2$ corresponds to the domain in the hyperplane Σ_t in Galileo spacetime where $u > \epsilon_0$.

The mapping (19.135) is smooth for each $t \in [0, t_0)$, and for $t = t_0$, G_{t_0} is the constant mapping:

$$G_{t_0}(\not{p}_0) = G(t_0; \not{p}_0) = q_0 \tag{19.136}$$

Also, writing:

$$G = (G^t, G^u, \not{G}), \quad G_t = (G^t_t, G^u_t, \not{G}_t) \tag{19.137}$$

we have:

$$G^t_t = G^t = t \tag{19.138}$$

We have:

$$(\frac{\partial \not{G}^A}{\partial t})(t; \not{p}_0) = (\frac{d\vartheta^A}{dt})(t) = \frac{(d\vartheta^A/d\tau)(\tau)}{(dt/d\tau)(\tau)} \tag{19.139}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{\partial^2 \not{G}^A}{\partial t^2})(t; \not{p}_0) &= (\frac{d^2\vartheta^A}{dt^2})(t) \\ = (\frac{(d^2\vartheta^A/d\tau^2)(dt/d\tau) - (d^2t/d\tau^2)(d\vartheta^A/d\tau)}{(dt/d\tau)^3})(\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{19.140}$$

In the case of the *outgoing* null geodesics we obtain, from (19.73):

$$(\frac{\partial \not{G}^A}{\partial t})(t_0; \not{p}_0) = 0 \tag{19.141}$$

and, from (19.76):

$$(\frac{\partial^2 \not{G}^A}{\partial t^2})(t_0; \not{p}_0) = (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B \tag{19.142}$$

Let us consider the matrix $\partial\not{G}_t^A/\partial(\not{p}_0)_B$. By (19.141), (19.142):

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\not{G}^A}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_B}\right)\right)(t_0;\not{p}_0) = 0, \tag{19.143}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\left(\frac{\partial\not{G}^A}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_B}\right)\right)(t_0;\not{p}_0) = \left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\right)(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)$$

It follows that:

$$\left(\frac{\partial\not{G}_t^A}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_B}\right)(\not{p}_0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\right)(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)((t-t_0)^2) + O((t-t_0)^3) \tag{19.144}$$

and:

$$(\det\partial\not{G}_t/\partial\not{p}_0) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\right)^2(q_0)\det\not{g}^{-1}(t-t_0)^4 + O((t-t_0)^5) \tag{19.145}$$

Therefore in the case of the *outgoing* null geodesics the mapping (19.135) is of maximal rank at each $\not{p}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for t suitably close to t_0. By the implicit function theorem we conclude that in the case of the *outgoing* null geodesics the image of $\mathbb{R}^2$ by the mapping (19.135) is, for t suitably close to t_0, an immersed disk in the hypersurface $\{t\}\times(-\infty,\epsilon_0)\times S^2 \subset \mathcal{M}^e_{\epsilon_0}$, which corresponds to the hyperplane Σ_t in Galileo spacetime. To show that this image is in fact an embedded disk we show that the mapping (19.135) is one-to-one for t suitably close to t_0. For $|\not{p}_0| \leq B$ and any given $B > 0$, this follows from the fact that by (19.141), (19.142):

$$\not{G}_t^A(\not{p}_0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\right)(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B(t-t_0)^2 + O((t-t_0)^3) \tag{19.146}$$

provided that t is suitably close to t_0 depending on B (This guarantees the first term on the right of (19.146) is the main part of $\not{G}_t^A(\not{p}_0)$). To handle the case that $|\not{p}_0| > B$ (Now, B is fixed), we choose a different renormalization of the momentum covector at q_0 for the class of *outgoing* geodesics ending at q_0 than that given by (19.70). We now choose the scale factor a so that :

$$|\not{p}_0| = 1 \tag{19.147}$$

Then $p_u = -1/|\not{p}_0|$. This corresponds to the diffeomorphism:

$$f : \not{p}_0 \mapsto \left(-\frac{1}{|\not{p}_0|}, \frac{\not{p}_0}{|\not{p}_0|}\right) \tag{19.148}$$

By calculating similarly as (19.73), (19.76) and (19.140), we can show that the mapping $G_t \circ f^{-1}$ is one-to-one on $(0,-1/B)\times S^1$. Actually, what we have done here is just putting the "radial variable" outside the Taylor expansion, so we can proceed as the case $|\not{p}_0| < B$. Still, we have to show that the image of $(0,-1/B)\times S^1$ by $G_t \circ f^{-1}$ does not intesect the image of the disk of radius B by G_t. In fact, although we have made a coordinate transformation, but the actual Taylor expansion is the same, so the conclusion mentioned above is obvious (We just made a change *only* on τ essentially).

In the case of the *other* null geodesics, $(F^t)^{-1}$ is not differentiable at $t = t_0$, so we first investigate the mapping F. We choose a basis ω^1, ω^2 for $T_{\vartheta_0}S^2$ which is orthogonormal relative to $\not{g}^{-1}(q_0)$ and express $\not{p}_0$, which belongs to the unit circle in $T_{\vartheta_0}S^2$ in the form:

$$\not{p}_0 = \omega^1\cos\varphi + \omega^2\sin\varphi \tag{19.149}$$

In the case that $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, we have, from (19.88), (19.91), (19.94):

$$F^t(0;\varphi) = t_0, \quad \left(\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial\tau}\right)(0;\varphi) = 0, \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2 F^t}{\partial\tau^2}\right)(0,\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}\right)(q_0) \tag{19.150}$$

and:

$$\begin{gathered}\not{F}^A(0;\varphi) = \vartheta_0^A, \quad (\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau})(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial^2 \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau^2})(0;\varphi) = 0 \\ (\frac{\partial^3 \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau^3})(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B(\varphi); \\ (\not{p}_0)_A = (\omega^1)_A \cos\varphi + (\omega^2)_A \sin\varphi\end{gathered} \tag{19.151}$$

It follows that in the case that $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ we have:

$$\begin{gathered}F^t(\tau;\varphi) = t_0 + \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)\tau^2 + O(\tau^3) \\ \not{F}^A(\tau;\varphi) = \vartheta_0^A + \frac{1}{6}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B(\varphi)\tau^3 + O(\tau^4)\end{gathered} \tag{19.152}$$

hence, since $\not{G}_t^A(\varphi) = \not{F}^A((F^t)^{-1}(t;\varphi);\varphi)$,

$$\not{G}_t^A(\varphi) = \vartheta_0^A - \frac{4}{3}\frac{(\partial\mu/\partial t)(q_0)}{\sqrt{-(\partial\mu/\partial u)(q_0)}}(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B(\varphi)(t_0 - t)^{3/2} + O((t_0 - t)^2) \tag{19.153}$$

(We just use the first of (19.152) to express τ in terms of $t - t_0$.)

Moreover, (19.150) implies:

$$(\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \varphi})(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \varphi}))(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2}(\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \varphi}))(0;\varphi) = 0 \tag{19.154}$$

hence:

$$(\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \varphi})(\tau;\varphi) = O(\tau^3) \tag{19.155}$$

Also, (19.151) implies:

$$\begin{gathered}(\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \varphi})(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \varphi}))(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2}(\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \varphi}))(0;\varphi) = 0 \\ (\frac{\partial^3}{\partial \tau^3}(\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \varphi}))(0;\varphi) = (\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(-(\omega^1)_B \sin\varphi + (\omega^2)_B \cos\varphi)\end{gathered} \tag{19.156}$$

hence:

$$(\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \varphi})(\tau;\varphi) = \frac{1}{6}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(-(\omega^1)_B \sin\varphi + (\omega^2)_B \cos\varphi)\tau^3 + O(\tau^4) \tag{19.157}$$

Differentiating the equation $F^t((F^t)^{-1}(t;\varphi);\varphi) = t$ implicitly with respect to φ yields:

$$\frac{\partial (F^t)^{-1}}{\partial \varphi} = -\frac{\partial F^t/\partial \varphi}{\partial F^t/\partial \tau} \tag{19.158}$$

Now (19.150) implies:

$$(\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \tau})(\tau;\varphi) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)\tau + O(\tau^2) \tag{19.159}$$

Substituting (19.155) and (19.159) in (19.158) yields:

$$\frac{\partial (F^t)^{-1}}{\partial \varphi} = O(\tau^2) \tag{19.160}$$

Differentiating $\not{G}^A(t;\varphi) = \not{F}^A((F^t)^{-1}(t;\varphi);\varphi)$ with respect to φ we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^A}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial (F^t)^{-1}}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \varphi} \tag{19.161}$$

Now (19.151) implies:

$$\frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0)(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{\varphi}_0)_B(\varphi)\tau^2 + O(\tau^3) \tag{19.162}$$

In view of (19.160) and (19.162) the first term on the right in (19.161) is $O(\tau^4)$, while the second term is given by (19.157). We conclude that:

$$\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^A}{\partial \varphi} = a(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(-(\omega^1)_B \sin\varphi + (\omega^2)_B \cos\varphi)\tau^3 + O(\tau^4) \tag{19.163}$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{6}(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial u})(q_0) \tag{19.164}$$

which gives:

$$\begin{aligned} &\not{g}_{AB}(q_0)\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^A}{\partial \varphi}\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^B}{\partial \varphi} \\ &= a^2(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(-(\omega^1)_A \sin\varphi + (\omega^2)_A \cos\varphi)(-(\omega^1)_B \sin\varphi + (\omega^2)_B \cos\varphi)\tau^6 + O(\tau^7) \\ &= a^2\tau^6 + O(\tau^7) \end{aligned} \tag{19.165}$$

The above hold in the case that $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. In the case $q_0 \in \partial_-\mathcal{H}$ similar calculations using (19.88), (19.95), (19.99), (19.104), (19.105), (19.108), give:

$$\not{G}_t^A(\varphi) = \vartheta_0^A - \frac{8}{5}\frac{(-(\partial\mu/\partial t)(q_0))^{3/4}}{((1/6)(\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2)(q_0))^{1/4}}(t_0 - t)^{5/4} + O((t_0 - t)^{3/2}) \tag{19.166}$$

$$\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^A}{\partial \varphi} = b(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(-(\omega^1)_B \sin\varphi + (\omega^2)_B \cos\varphi)\tau^5 + O(\tau^6) \tag{19.167}$$

where:

$$b = \frac{1}{120}((\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t})^2\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial u^2})(q_0) \tag{19.168}$$

and:

$$\not{g}_{AB}(q_0)\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^A}{\partial \varphi}\frac{\partial \not{G}_t^B}{\partial \varphi} = b^2\tau^{10} + O(\tau^{11}) \tag{19.169}$$

The formulas (19.165) and (19.169) imply in the case of the other null geodesics ending at q_0 that the mapping (19.135) is of maximal rank at each $\not{\varphi}_0 \in S^1$ for t suitably close to t_0. By the implicit function theorem we conclude that in the case of the other null geodesics the image of S^1 by the mapping (19.135) is, for t suitably close to t_0, an immersed circle in the hypersurface $\{t\}\times(-\infty,\epsilon_0)\times S^2 \subset \mathcal{M}^e_{\epsilon_0}$, which corresponds to the hyperplane Σ_t in Galileo spacetime. Moreover, we deduce from (19.153) and (19.166) that the mapping (19.135) is one-to-one for t suitably close to t_0. It then follows that the image of S^1 by the mapping (19.135) is actually an embedded circle. This circle is the boundary of the embedded disk corresponding to the outgoing null geodesics.

Similar arguments show that in the case of the *incoming* null geodesics the image of $\mathbb{R}^2$ by the mapping (19.135) is an embedded disk for t suitably close to t_0. The boundary of this disk is the circle corresponding to the *other* null geodesics. We have thus proved the following theorem.

Theorem 19.1 Let $q_0 = (t_0, u_0, \vartheta_0)$ be a point beloging to $\mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, the singular boundary of the domain of the maximal solution. Then the backward past null cone of q_0 in $T^*_{q_0}\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$ degenerates to the three pieces:

(i) The negative half-hyperplane: $p_t = 0$, $p_u < 0$.

(ii) The negative half hyperplane: $p_u = 0$, $p_t < 0$.

(iii) The plane $p_t = p_u = 0$.

There is a corresponding trichotomy of the past null geodesic cone of q_0 into three classes of null geodesics ending at q_0. The *outgoing* null geodesics, the *incoming* null geodesics, and the *other* null geodesics, corresponding to (i), (ii), (iii), respectively. Let all these null geodesics be parametrized by t. Then, in Galileo spacetime, the following hold:

The tangent vectors to all *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0 tend as we approach q_0 to the tangent vector $L(q_0)$ of the generator of C_{u_0} through q_0. The vector $L(q_0)$ is thus an invariant null vector associated to the singular point q_0.

The tangent vector to an *incoming* null geodesic ending at q_0 with angular momentum $\not{p}_0$ at q_0, tends as $t \longrightarrow t_0$ to the following limit:

$$L^i(q_0) + \frac{\alpha^{-1}(q_0)\hat{T}^i(q_0)}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)} + \frac{(\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)X^i_A(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B}{(1/2)(\alpha^{-2}(q_0) + |\not{p}_0|^2)}$$

which, for $\not{p}_0 = 0$ is equal to $\alpha^2(q_0)\hat{\underline{L}}(q_0)$, while as $\not{p}_0 \longrightarrow \infty$ tends to $L(q_0)$.

The tangent vector to all the *other* null geodesics ending at q_0 likewise tend as $t \longrightarrow t_0$ to $L(q_0)$.

Consider for each of the pieces (i), (ii), (iii), above, and for each $t \in [0, t_0]$, the mapping:

$$\not{p}_0 \mapsto G_t(\not{p}_0)$$

where $G_t(\not{p}_0)$ is the point at parameter value t along the null geodesic ending at parameter value t_0 at the point q_0 with momentum covector $\not{p}_0$. Then for $t \neq t_0$ and suitably close to t_0 the following hold:

The image of (i), which corresponds to the points of intersection of the outgoing null geodesics ending at q_0 with the hyperplane Σ_t, is an embedded disk.

The image of (ii), which corresponds to the points of intersection of the incoming null geodesics ending at q_0 with the hyperplane Σ_t, is also an embedded disk.

The image of (iii), which corresponds to the points of intersection of the other null geodesics ending at q_0 with the hyperplane Σ_t, is an embedded circle, the common boundary of the two disks.

19.3 Transformation of Coordinates

Consider now again the mapping (19.129) for the class of *outgoing* null geodesics ending at $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$. We now wish to make the dependence on the point $q_0 \in \mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ explicit, so we denote $F(\tau; \not{p}_0)$ by $F(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0)$. What we wish to investigate presently is the change from one acoustical function u to another u' (the initial data for eikonal equation can be different), such that the null geodesic generators of the level sets $C'_{u'}$ of u', the characteristic hypersurfaces corresponding to u', which have future end points on the singular boundary of the domain of the maximal solution, belong to the class of *outgoing* null geodesics ending at these points. We can view this change as generated by a coordinate transformation:

$$u_0 = u_0(u'_0, \vartheta'_0), \quad \vartheta_0 = \vartheta_0(\vartheta'_0) \tag{19.170}$$

on $\mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$, preserving the invariant curves. We also assume:

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial u'_0} > 0, \quad \det\{\frac{\partial \vartheta_0}{\partial \vartheta'_0}\} > 0 \tag{19.171}$$

If the coordinates of a point $q_0 \in \mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$ in the unprimed system are: (t_0, u_0, ϑ_0), $t_0 = t_*(u_0, \vartheta_0)$, then the coordinates of the same point in the primed system are: $(t'_0, u'_0, \vartheta'_0)$, $t'_0 = t'_*(u'_0, \vartheta'_0)$, where:

$$t'_*(u'_0, \vartheta'_0) = t_*(u_0, \vartheta_0) \tag{19.172}$$

hence $t'_0 = t_0$.

We shall determine $\not{p}_0$ as a function of (u'_0, ϑ'_0), such that the hypersurfaces, given in parametric form by:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= M^t(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) = F^t(\tau; (u'_0, \vartheta'_0); \not{p}_0(u'_0, \vartheta'_0)) \\ u &= M^u(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) = F^u(\tau; (u'_0, \vartheta'_0); \not{p}_0(u'_0, \vartheta'_0)) \\ \vartheta &= \not{M}(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) = \not{F}(\tau; (u'_0, \vartheta'_0); \not{p}_0(u'_0, \vartheta'_0)) \end{aligned} \tag{19.173}$$

for constant values of u'_0, are null hypersurfaces with respect to the acoustical metric, namely the level sets $C'_{u'_0}$ of the new acoustical function u'.

In (19.173), $(t'_*(u'_0, \vartheta'_0), u'_0, \vartheta'_0)$ are the coordinates of a point $q_0 \in \mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$ in the primed system, hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F^t(0; (u'_0, \vartheta'_0); \not{p}_0) &= t_*(u_0, \vartheta_0) \\ F^u(0; (u'_0, \vartheta'_0); \not{p}_0) &= u_0 \\ \not{F}(0; (u'_0, \vartheta'_0); \not{p}_0) &= \vartheta_0 \end{aligned} \tag{19.174}$$

The hypersurfaces in question are generated by the outgoing null geodesics corresponding to constant values of (u'_0, ϑ'_0), and the hypersurfaces are null hypersurfaces if and only if these null geodesics are orthogonal, with respect to the acoustical metric, to the sections S'_{τ, u'_0} corresponding to conatant values of τ. Let then X'_A be the tangent vectorfield to the ϑ'^A_0 coordinate lines on these sections and, as before, $\tilde{L}'$ be the tangent vectorfield to the null geodesics, as parametrized by τ. Then the orthogonality condition reads:

$$g(\tilde{L}', X'_A) = 0 \quad : \quad A = 1, 2 \tag{19.175}$$

and we have:

$$\tilde{L}' = \frac{dF^t}{d\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{dF^u}{d\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{d\not{F}^A}{d\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A} \tag{19.176}$$

and:

$$X'_A = X'^t_A \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + X'^u_A \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + X'^B_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^B} \tag{19.177}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} X'^t_A &= \frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} + \frac{\partial F^t}{\partial (\not{p}_0)_B} \frac{\partial (\not{p}_0)_B}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} \\ X'^u_A &= \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} + \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial (\not{p}_0)_B} \frac{\partial (\not{p}_0)_B}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} \\ X'^C_A &= \frac{\partial \not{F}^C}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} + \frac{\partial \not{F}^C}{\partial (\not{p}_0)_B} \frac{\partial (\not{p}_0)_B}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} \end{aligned} \tag{19.178}$$

In fact, (19.173) defines a change of coordinates in the spacetime domain covered by the hypersurfaces in question, from (t, u, ϑ) coordinates to $(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0)$ coordinates, and in the new coordinates we have, simply:

$$\tilde{L}' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \quad X'_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} \tag{19.179}$$

It follows that:

$$[\tilde{L}', X'_A] = 0 \tag{19.180}$$

Now by (19.60) the integral curves of the vectorfield $\mu^{-1}\tilde{L}'$ are affinely parametrized null geodesics, hence:

$$D_{\tilde{L}'}\tilde{L}' = \mu^{-1}(\tilde{L}'\mu)\tilde{L}', \quad g(\tilde{L}', \tilde{L}') = 0 \tag{19.181}$$

Let us then define:

$$\iota_A = g(\tilde{L}', X'_A) \tag{19.182}$$

What we shall do is to prove $\iota_A = 0$.

We have, along the integral curves of $\tilde{L}'$:

$$\frac{d\iota_A}{d\tau} = \tilde{L}'(g(\tilde{L}', X'_A)) = g(D_{\tilde{L}'}\tilde{L}', X'_A) + g(\tilde{L}', D_{\tilde{L}'}X'_A)$$

and from (19.180): $D_{\tilde{L}'}X'_A = D_{X'_A}\tilde{L}'$, hence:

$$g(\tilde{L}', D_{\tilde{L}'}X'_A) = \frac{1}{2}X'_A(g(\tilde{L}', \tilde{L}')) = 0$$

while by (19.181):

$$g(D_{\tilde{L}'}\tilde{L}', X'_A) = \mu^{-1}\frac{d\mu}{d\tau}\iota_A$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(\mu^{-1}\iota_A) = 0 \tag{19.183}$$

In view of (19.15) and (19.176),

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_A = &-\mu\frac{dF^t}{d\tau}X'^u_A - \mu\frac{dF^u}{d\tau}X'^t_A + \alpha^{-2}\mu^2\frac{dF^u}{d\tau}X'^u_A \\ &+\not{g}_{BC}\left(\frac{d\not{F}^B}{d\tau} + \mu\hat{\Xi}^B\frac{dF^u}{d\tau}\right)(X'^C_A + \mu\hat{\Xi}^C X'^u_A)\end{aligned} \tag{19.184}$$

At $\tau = 0$ this reduces to:

$$(\iota_A)_{\tau=0} = (\not{g}_{BC}\frac{d\not{F}^B}{d\tau}X'^C_A)_{\tau=0} = 0 \tag{19.185}$$

by (19.73). Moreover, we have:

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0}(\mu^{-1}\iota_A) = -(\frac{dF^t}{d\tau}X'^u_A + \frac{dF^u}{d\tau}X'^t_A)_{\tau=0} + (\not{g}_{BC}X'^C_A)_{\tau=0}\lim_{\tau\to 0}(\mu^{-1}\frac{d\not{F}^B}{d\tau}) \tag{19.186}$$

Now by (19.73):

$$(\frac{dF^t}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = 1, \quad (\frac{dF^u}{d\tau})_{\tau=0} = 0 \tag{19.187}$$

and by (19.75), (19.77):

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0}(\mu^{-1}\frac{d\not{F}^A}{d\tau}) = (\not{g}^{-1})^{AB}(q_0)(\not{p}_0)_B \tag{19.188}$$

while by (19.174) and (19.178):

$$(X'^u_A)_{\tau=0} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0}, \quad (X'^B_A)_{\tau=0} = \frac{\partial \vartheta^B_0}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} \tag{19.189}$$

Note that we view F^u and $\not{F}$ as the functions of (u'_0, ϑ'_0).

Substituting in (19.186) we obtain:

$$\lim_{\tau \to 0}(\mu^{-1}\iota_A) = -\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} + (\not{p}_0)_B \frac{\partial \vartheta^B_0}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} \tag{19.190}$$

Therefore the condition $\lim_{\tau \to 0}(\mu^{-1}\iota_A) = 0$ is equivalent to the following definition of $\not{p}_0$ as a function of (u'_0, ϑ'_0):

$$(\not{p}_0)_A = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \vartheta'^B_0}\frac{\partial \vartheta'^B_0}{\partial \vartheta^A_0} \tag{19.191}$$

Once $\not{p}_0$ is defined according to (19.191), equation (19.183) implies that $\iota_A = 0$ for all τ, that is, the orthogonality condition (19.175) is everywhere satisfied and the hypersurfaces of constant u'_0, given by (19.173) are outgoing characteristic hypersurfaces.

In the following we denote (u'_0, ϑ'_0) simply by (u', ϑ'). At fixed q_0, $\not{p}_0$ we invert the mapping (19.130), to obtain the mapping (19.133). Let us denote:

$$f(t', u', \vartheta') = (F^t)^{-1}(t'; (u', \vartheta'); \not{p}_0(u', \vartheta')) \tag{19.192}$$

We then substitute in (19.173) to obtain the following transformation from the original acoustical coordinates (t, u, ϑ) to the new acoustical coordinates (t', u', ϑ'):

$$\begin{aligned} t &= t' \\ u = N^u(t', u', \vartheta') &= F^u(f(t', u', \vartheta'); (u', \vartheta'); \not{p}_0(u', \vartheta')) \\ \vartheta = \not{N}(t', u', \vartheta') &= \not{F}(f(t', u', \vartheta'); (u', \vartheta'); \not{p}_0(u', \vartheta')) \end{aligned} \tag{19.193}$$

The acoustical metric has in the new acoustical coordinates a form similar to (19.15):

$$g = -2\mu' dt' du' + \alpha'^{-2}\mu'^2 du'^2 + \not{g}'_{AB}(d\vartheta'^A + \Xi'^A du')(d\vartheta'^B + \Xi'^B du') \tag{19.194}$$

where we have the new coefficients α', μ', Ξ'^A, and $\not{g}'_{AB}$. We have, in arbitrary local coordinates:

$$\alpha'^{-2} = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu t' \partial_\nu t' = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu t \partial_\nu t = \alpha^{-2} \tag{19.195}$$

so the functions α and α' coincide. The function μ' is given by (see Chapter 2):

$$L' = \mu' \hat{L}', \quad \hat{L}'^\mu = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu u' \tag{19.196}$$

and we have (in arbitrary local coordinates):

$$\frac{1}{\mu'} = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu t' \partial_\nu u' = -(g^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu t \partial_\nu u' \tag{19.197}$$

Here L', related to $\tilde{L}'$ by (19.112), has the same integral curves as $\tilde{L}'$, but parametrized by t instead of τ, while the integral curves of $\hat{L}'$ are also the same but affinely parametrized. As we have shown above, the integral curves of the vectorfield $\mu^{-1}\tilde{L}'$ are likewise the same and affinely parametrized. Now two different affine parameters s and s' along the same geodesic, giving the same orientation, satisfy $s' = as + b$ where a and b are constants along the geodesic, $a > 0$. It follows that there is a function $a(u', \vartheta') > 0$ such that:

$$\mu^{-1}\tilde{L}' = a\hat{L}' \tag{19.198}$$

On the other hand, from (19.37) and the second of (19.196) we obtain, working in the original acoustical coordinates:

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0}(\mu\hat{L}') = (\frac{\partial u'}{\partial u})_{\tau=0}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t})_{\tau=0}\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{19.199}$$

while by the first of (19.73),

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0}\tilde{L}' = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{19.200}$$

Now, from (19.193) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial t}{\partial t'} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial t}{\partial u'} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial t}{\partial \vartheta'^A} = 0& \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t'} = \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial t'}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial u'} + \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial u'}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vartheta'^A} = \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta'^A} + \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \vartheta'^A}& \\
\frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial t'} = \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial t'}, \frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial u'} + \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial u'}, \frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial \vartheta'^B} = \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta'^B} + \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \vartheta'^B}&
\end{aligned} \tag{19.201}$$

In the above, we are considering F^t, F^u and $\not{F}$ as functions of τ, u', ϑ', according to:

$$\begin{aligned}
F^t(\tau, u', \vartheta') &= F^t(\tau; (u', \vartheta'); \not{p}_0(u', \vartheta')) \\
F^u(\tau, u', \vartheta') &= F^u(\tau; (u', \vartheta'); \not{p}_0(u', \vartheta')) \\
\not{F}(\tau, u', \vartheta') &= \not{F}(\tau; (u', \vartheta'); \not{p}_0(u', \vartheta'))
\end{aligned} \tag{19.202}$$

At $\tau = 0$, which corresponds to $t' = t'_*(u', \vartheta')$, so that:

$$f(t'_*(u', \vartheta'), u', \vartheta') = 0 \tag{19.203}$$

we have, from (19.73):

$$\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial \tau} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \tau} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \tau} = 0 \tag{19.204}$$

hence the second and the third of (19.201) reduce at $\tau = 0$ to:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t'} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial u'}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \vartheta'^A} = \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \vartheta'^A} \\
\frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial t'} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial u'}, \quad \frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial \vartheta'^B} = \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \vartheta'^B}
\end{aligned} \tag{19.205}$$

Now at $\tau = 0$ we have, according to (19.170) and (19.174):

$$\begin{aligned}
F^t(0, u', \vartheta') = t'_*(u', \vartheta') &= t_*(u_0(u', \vartheta'), \vartheta_0(\vartheta')) \\
F^u(0, u', \vartheta') &= u_0(u', \vartheta') \\
\not{F}(0, u', \vartheta') &= \vartheta_0(\vartheta')
\end{aligned} \tag{19.206}$$

Hence, at $\tau = 0$:

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial u'} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial u'}, \quad \frac{\partial F^u}{\partial \vartheta'^A} = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \vartheta'^A} \\ \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial u'} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \not{F}^A}{\partial \vartheta'^B} = \frac{\partial \vartheta_0^A}{\partial \vartheta'^B}\end{gathered} \tag{19.207}$$

Substituting in (19.205) we conclude that the Jacobian matrix of the transformation (19.193) is at $\tau = 0$ given by:

$$\frac{\partial(t, u, \vartheta)}{\partial(t', u', \vartheta')} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial u_0/\partial u' & \partial u_0/\partial \vartheta'^1 & \partial u_0/\partial \vartheta'^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial \vartheta_0^1/\partial \vartheta'^1 & \partial \vartheta_0^1/\partial \vartheta'^2 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial \vartheta_0^2/\partial \vartheta'^1 & \partial \vartheta_0^2/\partial \vartheta'^2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{19.208}$$

Computing the reciprocal matrix we then find:

$$(\frac{\partial u'}{\partial u})_{\tau=0} = \frac{1}{\partial u_0/\partial u'}, \quad (\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t})_{\tau=0} = 0 \tag{19.209}$$

Substituting in (19.199) and comparing with (19.198) and (19.200) we conclude that:

$$a(u', \vartheta') = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial u'} > 0 \tag{19.210}$$

by (19.171). And from (19.37), (19.112) and (19.198)

$$\frac{\mu'}{\mu} = \frac{a}{dF^t/d\tau} \tag{19.211}$$

Then we know that μ'/μ is bounded from above and below by positive constants. Also, since $\alpha' = \alpha$ we have:

$$\frac{\kappa'}{\kappa} = \frac{\mu'}{\mu} \tag{19.212}$$

Next we consider the new coefficients Ξ'^A and $\not{g}'_{AB}$. We have, in view of (19.194),

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi'_A = \not{g}'_{AB}\Xi'^B = g'_{Au'} = g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial u'} \\ = \mu^2(\alpha^{-2} + |\hat{\Xi}|^2)\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial u}{\partial u'} + \mu\hat{\Xi}_B(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial \vartheta^B}{\partial u'} + \frac{\partial \vartheta^B}{\partial \vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial u}{\partial u'}) + \not{g}_{BC}\frac{\partial \vartheta^B}{\partial \vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial \vartheta^C}{\partial u'} \end{aligned} \tag{19.213}$$

Here,

$$|\hat{\Xi}|^2 = \not{g}_{AB}\hat{\Xi}^A\hat{\Xi}^B, \quad \hat{\Xi}_A = \not{g}_{AB}\hat{\Xi}^B$$

From (19.208) we have:

$$(\frac{\partial \vartheta^A}{\partial u'})_{\tau=0} = 0$$

In view of (19.213) this implies that Ξ' vanishes at $\tau = 0$, that is, on the singular boundary $\mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_-\mathcal{H}$, a reflection of the fact that the new acoustical coordinates (t', u', ϑ') are also canonical. Since, by (19.211) and similar as (19.35), $\partial\mu'/\partial t' < 0$ at $\tau = 0$ it follows that

$$\hat{\Xi}' = \mu'^{-1}\Xi' \tag{19.214}$$

is regular at $\tau = 0$. (a does not depend on t'.)

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\not{g}'_{AB} &= g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial\vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial\vartheta'^B} \qquad (19.215)\\
&= \mu^2(\alpha^{-2}+|\hat{\Xi}|^2)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vartheta'^B} + \mu\hat{\Xi}_C\left(\frac{\partial\vartheta^C}{\partial\vartheta'^B}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vartheta'^A} + \frac{\partial\vartheta^C}{\partial\vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial u}{\partial\vartheta'^B}\right) + \not{g}_{CD}\frac{\partial\vartheta^C}{\partial\vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial\vartheta^D}{\partial\vartheta'^B}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let:

$$
Y' = Y'^A\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta'^A}, \quad \not{g}'(Y',Y') = \not{g}'_{AB}Y'^AY'^B \tag{19.216}
$$

Let also:

$$
\tilde{\not{g}}_{AB} = \not{g}_{CD}\frac{\partial\vartheta^C}{\partial\vartheta'^A}\frac{\partial\vartheta^D}{\partial\vartheta'^B}, \quad \tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y') = \tilde{\not{g}}_{AB}Y'^AY'^B \tag{19.217}
$$

We then deduce from (19.215) the following lower bound for $\not{g}'$ in terms of $\not{g}$ For any vector Y' as in (19.216) it holds that

$$
\not{g}'(Y',Y') \geq \frac{\tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y')}{1+\alpha^2\not{g}(\hat{\Xi},\hat{\Xi})} \tag{19.218}
$$

In fact, by (19.215),

$$
\not{g}'(Y',Y') = \mu^2(\alpha^{-2}+|\hat{\Xi}|^2)(Y'u)^2 + 2\mu(\hat{\Xi}'\cdot Y')(Y'u) + \tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y')
$$

where

$$
\hat{\Xi}'_B = \hat{\Xi}_C\frac{\partial\vartheta^C}{\partial\vartheta'_B}
$$

then by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$
|\hat{\Xi}'\cdot Y'| \leq \sqrt{\tilde{\not{g}}^{-1}(\hat{\Xi}',\hat{\Xi}')}\sqrt{\tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y')}
$$

Since

$$
\tilde{\not{g}}^{-1}(\hat{\Xi}',\hat{\Xi}') = \not{g}^{-1}(\hat{\Xi},\hat{\Xi}) = |\hat{\Xi}|^2
$$

we obtain:

$$
|\hat{\Xi}'\cdot Y'| \leq |\hat{\Xi}|\sqrt{\tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y')}
$$

Setting:

$$
a = \mu^2(\alpha^{-2}+|\hat{\Xi}|^2), \quad b = \mu|\hat{\Xi}|, \quad x = |Y'u|, \quad y = \sqrt{\tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y')}
$$

then

$$
\not{g}'(Y',Y') \geq ax^2 - 2bxy + y^2
$$

Setting:

$$
\rho = \frac{x}{y}
$$

we have:

$$\frac{\not{g}'(Y',Y')}{\tilde{\not{g}}(Y',Y')} \geq a\rho^2 - 2b\rho + 1 \geq 1 - \frac{b^2}{a} = \frac{\mu^2\alpha^{-2}}{\mu^2(\alpha^{-2} + |\hat{\Xi}|^2)}$$

Reversing the roles of the coordinates (t, u, ϑ) and (t', u', ϑ'), we obtain in a similar manner a lower bound for $\not{g}$ in terms of $\not{g}'$. Let:

$$Y = Y^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}, \quad \not{g}(Y,Y) = \not{g}_{AB} Y^A Y^B \tag{19.219}$$

Let also:

$$\tilde{\not{g}}'_{AB} = \not{g}'_{CD} \frac{\partial \vartheta'^C}{\partial \vartheta^A} \frac{\partial \vartheta'^D}{\partial \vartheta^B}, \quad \tilde{\not{g}}'(Y,Y) = \tilde{\not{g}}'_{AB} Y^A Y^B \tag{19.220}$$

Then for any Y as in (19.219) it holds that

$$\not{g}(Y,Y) \geq \frac{\tilde{\not{g}}'(Y,Y)}{1 + \alpha^2 \not{g}'(\hat{\Xi}', \hat{\Xi}')} \tag{19.221}$$

We summarize the above results in the following proposition.

Proposition 19.2 Let u' be any other acoustical function such that the null geodesic generators of the level surfaces $C'_{u'}$ of u' which have future end points on the singular boundary of the domain of the maximal solution belong to the class of *outgoing* null geodesics ending at this points. The change from the original acoustical function u to the new acoustical function u' can be viewed as generated by a coordinate transformation

$$u_0 = u_0(u'_0, \vartheta'_0), \quad \vartheta_0 = \vartheta_0(\vartheta'_0) \tag{19.222}$$

on $\mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$ preserving the invariant curves, so that both systems of acoustical coordinates are canonical. We require that the transformation $\vartheta_0 \mapsto \vartheta'_0$ be orientation preserving and that on each invariant curve the transformation $u_0 \mapsto u'_0$ be also orientation preserving. The characteristic hypersurfaces $C'_{u'_0}$ are given in parametric form in terms of the original acoustical coordinates (t, u, ϑ) by:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= F^t(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) \\ u &= F^u(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) \\ \vartheta &= \not{F}(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} F^t(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) &= F^t(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0(q_0)) \\ F^u(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) &= F^u(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0(q_0)) \\ \not{F}(\tau, u'_0, \vartheta'_0) &= \not{F}(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0(q_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Here q_0 is the point on $\mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$ with coordinates (t_0, u_0, ϑ_0) in the original system and $(t'_0, u'_0, \vartheta'_0)$ in the new system, where

$$t_0 = t_*(u_0, \vartheta_0) = t'_*(u'_0, \vartheta'_0) = t'_0$$

Also,

$$\not{p}_0(q_0) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \vartheta'^A_0} d\vartheta'^A_0$$

is the angular momentum at q_0. In the above

$$\tau \mapsto (F^t(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0), F^u(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0), \not{F}(\tau; q_0; \not{p}_0))$$

is the *outgoing* null geodesic, parametrized by τ, ending at $\tau = 0$ at $q_0 \in \mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$ with angular momentum $\not{p}_0$. Denoting by $\tau = f(t', u', \vartheta')$ the solution of

$$F^t(\tau, u', \vartheta') = t'$$

for fixed (u', ϑ'), the transformation between the original system of acoustical coordinates (t, u, ϑ) and the new system of acoustical coordinates (t', u', ϑ') is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= t' \\ u &= N^u(t', u', \vartheta') \\ \vartheta &= \not{N}(t', u', \vartheta') \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} N^u(t', u', \vartheta') &= F^u(f(t', u', \vartheta'), u', \vartheta') \\ \not{N}(t', u', \vartheta') &= \not{F}(f(t', u', \vartheta'), u', \vartheta') \end{aligned}$$

The acoustical metric has the same form in the new acoustical coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= -2\mu dt du + \alpha^{-2}\mu^2 du^2 + \not{g}_{AB}(d\vartheta^A + \mu\hat{\Xi}^A du)(d\vartheta^B + \mu\hat{\Xi}^B du) \\ g &= -2\mu' dt' du' + \alpha'^{-2}\mu'^2 du'^2 + \not{g}'_{AB}(d\vartheta'^A + \mu\hat{\Xi}'^{A} du')(d\vartheta'^B + \mu\hat{\Xi}'^{B} du') \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha'$. The ratio μ'/μ is bounded above and below by positive constants, while the metric $\not{g}'$ and $\not{g}$ are uniformly equivalent in a neighborhood of the singular boundary.

We now revisit the mapping (19.135) for a fixed point $q_0 \in \mathcal{H} \bigcup \partial_- \mathcal{H}$ and for the class of *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0. Setting $t = 0$ we have the mapping of $\mathbb{R}^2$ into Σ_0 by:

$$\begin{aligned} \not{p}_0 &\mapsto (0, u, \vartheta) = G_0(\not{p}_0) \\ G_0(\not{p}_0) = G(0, \not{p}_0) &= (0, F^u(f(\not{p}_0); \not{p}_0), \not{F}(f(\not{p}_0); \not{p}_0)) \\ \text{where} \quad \tau = f(\not{p}_0) &\quad \text{is the solution of} \quad F^t(\tau; \not{p}_0) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{19.223}$$

Consider then the following function on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$g(\not{p}_0) = u'(G_0(\not{p}_0)) \tag{19.224}$$

Consider now a maximum of the function $g(\not{p}_0)$ which is achieved at some point $\not{p}_{0,M} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Such a maximum point corresponds to a point $p_M \in \Sigma_0$, where:

$$p_M = (0, u_M, \vartheta_M), \quad u_M = G_0^u(\not{p}_{0,M}), \quad \vartheta_M = \not{G}_0(\not{p}_{0,M}) \tag{19.225}$$

and we have:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A} = 0 \quad : \text{at} \quad \not{p}_{0,M} \tag{19.226}$$

that is:

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial u}\frac{\partial G_0^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A} + \frac{\partial u'}{\partial \vartheta^B}\frac{\partial \not{G}_0^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A} = 0 \quad : \text{at} \quad \not{p}_{0,M} \tag{19.227}$$

Consider the level surface $S'_{0,u'}$ of u' on Σ_0 through the point p_M. We shall show that L' is g-orthogonal to $S_{0,u'}$ at p_M (At this point, one may feel strange that we have already proved that L' is orthogonal to S'_{τ,u'_0} (see (19.175)). But one should note that S'_{τ,u'_0} is different from S'_{t,u'_0}! We can see this from the first of (19.173)). So what we shall prove here is a little bit striking! This fact is equivalent to the following. Denoting by $C^-(q_0)$ the past null geodesic cone of the point q_0 on the singular boundary, and by $C^-_{out}(q_0)$ the part of $C^-(q_0)$ corresponding to the *outgoing* null geodesics ending at q_0, then the statement is that the surface $C^-_{out}(q_0)$ and $S'_{0,u'}$ have the same tangent plane at p_M:

$$T_{p_M}(C^-_{out}(q_0)\bigcap\Sigma_0)=T_{p_M}S'_{0,u'} \tag{19.228}$$

To show this, we shall produce a basis for the left-hand side and show that this is also a basis for the right-hand side.

Consider the vectorfields:

$$Y^A=\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\frac{\partial \not{F}^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^B}\quad:\quad A=1,2 \tag{19.229}$$

These are tangential to the sections of $C^-_{out}(q_0)$ which correspond to constant values of τ (recalling the mapping F, we view τ and $\not{p}_0$ are independent coordinates), hence are g-orthogonal to $\tilde{L}'$. For each point $p\in C^-_{out}(q_0)$, $(Y^1(p),Y^2(p))$ is a basis for the tangent plane at p to the $\tau=const.$ section of $C^-_{out}(q_0)$ through p, provided that the vectors $Y^1(p)$, $Y^2(p)$ are linearly independent. We project the Y^A to the Σ_t as follows. We find suitable functions λ^A, $A=1,2$, such that the vectorfields:

$$Y'^A=Y^A-\lambda^A L' \tag{19.230}$$

are tangential to the Σ_t. The vectorfields Y'^A, being g-orthogonal to L' hence tangential to $C^-_{out}(q_0)$, shall then be tangential to the sections $C^-_{out}(q_0)\bigcap\Sigma_t$. As L' is given by:

$$L'=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial F^u/\partial\tau}{\partial F^t/\partial\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\frac{\partial\not{F}^A/\partial\tau}{\partial F^t/\partial\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^A} \tag{19.231}$$

we find:

$$\lambda^A=\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A} \tag{19.232}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial\tau}Y'^A=&\Big(\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial\tau}-\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial\tau}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\\&+\Big(\frac{\partial\not{F}^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial\tau}-\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial\not{F}^B}{\partial\tau}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^B}\end{aligned} \tag{19.233}$$

Then $(Y'^1(p_M),Y'^2(p_M))$ is a basis for $T_{p_M}(C^-_{out}(q_0)\bigcap\Sigma_0)$, provided that p_M is not conjugate to q_0. Now, we have, from (19.223):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial G^u_0}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}&=\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}+\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\\\frac{\partial\not{G}^B_0}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}&=\frac{\partial\not{F}^B}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}+\frac{\partial\not{F}^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\end{aligned} \tag{19.234}$$

Also, differentiating the equation $F^t(f(\not{p}_0);\not{p}_0)=0$ implicitly with respect to $\not{p}_0$ yields:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}=-\frac{\partial F^t/\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}{\partial F^t/\partial\tau} \tag{19.235}$$

Substituting in (19.234) we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial G_0^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}&=(-\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}+\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial F^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A})\frac{1}{\partial F^t/\partial\tau}\\ \frac{\partial \not{G}_0^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}&=(-\frac{\partial \not{F}^B}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}+\frac{\partial F^t}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial \not{F}^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A})\frac{1}{\partial F^t/\partial\tau}\end{aligned} \tag{19.236}$$

Comparing with (19.233) we see that:

$$Y'^A=\frac{\partial G_0^u}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\frac{\partial \not{G}_0^B}{\partial(\not{p}_0)_A}\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta^B} \tag{19.237}$$

hence (19.227) reads, simply:

$$Y'^A(p_M)u'=0 \quad : A=1,2 \tag{19.238}$$

We conclude that $(Y'^1(p_M),Y'^2(p_M))$ is a basis for $T_{p_M}S'_{0,u'}$, provided that the vectors $Y'^1(p_M)$, $Y'^2(p_M)$ are linearly independent. We have thus demonstrated (15.228) under the condition that p_M is not conjugate to q_0. However, (19.226) holds even in the case that p_M is conjugate to q_0, but in this case we must approach p_M, which corresponds to $\tau=f(\not{p}_{0,M})$ along the outgoing geodesic ending at q_0 with angular momentum $\not{p}_{0,M}$, by a sequence of non-conjugate points corresponding to $\tau=\tau_n\longrightarrow f(\not{p}_{0,M})$ along the same geodesic.

The equality (19.228) is equivalent to L' being g-orthogonal to $S'_{0,u'}$ at p_M. Thus, extending u' to an acoustical function so that its level sets are outgoing characteristic hypersurface $C'_{u'}$ with $C'_{u'}\bigcap\Sigma_0=S'_{0,u'}$, the generator of the outgoing characteristic hypersurface $C'_{u'}$ through p_M coincides with the *outgoing* null geodesic ending at q_0 with angular momentum $\not{p}_{0,M}$.

Let us now consider again our main problem from a more general point of view. Our problem is the initial value problem for the equations of motion of a perfect fluid, with initial data on the hyperplane Σ_0 which coincide with those of a constant state outside a compact subset $P_0\subset\Sigma_0$. We may take $S_{0,0}$ to be any sphere in Σ_0 containing P_0. The construction in Chapter 2 then gives an acoustical function u based on $S_{0,0}$. If the initial data is isentropic and irrotational, Theorem 17.1 can be applied after suitable translation and rescaling, giving a development of the initial data in a domain V_{ϵ_0} as in (19.7) corresponding to the annular region on Σ_0 bounded by $S_{0,0}$ and the concentric sphere of radius $1-\epsilon_0$ that of $S_{0,0}$. Then by the local uniqueness theorem the union of all the developments each of which corresponds to a sphere $S_{0,0}$ containing P_0 is also a development of the initial data, contained in the maximal development, the union of the domains V_{ϵ_0} being contained in the closure of the domain of the maximal solution. Consider now a point q_0 belonging to the singular boundary of the domain of the maximal solution such that $q_0\in V'_{\epsilon_0}$ where V'_{ϵ_0} is the domain associated to the acoustical function u', corresponding to the sphere $S'_{0,0}$. Thus $q_0\in\Sigma^{\epsilon}_{t'_{*\epsilon}}$ for some $\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon_0)$, where $t'_{*\epsilon}=\inf_{u'\in[0,\epsilon]}t'_*(u')$ and $t'_*(u')$ is the least upper bound of the extent of the generators of $C'_{u'}$ in the domain of maximal solution. Then for any given acoustical function u, corresponding to a given sphere $S_{0,0}$, the above argument (with the roles of u and u' reversed) shows that there is an outgoing characteristic hypersurface C_u associated to u and a generator of C_u reaching q_0, thus of extent $t'_{*\epsilon}$, contained in V'_{ϵ_0}, hence in the union of the domains V_{ϵ_0}. In view of the properties of the transformation from one acoustical function to another, expounded by Proposition 19.2, Theorem 17.1 covers the union of the domains V_{ϵ_0}.

However we can extend the domain covered by Theorem 17.1 still further in the following manner. We can replace the hyperplanes Σ_t, by the hyperplanes $\Sigma_{\alpha;t}$ where for any fixed $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2} < 1$$

and any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Sigma_{\alpha;t}$ is the hyperplane in Galileo spacetime given by:

$$x^0 = t + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i x^i$$

The hyperplane $\Sigma_{\alpha;0}$ is then space-like relative to the actual acoustical metric g provided that the departure of the data induced on $\Sigma_{\alpha;0}$ from those of the constant state is suitably small (just use the definition of the positive definite matrix). We then consider as above a sphere $S_{0,0}$ in Σ_0 such that the initial data on Σ_0 coincide with those of the constant state outside $S_{0,0}$, and proceed to construct the corresponding acoustical function u. The surface are then round spheres. The first variations are defined exactly as before, for, these are adapted to the rest frame of the constant state. Of the commutation fields T, Q, $R_i : i = 1,2,3$, which define the higher order variations, Q is defined exactly as before, T is now defined to be tangential to the hyperplanes $\Sigma_{\alpha;t}$, g-orthogonal to the surfaces $S_{\alpha;t,u} = C_u \bigcap \Sigma_{\alpha;t}$ and satisfying $Tu = 1$, while the $R_i : i = 1,2,3$ are defined to be the g-orthogonal projections to the surfaces $S_{\alpha;t,u}$, of the rotations associated to g_0 which leave $\Sigma_{\alpha;t}$ and $S_{\alpha;t,0}$ invariant. We note that, similarly, $\Sigma_{\alpha;t}$ remain space-like relative to the acoustical metric provided that the initial departure from the constant state is suitably small. We obtain in this way an extension of Theorem 17.1 and a domain $V_{\alpha;\epsilon_0}$ defined in a similar manner as the domain V_{ϵ_0}, but with the hyperplanes $\Sigma_{\alpha;t}$ in the role of the hyperplanes Σ_t. The domain covered by Theorem 17.1 is then maximally extended to be the union of all the domains $V_{\alpha;\epsilon_0}$, and the remarks of the previous paragragh apply to this maximal extension.

19.4 How $\mathcal{H}$ Looks Like in Rectangular Coordinates in Galileo Spacetime

We now consider a given component of $\mathcal{H}$ and its past boundary, the corresponding component of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 19.1 these are smooth 3-dimensional and 2-dimensional submanifolds of $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}$, respectively. We shall now investigate the image of the component of $\mathcal{H}$ as well as $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ in Galileo spacetime. For clarity we distinguish these images from the sets themselves and we denote by H and $\partial_- H$ the image in Galileo spacetime of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ respectively.

Now by Proposition 19.1 $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ is a space-like surface in $(\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon_0}, g)$ intersecting the invariant curves transversally. Thus there is a smooth function u_* defined on a connected domain $\mathcal{B} \subset S^2$, such that the component of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ is given in canonical acoustical coordinates by:

$$\{(t_*(u_*(\vartheta), \vartheta), u_*(\vartheta), \vartheta) \quad : \quad \vartheta \in \mathcal{B}\} \tag{19.239}$$

and the corresponding component of $\mathcal{H}$ is given by:

$$\{(t_*(u, \vartheta), u, \vartheta) \quad : \quad u_* > u > \underline{u}(\vartheta), \quad \vartheta \in \mathcal{B}\} \tag{19.240}$$

where a given invariant curve, parametrized by u, either extends to any $u > 0$, in which case $\underline{u}(\vartheta) = 0$, or ends at $u = \underline{u}(\vartheta)$, the point of intersection with the incoming characteristic hypersurface $\underline{C}$ generated by the incoming null normals to the next outward component of $\partial_-\mathcal{H}$.

Consider then the component of $\partial_- H$, which is the image of (19.239) in Galileo spacetime. This is the image in Galileo spacetime of the domain $\mathcal{B} \subset S^2$ under the mapping:

$$\vartheta \longmapsto x = q(\vartheta), \quad \text{where} \quad q^\mu(\vartheta) = x^\mu(t_*(u_*(\vartheta), \vartheta), u_*(\vartheta), \vartheta) \quad : \quad \mu = 0,1,2,3 \tag{19.241}$$

This mapping is one-to-one (just the transformation between rectangular coordinates and acoustical coordinates). We shall presently show that the mapping is of maximal rank. Consider the 2-dimensional matrix m with entries:

$$m_{AB} = g_{\mu\nu} X_A^\mu \frac{\partial q^\nu}{\partial \vartheta^B} \tag{19.242}$$

Now, we have (see (19.115)):

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial t} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t} = L^i \\ \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial \vartheta^A} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u} = \mu(\alpha^{-1}\hat{T}^i + \hat{\Xi}^A X_A^i), \quad \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \vartheta^A} = X_A^i \end{gathered} \tag{19.243}$$

and from (19.241):

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\partial q^0}{\partial \vartheta^B} = \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial \vartheta^B} + \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^B} \\ \frac{\partial q^j}{\partial \vartheta^B} = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial \vartheta^B} + \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^B}\right) + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u_*}{\partial \vartheta^B} + \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \vartheta^B} \end{gathered} \tag{19.244}$$

Now on $\mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H}$ we have $\mu = 0$, therefore, from (19.243):

$$\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u} = 0 \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{H}\bigcup\partial_-\mathcal{H} \tag{19.245}$$

Moreover by Proposition 19.1:

$$\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u} = 0 \quad : \quad \text{on} \quad \partial_-\mathcal{H} \tag{19.246}$$

Thus substituting in (19.244) from (19.245) and taking account of (19.245) and (19.246), we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial q^0}{\partial \vartheta^B} = \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^B}, \quad \frac{\partial q^j}{\partial \vartheta^B} = L^j \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^B} + X_B^j$$

or, simply:

$$\frac{\partial q^\nu}{\partial \vartheta^B} = L^\nu \frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^B} + X_B^\nu \tag{19.247}$$

Substituting this in (19.242) we obtain simply:

$$m_{AB} = g(X_A, X_B) = \not{g}_{AB} \tag{19.248}$$

Hence $\det m = \det \not{g} > 0$ and the mapping (19.241) is of maximal rank. It follows that this mapping is a diffeomorphism onto its image and its image, the component of $\partial_- H$, is a smooth embedded 2-dimensional submanifold in Galileo spacetime.

The component of H can similarly be shown to be a smooth embedded 3-dimensional submanifold in Galileo spacetime except along its past boundary (just using the definition of linearly independent, the three linearly independent vectors are $L\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}$ and $L\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial \vartheta^A} + X_A$), the corresponding component of $\partial_- H$, we shall derive an analytic expression for an arbitrary invariant curve of H in a neighborhood of $\partial_- H$. Now the parametric equations of an invariant curve of H, in rectangular coordinates in Galileo spacetime, the parameter being u, are (see (19.240)):

$$x^\mu = x^\mu(t_*(u,\vartheta), u, \vartheta) \quad : \quad u_*(\vartheta) > u > \underline{u}(\vartheta), \quad \vartheta = const. \tag{19.249}$$

or, since $x^0 = t$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^0 &= t_*(u,\vartheta) \\ x^i &= x^i(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \quad : \quad u_*(\vartheta) > u > \underline{u}(\vartheta), \quad \vartheta = const. \end{aligned} \tag{19.250}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{dx^0}{du})(u) &= (\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) \\ (\frac{dx^i}{du})(u) &= (\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) + (\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting from (19.243) and taking into account of (19.245) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{dx^0}{du})(u) &= (\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) \\ (\frac{dx^i}{du})(u) &= L^i(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) \end{aligned} \tag{19.251}$$

or simply:

$$(\frac{dx^\mu}{du})(u) = L^\mu(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) \tag{19.252}$$

We see again that in Galileo spacetime the vectorfield L is tangential to the invariant curves. We also see that at $u = u_*(\vartheta)$, that is on $\partial_- H$, the right-hand sides vanish by (19.246), so the past end point of an invariant curve is a singular point.

To analyze the behavior at the past end point we consider the second and third derivatives at this point. Differentiating (19.251) with respect to u we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{d^2x^0}{du^2})(u) &= (\frac{\partial^2 t_*}{\partial u^2})(u,\vartheta) \\ (\frac{d^2x^i}{du^2})(u) &= (\frac{\partial L^i}{\partial t})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})^2(u,\vartheta) \\ &\quad +(\frac{\partial L^i}{\partial u})(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)(\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u})(u,\vartheta) \\ &\quad +L^i(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)(\frac{\partial^2 t_*}{\partial u^2})(u,\vartheta) \end{aligned} \tag{19.253}$$

Also, differentiating (19.48) with respect to u we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\frac{\partial^2 t_*}{\partial u^2})(u,\vartheta) &= -(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{-2}(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \\ &\cdot\{\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u\partial t}\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2}) - \frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}(\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial t^2}\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u\partial t})\} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for $\partial t_*/\partial u$ from (19.48) then yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\frac{\partial^2 t_*}{\partial u^2})(u,\vartheta) \\ &= -(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{-3}\{(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^2\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2} - 2\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u}\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u\partial t} + (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial u})^2\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial t^2}\}(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta) \end{aligned} \tag{19.254}$$

In particular, at $u = u_*(\vartheta)$ we obtain, in accordance with (19.51):

$$(\frac{\partial^2 t_*}{\partial u^2})(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta) = a(\vartheta) \tag{19.255}$$

where:

$$a(\vartheta)=-(\frac{\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2}{\partial\mu/\partial t})(q(\vartheta))>0 \tag{19.256}$$

by the first equation of (19.45), and we denote by

$$q(\vartheta)=(t_*(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta),u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta) \tag{19.257}$$

the end point on ∂_-H. By (19.246) and (19.255), at $u=u_*(\vartheta)$ (19.253) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^2x^0}{du^2})(u_*(\vartheta))&=a(\vartheta)\\ (\frac{d^2x^i}{du^2})(u_*(\vartheta))&=L^i(q(\vartheta))a(\vartheta)\end{aligned} \tag{19.258}$$

Moreover, differentiating (19.253) with respect to u and evaluating the result at $u=u_*(\vartheta)$ we obtain, in view of (19.246):

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{d^3x^0}{du^3})(u_*(\vartheta))&=(\frac{\partial^3t_*}{\partial u^3})(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta)\\ (\frac{d^3x^i}{du^3})(u_*(\vartheta))=2(\frac{\partial L^i}{\partial u})(q(\vartheta))(\frac{\partial^2t_*}{\partial u^2})(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta)&+L^i(q(\vartheta))(\frac{\partial^3t_*}{\partial u^3})(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta)\end{aligned} \tag{19.259}$$

We shall presently determine the coefficients:

$$(\frac{\partial^3t_*}{\partial u^3})(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta)\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{\partial L^i}{\partial u}(q(\vartheta))=TL^i(q(\vartheta))$$

In view of the fact that for any smooth function $f(t,u,\vartheta)$ we have:

$$\frac{d}{du}f(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\frac{\partial t_*}{\partial u}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$$

hence by (19.246):

$$(\frac{d}{du}f(t_*(u,\vartheta),u,\vartheta))_{u=u_*(\vartheta)}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(q(\vartheta))$$

differentiating (19.254) with respect to u and evaluating the result at $u=u_*(\vartheta)$ yields:

$$(\frac{\partial^3t_*}{\partial u^3})(u_*(\vartheta),\vartheta)=b(\vartheta) \tag{19.260}$$

where:

$$b(\vartheta)=(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{-2}(3\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2}\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial t\partial u}-\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial^3\mu}{\partial u^3})(q(\vartheta)) \tag{19.261}$$

Next, TL^i is given by (3.185):

$$TL^i=a_T\hat{T}^i+b_T^i \tag{19.262}$$

where a_T is given by (3.188) and b_T^i are the rectangular components of $S_{t,u}$-tangential vectorfield given by (3.190). On $H\bigcup\partial_-H$, in particular at $q(\vartheta)$, these formulas reduce to:

$$\begin{aligned}a_T&=\alpha^{-1}L\mu<0\\ b_T^i&=(\not{g}^{-1}\cdot\not{d}\mu)^i\end{aligned} \tag{19.263}$$

Substituting the above as well as (19.255) in (19.259) we obtain:

$$(\frac{d^3x^0}{du^3})(u_*(\vartheta)) = b(\vartheta) \tag{19.264}$$
$$(\frac{d^3x^i}{du^3})(u_*(\vartheta)) = L^i(q(\vartheta))b(\vartheta) + 2a(\vartheta)(a_T\hat{T}^i + b_T^i)(q(\vartheta))$$

In view of (19.258) and (19.264) we obtain the following analytic expression for an invariant curve of H in a neighborhood of its origin $q(\vartheta) \in \partial_-H$:

$$\begin{aligned} x^0(u) = x^0(q(\vartheta)) + \frac{1}{2}a(\vartheta)(u-u_*(\vartheta))^2 + \frac{1}{6}b(\vartheta)(u-u_*(\vartheta))^3 \\ +O((u-u_*(\vartheta))^4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^i(u) = x^i(q(\vartheta)) + \frac{1}{2}a(\vartheta)L^i(q(\vartheta))(u-u_*(\vartheta))^2 \\ +\frac{1}{6}[b(\vartheta)L^i(q(\vartheta)) + 2a(\vartheta)(a_T\hat{T}^i + b_T^i)(q(\vartheta))](u-u_*(\vartheta))^3 \\ +O((u-u_*(\vartheta))^4) \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the above results in the following proposition.

Proposition 19.3 The singular boundary of the domain of the maximal solution in Galileo spacetime is $H\bigcup\partial_-H$. Consider a given component of H and its past boundary, the corresponding component of ∂_-H. The component of ∂_-H is a smooth 2-dimensional embedded submanifold in Galileo spacetime, which is space-like with respect to the acoustical metric. The component of H is a smooth embedded 3-dimensional submanifold in Galileo spacetime ruled by invariant curves of vanishing arc length with respect to the acoustical metric, having past end points on the corresponding component of ∂_-H. In a neighborhood of its origin at the point $q(\vartheta) \in \partial_-H$ an invariant curve is given, in parametric form, by:

$$\begin{aligned} x^0(u) = x^0(q(\vartheta)) + \frac{1}{2}a(\vartheta)(u-u_*(\vartheta))^2 + \frac{1}{6}b(\vartheta)(u-u_*(\vartheta))^3 \\ +O((u-u_*(\vartheta))^4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^i(u) = x^i(q(\vartheta)) + \frac{1}{2}a(\vartheta)L^i(q(\vartheta))(u-u_*(\vartheta))^2 \\ +\frac{1}{6}[b(\vartheta)L^i(q(\vartheta)) + 2a(\vartheta)(a_T\hat{T}^i + b_T^i)(q(\vartheta))](u-u_*(\vartheta))^3 \\ +O((u-u_*(\vartheta))^4) \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} a(\vartheta) &= -(\frac{\partial^2\mu/\partial u^2}{\partial\mu/\partial t})(q(\vartheta)) > 0 \\ b(\vartheta) &= (\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t})^{-2}(3\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial u^2}\frac{\partial^2\mu}{\partial t\partial u} - \frac{\partial\mu}{\partial t}\frac{\partial^3\mu}{\partial u^3})(q(\vartheta)) \\ a_T(q(\vartheta)) &= (\alpha^{-1}L\mu)(q(\vartheta)) < 0 \\ b_T^i(q(\vartheta)) &= (\not{g}^{-1}\cdot\not{d}\mu)^i(q(\vartheta)) \end{aligned}$$

Bibliography

[1] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, Orlando Fl., 1984.

[2] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North Holland Publ. Comp., 1975.

[3] D. Christodoulou, *The Formation of Shocks in 3-Dimensional Fluids*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, EMS Publishing House, 2007.

[4] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Space*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 41, Princeton University Press, 1993.

[5] D. Glibarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[6] R. Osserman, *The isoperimetric inequality*, Bull.A.M.S. **84** (1978), 1182–1238.

[7] R. Penrose, *Techniques of Differential Topology in Relativity*, Regional Conf. Series in Appl. Math., vol. 7, SIAM, 1972.